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## ABSTRACT OF DISSERTATION

## ASYMMETRIC TOTAL SYNTHESES OF (+)- AND (-)-SPIROTRYPROSTATINS A AND B

The first published total synthesis of (+)- and (-)-spirotryprostatin B is presented. The synthesis features an asymmetric azomethine ylide [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction. Additionally, a Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction was demonstrated as means of affecting an oxidative decarboxylation. Intermediates along the synthesis were studied for their biological activity as G2/M phase cell cycle inhibitors and microtubule assembly inhibitors.

The asymmetric azomethine ylide [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition was also studied in greater detail. Varying the aldehyde component of the reaction resulted in the formation three different cycloadducts. Theoretical calculations for the reaction were compared with observed results.

Attempts to synthesize (-)-spirotryprostatin A are also presented. Two different strategies based on the synthesis of (+)- and (-)-spirotryprostatin B were explored.
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## Chapter 1

## Spirotryprostatins A and B

### 1.1 Introduction

Elucidating the regulatory machinery of the cell cycle is crucial to understanding how defects in the regulatory mechanism of the cell result in uncontrolled growth and differentiation, such as cancer. ${ }^{1}$ Small-molecule natural products are proving invaluable in contemporary studies of cellular probes through their ability to specifically bind target proteins that modulate signal transduction cascades. Numerous examples exist in which the biological function of a particular cellular factor have been investigated through the use of such compounds. ${ }^{2}$ Therefore, the development of new and specific inhibitors of signal transduction cascade pathways will continue to be extremely important in the understanding of the regulatory mechanism of the cell cycle.

Recently, powerful bioassays have been developed to specifically identify new natural products that inhibit the progression of the cell cycle at distinct phases. Using temperature-sensitive mammalian tsFT210 cells and rat normal fibroblast 3 Y 1 cells, Osada, et al. have exploited these screening technologies to identify a wide array of interesting natural products from the fermentation broth of the fungus Aspergillus fumigatus and other microbial sources. ${ }^{3}$

Included in the families of fungal metabolites identified in this manner are the fumitremorgins, ${ }^{4}$ the tryprostatins, ${ }^{5}$ the cyclotryprostatins ${ }^{6}$ and the spirotryprostatins ${ }^{7}$ (1 and 2, Figure 1). The primary target of tryprostatin A and cyclotryprostatins A and B are microtubules, which induce M-phase specific inhibition and microtubule disassembly. ${ }^{8}$

These substances have attracted considerable synthetic attention and individual total syntheses of the tryprostatins ${ }^{9}$ and some of the fumitremorgins ${ }^{10}$ have been reported.
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Figure 1

The structurally more interesting and complex members of this family of compounds are the spirotryprostatins, which display the weakest biological activity as cell cycle inhibitors. Isolated in 1996, from Aspergillus fumigatus, spirotryprostatin A (1) and spirotryprostatin B (2) were shown to completely inhibit the progression of cells at concentrations greater than $253 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $34.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The detailed mechanism of action by which these substances inhibit microtubule assembly is presently not known and studies to discover the target of these natural products have been hampered by the small quantities of these substances that can be conveniently isolated from the producing organism. Despite their relatively modest biological activity relative to other members of this family, the spirotryprostatins have nonetheless garnered the most attention due to their intriguing molecular structures. The spirotryprostatins are characterized by a unique spirooxindole substituted cis-prolyl-prolyl-diketopiperazine that is prenylated at C-18.

Spirotryprostatin A (1) differs from spirotryprostatin B (2) in that it is saturated at C-8 and C-9 and is substituted at C-6 by a methoxy group, whereas spirotryprostatin B is absent of functionality on the aromatic ring and contains the characteristic eneamide moiety.

### 1.2 Total Syntheses of Spirotryprostatin A and B

Since isolation of the spirotryprostatins in 1996, numerous groups have embarked on research programs directed at the synthesis of spirotryprostatins A and B. Various research groups have focused their efforts on the development of synthetic methodology towards the spirooxindole pyrrolidine core of the natural products. Recent approaches include a [5+2]-cycloaddition of enantiomerically pure $\eta^{3}$-pyridinylmolybdenum complexes, ${ }^{11}$ directed radical cyclizations, ${ }^{12}$ ring expansion of cyclopropanes by aldimines $^{13}$ and iodide ion induced rearrangement of $[(N-$ aziridinomethylthio)methylene]-oxindoles. ${ }^{14}$ In addition to the asymmetric generation of the spirooxindole quaternary carbon, a total synthesis of the spirotryprostatins would require installation of the prenyl side-chain, formation of three or four stereogenic centers and generation of the eneamide moiety. These issues have been addressed by various strategies and have culiminated in the total synthesis of spirotryprostatin $B$ (2) by the groups of Williams, ${ }^{15}$ Danishefsky, ${ }^{16}$ Ganesan, ${ }^{17}$ Overman ${ }^{18}$ and Fuji. ${ }^{19}$ The Danishefsky research group has also reported the total synthesis of (-)-spirotryprostatin A (1). ${ }^{20}$

### 1.2.1 Danishefsky's Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin A

The first total synthesis of ( - -spirotryprostatin A was reported by Danishefsky et al. in $1998 .{ }^{20}$ The group used a biomimetic strategy that revolved around a PictetSpengler reaction of an appropriately substituted tryptophan derivative and oxidation of the resulting $\beta$-carboline to form the key spirooxindole pyrrolidine amino acid (Scheme 1). The synthesis began with the enantioselective generation of 6-methoxy tryptophan methyl ester $\mathbf{3}$ and formation of the requisite 3-methyl-3-phenylsufanyl butyraldehyde. ${ }^{21}$ Subjecting these two reagents to the action of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $4 \AA$ Molecular Sieves according to the protocol of Cook ${ }^{22}$ afforded a 2:1 mixture of cis/trans-tetrahydro-$\beta$-carboline diastereomers 4. Isolation of the desired cis-isomer and protection of the free amine as the $t$-butyl carbamate afforded a suitable precursor for the oxindole spiro-ringforming contraction sequence. Bromination at $\mathrm{C}-3$ of the indole occurred anti to the alkyl side-chain and the resulting imine was trapped with water to generate intermediate 5 . The alcohol then collapsed to form spirooxindole 6 in $46 \%$ yield. Deprotection of the Boc group followed by coupling with trichloroethyl chloroformate (Troc) protected L-proline acid chloride and $\mathrm{Zn}^{\circ}$ assisted removal of the carbamate protecting group effected cyclization and resulted in a $63 \%$ yield of the diketopiperazine 7. Installation of the prenyl side-chain was accomplished by oxidation of the thio-ether with sodium periodate and heating the resulting sulfoxide in toluene. The reaction sequence provided $80 \%$ of a separable mixture of spirotryprostatin A (1) and olefin isomer 8 in a $2.6: 1$ ratio. The undesired isomer 8 was then converted to the natural product by isomerization with rhodium trichloride, improving the overall yield to $12 \%$.


Scheme 1. Danishefsky's synthesis of spirotryprostatin $A$ (1). (a) 3-Methy-3phenylsulfanyl butyraldehyde, $4 \AA$ sieves, TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\left(\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$; (c) NBS, HOAc, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF; (d) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) Troc-L-pro-Cl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (f) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} ;$ (g) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; toluene, $\Delta$; (h) $\mathrm{RhCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \Delta$.

### 1.2.2 Danishefsky's Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin B

Concurrent with the efforts of Williams, Ganesan and Overman, Danishefsky reported a total synthesis of spirotryprostatin B in 2000. ${ }^{16}$ The strategy developed for spirotryprostatin A was explored as a potential means of accessing spirotryprostatin B as well. However, this approach proved unsuccessful, as specificity issues were encountered that lengthened the synthesis and relied heavily on protecting groups. Attempts to apply the Pinnacol-type spiro-rearrangment used in the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A to the 6demethoxy congener 9, led to the C-3 epi-amino acid methyl ester 10 (Scheme 2). Investigations reported by Danishefsky also indicated that installation of the eneamide functionality could not be accomplished later in the synthesis by the planned selenationelimination protocol as no selectivity was achieved in the deprotonation of the $\mathrm{C}-9$ versus the C-12 proton. As a result, an alternate route to spirotryprostatin B was explored.


Scheme 2. Pinnacol-type spiro-rearrangement of tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline 9.

Danishefsky et al. were eventually able to complete a total synthesis of (-)spirotryprostatin B via the route shown in Scheme 3. L-Tryptophan methyl ester 11 was converted to the oxindole $\mathbf{1 2}$ by Kornblum oxidation in $95 \%$ yield. Intramolecular Mannich reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with senicaldehyde afforded amino acid methyl ester $\mathbf{1 3}$ (73\%) as an inseparable mixture of four diastereomers at $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-18$. The resulting mixture was coupled to Boc-L-proline with BOPCl as the activating agent and resulted in dipeptides 14. Installation of the eneamide was accomplished by selenation, oxidation and elimination to form three products 15, 16 and 17 in $40 \%, 48 \%$ and $6 \%$ yields, respectively. Precursor 15 was isolated and converted to spirotryprostatin B (2) by TFAassisted removal of the Boc group and triethylamine-induced cyclization. The other two diastereomers (16 and 17) were subjected as a mixture to the deprotection conditions and produced the corresponding 3 -epi and 18-epi spirotryprostatins (18 and 19). Thus, Danishefsky's synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2) was accomplished in 5 steps and 5\% overall yield.


Scheme 3. Danishefsky's synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2). (a) DMSO, 12 N HCl , HOAc ; (b) senicaldehyde, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 3 \AA$ sieves, pyridine; (c) $\mathrm{BOPCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, Boc-L-proline; (d) LiHMDS, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{PhSeCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) DMDO, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

### 1.2.3 Ganesan's Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin B

Ganesan reported a synthesis of spirotryprostatin B in 2000, ${ }^{17}$ using an approach similar to that deploted in Danishefsky's synthesis of spirotryprostatin A. Starting with tryptophan methyl ester 11, Pictet-Spengler reaction with senicaldehyde afforded the tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline 20 as two diastereomers ( $\alpha: \beta$ ) in $46 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 4). The desired dipeptide (20ß) was isolated as the minor component after acylation with Fmoc-L-proline acid chloride and separation from the trans-isomer. Oxidation and spirorearrangement was then effected by the addition of one equivalent of N bromosuccinimide in acetic acid, THF and water.


Scheme 4. Ganesan's Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin B. (a) $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, senicaldehyde; (b) Fmoc-L-proline acid chloride, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) NBS, $\mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF; (d) piperdine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) LDA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; PhSeCl .

Timing of the spiro-rearrangement proved to be important as attempts to effect the rearrangement earlier or later in the synthesis resulted in the formation of the C-3 epimer. This required the chemoselective oxidation of the indole without disturbing the isopropylidene group. By the careful control of reagent amounts, Ganesan et al. were able to selectively oxidize the indole without disturbing the isopropylidene group. This circumvented masking of the prenyl side-chain as the thioether (6) which Danishefsky utilized in the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A. Acylation of the free amine with Fmoc-Lproline acid chloride, followed by base-induced cyclization generated diketopiperazine 22. Installation of the eneamide was required for completion of the total synthesis. However as Danishefsky and coworkers had alluded to in their explorations of spirotryprostatin B, there was no way to distinguish the central spirooxindole-substituted pyrrolidine ring over the proline portion of the molecule. As a result, subjecting 22 to standard oxidation conditions led to the isolation of four products $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2 3}, 24$ and $\mathbf{2 5})$ as


Scheme 5. Conversion of 25 into spirotryprostatin B (2).
well as $24 \%$ of unreacted starting material. The total synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2) was completed (albeit in $2 \%$ yield). The overall yield was improved slightly by the threestep conversion of the minor side-product 25 into the natural product (Scheme 5). Protection of the oxindole nitrogen with Boc anhydride, mesylation of the tertiary alcohol and concominant elimination resulted in the formation of 26 in $70 \%$ yield for the two steps. Removal of the Boc group with the aid of trifluoroacetic acid and triethylsilane afforded the natural product. Thus, the synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2) was accomplished in $<1 \%$ over five steps.

### 1.2.4 Overman's Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin B

Utilizing an entirely different strategy, Overman and Rosen reported a synthesis of spirotryprostatin B in $2000 .{ }^{18}$ The approach centered on the formation of the spirooxindole and the prenyl side-chain through an asymmetric Heck reaction followed by trapping of the $\eta^{3}-\pi$-allyl intermediate (Scheme 6 ). Oxidative addition of palladium into the aryl iodide bond of 27 , followed by migratory insertion would generate 28 . Attack by the diketopiperazine amide nitrogen on the $\pi$-allylpalladium intermediate 28 would afford the natural product. This strategy would require the use of a chiral ligand to
induce asymmetry and would be dependent on the configuration of the triene, but would set two contiguous stereogenic centers in one step.


Scheme 6. Asymmetric Heck- $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium approach to spirotryprostatin B (2).

Synthesis of the requisite Heck precursor began with the protected propargyl alcohol 29, which was converted in high yield ( $74 \%$ over three steps) into dienyl iodide 30 (Scheme 7). Carbonylation of $\mathbf{3 0}$, coupling with 2-iodoaniline and protection of the resulting amide with SEM-Cl generated 31 in $74 \%$ overall yield. Fluoride anion-assisted removal of the silyl protecting group and Swern oxidation afforded aldehyde 32. Olefination of $\mathbf{3 2}$ with the diketopiperazine phosphonate ( $\mathbf{3 3}$ ) derived from glycine and Lproline, generated the key intermediate 34 (71\% yield from 31). Palladium/(S)-BINAPmediated cyclization of $\mathbf{3 4}$ proceeded as planned but did not produce the natural product. Rather two diastereomers were isolated and determined to be 3 -epi and 18 -epi spirotryprostatins B ( $\mathbf{1 8}$ and 19 ) in a $6: 1$ ratio and $28 \%$ yield. Similarly, $(R)$-BINAP gave the opposite isomers with similar selectivity. However, changing the configuration of the triene from $E$ to $Z$ would generate the natural product and the bis-3,18-epi isomer.


Scheme 7. Overman's synthesis of C-3 and C18-epi-spirotryprostatin B (2). (a) Red-Al, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{DMSO},(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) $i \mathrm{PrPPh}_{3} \mathrm{I}, n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (d) $[\mathrm{Pd}(d p p f)] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}$, MeOH ; (e) 2-iodoaniline, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$; (f) SEMCl, NaH, DMF; (g) $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}$, THF; (h) DMSO, $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (i) 33, $t$-BuOK, [18]-crown-6, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (j) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3},(S)$-BINAP, pentamethylpiperdine, DMA; (k) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$, THF; DIPEA, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Preparation of substrate 35, which ultimately led to the natural product, was accomplished in a similar fashion to the synthesis of the Z-isomer 34. Application of the earlier developed cyclization protocol surprisingly led to the isolation of a compound that corresponded to 3-epi-spirotryprostatin B (18). Control experiments indicated that the triene underwent isomerization to the more stable $E$-configuration. Changing the ligand from ( $S$ )-BINAP to tri-o-tolylphosphine and the base from pentamethylpiperdine to potassium acetate (Scheme 8) suppressed the isomerization but removed the source of chirality (Scheme 8). As a result, cyclization of $\mathbf{3 5}$ and removal of the SEM protecting group resulted in the formation of the natural product 2 along with the bis-epi
diastereomer 34 as a $1: 1$ mixture. Thus the Overman group completed the total synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2) in 15\% from a synthetically available precursor.


Scheme 8. Completion of the total synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2).

### 1.2.4 Fuji's Formal Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin B

The most recent synthesis of spirotryprostatin B has been accomplished by Fuji and coworkers. ${ }^{19}$ The strategy, which resulted in a formal synthesis, relied on installation of the C-3 quaternary spirooxindole at the outset of the synthesis and advancement of the key intermediate through a Strecker reaction and coupling with L-proline (Scheme 9). The synthesis began with the asymmetric alkylation of racemic oxindole 37. Utilizing methodology developed within the Fuji group, formation of the lithium enolate of 37 and addition of nitroeneamine 38 generated the dialkylated product 39 in $88 \%$ yield and $97 \%$ ee. ${ }^{23}$ Reduction of the nitro group and hydrolysis of the resulting eneamine afforded ( $S$ )aldehyde 40 in $55 \%$ yield. Strecker reaction with TMSCN proceeded accordingly but resulted in a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers (41). Although the newly formed stereogenic center would eventually be eliminated to form the characteristic eneamide of spirotryprostatin B, the lack of selectivity affected the planned cyclization.


Scheme 9. Fuji's synthesis of spirotryprostatin B (2). (a) THF, $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{3 8}$; (b) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; TMSCN; (d) $\mathrm{CBzCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} ; 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$; (f) Pd black, $5 \% \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} ; ~ N$-Boc-L-proline, EDCI, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) $m \mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; $\mathrm{PhSeSePh}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \Delta ; 30 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, THF $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (h) $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \Delta$.

Protection of amine 41 as the benzyl carbamate, hydrolysis of the nitrile, hydrogenolysis of the benzyl carbamate and benzyl group and coupling with Boc-Lproline generated 42 in $28 \%$ yield for the three steps. Transformation of the prenyl sidechain into allylic alcohol 43 was required prior to cyclization and formation of the core pyrrolidine ring. This was accomplished by epoxidation of the olefin with $m \mathrm{CPBA}$, ring opening, oxidation and thermal elimination of the resulting selenoxide. Treatment of 43 with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in acetonitrile afforded the dipeptides $\mathbf{1 4}$ as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers in $47 \%$ yield. The same intermediates (14) were reported by Danishefsky
and therefore represented a formal total synthesis of (-)-spirotryprostatin B (2) Thus, Fuji and coworkers accessed the natural product in $<1 \%$ over ten steps.

Four distinctly different strategies were utilized in the total syntheses of spirotryprostatins A and B. The seminal synthesis was completed by the Danishefsky group in their approach to spirotryprostatin A. The strategy resulted in a $10 \%$ yield over eight steps and was highlighted by the stereospecific spirorearrangement of cissubstituted tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline 4 into an oxindole 6 (Scheme 1). This required the enantioselective synthesis of the methoxy-tryptophan derivative $\mathbf{3}$ and the tricyclic indole precursor 4. Although, moderate selectivity was achieved in the formation of the tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline 4 , the subsequent steps overcame any shortcomings resulting from the modest stereochemical control. The scope of the approach proved to be the weakest aspect of the synthesis as attempts to apply the strategy to the syntheses of spirotryprostatin B were unsuccessful. Still, the synthesis served as a basis for the syntheses that followed.

Ganesan and coworkers also used a spiro-rearrangment protocol in the synthesis of spirotryprostatin B but were limited by the stage at which the reaction could be applied. This forced formation of the oxindole 21 early in the synthesis and complicated attempts to install the eneamide moiety. Differentiation of the nearly identical $\alpha$-protons (22) was unsuccessful and an extremely low yield of spirotryprostatin B was obtained (Scheme 4). The synthesis seemed to validate Danishefsky's decision to abandon this strategy.

Danishefsky et al. utilized a more direct route towards spirotryprostatin B that relied on an intramolecular Mannich reaction (Scheme 2). The synthesis was extremely
concise (five steps) but lacked stereocontrol. Arguably, a very practical synthesis (~500 mg of product was obtained from 5 g of starting material), the loss of $72 \%$ of the original mass to diastereomeric side-products limits the overall value of the approach.

Overman utilized a stereoselective Pd-catalyzed Heck insertion and trapping of the resulting $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium intermediate to access spirotryprostatin B (Scheme 7). The strategy set two contiguous stereocenters in one step with good selectivity but in low yield ( $28 \%$ ). Unfortunately, the requisite $Z$-triene precursor 35 was unstable to the conditions developed for the asymmetric system and no selectivity was observed. However, optimization increased the yield for the mixture of diastereomers to $72 \%$ ( $36 \%$ for (-)-spirotryprostatin B). Although the synthesis was somewhat lengthy as compared to both the Danishefsky and Ganesan approaches, it was convergent, straightforward and the highest yielding ( $15 \%$ ).

Fuji's approach to spirotryprostatin B revolved around the enantioselective installation of the spirooxindole using an asymmetric nitroolefination (Scheme 9). This method efficiently generated oxindole 39 in high enantiomeric excess. Unfortunately the subsequent transformations suffered from a lack of stereocontrol. The Strecker reaction used to incorporate the necessary amino acid functionality resulted in a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers (41) that were carried on through five steps in the synthesis. The undefined stereogenic center combined with the poor yield (24\%) observed for cyclization of the core pyrrolidine ring made this an inefficient strategy.

### 1.3 Biological Studies of Spirotryprostatin Analogs

As a result of the aforementioned synthetic efforts, a number of analogs were generated and screened for biological activity. Osada and coworkers have reported the cell cycle inhibition of mouse tsFT210 cell lines at the G2/M phase for spirotryprostatin A $1\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=197.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and spirotryprostatin $\mathrm{B} 2\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. In general, it appears that the spirooxindole alkaloids are in most cases, less active than other members of the tryptophan-proline derived natural products. However, some analogs showed encouraging results towards human breast cancer cell lines

Danishefsky and coworkers selected various intermediates from their approach to spirotryprostatin A and tested them for biological activity. In addition to the natural product 1, demethoxyspirotryprostatin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{4 4})$, compounds $45,46,47$ and 48 were assayed against MCF-7 and MDA MB-468 human breast cancer cell lines (Figure 2). Spirotryprostatin A, demethoxyspirotryprostatin A (44) and isospirotryprostatin (48) did not show significant activity against MCF-7 cells and high micromolar concentrations ( $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were required for the inhibition of MDA MB-468 cell lines.
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Figure 2. Intermediates tested for MCF-7 and MDA MB-468 human breast cancer cells.

However, compounds 45-47 were markedly more active against both cell lines. Against the MCF-7 cells, compounds $45-47$ had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 's in the $40-100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ range, whereas
the same compounds inhibited anchorage dependent tumor growth of MDA MB-468 cells at concentrations of $20-25 \mathrm{nM}$. Although further studies focused on understanding the structure-activity relationship of these compounds, this data illustrated the potential of spirooxindole pyrrolidines as chemotherapeutic agents.

Intermediates from the syntheses of Ganesan and Overman were submitted to Osada et al. for testing as cell cycle and microtuble inhibitors. Compound 22 and the saturated prenyl congener from the Ganesan approach, (Scheme 1.4) did not inhibit cell progression $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>500 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ nor did they disrupt microtubule assembly. In addition, the analogs were submitted to the National Cancer Institute's (NCI) 60-cell line in vitro antitumor assay but showed little activity as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for the NCI's assays were $>100$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$. The two late stage intermediates from the Overman synthesis, 3-epi and 18 -epispirotryprostatin B (Scheme 7, 18 and 19) closely resemble the natural product and therefore were expected to have similar activity. However, the concentrations at which $50 \%$ cell cycle inhibition was achieved were markedly increased from the natural product for both analogs, $125 \mu \mathrm{M}$ versus $14 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. Compounds $\mathbf{1 8}$ and 19 also showed $15 \%$ and $8 \%$ inhibition of microtubule assembly at a concentration of $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$, however a comparison with spirotryprostatin B cannot be made as data has not been reported for the natural product.

The spirotryprostatins have garnered a lot of synthetic attention, however the enthusiasm about these natural products has been tempered due to their modest biological activity. The structurally simpler tryprostatins and fumitremorgins seem to be at least as active and in some case more active than the spirooxindole-containing natural products. The compounds generated by Danishefsky et al. however, did show promise and could
serve as leads for potential chemotherapeutic agents. The source of activity in the spirotryprostatins is still under investigation and future studies will help elucidate the structure-activity relationships of these natural products.

## Chapter 2

## Asymmetric, Stereocontrolled Total Synthesis and Biological Studies of

## (+)- and (-)-Spirotryprostatin B

### 2.1 Initial Synthetic Route to Spirotryprostatin B

At the outset, the focus was on devising an efficient and stereocontrolled method to construct the core spiro-oxindole-containing pyrrolidine ring as the backbone to the synthetic strategy. The [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction ([1,3]-DPC) has proven to be a versatile and powerful method in the synthesis of natural products that possess the pyrrolidine ring system. ${ }^{24}$ It was therefore rationalized that an asymmetric [1,3]-DPC could provide an efficient method for construction of the central pyrrolidine ring. The Williams group had previously established methodology for the asymmetric [1,3]-DPC of azomethines ylides derived from (5R,6S)-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2ones $^{25}$ (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10. Asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition of chiral azomethine ylides.

Addition of both aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes to morpholinone 49 under acidic conditions generated a mixture of $E$ and $Z$ ylides $\mathbf{5 0}$ that underwent reaction with dimethyl maleate (51) to provide the bicyclic cycloadducts 52 in $32-71 \%$ yield. Formation of the corresponding amino acids (53) or methyl esters (54) was accomplished by hydrogenolysis or ring-opening and either oxidative or reductive cleavage of the chiral auxiliary. In all cases the [1,3]-DPC proceeded with excellent endo selectivity resulting in the stereochemistry depicted. The diastereoselectivity at the C-7 position ranged from 1:1 to $>20: 1$ and depended on the nature of the aldehyde constituent.

The initial strategy toward (-)-spirotryprostatin B revolved around installation of the eneamide functionality and the spirooxindole stereogenic center at the last step in the synthesis through an asymmetric Heck reaction. Heck precursor 55 would result from amidation of carboxylic acid 56 with 2-iodoaniline. Diketopiperazine 56 could result from coupling of amino acid 57 with L-proline and concominant cyclization. The highly functionalized pyrrolidine (57) would be generated by a [1,3]-DPC of chiral azomethine ylide 59 and propiolate ester 58.



Scheme 11. Initial retrosynthetic analysis of (-)-spirotryprostatin B 2.

Preliminary efforts were directed at the generation of pyrrolidine 57. Addition of paraformaldehyde to (5R,6S)-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one 49 and ethyl propiolate in toluene at reflux afforded cycloadduct 61 (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12. Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from paraformaldehyde.

As expected, approach of the alkyne occurred anti to the bulky phenyl groups and resulted in the formation of cycloadduct 61. However, the regiochemistry was opposite to that which was desired as the carboethoxy group was bound at the C-9 rather than the C-8 position. Single crystal X-ray diffraction indeed confirmed the structure of $\mathbf{6 1}$ (Figure 3). This regiochemical result represented a fatal flaw in the planned synthetic route.


Figure 3. Single crystal X-ray of bicyclic cycloadduct 61.

Focus shifted towards reversing the regiochemical outcome of the reaction. It seemed plausible that substituting a bulky aldehyde for paraformaldehyde might induce formation of the opposite regiochemistry (Scheme 13). $t$-Butyl propiolate was substituted


Scheme 13. Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from various aldehydes.
for ethyl propiolate as a means of differentiating the ester from the lactone. Varying the aldehyde constituent did not alter the regiochemistry of the cycloadducts (Table 1) but provided some valuable data. The cycloaddition with propionaldehyde (entry 2 ) resulted in 7:1 ratio of diastereomers, the major product being the C-7 $\beta$-isomer. Initially, only small amounts of the product (62) was isolated. It was suspected that the aldehyde was not stable to the acidic conditions. Exclusion of TsOH from the reaction and addition of $3 \AA$ Molecular Sieves to remove the water generated in the reaction increased the yield to 23\%. Application of these new conditions to the azomethine ylide derived from isovaleraldehyde (entry 3 ) resulted in only the production of the $\beta$-isomer. Although varying the components in the reaction did not solve the regiochemical problem, it suggested that the stereogenic center at C-7 could be controlled with the judicious choice of the aldehyde.

Table 1. [1,3]-Dipolar Cycloaddition of Azomethine Ylides Derived from Various Aldehydes and $t$-Butyl Propiolate

| Entry | RCHO | Conditions | Product | Yield(\%) | Diast. Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | TsOH, Tol. $\Delta$ | 61 | 62 | - |
| 2 | Me - $\mathrm{CHO}^{\text {chen }}$ | Tol. mol. sieves | 62 | 23 | 7:1 |
| 3 | $\underset{\mathrm{Me}}{\mathrm{Me}}>\mathrm{CHO}$ | Tol. $\Delta$, mol. sieves | 63 | 76 | >20:1 |
| 4 | TBSO ${ }_{\text {CHO }}$ | Tol. $\Delta$, mol. sieves | 64 | 70 | 1:1 |

To address the regiochemical issue, three different approaches were explored. The first strategy involved a di-substituted, differentiated alkyne dipolarophile. Cycloadditions with alkynes $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 7}$ were expected to result in a reversal of the previously observed regiochemistry and afford cycloadducts 68 (Scheme 14). However, application of the standard [1,3]-DPC conditions did not yield the desired product. Cycloaddition with alkyne 65 did afforded compound 63 , which was a consequence of protiodesilylation followed by [1,3]-DPC to yield cycloadduct 63 . This was supported by the fact that when alkyne $66(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{TBS})$, was reacted with the azomethine ylide derived from morpholinone 49 and isovaleraldehyde, only starting materials were observed. Similarly, the tosyl derivative 67 did not result in the formation of any cycloadduct. However, the desired outcome of reversing the regiochemistry was not realized and no further experiments were conducted.


Scheme 14. Attempted [1,3]-DPC with non-symmetrical alkyne dipolarophiles.

Another stategy that was explored involved tethering the alkyne directly to the aldehyde in the form of 69 . Addition of 69 to morphilinone 49 , would generated and azomethine ylide and undergo an intramolecular azomethine ylide [1,3]-DPC (Scheme 15). While the reaction could potentially result in two products (70 and 71), it was expected that cycloadduct 70 with the thermodynamically more stable 6-5-6 tricyclic ring system would be formed preferentially to product 71.


Scheme 15. Intramolecular [1,3]-DPC Approach.

The ultimately unsuccessful synthesis of the requisite aldehyde (69) began with commercially available alcohol 72 (Scheme 16). Protection as the TBS-ether and epoxidation afforded $\mathbf{7 3}$ in $60 \%$ yield for the two steps. Reduction with lithium aluminum hydride resulted in tertiary alcohol 74 in $85 \%$ yield. Esterification of propynoic acid and alcohol 74 with DCC/DMAP or EDCI protocols failed to afford the desired product (75). Eventually it was discovered that formation of the mixed anhydride with propynoic acid and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride followed by the addition of alcohol 74 resulted in a $45 \%$ yield of 75. However, attempts to deprotect the silyl-ether using acidic, basic and fluoride anion-assisted conditions all resulted in the decomposition of the starting material.



Scheme 16. Attempted synthesis of aldehyde 69.

Attempts to obviate the deprotection/oxidation sequence that were necessary to access 69 were not realized. Acetal 76 was synthesized in a similar fashion to silyl-ether 75, but also failed to afford aldehyde 69 (Scheme 17). Again, conditions that were
expected to release the aldehyde always resulted in decomposition of the starting material. As a result, the strategy was abandoned.


Scheme 17. Attempted synthesis of aldehyde 69.

In parallel with the previously described strategies, another approach that utilized cycloadduct 64 (Table 1, entry 4) was explored. Although the reaction generated a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers, the $\beta$-isomer represented a compound with the desired stereoand regiochemistry (Scheme 18). Hydrolysis of $\mathbf{6 4 \beta}$ followed by esterification and lead(IV)-mediated cleavage of the resulting amino alcohol generated 77. This intermediate has the correct regiochemistry and stereochemistry at both positions $\alpha$ to the amino group but would require oxidation of the silyl ether and reduction of the ester.


Scheme 18. Conversion of cycloadduct $\mathbf{6 4 \beta}$ into amino acid methyl ester 77.

The strategy required reduction of the ester functionality before manipulation of the silyl ether (Scheme 19). Conditions were explored for the reduction of 77 to alcohol 78, however various hydride sources led to either decomposition or recovery of the starting material, Table 2. Also, 77 proved to be unstable for any extended period of time as auto-oxidation occurred and resulted in pyrrole formation.


Scheme 19. Attempted reduction of amino acid methyl ester 77.
Table 2. Conditions Explored for the Reduction of Ester 77

| Entry | Conditions | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time (h) | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ THF | 0 | 4 | Decomp. |
| 2 | DIBAL, THF | -78 | 4 | SM |
| 3 | DIBAL, THF | $-78-0$ | 24 | SM |
| 4 | $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$ | -78 | 1 | Decomp. |
| 5 | $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ | 25 | 1 | Decomp. |

It was at this stage that the potential of this strategy came into question. The failure to access amino alcohol 78, the numerous steps required for elaboration to the natural product and the tendency of dehydroproline derivatives to undergo auto-oxidation made the planned synthetic route impractical. One last set of experiments confirmed the decision to abandon this approach (Scheme 20). Amide 79 was synthesized, by deprotection of the t-butyl ester and BOP-Cl mediated coupling with 2-iodoaniline, to test if it would serve as a suitable precursor for the asymmetric Heck reaction. Unfortunately, amide 79 decomposed before any investigations into the Heck reaction were attempted and efforts to develop a new strategy began in earnest.


Scheme 20. Amidation of cycloadduct $64 \beta$.

### 2.2 Revised Synthetic Route to Spirotryprostatin B

It was expected that an azomethine ylide derived from morpholinone 49 would still serve as an efficient template for the formation of the core pyrrolidine and a new route was devised. The strategy was based on the synthesis of (-)-horsfiline by Palmisano and coworkers in which they reacted the symmetrical ylide 80, derived from sarcosine and paraformaldehyde, with benzyl oxindolylidene acetate (81) and generated the racemic spirooxindole 82 (Scheme 21). ${ }^{26}$


Scheme 21. [1,3]-Dipolar cycloaddition with oxindolylidene acetate 81.

It was envisioned that an asymmetric [1,3]-DPC between a chiral azomethine ylide of the general type 59 and an oxindolylidene acetate (83) could, in both a relative and absolute sense, generate the desired spiro-amino acid 84 (Scheme 22). If successful, the reaction would generate two of the three necessary stereogenic centers in the natural product. Coupling with a suitable proline derivative (85) followed by cyclization would yield the diketopiperazine 86. Having accessed the core framework of pentacyclic substance 86, completion of the synthesis would mandate a judiciously timed oxidative decarboxylation and installation of the isoprene-derived unsaturation via elaboration of the pentacyclic substance 86 .


Scheme 22. General synthetic plan for the synthesis of 2.

Numerous methods exist for the construction of spiro-oxindole systems related to that present in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2} .{ }^{27}$ The literature contains conflicting evidence as to the regio- and diastereochemical outcome of such reactions. Azomethine ylides derived from Williams' diphenyloxazinone-based glycine template and related chiral glycine-based azomethine ylide equivalents, ${ }^{28}$ reveal that the regio- and stereochemistry of the resulting cycloadducts are dependent upon both the nature of the aldehyde and the dipolarophile. Although reactions with simple symmetrical alkenyl dipolarophiles (i.e. dimethylmaleate 51, Scheme 10) usually proceed with a high degree of endo-selectivity, there are few studies that address the regiochemical aspects of asymmetrically substituted dipolarophiles. It was therefore difficult to predict if the amide or the ester moiety of the oxindolylidene acetate 83 would dominate in directing the facial approach of the dipole. In this particular instance, there are thus eight possible diastereomeric transition state structures, only one of which culminates in the desired spirotryprostatin stereostructure. With respect to the relative stereochemistry of the prenyl side-chain, the reaction was expected to be diastereoselective for the desired isomer since earlier studies suggested that bulky aliphatic aldehydes preferentially form the $E$-ylide. Assuming that the $E$-ylide
geometry would dominate in the present case, four possible diastereomers could be reasonably expected to result from the planned cycloaddition.

### 2.2.1 Synthesis of a Spirooxindole Amino Acid

As shown (Scheme 23) reaction of the azomethine ylide derived from oxazinone 49 and aldehyde 88, prepared by Swern oxidation of the commercially available alcohol, with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate $\mathbf{8 7}{ }^{29}$ in the presence of Molecular Sieves, resulted in the formation of two cycloadducts 90 and 91 in a $1: 2$ ratio and $86 \%$ combined yield. The initial set of reaction conditions indeed afforded the desired cycloadduct as evidenced by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and nOe experiments. Additionally, a small amount of a third product $92(1 \%)$ was produced and confirmed to be the regio- and stereoisomer opposite that of the desired cycloadduct. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of the desired cycloadduct 90 was further secured through single-crystal X-ray analysis (Figure 4).


Scheme 23. Asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction.


Figure 4. Single crystal X-ray of cycloadduct 90.

The observed products suggested that approach of the dipolarophile to the azomethine ylide occurred with the carboethoxy group being positioned opposite to the bulky phenyl groups in an exo-fashion. The reaction must have therefore proceeded via an E-beta-exo transition state (89) and constructed the entire prenylated tryptophyl moiety of spirotryprostatin B in a single, simple operation (Figure 5). E-beta-exo refers to the preferential formation of the $E$-azomethine ylide and approach of the dipolarophile anti or beta to the phenyl groups with the carboethoxy acting in a exo fashion. However, the yield was far from ideal since $\mathbf{9 0}$ was isolated as a 1:2 mixture along with $\mathbf{9 1}$, which results from the elimination of methanol from the desired cycloadduct. Therefore, additional effort was directed towards shifting the ratio of cycloadducts towards compound 90. To minimize formation of the undesired cycloadduct, the reaction was performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of at reflux, and the yield of $\mathbf{9 0}$ was improved to $82 \%$ with only $\mathbf{6 \%}$ of 91 being formed.


Figure 5. Minimized E-beta-exo transition state 89. ${ }^{30}$

The possible loss of methanol from the alkene progenitor had not been foreseen, since these conditions had heretofore proven to be very mild and tolerant of a range of aldehydes. It was not clear whether the elimination was occurring during the reaction or after formation of the cycloadduct. Re-subjecting 90 to toluene at reflux in the presence of Molecular Sieves did not afford any of the eliminated cycloadduct 91 suggesting that the loss of methanol occurred from a different pathway. To complicate matters further, efforts to grow a suitable crystal of $\mathbf{9 0}$ for analysis also resulted in the elucidation of another cycloadduct 93 (Figure 6). To account for 93 and the other spirooxindoles ( 90 92) that resulted from the reaction mixture, a mechanism was proposed (Scheme 24).



Figure 6. Structure and single crystal X-ray of cycloadduct 94.

Addition of aldehyde 88 to oxazinone 49 should initially generate the salt 95 which could then be deprotonated $\alpha$ - to the lactone carbonyl (path $a$ ) or $\beta$ - to the nitrogen atom (path $b$ ) to give the ylide 96 or the eneamine 97, respectively (Scheme 18). Dipole 96 could condense with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate (87) to yield the desired cycloadduct 90. If eneamine 97 was formed then, under the thermal conditions of the reaction, nitrogen-assisted extrusion of methoxide could furnish the thermodynamically more stable (relative to 96) conjugated iminium ion species 98. Deprotonation $\alpha$ - to the carbonyl (path $c$ ) could then generate the azomethine ylide 99 and suffer [1,3]-DPC to yield 91 . Alternatively, 98 could undergo auto-oxidation (path $d$ ) to yield radical-cation 100. Further oxidation could result in the formation of iminium species 101 which could
be trapped by methanol with approach of the nucleophile facially opposite the bulky phenyl substituents to give $\mathbf{1 0 2}$. Diene $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ could then react with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate 87 via a $4+2$ cycloaddition to yield side-product 94 .


Scheme 24. Mechanism proposed for the formation of 90,91 and 94.

### 2.2.2 Elaboration to the Diketopiperazine

With the key spiro-tetracyclic intermediate 90 in hand, focus shifted to construction of the diketopiperazine (Scheme 25). Reductive cleavage of the chiral auxiliary afforded carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 3}$, which was esterified with $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ to yield the corresponding methyl ester 104 in $86 \%$ yield. Attempts to acylate the nitrogen of the pyrrolidine ring of $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ failed under a number of conditions. Only trace amounts of the desired product 105 were ever obtained as the reaction was complicated by acylation of the oxindole nitrogen. The decreased nucleophilicity of the pyrrolidine nitrogen can be attributed to the surrounding steric bulk. The anti- configuration of the ester and isopropylidene groups $\alpha$ - to the amine effectively blocking each face of the nitrogen from acylation.



Scheme 25. Elaboration of cycloadduct 90 and attempted acylation.

This initially discouraging result eventually became an asset, since it was realized that the steric hindrance about the nitrogen might allow for direct coupling on the free, zwitterionic amino acid without concomitant self-condensation. Thus, amino acid $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ was taken on crude from the preceding hydrogenation and directly coupled with Lproline benzyl ester and benzotriazol-1-yloxy-tris(dimethylamino)phosphonium
hexafluorophosphate (BOP) as the activating agent to give the dipeptide in $74 \%$ yield for the two steps (Scheme 26). Reduction of the benzyl ester followed by BOP-mediated cyclization afforded the desired diketopiperazine in excellent yield. The stage was now set for sequential installation of the two olefinic moieties.


Scheme 26. Formation of diketopiperazine 105.

### 2.2.3 Oxidative Decarboxylation

Several strategies were examined for the installation of the eneamide functionality and the prenyl side-chain. The initial plan was to first form the $\mathrm{C}-8 / \mathrm{C}-9$ unsaturation and subsequently secure the C-19/C-20 olefin since the planned oxidative decarboxylation would involve an alkyl radical that might react with a proximal olefinic group. However, it was recognized that for an undesired intramolecular radical cyclization process to occur, it must proceed via a stereoelectronically disfavored 5-endo-trig cyclization. ${ }^{31}$ With these considerations in mind, attempts to effect a radical-based oxidative decarboxylation were pursued. Saponification of the ethyl ester 105 was attempted using LiOH in THF/MeOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, but failed to afford the desired carboxylic acid. After some exploration, it was found that LiI in pyridine ${ }^{32}$ at reflux furnished the desired carboxylic acid 105 (Scheme 27). However, attempts to promote oxidative decarboxylation either through the use of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}{ }^{33}$ or iodosobenzene diacetate ${ }^{34}$ with carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 5}$
were unsuccessful, apparently due to the lability of the oxindole amide. If this indeed were the case, then protection of the oxindole nitrogen would prevent decomposition. Thus, $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ was converted to the corresponding SEM derivative 107. Cleavage of the ethyl ester with LiI in pyridine at reflux furnished the corresponding carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ which was subjected to Kochi-type conditions $\left(\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right)$ generating the eneamide 109 in poor yields (10-25\%).


Scheme 27. Lead (IV) mediated formation of eneamide 109.

Unfortunately, all attempts to install the C-19/C-20 unsaturation with 109 as a substrate were uniformly unsuccessful under a range of acidic elimination conditions. Although the eneamide proved to be stable to both mildly basic and acidic conditions, more vigorous conditions resulted in decomposition. These results suggested that the isopropylidene group needed to be in place prior to installation of the C-8/C-9 unsaturation. To this end, diketopiperazine 105 was subjected to treatment with TsOH in toluene at reflux, resulting in the formation of the desired olefin $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ in good yield with only trace amounts of the isomeric disubstituted olefin present (Scheme 28). As before, the SEM group was used to protect the oxindole nitrogen (111).

Subjecting the carboxylic acid resulting from saponification of ethyl ester $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ to a classical Kochi-type oxidative decarboxylation protocol produced the over-oxidized triene 112. Attempts to obviate oxidation of the proline residue under a wide range of

Kochi-type conditions were unsuccessful. Deprotection of triene 112 using dimethylaluminum chloride provided an intriguing analog of spirotryprostatin $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{1 1 3}){ }^{18}$


Scheme 28. Formation of undesired tri-olefinic analog 113.

Focus turned to the examination of a Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction as a possible solution to the oxidative decarboxylation problem. ${ }^{35}$ This reaction has found utility in the generation of alkyl halides. However, the application of this method for the formation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amino acid derivatives has not been reported. The ethyl ester 110 was converted to the carboxylic acid 114 as above with lithium iodide in hot pyridine (Scheme 29). Treatment of 114 with DCC, DMAP and $N$-hydroxypyridine-2thione yielded a product 115 whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic signatures closely resembled those of the natural product with the exception of slight variations in the chemical shifts of several resonances.


Scheme 30. Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction of 114.

The Barton-modified Hunsdiecker protocol converted carboxylic acid 114 to olefin 115 (Scheme 31). The reaction mechanism involves radical decarboxylation of the $N$-hydroxypyridine-2-thione ester 116, into a secondary alkyl radical (117) that was quenched by the solvent, $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$, into the corresponding alkyl bromides (118 and 119). Thermal elimination of HBr from 119 resulted in the formation of 12-epispirotryprostatin $B(115)$.


Scheme 31. Mechanism of the Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction.

The overall yield for this process was far from exceptional (34-43\%) and it was possible that the formation and difference in the relative rates of elimination of the two
diastereomeric bromides might have contributed to the recovery of only moderate amounts of the desired product. It was suspected that only the bromide that was positioned trans-antiperiplanar to the $\alpha$-hydrogen, suffered facile elimination to give 12-epi-spirotryprostatin B (115).

A comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for the natural spirotryprostatin $B$ and product 115 revealed discrepancies that suggested an epimerization had occurred. The absolute stereochemistry of the L-proline residue was not in doubt in the initial stages of the synthesis and both the relative and absolute stereochemistry of the spirooxindole moiety had been secured by X-ray crystallographic analysis of $\mathbf{9 0}$. Thus, it was suspected that an epimerization in the proline ring had occurred, either at the stage of the elimination of methanol from 105 or during the ethyl ester cleavage, to ultimately give 12-epispirotryprostain B 115.

To decipher at what stage the suspected epimerization reaction had occurred, the complementary D-proline-derived cis-diketopiperazine 120, was constructed as shown in Scheme 32. This was accomplished in a similar fashion to that utilized for the formation of the trans-diketopiperazine 105. Thus, coupling of amino acid 103 with D-proline benzyl ester (74\%) followed by hydrogenation of the benzyl ester and cyclization (94\% over two steps) afforded $\mathbf{1 2 0}$.


103




120, (cis-)

103


105, (trans-)


Scheme 32. Thermodynamic instability of trans-diketopiperazine 105.

If the dehydration step resulted in the loss of stereochemical integrity of $\mathbf{1 0 5}$, then subjecting the two substrates ( $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ ) separately to the elimination conditions would yield the same product. This indeed proved to be the case as the pentacyclic product $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ was formed exclusively from either substrate when treated with TsOH in hot toluene. It is well known that cis-diketopiperazines are thermodynamically more stable than the corresponding trans-isomers for cyclic anhydrides of proline. ${ }^{36}$ In contrast, syntheses of the [6,6,5]-ring system of the fumetrimorgins (Figure 1) have exhibited a preference for the trans-configuration. ${ }^{37}$

### 2.2.4 Completion of the Total Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin B

With the stereochemical issues clarified, focus returned to the task of converting 12-epi-spirotryprostatin B (115) into the natural stereoisomer (Scheme 33). Addition of NaOMe in MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded an equilibrium mixture of spirotryprostatin B(2) and

12-epi-spirotryprostatin $B$ (115) in a $2: 1$ ratio. These diastereomers were easily separated by chromatography and the recovered 115 could be re-subjected to the epimerization protocol giving 2 in $62 \%$ overall yield for the two cycles. The synthetic and natural specimens of (-)-spirotryprostatin B displayed identical spectroscopic data including optical rotation. In like fashion, (+)-ent-spirotryprostatin B was synthesized starting with the opposite antipode of $\mathbf{4 9}{ }^{17}$


Scheme 33. Thermodynamic epimerization of $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ to 2.

### 2.3 Biological Testing

The effects of compounds $\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{1 0 3 - 1 0 6}, \mathbf{1 1 0}, \mathbf{1 1 3}-115$, their enantiomers, and entspirotryprostatin B on cell cycle control and microtuble assembly were examined by Osada and coworkers. Given the moderate activities of the title compounds $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.0\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ for spirotryprostatin B ), it was not surprising to find that all of the spirotryprostatin analogs prepared in this study that were tested had no effect on in vitro microtubule assembly and had little or no effect on in vitro cell cycle inhibition. Three compounds (115, ent-115, and ent-2) did however, provide some intriguing results.

12-epi-Spirotryprostatin $B$ (115) caused partial accumulation of cells at the $G_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ phase at concentrations of $125 \mu \mathrm{M}$ but was toxic to 3 Y 1 and tsFT210 cells at $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or higher concentrations (Table 3). The enantiomer of $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ was however, neither toxic to the
cells nor showed any activity for cell cycle proliferation and microtuble assembly. Similar results were seen in the testing of spirotryprostatin B (2) and ent-2. Spirotryprostatin B has been reported to inhibit tubulin polymerization and to be cytotoxic to mammalian cells ${ }^{6}$ whereas ent-2 had no effect on in vitro microtubule assembly or in vitro cell cycle inhibition but was toxic to 3 Y 1 and tsFT210 cells at 31.3 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ and $15.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations, respectively. These data suggest that the molecular target of ent-spirotryprostatin B is different from that of the natural enantiomer.

Table 3. Biological Activity of Selected Analogs for $\mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{M}$ Phase Inhibition.

| Compound <br> $\#$ | Structure | tsFT210 Cells <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | 3Y1 Cells <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accumulation |  |  |  |

It is also interesting to note that the slight variation in structure caused by going from epi-spirotryprostatin $B$ (115) to the dehydro-derivative 113 and eventually to spirotryprostatin B (2) resulted in a dramatic change in the activity. A comparison of the minimized structures for 113,115 and the natural product (2) reveal only subtle differences (Figure 7). The configuration (or lack thereof) of the $\alpha$-proton does not seem to induce any dramatic changes in the confirmation of the molecules as the diketopiperazine is relatively planar in all cases. There is not any obvious structureactivity relationship between the three molecules. It was suspected that 12 -epispirotryprostatin $B$ (115) might be less active than the natural product (2) due to the difference in stereochemistry of the proline stereogenic center, however it seemed logical that if indeed this was true then dehydrospirotryprostatin B 113 , which more closely resembled the natural product (2), would show some intermediate activity. This was not the case however, the discrepancy could be a result of the instability of 113. After any prolonged time, the compound began to turn from an off white amorphous solid to a yellowish, sticky solid. This seems likely, as there are two Michael-acceptors in the $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ as compared to just one for both 12-epi-spirotryprostatin B and spirotryprostatin B.

Aside from the absolute configuration of the proline stereogenic center, only the position of the prenyl side-chain in the natural product represents any differences in the three structures. In the active cell-cycle inhibitor spirotryprostatin B (2) the olefin is "higher" relative to the diketopiperazine than in both the moderately active 12 -epispirotryprostatin B 113 and the inactive dehydro-congener 115. The significance of this observation is questionable, yet there are reports that suggest that unsaturation in this scaffold is an important factor in the cell cycle inhibition activity of these molecules. ${ }^{8}$


113


115


2

Figure 7. Comparison of minimized conformations for 113, 115 and 2.

### 2.4 Conclusion

The syntheses of both antipodes of spirotryprostatin B (2) have been achieved utilizing a diastereoselective, asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction as the key step. This strategy sets four contiguous stereogenic centers and generates the core spirooxindole pyrrolidine in one step. In addition, a tertiary methyl ether was demonstrated to serve as a suitable progenitor of the prenyl group, providing an alternative method for the introduction of the isopropylidene functionality. Elaboration to the trans-diketopiperazine 105 was accomplished by coupling and cyclization with Lproline benzyl ester which was shown to be thermodynamically unstable. Subjecting the trans-diketopiperazine system to acidic dehydration conditions resulted in epimerization and the preferential formation of the diketopiperazine with the cis-configuration. Various methods for the installation of the characteristic eneamide functionality via oxidative decarboxylation were explored. The Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction was eventually found to provide the desired effect and afforded 12-epi-spirotyrpostatin B (115). Epimerization under basic conditions generated the natural product and completed the asymmetric total synthesis of (+)- and (-)-spirotryprostatin B (2).

Intermediates along the route were assayed for their activity as cell cycle and microtubule assembly inhibitors. The analogs tested did not show any improved activity over that of the natural products $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. However, these studies did suggest that the entspirotryprostatin B and the 12-epi isomer are not acting via the same mechanism as the natural product.

## Chapter 3

# Asymmetric Azomethine Ylide [1,3]-Dipolar Cycloaddition with Ethyl 

## Oxindolylidene Acetate

### 3.1 Introduction

The [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction ([1,3]-DPC) is a versatile and powerful method for the synthesis of natural products that possess the pyrrolidine ring system. ${ }^{24}$ The reaction generates highly substituted proline derivatives in one simple step. The transformation has found application in the construction of spiroxindole pyrrolidine containing natural products as evident by the total syntheses of horsfiline ${ }^{26,27}$ and spirotryprostatin B. ${ }^{15}$

Grigg and coworkers were the first to demonstrate the utility of the [1,3]-DPC reaction of azomethine ylides and oxindolylidene acetates (Scheme 27). Addition of Lproline to ninhydrin (121) generated the azomethine ylide 122, upon decarboxylation, which reacted with methyl oxindolylidene acetate $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ to afford racemic spirooxindole pyrrolidine 124. Since this initial publication, numerous groups have exploited this decarboxylative approach for the generation of azomethine ylides and the synthesis of highly functionalized pyrrolidines. ${ }^{4}$


Scheme 34. Cycloaddition of an azomethine ylide with oxindolylidene acetate 123.

The synthesis of the core of spirotryprostatin B represented the first time a chiral azomethine ylide had been utilized in the synthesis of spirooxindole pyrrolidines. The reaction involves a stabilized azomethine ylide, which offer an advantage to nonstabilized ylides in that decarboxylation is not required. This allows utilization of the carboxy group in a chiral template such as 49. Secondly, it expands the scope of substrates that can be used for ylide formation. With the decarboxylative approach, azomethine ylides derived from aldehydes are prone to eneamine formation (Scheme 35). Addition of an aldehyde with $\alpha$-protons, such as sarcosine (125), generates an iminium ion 126 which decarboxylates to give [1,3]-dipole 127. The azomethine ylide can then undergo tautomerization to the more thermodynamically stable eneamine 128. Stabilized azomethine ylides are less prone to eneamine formation as a manifestation of resonance of the carbanion into the carboxy group.


Scheme 35. Eneamine formation with non-stabilized azomethine ylides.

In the synthesis of spirotryprostatin B, the use of the bulky aldehyde 3-methoxy-3-methylbutanal 88 resulted in preferential formation of the $E$-ylide and high diastereoselectivities at the resulting stereogenic center. It was suspected that less sterically demanding aldehydes would result in lower selectivities and possibly products with different regiochemistry. The [1,3]-DPC reaction of azomethine ylides derived from various aldehydes with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate 87 were investigated. Conversion of
the resulting cycloadducts to the corresponding amino acid methyl esters was also accomplished.

### 3.2 Azomethine Ylides Derived from Various Aldehydes

The amine source for this investigation was ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one 49 (Scheme 36). Addition of an aldehyde to morpholinone 49 generates the azomethine ylide (129). In the presence of the ethyl oxindolylidene acetate (87), a [1,3]-DPC reaction occurs stereoselectively to furnish spirooxindole pyrrolidines. For the five aldehydes tested in this study, three products were observed (130, $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ and 132). The specific examples, reaction temperature, yields and diastereomeric ratio of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ are recorded in Table 4.

The regio- and stereochemistry of the resulting cycloadducts were dependent on the nature of the aldehyde constituents. Bulky aldehydes favored the formation of the $E$ ylides and resulted in the preferential formation of cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 3 0}$, (entries f-h). Isobutyraldehyde was expected to follow this trend, however the reaction produced three products $\mathbf{1 3 0}, \mathbf{1 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ and resulted in an 8.6:1 diastereomeric ratio of $\mathbf{1 3 0 : 1 3 2}$. For the less branched systems high diastereoselectivity resulted ( $>20: 1$ ), however only moderate exo-selectivity with respect to the carboethoxy group (endo for the oxindole carbonyl) was observed resulting in mixtures of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ (entries a-c). The azomethine ylide generated from paraformaldehyde yielded three products, 130, 131, and endo-130. Product endo-130, which was a result of approach of the ester in an endofashion, was isolated in $9 \%$.


Scheme 36. [1,3]-Dipolar cycloaddition reaction with various aldehyde constituents.

Table 4. Spirooxindole Pyrrolidine Cycloadducts 130, 131 and 132

| entry | aldehyde | temperature | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield } \\ (\% \mathbf{1 3 0}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield } \\ (\% \mathrm{131}) \end{gathered}$ | yield (\% 132) | diast. ratio $(130: 132)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | Paraformaldehyde ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | reflux | 28 | 11 | 0 | - |
| b | benzyloxyacetaldehyde | reflux | 44 | 14 | 0 | >20:1 |
| c | benzyloxyacetaldehyde | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 54 | 8 | 0 | >20:1 |
| d | isobutyraldehyde | reflux | 43 | 11 | 5 | 8.6:1 |
| e | isobutyraldehyde | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 74 | 6 | Trace | $>20: 1$ |
| f | isovaleraldehyde | reflux | 84 | 1 | 0 | >20:1 |
| g | isovaleraldehyde | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 86 | 0 | 0 | >20:1 |
| h | $p$-anisaldehyde | reflux | 60 | 0 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |

a) An additional product was also isolated in $9 \%$ yield that proved to be the regiochemically identical cycloadduct to $\mathbf{1 3 1}$, but as a result of approach of the dipolarophile in an endo approach.

Reaction temperature also seemed to affect the regiochemistry and stereochemistry of the reaction. In the case of isobutyraldehyde, moderate regioselectivity and diastereoselectivity was obtained when the reaction was performed under refluxing toluene conditions. When the temperature of the system was lowered to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ratio of cycloadducts 130 and 131 was increased from $\sim 4: 1$ to $\sim 12: 1$ and the diastereomeric ratio of products $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ improved to greater than $20: 1$ (entries d-e). In contrast,
cycloaddition of the ylide derived from $p$-anisaldehyde required refluxing conditions for the reaction to occur (entry h). Presumably, the electron donating effect of the methoxy group attenuates the electrophilicity of the aldehyde by the incoming nucleophile and requires elevated temperature for the formation of the azomethine ylide.

The regiochemistry of the cycloadducts was easily determined by the multiplicity of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal for the C 2 hydrogen; a doublet was observed in the case of cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ whereas as singlet resulted for cycloadduct 131. The relative and absolute stereochemistry was determined by difference $\mathrm{nOe}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and correlation to the known stereocenters $(\mathrm{C} 5$ and C 6$)$ of the starting material $(5 R, 6 S)$ -2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Observed nOe enhancements for cycloadducts 130, 131 and 132.

In conjunction with Professor Gyooson Park of Kookmin University in Korea, the relative energies for four of the possible eight transition state energies have been calculated. The transition state energies are for the [1,3]-DPC reaction of the azomethine ylide derived from acetaldehyde with methyl oxindolylidene acetate (Figure 9). This system was chosen as it was the simplest system that closely resembled the real system and would require the least amount of CPU times. Preliminary AM1 calculations suggested that only the $E$-ylide was about 1.0 Kcal more stable than the corresponding $Z$ azomethine ylide. Although the data does not match exactly with the experimental
results, the calculations did predict that transition state $\mathbf{1 3 3}$, which corresponds to product 130, would be the most stable. Transition states 134-136 were calculated to be between 3.0 and 4.4 Kcal higher than $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ and the corresponding products would not be expected to be isolated in significant quantities. Experimentally cycloadduct 131, which corresponds to transition state structure 134, was produced in 1-14\%. One explanation for this discrepancy is that the calculations were based on room temperature, whereas the reactions were performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (refluxing toluene).



134 Rev-E2-endo-anti $3.05 \mathrm{kca} / \mathrm{mol}$



Figure 9. AM1 calculation of four possible transition state energies.

Conversion of the tetra-cyclic products into the corresponding spirooxindolesubstituted proline derivatives could be accomplished by catalytic hydrogenation (Scheme 37). For characterization purposes, the amino acids were converted to the corresponding methyl esters. Hydrogenolysis of the chiral auxiliary was accomplished in most cases with palladium chloride at room temperature and elevated pressures ( 70 psi of hydrogen, Table 3). However, p-anisaldehyde derivative $\mathbf{1 3 0 h}$ proved resistant to these conditions and only partial reduction was observed, (entry 5). Elevated temperatures resulted in a complex mixture of products. Pearlman's catalyst, which has been shown to selectively reduce the benzylic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond of an unsubstituted aromatic in the presence of
a $p$-methoxy derivative, ${ }^{38}$ failed to dramatically improve formation of the desired product. The major product proved to be the partially reduced compound. Addition of 1 N hydrochloric acid to the reaction mixture ${ }^{39}$ resulted in the complete removal of bibenzyl (entry 6). However, small amounts of epimerization at the $\alpha$-position and cleavage of the pyrrolidine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond were observed along with $59 \%$ of the desired product. It is noteworthy to mention that any attempt to remove the chiral auxiliary via an oxidative protocol, such as $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ resulted in decomposition of the starting material. The oxindole moiety presumably interferes with the oxidizing agents.


Scheme 37. Hydrogenolysis and esterification of cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ and 131.

Table 5. Conversion of dipolar cycloadducts into amino acid methyl esters 137 and 138

| entry | substrate | method | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 a}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 93 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 3 1 a}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 73 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 f}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 89 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 5 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 25 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ | 59 |

### 3.3 Conclusion

In summary, the asymmetric synthesis of spirooxindole substituted pyrrolidines via the [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylides derived from a chiral, nonracemic glycinate and ethyl oxindolylidene acetate are described. The reaction is, in most cases, exo-selective for the carboethoxy group of the dipolarophile and sets three or four contiguous stereocenters including the quaternary carbon of a spirooxindole. Two regioisomers were isolated in good to excellent diastereoselectivity for four out of five of the reactions. Computational experiments on a simplified version of this system were done and roughly matched the results observed experimentally. Selected cycloadducts were also converted to the corresponding amino acid methyl ester and highlighted the [1,3]-DPC reaction of asymmetric azomethine ylides with oxindolylidene acetate as an efficient method for the synthesis of spirooxindole-substituted proline derivatives.

## Chapter 4

## Progress Towards the Synthesis of Spirotryprostatin A

### 4.1 Initial Synthetic Route to Spirotryprostatin A

The strategy developed for (+)- and (-)-spirotryprostatin B also seemed applicable to the total synthesis of spirotryprostatin A (Scheme 38). The approach would have to account for the substitution of the aromatic ring and the formation of the fourth stereogenic center. [1,3]-DPC reaction with methoxy-substituted oxindolylidene acetate 139 would yield spirooxindole pyrrolidine amino acid 140 upon reductive cleavage of the chiral auxiliary. Coupling of $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ to L-proline benzyl ester and concomitant cyclization would afford diketopiperazine 141. Hydrolysis of the ester followed by a Bartonmodified Hunsdiecker reaction would result in the formation of eneamide 142.


Scheme 38. Initial strategy to spirotryprostatin A (1).

Palladium-catalyzed reduction of the olefin would occur opposite the isopropylidene group and the aromatic ring to form the cis-diketopiperazine. Acid-catalyzed elimination would then afford spirotryprostatin A (1).

### 4.1.1 Sandmeyer Synthesis of 6-Methoxyisatin

In the synthesis of spirotryprostatin B, ethyl oxindolylidene acetate was synthesized by Wittig reaction of commercially available 1 H -indole-2,3-dione (isatin) and the requisite stabilized ylide. For spirotryprostatin A, 6-Methoxyisatin (144) was not commercially available and necessitated preparation. The Sandmeyer reaction provided an efficient method for the synthesis of 144 (Scheme 39). ${ }^{40}$ Addition of 2,2,2-trichloroethane-1,1-diol (chloral) to $m$-anisidine (143) in the presence of hydroxylamine and acid, followed by polyphosphoric acid induced cyclization, generated 144 in $69 \%$ yield. Wittig reaction with (carbethoxymethylene)triphenyl phosphorane then afforded ethyl 6-methoxyoxindolylidene acetate 139. Crystallization of the product mixture afforded only the $E$-isomer.


Scheme 39. Synthesis of starting material 139 by Sandmeyer and Wittig reactions.

### 4.1.2 Cycloaddition and Elaboration to the Diketopiperazine

Addition of dipolarophile 139 to the azomethine ylide derived from morpholinone 49 and aldehyde 88 yielded cycloadducts 146 and 148 (Scheme 40). The reaction
proceeded in only modest yields $(50-70 \%)$ and afforded the two products as a $1: 1$ mixture. It was suspected that the yield and selectivity were a result of the insolubility of oxindolylidene acetate $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ in toluene at room temperature. This allowed the competing pathway that results in olefin formation to prevail (Scheme 24). It was theorized that an increase in the number of aliphatic carbons on the dipolarophile would aid in the solubility. Therefore, $t$-butyl analog $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ was synthesized which proved to be readily soluble in toluene at room temperature. Subjecting 145 to the standard [1,3]-DPC reaction conditions resulted in an improved yield $(60-70 \%)$ and an increase in the ratio (2:1) of desired cycloadduct 147 to eliminated cycloadduct 149.


Scheme 40. [1,3]-DPC with 6-methoxy-ethyl oxindolylidene acetates 139 and 145.

Construction of the diketopiperazine began with palladium-catalyzed hydrogenolysis of cycloadduct 146 (Scheme 41). The resulting amino acid $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ was coupled without purification to L-proline benzyl esters with BOP as the activating agent. Reduction of the resulting dipeptide-benyzl ester, followed by intramolecular cyclization afforded diketopiperazine 151 in identical yields ( $32-54 \%$ over three steps). This is in contrast to the $69 \%$ yield observed for the same steps in the spirotryprostatin B synthesis (Scheme 26). It is not currently understood why substitution of the aromatic ring or exchange of the ethyl ester for a $t$-butyl ester caused such a decrease in the overall yield.


Scheme 41. Elaboration to diketopiperazine 151.

### 4.1.3 Attempts at Oxidative Decarboxylation

Completion of the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A required hydrolysis of the ester functionality and Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction to afford eneamide $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ (Scheme 42). The $t$-butyl ester 151 was hydrolyzed using trifluoroacetic acid in yields ranging from $42-58 \%$. However, subjecting the resulting carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ to oxidative decarboxylation conditions failed to provide eneamide $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ (Table 6, entry 1). Kochi-type conditions $\left(\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}\right.$ and thermal or photolytic clevage of a benzophenone oxime ester were also unsuccessful (entries 2-3).


Scheme 42. Attempted decarboxylation of 152.

## Table 6. Attempted Decarboxylation Conditions

| entry | initiation | conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hv or $\Delta$ | DCC/DMAP, $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$ thiohydroxyamic acid |
| 2 | $\Delta$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \\ \mathrm{DMF}, \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | hv or $\Delta$ | DCC/DMAP, $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$ benzophenone oxime |
| 4 | hv or $\Delta$ | DCC/DMAP, $t$-BuSH thiohydroxyamic acid |
| 5 | hv or $\Delta$ | DCC/DMAP, $t$-BuSH benzophenone oxime |
| 6 | hv or $\Delta$ | DCC/DMAP, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ benzophenone oxime |

Reductive decarboxylation conditions also resulted in decomposition of the starting material (entries 4-6). Attempts to affect either the oxidative or the reductive decarboxylation at an earlier stage in the synthesis were met with similar results. One potential explanation for the cause of failure is that the oxindole could undergo a retroMannich reaction and then the resulting charged intermediate could undergo decomposition. ${ }^{41}$ Nonetheless, the complications in the elimination of the carboxy group and the low yields observed for the previous steps warranted exploration of a new strategy.

### 4.1.2 Revised Synthetic Route to Spirotryprostatin A

A new approach, one that avoided the problematic decarboxylation step, was devised (Scheme 43). Elimination of the carboxy group from the dipolarophile at the outset would alleviate the problems associated with its removal. The strategy therefore, revolved around formation and [1,3]-DPC reaction of 6-methoxy-3-methylene-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one 154 . The synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ would not be trivial in lieu of its reported
instability. ${ }^{42}$ If successful, cycloadduct 155 would exist with the correct configuration at two of the stereogenic centers and without the carboxy group. However, an epimerization of the $\alpha$-position would need to occur before coupling to L-proline benzyl ester and elaboration to the DKP 157. Formation of the amino acid with the correct stereochemistry would need to occur at this stage, as the spirotryprostatin B synthesis had shown the thermodynamic instability of trans-DKP resulted in the epimerization of the prolylstereogenic center. Elimination of the tertiary methyl ether would afford the natural product (1).


Scheme 43. Revised synthetic route to spirotryprostatin A (1).

### 4.2.1 Cycloaddition with methylene dihydroindolinone

Recently, Liebeskind et al. reported the generation and use of the demethoxyderivative of 154 as a dienophile in a [5+2]-cycloaddition, suggesting that 154 would perhaps react under [1,3]-DPC reaction conditions. ${ }^{11}$ Indeed, Horvath and coworkers recently showed that the azomethine ylides generated from silylaminonitriles (158) and

3-methyleneindolin-2-one 159 reacted to give $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ in $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ yield (Scheme 37). ${ }^{4 \mathrm{i}}$ However, the dipolarophile was generated by flash vacuum pyrolysis and did not seem compatible with the synthesis of the methoxy-substituted derivative and a different approach was sought.


Scheme 44. [1,3]-DPC reaction of 159 .

The Peterson olefination, which has proved to be an efficient method for the generation of terminal olefins, provided a potential route to methylene indolinone $\mathbf{1 5 4}$. Addition of trimethylsilylmethyl lithium to 6-Methoxyisatin $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ afforded tertiary alcohol 161 in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 38). After some exploration it was found that addition of 1 eq. of trifluoroacetic acid promoted the generation of methylene indolin-2-one $\mathbf{1 5 4}$. However, the compound was not isolable due to polymer formation upon concentration.


Scheme 45. Formation of methylene indolin-2-one (154) via a Peterson olefination.

Neutralization of the acid and reaction of the crude product to the standard [1,3]-DPC reaction conditions afforded two cycloadducts ( $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ and 163) in $45-80 \%$ yield (Scheme 46). Unfortunately, the observed ratio of $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ was 1:10 favoring the eliminated product 163. Changing the solvent (benzene, ethyl acetate), temperature $\left(0-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and
concentrations $(0.01-0.1 \mathrm{M})$ did not improve the yield of the desired cycloadduct 162. nOe Experiments confirmed the stereochemical assignment of the prenyl side-chain, however the stereochemistry of the quaternary stereogenic center has not been unambiguously determined. NMR studies were inconclusive and attempts to form a crystal suitable for X-ray analysis have been unsuccessful.


Scheme 46. [1,3]-DPC reaction with methylene indolinone 154.

### 4.2.1 Attempts to Remove the Chiral Auxiliary

Unable to obtain useful amounts of 162, focus shifted towards elaboration of $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ to the amino acid. Incorporation of the prenyl group at such an early stage presented a difficult challenge. Removal of the chiral auxiliary was required without affecting the isopropylidene group (Scheme 47). Various conditions were explored but failed to yield the desired amino acid methyl ester $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ (Table 7). Birch conditions using $\mathrm{Li}^{\circ}, \mathrm{Na}^{\circ}$ or $\mathrm{K}^{0}$ all resulted in decomposition of the starting material. Oxidative cleavage methods were also unsuccessful. Saponification with LiOH or esterification with acidic methanol of $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to the resulting 1,2-amino alcohols did not afford any of the desired product (entries 4-5). If the oxindole of the intermediate methyl ester was protected as the lactam ether, decomposition of the starting material still
resulted (entry 6). It appears that cleavage of the chiral auxiliary from the spirooxindole pyrrolidine cycloadduct is best accomplished by palladium-catalyzed hydrogenolysis.


Scheme 47. Attempted removal of the chiral auxiliary of 163.
Table 7. Conditions Explored for Removal of the Chiral Auxiliary

| entry | conditions | result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Li}^{\circ}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | Decomp. |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Na}^{\circ}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | Decomp. |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~K}^{\circ}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | Decomp. |
| 4 | $\mathrm{LiOH} ; \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ | SM and Decomp. |
| 5 | $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | Decomp. |
| 6 | $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{BF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{3} ;$ | Decomp. |

Another potential method for removal of the chiral auxiliary was to mask the olefin in 163 as an epoxide and subject it to palladium-catalyzed hydrogenolysis (Scheme 48). If the intermediate epoxide was reduced along with the chiral auxiliary, then amino acid 165 would be produced. However, standards conditions ( $m$-CPBA) failed to afford more than a $15 \%$ yield of the epoxide. Alternative oxidation sources (per-acetic acid and trifluoro per-acetic acid) resulted in similar yields whereas dimethyl dioxirane resulted in complete decomposition of the starting material. It is possible that the steric bulk around the olefin hinders epoxide formation and oxidation of the oxindole nitrogen may compete. The inability to remove the chiral auxiliary or modify 163 in such a way to
allow for hydrogenolysis suggests that the present route will not afford the natural product.



Scheme 48. Epoxidation of olefin 163.

### 4.3 Modified Aldehyde Approach

6-Methoxy-3-methylene indolinone (154) still seemed to have potential as a precursor to the core spirooxindole pyrrolidine ring and ultimately spirotryprostatin A. If olefin formation could be prevented in the [1,3]-DPC reaction then the resulting cycloadduct could be subjected to hydrogenolysis conditions. Based on this principle a third strategy was devised (Scheme 49). Aldehyde 166 would serve as a prenyl side-chain progenitor that was incapable of olefin formation. [1,3]-DPC reaction would afford 167, which would be elaborated to amino acid methyl ester 168 by hydrogenolysis of the chiral auxiliary and esterification. Epimerization followed by elimination of the tertiary methyl ether would provide 169. Coupling and cyclization with L-proline would afford spirotryprostatin A (1). This strategy would represent a formal synthesis, as the last three steps would mimic Danishefsky and coworkers synthesis of spirotryprostatin A.


Scheme 49. Modified aldehyde 166 approach to spirotryprostatin A (1).

Synthesis of the optically active aldehyde 166 was accomplished by an asymmetric aldol reaction (Scheme 50). ${ }^{43}$ Acylation of Evans' oxazolidinone (170) followed by diastereoselective alkylation with allyl iodide afforded imide 171 in $80 \%$ yield. Reduction of imide $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ gave the free oxazolidinone $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ and the primary alcohol, which was protected as the benzyl ether. The resulting ether was converted to aldehyde 166 by oxidation of the terminal olefin with osmium tetraoxide and sodium periodate mediated oxidative cleavage of the intermediate diol in $60 \%$ yield over the three steps.


Scheme 50. Synthesis of aldehyde 166.

Preliminary studies suggest that [1,3]-DPC reaction with aldehyde 166 occurred to yield cycloadduct 167. However, attempts to characterize and confirm the stereochemistry by NMR have been hampered by an equal amount of another
diastereomer. Further exploration of this strategy is still required.

### 4.4 Conclusion

Progress towards the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A (1) has been described. The initial strategy was based on the approach to the dehydro-demethoxy congener spirotryprostatin B(2). The Sandmeyer reaction of $m$-anisidine provided an efficient means to access 6-Methoxyisatin. Conversion to the 6-methoxy-oxindolylidene acetate was accomplished by Wittig reaction with a stabilized ylide. Elaboration to the diketopiperazine succeeded, however the oxidative decarboxylation protocol failed on substrate 152. Numerous conditions were explored, yet the desired eneamide was never obtained and the initial strategy was abandoned.

Another approach, based on 3-methylene indolinone 154, was explored as a means of avoiding the oxidative decarboxylation step. A Peterson olefination proved to be a mild method for the formation of the terminal olefin. However, [1,3]-DPC reaction of 154 resulted in the formation of the undesired cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 6 3}$. Attempts to remove the chiral auxiliary from this substrate proved fruitless under a variety of approaches. The strategy still holds promise if the ratio of cycloadduct 162 to $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ could be improved. Additionally, [1,3]-DPC reaction of aldehyde 166 could provide a third alternative strategy to the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A.
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## Experimental Section

### 5.1 General Procedures

Unless otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercially available sources and used without purification. Toluene was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Diethyl ether and THF were freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. $3 \AA$ molecular sieves were activated by heating for three minutes at the highest setting in a microwave followed by cooling under argon.

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed under a positive pressure of argon using oven-dried glassware $\left(120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ that was cooled in a dessicator, unless stated otherwise.

Column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel Kiesel 60 (230-400 mesh).

Mass spectra were obtained on Fisons VG Autospec.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, HSQC and nOe experiments were recorded on a Varian 300 or 400 MHz spectrometer. Spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were given in ppm and were relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Proton ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR were tabulated in the following order: multiplicity ( s , singlet; d , doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; and m , multiplet), coupling constant in hertz, and number of protons. When appropiate, the multiplicity of a signal is denoted as "br" to indicate the signal was broad.

IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-elmer 1600 series FT-IR spectrometer. Optical rotations were determined with a Rudolph Research Autopol III automatic polarimeter referenced to the D-line of sodium.

### 5.1 Experimental Procedures



## 1-Oxo-3,4-diphenyl-3,4,6,8a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4]oxazine-8-carboxylic acid ethyl ester 61.

To a flame dried 50 mL round bottom flask with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), paraformaldehyde ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 2.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $p$-toluene sulphonic acid ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2.5 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. An oven-dried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon. Freshly distilled toluene ( 250 mL ) was added followed by ethyl propiolate ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was then heated to reflux and kept at that temperature for 12 h at which time the heating mantle was turned off. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through celite to remove the sieves. Concentration afforded clear oil which was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, 2:1 hexanes:EtOAC $\rightarrow 1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 0.11 g of $59(62 \%)$ as a white solid. 61: $[\alpha]_{D}^{2 s}=-137.6\left(\mathrm{c}=0.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. m.p. $=117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recryst. MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=17.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 14.5$, $61.2,62.8,65.5,68.6,83.1,128.1,128.2,128.3,128.4,128.6,128.9,132.2,134.3,136.6$, 139.3, 162.9, 169.4. IR (NaCl/neat) 1748, 1718.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-225-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-225-C13


## 6-Ethyl-1-oxo-3,4-diphenyl-3,4,6,8a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4]oxazine-8carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester 62.

To a flame dried 50 mL round bottom flask with stir bar was added $(5 R, 6 S)$ -2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), propionaldehyde $(178 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2.5 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. An oven-dried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon. Freshly distilled toluene $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by ethyl propiolate $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The reaction was filtered through celite, concentrated and chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 3: 1\right.$ hexanes: $\mathrm{EtOAC} \rightarrow 2: 1$ hexanes: EtOAc$)$ to afford 0. g of $59(23 \%)$ as an off-white solid.

62: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=52.5\left(c \quad 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$, $3 H), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. IR (NaCl/neat) 1742, 1738. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$.



[^0]

## 6-Benzyloxymethyl-1-oxo-3,4-diphenyl-3,4,6,8a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,1-

## c][1,4]oxazine-8-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester 64.

To a flame dried 50 mL round bottom flask with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-propionaldehyde ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2.5 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. An oven-dried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon. Freshly distilled toluene $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by $t$-butyl propiolate $(0.10$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The reaction was filtered through celite, concentrated and chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \quad 3: 1\right.$ hexanes:EtOAC $\rightarrow 2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 88 mg of $\mathbf{6 4 -} \beta(35 \%) \mathbf{6 4 - \alpha}(35 \%)$ as off-white solids.

64- $\beta:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-33.8 \quad\left(\mathrm{c}=1.27, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-5.3,18.4,26.0,28.2,66.4,66.5,74.9,81.1,81.9,127.3,128.3$, 129.3, 134.4, 135.2, 136.9, 140.1, 162.5, 168.3. IR (NaCl/neat) 1748, 1719. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NSi}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 536.2832$, found $536.2820(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-265-2H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-265-2 HCl 3

64- $\alpha:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=131.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.84(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), $1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-5.3,18.6,26.0,28.2,60.6,60.9,65.1,688,81.2,82.4,127.8,127.9$, $128.0,128.1,128.3,129.0,134.6,135.9,137.7,139.7,162.2,168.6$. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 1759$, 1719. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NSi}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 536.2832$, found $536.2820(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-265-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-265-1 HCl 3


## 6-Benzyloxymethyl-1-oxo-3,4-diphenyl-3,4,6,8a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,1-

## c][1,4]oxazine-8-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester 77.

To 25 mL round bottom flask with stir bar was added $\mathbf{6 4}-\beta(0.25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 1 mL of THF. $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added followed by $\mathrm{LiOH}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 14 hours and then acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 3-4$ with 1 N HCl . The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5$ mL ), the organic layers combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The oily residue was taken up in 2 mL of a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{M}$ sol. in hexanes) was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred for 15 min. and evaporated to leave an oily residue. The crude reaction mixture was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the resulting solution was added $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(0.25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for 10 min and then 10 drops of 1 N HCl was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred another 45 min. EtOAC was added $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aq. layer was separated and extracted with EtOAC (3 x 5 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The resulting oily residue was purified by PTLC ( $2 \times 1 / 2250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ plates) with $3: 1$ hexanes: EtOAC as the eluent to afford $8 \mathrm{mg}(46 \%)$ of 77 as a clear oil.

77: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=76.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.60(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.58,18.4$,
$26.0,28.2,52.5,65.8,66.2,67.6,81.5,135.8,142.8,135.8,142.8,162.3,173.3$. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) 1754, 1716. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NSi}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 372.2206, found $372.2208(m / z)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-190-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-190-C13


## 3-Methyl-3-methoxybutanal 88.

To an oven-dried 2000 mL three-neck round-bottom flask with stir bar was added DMSO ( $15.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and oxalyl chloride ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 250 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise over 15 min . 3-Methyl-3-methoxybutan-1-ol ( $12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) along with pyridine ( $16.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise over 15 min . The reaction was stirred 15 min . more at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then TEA ( $75 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in 75 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added over 15 min . with vigourous stirring. The solution was kept at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for another 15 min .1 N HCl was used to acidify to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 4$ and the layers separated. The aqueous layers were extracted 3 x 50 mL and the organic layers combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude product could be obtained by column chromatography with $2: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the eluent to yield $11.5 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$ of a yellow oil. The product was further purified by distillation under reduced pressure (aspirator) to yield 10.5 g of $\mathbf{8 8}$ as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$, $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $9.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 18.6,42.7,46.6,67.1$, 195.4. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) 2974, 2937, 2828, 1732. LRMS (EI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 117.1$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 117.1.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-291-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-291-C13


Spiro[3H-indole-3,7'(6'H)-[1H]pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4]oxazine]-8'-carboxylic
acid,
1,2,3',4', 8',8'a-hexahydro-6'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-1',2-dioxo-3',4'-diphenyl-, ethyl ester, ( $3 \mathrm{~S}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, \mathbf{6}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 8^{\prime} \mathbf{R}, 8^{\prime} \mathbf{a R}$ ) 90.

Spiro[ 3 H -indole-3, $7^{\prime}\left(6^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)$-[1H]pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4]oxazine]-8'-carboxylic acid, 1,2,3',4', 8',8'a-hexahydro-6'-(2-methyl-2-prop-ene-yl)-1',2-dioxo-3',4'-diphenyl-, ethyl ester, (3S,3'S,4'R,6'S,8'R,8'aR) 91.

Spiro[3H-indole-3, $7^{\prime}\left(6^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)-[1 \mathrm{H}]$ pyrrolo $[2,1-\mathrm{c}][1,4]$ oxazine $]-8$ '-carboxylic acid, 1,2,3', $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}$ 'a-hexahydro-6'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-1',2-dioxo-3',4'-diphenyl-, ethyl ester, (3S,3'S,4'R,6'S,8'R,8'aR) 92.

To a 500 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added ( $5 \mathrm{R}, 6 \mathrm{~S}$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethyl oxindolylidene acetate (87) $(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 29.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5.0 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. An ovendried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon. Freshly distilled toluene ( 250 mL ) was added followed by 3-methyl-3-methoxybutanal $88(2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 23.7$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was then heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at that temperature for 1 h at which time the heating mantle was turned off. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through celite to remove the sieves. Concentration afforded an orange solid which was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 4: 1\right.$ hexanes:EtOAC $\rightarrow 1: 1$
hexanes:EtOAc) to afford 9.2 g of $90(82 \%)$ and 0.70 g of $91(6.3 \%)$ and 0.12 g of 92 (1.1\%) as white solids.

90: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-88.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. m.p. $=225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ recryst. EtOH $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 23.6,26.9,45.4,50.6,53.3,56.0,57.1,57.5$, $61.5,65.5,74.5,77.1,110.8,124.0,126.2,127.2,128.3,128.4,128.5,129.4,129.5$, $130.2,130.4,137.5,138.3,142.3,170.1,173.0,178.9 . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3308,1734,1618$. ent-90: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=91.7\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.


90

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-292-1H


91: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 s}=52.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8$, 3H), $1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 13.5,18.8,26.2,54.1,57.3,59.8,60.2,61.4,68.7,78.0$, $109.8,119.8,122.7,125.9,126.1,126.9,127.8,128.1,128.3,128.6,129.0,129.2,136.0$, 136.4, 141.1, 141.4, 168.6, 171.8, 177.6. IR (NaCl/neat) 3305, 1730, 1618.


91

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-292-elim1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-292-elimC13

92: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=118.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ 6.8, 3H), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$, (t, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84,(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 14.2,24.7,24.8,43.0,49.5,56.1,59.8,60.4,60.5,60.7,65.6,73.7,79.2,110.3$, 123.1, 124.7, 126.5, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 128.0, 128.3, 129.2, 129.4, 137.0, 137.1, 141.1, 169.5, 170.4, 179.4. IR (NaCl/neat) 3288, 1718, 1621.


92

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-292-rrlH

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-292-rrC13

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-292-rr


Spiro[3H-indole-3,3'-pyrrolidine]-4',5'-dicarboxylic acid, 1,2-dihydro-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2-oxo-, 4'-ethyl ester, monohydrochloride, (2'S,3S,4'R,5'R) 103.

Recrystallized cycloadduct $90(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a sealable pressure tube and dissolved in 200 mL of 1:1 THF:EtOH. The solvent was purged with argon for 5 min and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The tube was sealed and flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ before finally pressurizing to 70 PSI. The reaction was stirred for 36 h and then filtered through celite to remove the palladium catalyst. Concentration afforded a viscous oil which was triturated with $1 \times 25 \mathrm{mLEt}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \times 25 \mathrm{mLEtOAc}$, and $1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 3.75 g (quant. yield) of $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ as a white solid upon drying under high vaccum. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-14.0$ $(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta \mathrm{HOD}: 0.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.1(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta \mathrm{HOD}: 15.0,24.8$, $25.6,41.9,50.5,55.0,60.2,61.1,63.1,63.9,75.0,112.3,120.2,123.6,124.6,125.2$, 125.7, 127.7, 129.9, 130.9, 131.7, 144.3, 168.2, 169.3, 176.3. IR (NaCl/neat) 3444, 3098, 3058, 2977, 1746, 1771, 1634. HRMS (FAB+) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 391.1869$, found $(m / z)$ 391.1866. ent- 103: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=10.0(c=1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 300 MHz , DMSO, filename: PRS1-317-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 75 MHz , DMSO, filename: PRS1-317-C13


Spiro[3H-indole-3,3'-pyrrolidine]-4',5'-dicarboxylic acid, 1,2-dihydro-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2-oxo-, 4'-ethyl ester, $5^{\prime}$-methyl ester, (2'S,3S,4'R,5'R) 104. Recrystallized cycloadduct $90(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a sealable pressure tube and dissolved in 10 mL of 1:1 THF:EtOH. The solvent was purged with argon for 5 min and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The tube was sealed and flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ before finally pressurizing to 70 PSI. The reaction was stirred for 36 h and then filtered through celite to remove the palladium catalyst. Concentration afforded a viscous oil which was taken up 5 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH} . \mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}(\sim 1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2.0 M solution in hexanes) was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred 5 min . and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Column Chromatography with $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc affored $325 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ as a white amorphous solid. [ $\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ $=-27.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.97, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 3.72-3.80 (m, 2H) 3.76 (s, 3H), 4.58 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.96(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.53,24.4,25.8,40.6$, $49.4,52.8,54.9,59.1,61.0,61.1,63.7,74.4,109.4,122.7,126.2,127.8,128.6,140.9$, 169.4, 175.2, 178.0. IR (NaCl/neat) 3244, 1734. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 405.2025, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 405.2024$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-299-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS1-317-C13


Spiro[3H-indole-3,3'-pyrrolidine]-4'-carboxylic acid, 1,2-dihydro-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2-oxo-5'-[[(2S)-2-[(phenylmethoxy)carbonyl]-1-pyrrolidinyl] carbonyl]-, ethyl ester, (2'S,3S,4'R,5'R) 106.

To a 200 mL round-bottom flask that contained amino acid $103(3.75 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and was placed under high vacuum for 24 h was added BOP $(4.25 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and L-proline benzyl ester hydrochloride ( $2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was flushed with argon, 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added and the reaction mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With stirring, triethylamine $(2.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 8 h . The solvent was then evaporated, replaced with 100 mL of EtOAc, washed with $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 15$ $\mathrm{mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ sat. brine sol., dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield 5.0 g of a brown foam $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ which was taken on crude. An analytical sample of $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ was generated by column chromatography with $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-75.3(\mathrm{c}=$ $1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO) $\delta$ DMSO: $0.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ DMSO: 13.8, $25.6,25.7,47.4,48.7,49.0,55.9,59.9,60.2,60.6,60.8,62.7,64.5,66.6,74.2,109.9$, $121.5,122.2,1222.6,125.5,128.2,128.3,128.4,128.5,129.0,143.4,170.2,171.2,172.3$,
177.8. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $3239,1731,1725,1645,1618$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{FAB}+$ ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 578.2866, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 578.2862. ent-106: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=81.5(c=1.0$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 300 MHz , DMSO, filename: PRS2-602-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 750 MHz , DMSO, filename: PRS2-602-C13H


Spiro[1H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(3H),3'-[3H]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 6,7,8,10,10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ctahydro-3-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-}$, ethyl ester, (1R,2S,3S,5aS,10aR) 105.

To a 100 mL round-bottom flask that contained $106(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a stir bar and 20 mL of EtOH . Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.5$ g) was added. The system was flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and a balloon of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was attached. The solution was stirred vigorously for 1.5 h and then filtered through Celite, evaporated and placed on high vacuum overnight. To the crude mixture was added a stir bar, BOP (3.83 $\mathrm{g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 80 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Triethylamine ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was allowed to stir for 8 h at which time the solvent was evaporated. Purification via column chromatography with $75: 20: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc :IPA afforded $2.75 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ as a white solid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-92.0\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}) 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.49(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 12.9,20.7$, $23.1,23.7,29.1,38.4,43.8,47.9,53.3,56.1,59.3,59.6,60.1,60.6,73.5,109.6,121.1$,
123.6, 126.3, 128.5, 141.0, 161.8, 165.2, 168.8, 179.5. IR (NaCl/neat) 3244, 1763, 1667, 1665. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2291$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2280$. ent105: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=95.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 300 MHz , DMSO, filename: PRS2-602-1H


$N$-SEM-spiro[1H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(3H),3'-[3H]indole]-1carboxylic acid, 1',2',5a,6,7,8,10,10a-octahydro-3-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, ethyl ester, (1R,2S,3S,5aS,10aR) 107:

To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added diketopiperazine $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The system was flushed with Ar, THF added and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. KHMDS ( 0.33 mL of a 0.5 M sol., 0.16 mmol ) was added and stirred for 15 min . SEMCl ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . Sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the reaction mixture poured into 10 mL EtOAc. The aq. layer was extracted $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAC, the organic layers combine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and chromatographed with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :EtOAc:IPA to yield $60 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ as a white solid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-72.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.74, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.04$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.8(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,6 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (dd, J = $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.50 (quint, $\mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-$ $3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(1 / 2 \mathrm{Abq}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13$, (dd, J = $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(1 / 2 \mathrm{Abq}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-2.09,13.0,17.1,20.9$,
$23.2,23.8,29.2,38.8,44.0,48.2,54.2,56.2,59.7,60.2,60.8,65.6,69.0,73.7,109.5$, $121.9,123.0,126.4,128.7,142.1,161.9,164.9,168.8,178.6$. IR (NaCl/neat) 2971, 1724, 1668. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 600.3105$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 600.3109$.


107

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-561-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-561-C13


## Eneamide 109.

To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added SEM protected diketopiperazine 107 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiI}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$. An oven dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled pyridine ( 5 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux for 48 hrs . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 10 mL of EtOAc, extracted with $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layers combined. The solution was then saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 4 with 1 N HCl and extracted with $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield a white solid, which was used without further purification. To the flask which contained the crude carboxylic acid was added $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an oven dried condensor was attached. The system was flushed with Ar and distilled DMF ( 1 mL ) was added. The reaction was wrapped in tin foil and stirred for 15 min . at which time $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.12 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred (still in the dark) for 15 min . more and then heated to reflux for 1.5 h . Evaporation of the solvent and purification via column chromatography with $75: 20: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc:IPA to yielded $5 \mathrm{mg}(11 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ as a clear oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-6.25\left(\mathrm{c}=0.16, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-$
$4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-1.23,18.0,22.2,22.9,25.4,29.7,39.7$, $44.7,48.4,61.9,63.8,66.5,70.1,70.6,109.7,110.3,118.0,122.7,126.6,128.8,137.2$, 142.3, 161.3, 164.6, 169.0. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) 2952, 1727, 1683, 1650. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 526.2737, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 527.2727.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-447-1H


[^1]

## Spiro[1H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(3H),3'-[3H]indole]-1-carboxylic

 acid, 1',2',5a,6,7,8,10,10a-octahydro-3-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, ethyl ester, (1R,2S,3S,5aR,10aR 110.To a flame-dried 250 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added diketopiperazine $105(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol}), 4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(5.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{TsOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 5.75 mmol ). An oven-dried condensor was attached, the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled toluene ( 200 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux temperature for 8 h . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 100 mL of EtOAc, washed with 2 x $15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{5} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ sat. brine sol., dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and chromatographed with $75: 20: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :EtOAc:IPA to yield $1.75 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ as a white amorphous solid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=78.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.79(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,3 \mathrm{H}) 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 7.27,11.9,17.4,19.4,20.8,39.3,45.2,52.8,54.3$, $54.9,55.3,57.2,103.8,113.1,116.0,119.2,119.3,122.8,130.8,134.8,158.5,160.3$, 161.5, 171.0. IR (NaCl/neat) 3219, 1723, 1663, 1648. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for
$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 438.2029, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 438.2017. ent-110: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-74.0(c=1.0$,

## $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-583-1H

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-583-1H

$N$-SEM-spiro[1H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(3H),3'-[3H]indole]-1carboxylic acid, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 5 a, 6,7,8,10,10 a-o c t a h y d r o-3-(2-m e t h y l-1-p r o p e n y l)-2 ', 5,10-$ trioxo-, ethyl ester, (1R,2S,3S,5aR,10aR 111.

To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added $110(65 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The system was flushed with Ar , THF added and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. KHMDS $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.5 M sol., 0.18 mmol$)$ was added and stirred for 15 min . SEMCl ( 0.035 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . Sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the reaction mixture poured into 10 mL EtOAc. The aq. layer was extracted $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAC, the organic layers combine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and chromatographed with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc:IPA to yield $70 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of the white solid 111. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-63.5(\mathrm{c}=0.97$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-$ $1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),(1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-$ $3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-1.16,13.7,17.9,18.5,23.8,25.9,27.2,45.7,51.9,59.0,60.7,61.3,61.8,63.7$, $66.4,70.1,110.0,119.4,122.9,125.1,125.5,129.4,137.5,142.8,165.0,166.8,167.9$,
175.9. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) 1728 , 1678. HRMS ( $\mathrm{FAB}+$ ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 568.2843, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 568.2827$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-507-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-507-C13


## $N$-SEM Triene 112.

To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added $\mathbf{1 1 1}(70 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiI}(165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. An oven dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled pyridine ( 5 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux for 48 hrs . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 10 mL of EtOAc, extracted with $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layers combined. The solution was then saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 4 with 1 N HCl and extracted with $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield 45 mg (68\%) a white solid, which was used without further purification. To the flask which contained the crude carboxylic acid was added $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ $(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an oven dried condensor was attached. The system was flushed with Ar and distilled DMF ( 1 mL ) was added. The reaction was wrapped in tin foil and stirred for 15 min . at which time $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred (still in the dark) for 15 min . more and then heated to reflux for 1.5 h . Evaporation of the solvent and purification via column chromatography with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc:IPA to yielded $8 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%)$ of 112 as a clear oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-60.0(\mathrm{c}=0.05$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,3 \mathrm{H}),(1.34$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-1.2,18.0,18.5,25.4,28.7,29.9,45.4,62.1$, $64.5,66.6,70.2,110.1,116.2,119.7,119.9,123.0,126.7,127.4,129.4,135.5,138.1$, 138.5, 142.0, 152.2, 152.7, 177.0. IR (NaCl/neat) 1727, 1683. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 491.2240$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 491.2226$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-509-1H

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-509-C13


## Triene 113.

To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added $\mathbf{1 1 2}(8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1.0 M hexane solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}(0.086 \mathrm{~mL}, \quad 0.086 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise under Ar . The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 15 min . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and poured into a sat. $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ tartrate solution $(2 \mathrm{ml})$ also at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred vigorously for 1 h . The aq. layer was then extracted $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAc, the organic layers combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. Purification was accomplished by PTLC ( $1 / 2$ of a $250 \mu$ plate) with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :EtOAc:IPA as the eluent to yield $3 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ (br s, 1H). IR (NaCl/neat) 1763, 1667. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 362.1504$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 362.1484$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-696-1 H


## Spiro[1H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(3H),3'-[3H]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, $\quad \mathbf{1}^{\prime}, 2$ ',5a,6,7,8,10,10a-octahydro-3-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, (1R,2S,3S,5aR,10aR) 114.

To a flame dried 100 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added diketopiperazine $110(0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiI}(2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. An oven dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled pyridine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the system heated to reflux for 48 hrs . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 50 mL of EtOAc , extracted with $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layers combined. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and purified via column chromatography with $75: 20: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc :IPA to recover 80 mg of unreacted starting material $\mathbf{1 1 0}$. The aqueous phase was saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 4 with 1 NHCl and extracted with $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield $0.58 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$ of 114 as white amorphous solid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=73.0(\mathrm{c}=0.8, \mathrm{MeOH}) \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta \mathrm{MeOH}: 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta \mathrm{MeOH}: 12.5,18.7,19.9,22.3,40.7,47.0$,
$54.8,55.9,57.1,58.8,105.2,115.8,117.1,121.3,121.5,124.2,131.1,137.7,161.3$, 163.0, 164.9, 173.1. IR (NaCl/neat 3248, 1731, 1678, 1668. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 410.1716, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 410.1698. ent-114: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-75.0(\mathrm{c}=1.0$, $\mathrm{MeOH})$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-607-2H

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-607-C13


## Spiro[3H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(10H),3'-[3H]indole]-2',5,10(1'H)-

 trione, 5a,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-, (2S,3S,5aR) (12-epiSpirotyprostatin B) 115. To a flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added carboxylic acid $114(0.290 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, DCC $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP ( 0.13 $\mathrm{g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2 -mercaptopyridine $N$-oxide ( $0.112,0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). An oven-dried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon and wrapped in tin foil. Freshly distilled $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the system was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The foil was then removed and the reaction heated to reflux for 1.5 h . The solvent was evaporated and the resulting oil was purified by chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :EtOAc:IPA) to yield $0.095 \mathrm{~g}(37 \%)$ of $115 .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=41.3(\mathrm{c}=0.8$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 18.6,22.2,25.7,29.3,45.3,62.0,62.1,64.8,110.1,115.8,119.3$, 121.8, 122.8, 127.2, 128.6, 129.3, 155.7, 162.5, 178.2. IR (NaCl/neat) 3196, 1724, 1676, 1639. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 364. 1661, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 364.1658$.12-epi-ent-Spirotyprostatin B: $[\alpha]_{D}^{2 s}=-42.5\left(c=0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-618-1H

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-618-C13


Spiro[1H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine-2(3H), 3'-[3H]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, 5a,6,7,8,10,10a-hexahydro-3-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, ethyl ester, (1R,2S,3S,5aR,10aR) 120.

Compound $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was generated in an identical fashion to diketopiperazine $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ yet afforded a higher yield (94\%). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=81.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24,(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 7.27,15.8,17.0,19.2,21.0,33.5,39.1,41.3,48.1,52.7,54.1,54.7,55.0,55.5$, $67.3,103.2,115.2,119.8,120.2,122.3,135.3,158.0,159.7,161.0,171.5 . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $)$ 3268, 1729, 1671, 1669. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2291$, found $(m / z)$ 470.2296. ent-Diketopiperazine 120: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-81.2\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-593-2H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-593-C13


## Spirotyprostatin B (2).

To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added 12-epispirotyprostatin $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{1 1 5})(0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the system cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1 M solution of NaOMe in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.26 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h at which time 5 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added along with 5 mL of EtOAc . The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 5 mL ) and the organic layers combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and purifed by chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc :IPA) to yield $0.044 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$ of 2 as an off-white amorphous solid and $0.28 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 1 5} \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-$ $151.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.45, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.58(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5 . \mathrm{h} 4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 8.8), $5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dt}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 18.4,22.3,25.5,29.5,45.0,61.8,61.9,64.3,110.0$, $116.4,120.7,122.5,127.4,128.1,129.3,138.4,138.5,140.6,155.2,162.7,178.1$. IR
( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $3235,1718,1677,1690$. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 363.1583$, found $(\mathrm{m} / z) 363.1584$. ent-Spirotryprostatin $\mathbf{B}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=155.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.33, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.


2

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-622-1H


[^2]

## Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from paraformaldehyde.

To a flame dried 25 ml round bottom with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one 49 ( 253 mg 1.0 mmol ), ethyl oxindolyl acetate $87(325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, paraformaldehyde $(360 \mathrm{mg}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.50 g of activated 3A molecular sieves. An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with Ar. Freshly distilled toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the system was heated to reflux under Ar and kept at that temperature for two hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered through celite to remove the mol. sieves and purified by flash chromatography using $2: 1$ hexane/EtOAc as the eluent to obtain 135 mg of 130a (28\%), 53 mg of 131a (11\%), and 43 mg of endo-131a (9\%) as white amorphous solids 130a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-32.0\left(c 0.78, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 0.72(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $3.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 13.6,54.3,55.5,60.7,61.4,63.7,67.5,84.4,110.1,123.2,124.6$, $127.9,128.4,128.7,128.8,129.1,129.8,134.4,136.1,140.8,168.7,171.4,178.2$. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) 1735, 1618; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 483.1920$, found
$(m / z) 483.1917$; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}-\alpha$. (3.45\%); irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}-\alpha$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{9}(3.61 \%)$.


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-865-3HC13

nOe, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-865-3Hnoe

131a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-111.0\left(c 1.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 7.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.85 .52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 179.7,169.8,167.9,141.5,135.4,134.7,130.3,129.4,129.3,128.6$, $128.5,128.4,127.6,123.7,123.0,110.2,86.2,72.9,62.6,61.6,60.9,54.1,51.5,13.6$. IR $(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3307,1726,1620$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{FAB}+$ ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 483.1920$, found $(m / z) 483.1911$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-865-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-865-C13
endo-131a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 s}=-123.0\left(c \quad 0.43, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\oint 7.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.33 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23-6.95 (m,12H), 6.88 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (d, J=4.0 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$ (t, J=9.2 Hz, 1H), $3.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 176.9,169.2,166.7,142.2,134.7,133.5,129.5,129.4,128.6,128.4,128.1$, $127.9,127.0,124.4,122.8,110.1,85.6,68.7,65.4,61.1,58.3,52.5,50.0,13.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) 3313, 1731; 1619; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 483.1920, found $(m / z)$ 483.1904; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{8}(1.54 \%)$.

endo-131a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-865-2H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-865-2HC13


Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from benzyloxy-acetaldehyde.
Method A (Reflux): To a flame dried 25 ml round bottom with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one 49 ( 253 mg 1.0 mmol ), ethyl oxindolyl acetate $87(325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.50 g of activated 3 A molecular sieves. An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with Ar. Freshly distilled toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ followed by benzyloxy-acetaldehyde $(180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ mmol ). The system was heated to reflux under Ar and kept at that temperature for two hours. The system was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered through celite to remove the sieves and purified by flash chromatography using $3: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent to afford 265 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 0 b}(44 \%)$ and 85 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 1 b}$ ( $14 \%$ ) as white amorphous solids. Analytical samples were prepared by HPLC using 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent.

Method B ( $60^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): To a flame dried 25 ml round bottom with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one 49 ( 253 mg 1.0 mmol ), ethyl oxindolyl acetate $87(325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.50 g of activated 3 A molecular sieves. The system was flushed with Ar. Freshly distilled toluene ( 10 ml ) was added followed by benzyloxy-acetaldehyde ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The system was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar , as measured by a thermocouple, and kept at that temperature for two hours. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered through celite and purified by flash chromatography using $3: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent to afford 325 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 0 b}$ (54\%)
and 50 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 1 b}(8 \%)$ as white amorphous solids. Analytical samples were prepared by HPLC using 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent.

130b: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-32.5\left(c \quad 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 8.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-$ $6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.12-4.05 (m, 3H), $4.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ (dd, J=6.0 Hz, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dd, J=4.2 Hz, 9.6 Hz, 1H), $0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\oint 177.4,171.4,168.2,141.4,137.4,136.4,135.8,129.3,129.1$, $128.5,128.4,128.0,127.9,127.8,126.2,126.1,122.8,109.9,78.2,73.7,70.9,69.9,62.2$, $61.5,58.6,58.2,54.5,13.6$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) $3269,1732,1618$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 603.2495$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 603.2477$; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}(3.07 \%)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-866-2H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-866-2HC13

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-866-2HC13noe

131b: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-161.8\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 7.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) 7.04-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.37(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-$ $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 177.0,169.0,167.0,142.2,138.2,136.0$, $135.3,129.7,129.6,128.6,128.5,128.2,128.1,127.6,127.1,124.6,122.7,110.4,83.5$, $73.3,72.3,69.9,65.5,64.1,61.1,60.2,52.9,13.5$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 603.2495$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 603.2483$.


131b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-866-1H


[^3]

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from isobutyraldehyde.
The reaction was performed in an identical fashion to the cycloaddition of benzyloxy-acetaldehyde. Isobutyraldehyde ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used as received from Aldrich. Method A: 225 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 0 d}$ ( $43 \%$ ), 73 mg of 131d (11\%) and 25 mg of 132d ( $5 \%$ ) were obtained as white amorphous solids. Method B: 387 mg of 130d ( $74 \%$ ), 30 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 1 d}(6 \%)$ and trace amounts of $\mathbf{1 3 2 d}(<1 \%)$ were obtained as white amorphous solids:

130d: $[\alpha]_{D}^{2 s}=-58.8\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\oint 7.77$ (br s, 1 H ), $7.31-$ $7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36$ (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), $3.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88($ sept, J=9.2 Hz, 1H), $0.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 178.3,170.1,167.2,140.9,136.0,135.7,129.5,129.0,128.5,128.2$, $127.8,127.6,126.3,126.1,122.7,110.1,77.5,76.4,64.3,61.5,59.8,59.5,56.8,30.8$, 20.5, 19.2, 13.7;. IR (NaCl/neat) 3288, 1729, 1618; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2389$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2390$. NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{5}(2.62 \%)$.


130d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-3H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-3HC13

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-3Hnoe

131d: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-20.7\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 7.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\oint 177.3,169.8,167.1,142.4,136.2,135.2,129.7,129.6,128.7$, $128.3,128.1,128.0,127.8,126.8,125.4,122.5,110.6,84.4,71.2,71.1,64.9,61.9,61.1$, $51.7,32.0,18.6,17.0,13.5$; IR (NaCl/neat) 3300, 1727, 1618; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2389$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2378$; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ (4.16\%).


131d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-1 $\mathrm{H} \# 2$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-1H\#2C13

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-1H\#2noe
132d: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-24.0\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 7.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51$ (sept, $\mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 179.8,168.6,140.3,140.9,136.2,133.9,130.9,129.7$, $128.8,128.2,128.1,127.8,126.1,125.0,122.9,109.7,82.7,77.4,61.3,61.1,60.6,58.6$, 57.0, 28.1, 20.6, 18.9, 13.6;. IR (NaCl/neat) 3296, 1734, 1715, 1618; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2389$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2386$; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{9}(6.88 \%)$; irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (4.30\%).

132d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-C13

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-1Hnoe 1

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-864-1Hnoe2


## Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from isovaleraldehyde.

The reaction was performed in an identical fashion to the cycloaddition of benzyloxy-acetaldehyde. Isovaleraldehyde ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used as received from Aldrich. Method A: 452 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 0 f}$ ( $84 \%$ ) was obtained as white amorphous solids and a trace amount of $\mathbf{1 3 1 f}(\sim 1 \%)$ was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra but not isolated. Method B: 463 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 0 f}$ (86\%) was obtained as a white amorphous solid.

130f: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=62.7\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 8.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.2$, $171.5,168.4,141.4,136.3,136.0,129.2,129.1,128.6,128.5,128.2,128.0,126.6,126.5$, $126.2,122.9,109.9,77.6,68.8,61.5,60.8,59.8,58.2,54.8,39.9,25.8,23.7,22.6,13.6$;. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3284,1732,1618$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 539.2546, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 539.2544$; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{9}(2.17 \%)$.

$130 f$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-503-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-503-C13

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-503-noe


Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from p-anisaldehyde.
The reaction was performed in an identical fashion to the cycloaddition of benzyloxy-acetaldehyde. p-Anisaldehyde ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used as received from Aldrich. Method A: 353 mg of $\mathbf{1 3 0 h}(60 \%)$ was obtained as white amorphous solid. 130h: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=80.8\left(c \quad 0.47, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 7.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ $7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-$ $3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.8$, $171.6,168.4,140.6,136.0,135.8,129.4,129.1,129.0,128.6,128.4,127.9,126.8,126.0$, $125.7,125.6,122.4,113.8,109.6,76.2,74.6,61.5,61.4,59.0,57.1,55.3,54.4,13.5$. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) 3296, 1728, 1612. HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 589.2338$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 589.2327. NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ (10.2\%) and $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ (4.38\%)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-538-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-538-C13

nOe, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-538-noe


## Amino acid methyl ester 137a.

Cycloadduct 137a ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in THF:MeOH 1:1 ( 2 mL ) and transferred to a pressurizable tube. Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ $(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The system was sealed and hydrogenated (65-75 Psi) for 36 h at room temperature. The heterogeneous solution was filtered through celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ ( 2 mL ), a stir bar added and $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$, available from Aldrich as a 2.0 M solution in hexanes, was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred for 15 min . and then evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography using 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent yielded $30 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of 137 a as a white amorphous solid.

137a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-23.0\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 8.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ $(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 179.1,173.5,169.6,140.6,130.1,128.9,124.4$, $123.0,109.7,62.3,61.2,58.5,58.0,56.6,52.9,13.6$, IR (NaCl$/$ neat ) $3303,1732,1618$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 319.1294$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 319.1286$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-906-2H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-906-2HC13


## Amino acid methyl ester 138a.

Cycloadduct 131a ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in THF:MeOH $1: 1(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a pressurizable tube. Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ $(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The system was sealed and hydrogenated ( $65-75 \mathrm{Psi}$ ) for 36 h at room temperature. The heterogeneous solution was filtered through celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, a stir bar added and $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$, available from Aldrich as a 2.0 M solution in hexanes, was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred for 15 min . and then evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography using 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent, yielded $24 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ as a white amorphous solid.

138a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-61.1\left(c 0.61, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 8.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (dt, J=0.8 Hz, 7.6 Hz, 1H), $7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 178.4$, $170.2,169.7,141.3,129.2,127.0,124.6,122.7,109.6,70.2,61.0,59.6,54.2,52.1,47.8$, 13.6; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) 3326,1730 , 1615; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 319.1294, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 319.1289$.


138a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-906-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-906-C13


## Amino acid methyl ester 137f.

Cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 3 0 f}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken up in THF:MeOH $1: 1(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a pressurizable tube. Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ $(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The system was sealed and hydrogenated (65-75 Psi) for 36 h at room temperature. The heterogeneous solution was filtered through celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ ( 2 mL ), a stir bar added and $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$, available from Aldrich as a 2.0 M solution in hexanes, was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred for 15 min . and then evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography using 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc as the eluent, yielded 31 mg ( $89 \%$ )of $\mathbf{1 3 7 f}$ as a white amorphous solid.

137f $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=24.8\left(c 0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-3.80-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-$ $0.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 178.2,174.9,169.4,141.1,128.7,127.5,125.8,122.7,109.6,65.5,61.2,59.3$, $55.8,52.9,39.2,25.8,23.5,22.2,13.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) $3325,1728,1617$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / z) 375.1920$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 375.1922$.

$137 f$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-907-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-907-C13


130j

137)

## Amino acid methyl ester 137j.

Cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 3 0} \mathbf{j}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1(2$ mL ) and transferred to a pressurizable tube. Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The system was sealed, pressurized to 40 psi and placed in an oil bath maintained at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 36 h , filtered through celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ 1:1 ( 2 mL ), a stir bar added and $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$, available from Aldrich as a 2.0 M solution in hexanes, was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred for 15 min . and then evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography using 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :EtOAc:IPA as the eluent, yielded $20 \mathrm{mg}(59 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 3 7} \mathbf{j}$ as a white amorphous solid.

137j: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=30.8\left(c 0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 7.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 177.4,175.1,169.3,159.3,140.4,128.6,128.3,126.9,126.5,122.2$, $113.1,109.2,70.1,62.4,61.2,58.2,55.2,54.8,52.9,13.5$; IR (NaCl/neat ) 3265,1735 , 1713, 1618; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 425.1713, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 425.1706.

137)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-908-1H


[^4]
(6-Methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-indol-3-ylidene)-acetic acid ethyl ester 139.
To a 25 ml oven dried round bottom flask with stir bar was added 6-methoxy isatin $144(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and carboethoxy triphenylphospylidene $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system flushed with Ar. DME ( 30 ml ) was added via syringe and the system heated to reflux with stirring. Heating continued for 14 hours followed by filtering through a pad of celite. The solution was then evaporated to dryness and recrystallized from EtOH to yield 0.70 g (69\%) of 6-methoxy carboethoxy oxindolylidene acetate 139 as an orange solid. The mother liquor, evaporated to dryness and recyrstallized a second time.

139: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 1.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96$ (br s, 1H), $8.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta 14.1,55.8,61.1,97.2$, 107.7, 113.8, 119.3, 131.2, 137.9, 145.3, 164.6, 166.3, 169.9. IR (NaCl/neat ) 3170, 1709, 1615. HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 247.0844, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 247.0844.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-641-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-641-C13

(6-Methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-indol-3-ylidene)-acetic acid tert-butyl ester 145.
To a 25 ml oven dried round bottom flask with stir bar was added 6-methoxy isatin $144(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and carbo-tert-butyloxy triphenylphospylidene ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1$ mmol ). An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system flushed with Ar. DME (30 ml ) was added via syringe and the system heated to reflux with stirring. Heating continued for 14 hours followed by filtering through a pad of celite. The solution was then evaporated to dryness and recrystallized from MeOH to yield $0.42 \mathrm{~g}(54 \%)$ of 6 methoxy carbo-tert-butoxy oxindolylidene acetate $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ as an orange solid. The mother liquor, evaporated to dryness and recyrstallized a second time.

145: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \oint 28.5,55.9,81.7,97.1,108.0$, 113.7, 121.6, 130.9, 137.3, 145.5, 163.4, 165.5, 171.0. IR (NaCl/neat ) $3219,1726,1700$. HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~m} / z)$ 275.1157, found $(\mathrm{m} / z)$ 275.1156.

145

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-727-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-727-C13


Cycloadduct 147.
Cycloadduct 149.
To a flame dried 100 ml round bottom flask with stir bar was added 6-methoxy carbotertbutyloxy oxindolylidene acetate $145(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one $49(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2.5 g of $3 \AA$ mol.sieves. An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system flushed with Ar. Distilled toluene ( 25 ml ) was added via syringe followed by the addition of 3-methoxy-3methyl butanal $88(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ via syringe. The reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 14 hours while stirring. The reaction was then filtered through a pad of celite with toluene as the eluent and the resulting solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography with $3: 1$ hex:EtOAc furnished 0.44 g ( $45 \%$ ) of cycloadduct 147 and $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(25 \%)$ of cycloadduct 149 as white solids.

147: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=86.3\left(c 0.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\oint .22 .6,26.0,27.5,44.3,49.7,55.2,55.7,56.0,56.2$, $60.0,64.8,73.5,75.9,82.0,97.1,107.2,119.9,125.1,127.3,127.4,128.4,128.5,129.5$,
136.7, 137.5, 142.6, 160.8, 167.7, 172.1, 178.2. IR (NaCl/neat ) 1733, 1628. HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{~m} / z)$ 627.3070, found $(\mathrm{m} / z)$ 627.3074. NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ (1.12\%) and $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ (2.21\%).


147

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-729-1H


[^5]
$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-729-noe

149: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-12.7\left(c \quad 0.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \oint 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 . .2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\oint .18 .9,26.3$, $27.5,54.7,55.7,57.0,59.3,60.2,68.8,77.9,82.1,97.1,106.8,119.3,119.9,126.1,126.9$, 127.7, 128.0, 128.3, 128.6, 129.3, 136.2, 136.6, 140.8, 142.4, 160.6, 167.7, 171.9, 177.6. IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) 1730, $1632 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (FAB+) Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 595.2808, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 595.2804. NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}(2.02 \%)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6}(1.31 \%)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-729-elim-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-729-elim-C13

$\mathrm{nOe}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-729-elim-noe


## 6-Methoxy-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methyl-propyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-spiro[indole-3,3'-pyrrolidine]-4',5'-dicarboxylic acid 4'-tert-butyl ester 150. Cycloadduct 147

$(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a sealable pressure tube and dissolved in 10 mL of $1: 1$ THF:EtOH. The solvent was purged with argon for 5 min and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ mmol ) was added. The tube was sealed and flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ before finally pressurizing to 70 PSI. The reaction was stirred for 36 h and then filtered through celite to remove the palladium catalyst. Concentration afforded a viscous oil which was triturated with $1 \times 5$ mL of freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford the crude amino acid as a white solid. The crude product was used without purification. For characterization purposes a small amount of the amino acid was converted to the methyl ester. The carboxylic acid was dissolved in 5 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$. TMSCHN $2(\sim 0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2.0 M solution in hexanes) was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred 5 min . and then concentrated under reduced pressure. PTLC $\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & x & 1 / 2 & 250 & \mu \mathrm{~m}\end{array}\right.$ plate) with $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc afforded the methyl ester of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ as a white amorphous solid.

150: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-17.2\left(c 0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 21.8$, $24.0,24.9,28.0,30.1,39.8,44.8,48.9,55.7,57.0,60.4,60.5,61.6,74.5,82.6,97.4$,
$106.9,116.6,129.2,143.1,160.9,162.9,166.2,168.7,181.1 . \mathrm{IR}$ (NaCl/neat) $1724,1662$.
HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 528.2710$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 528.2714$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-840-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-840-C13


## Diketopiperazine 151.

To a 50 mL round-bottom flask that contained the crude amino acid $150(0.30 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was placed under high vacuum for 24 h was added $\mathrm{BOP}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68$ mmol ) and L-proline benzyl ester hydrochloride $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was flushed with argon, 15 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added and the reaction mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With stirring, triethylamine $(0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise, the solution allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . The solvent was then evaporated, replaced with 10 mL of EtOAc, washed with $2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 1 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ sat. brine sol., dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield the crude dipeptide as a brown foam which was taken on crude. To the foam was added a stir bar and 10 mL of EtOH . Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.04 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The system was flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and a balloon of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was attached. The solution was stirred vigorously for 1.5 h and then filtered through Celite, evaporated and placed on high vacuum overnight. To the crude mixture was added a stir bar, BOP $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Triethylamine $(0.086 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.62$ mmol ) was added dropwise and the reaction was allowed to stir for 8 h at which time the solvent was evaporated. Purification via column chromatography with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc:IPA afforded 127 mg ( $39 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ as a white solid.

151: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-57.3\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ (quint., J = 8.4 Hz ,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.49 (quint, $\mathrm{J}=6.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $8.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 12.9,20.7,23.1,23.7$, 29.1, $38.4,43.8,47.9,53.3,56.1,59.3,59.6,60.1,60.6,73.5,109.6,121.1,123.6,126.3,128.5$, 141.0, 161.8, 165.2, 168.8, 179.5. IR (NaCl/neat) 3244, 1763, 1667, 1665. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2291$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2280$.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-573-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 100 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$, filename: PRS2-573-C13


## 3-Hydroxy-6-methoxy-3-trimethylsilanylmethyl-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one 161.

To a flame dried 250 mL round bottom flask with stir bar was added 6-methoxy isatin $144(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The system was flushed with Ar and sealed with a rubber septum. THF ( 100 mL ) was added and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of trimethylsilyl methyl lithium $(1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise over 15 min . The reaction was stirred for 1 hour, still at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added until a pH of $7-8$ was obtained. 50 mL of EtOAc was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAc, the organic layers combined, washed with $1 \times 10$ mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield a yellowish solid. To the resulting solid, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, heated briefly to reflux and allowed to stand for 1 h . The white precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford $2.40 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%) 161$ as an off-white solid.

161: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.87$, $28.5,55.7,75.7,97.7,107.6,123.4,125.6,141.3,161.4,180.5 . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3388$, 1713, 1633. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NSi}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 265.1134, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 265.1132.

161

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-880-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-880-C13


## Cycloadduct 162.

## Cycloadduct 163.

To a flame dried 25 mL round bottom flask with a stir bar was added 3-hydroxy-6-methoxy-3-trimethylsilylmethyl-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The system was flushed with Ar and sealed with a rubber septum. Freshly distilled toluene was added $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Trifluoroacetic acid $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction was allowed to stir for 15 min . Triethylamine ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and stirred for 5 min . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic layer was then extracted with $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ water followed by $1 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered into an oven dried flask with a stir bar. To the crude reaction mixture was added activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves, (5R,6S)-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one 49 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-methoxy-3-methylbutanal 88 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature, the solution was decanted from the molecular sieves and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography with $2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc as the eluent to provide $90 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ and $11 \mathrm{mg}(8.8 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ as a white foams.

163: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-60.1\left(c 1.39, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=1.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$, $7.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=18.8,26.4,40.1,55.7,56.4,56.8,60.4$, 67.7, $97.4,107.4,121.0,122.3,125.7,125.9,127.6,128.1,128.2,128.6,129.1,136.3$, 136.5, 140.1, 141.4, 160.3, 168.7, 172.1, 178.5.IR (NaCl/neat ) 3261, 1724, 1630. HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 495.2284: Found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 495.2267$.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{NMR}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-882-1H

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, filename: PRS2-882-C13

## Appendix 1

## X-ray Crystal Structure Data for Compound 61



Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 61.

| Identification code | rw5 Sebahar/williams |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 362.39 |
| Temperature | 163(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21_{1} 2_{2}{ }_{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=8.8975(7) \AA \text { alpha }=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{b}=11.5548(9) \AA \text { beta }=90^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{c}=18.953(2) \AA \text { gamma }=90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume, Z | 1948.5(3) $\AA^{3}, 4$ |
| Density (calculated) | $1.235 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.085 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{OOO})$ | 764 |
| Crystal size | $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.06 to $28.31^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-11 \leq h \leq 8,-15 \leq k \leq 14,-24 \leq 1 \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 13038 |
| Independent reflections | 4675 ( $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0990\right)$ |
| Absorption correction | SADABS (G. Sheldrick, private comm.) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4671 / 0 / 244 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.993 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{RI}=0.0712, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1266$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{Rl}=0.1685, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1726$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | unable to determine accurately |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.407 and -0.265 e $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table2. Atomic coordinates [ $\times 10^{4}$ ] and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left[\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right]$ for 59 . $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized tensor.

|  | X | Y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N (1) | -907 (4) | 4913 (3) | 27 (2) | 25 (1) |
| O (1) | 773 (3) | 3315 (2) | 890 (2) | 28 (1) |
| O (2) | -1319 (3) | 2360 (2) | 1083 (1) | 32 (1) |
| O (3) | -5378 (3) | 2945 (3) | 621 (2) | 34 (1) |
| O (4) | -4138 (3) | 3898 (3) | 1488 (2) | 37 (1) |
| C (1) | -1837 (5) | 4724 (4) | -603 (2) | 32 (1) |
| C (2) | -3248 (5) | 4211 (3) | -315 (2) | 28 (1) |
| C (3) | -3125 (5) | 3985 (3) | 362 (2) | 26 (1) |
| C (4) | -1583 (4) | 4296 (3) | 627 (2) | 22 (1) |
| C (5) | -727 (5) | 3234 (4) | 875 (2) | 27 (1) |
| C (6) | 1475 (5) | 4370 (3) | 616 (2) | 28 (1) |
| C (7) | 691 (4) | 4686 (3) | -84 (2) | 22 1) |
| C (8) | 1469 (4) | 5680 (3) | -462 (2) | 23 (1) |
| C (9) | 933 (5) | 6804 (3) | -433 (2) | 30 (1) |
| C (10) | 1718 (5) | 7689 (4) | -753 (2) | 37 (1) |
| C (10) | 3051 (5) | 7468 (4) | -1102 (2) | 42 (1) |
| C (12) | 3599 (5) | 6355 (4) | -1134 (2) | 37 (1) |
| C (13) | 2804 (5) | 5458 (4) | -819 (2) | 32 (1) |
| C (14) | 1590 (4) | 5285 (4) | 1186 (2) | 26 (1) |
| C (15) | 2577 (5) | 6211 (4) | 1083 (2) | 35 (1) |
| C (16) | 2752 (5) | 7059 (4) | 1593 (2) | 39 (1) |
| C (17) | 1971 (5) | 6992 (4) | 2224 (2) | 38 (1) |
| C (18) | 1027 (5) | 6065 (4) | 2343 (2) | 36(1) |
| C (19) | 854 (5) | 5217 (4) | 1827 (2) | 30(1) |
| C (20) | -4330 (5) | 3534 (3) | 819 (2) | 27 (1) |
| C (21) | -5266 (5) | 3549 (5) | 2000 (2) | 45 (1) |
| C (22) | -5164 (7) | 4385 (5) | 2597 (3) | 62 (2) |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 59.

| N (1)-C (7) | $1.461(5)$ | N(1)-C (1) | 1.470(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N (1)-C (4) | $1.470(5)$ | O (1)-C (5) | $1.338(5)$ |
| O (1)-C (6) | $1.465(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}$ (5) | 1.205(5) |
| O (3)-C (20) | $1.214(5)$ | O (4)-C (20) | 1.346(5) |
| O (4)-C (21) | $1.454(5)$ | C (1)-C (2) | 1.492(6) |
| C (2)-C (3) | $1.315(5)$ | C (3)-C (20) | 1.474(6) |
| C (3)-C (4) | $1.504(5)$ | C (4)-C (5) | 1.519(5) |
| C (6)-C (14) | $1.514(6)$ | C (6)-C (7) | 1.542(5) |
| C (7)-C (8) | $1.520(5)$ | C (8)-C (9) | 1.385(6) |
| C (8)-C (13) | 1.391(6) | C (9)-C (10) | 1.379(6) |
| C (10)-C (11) | $1.382(6)$ | C (11)-C (12) | 1.377(6) |
| C (12)-C (13) | $1.389(6)$ | C (14)-C (19) | 1.384(6) |
| C (14)-C (15) | $1.399(6)$ | C (15)-C (16) | 1.384(6) |
| C (16)-C (17) | $1.385(6)$ | C (17)-C (18) | 1.379(6) |
| C (18)-C (19) | $1.392(6)$ | C (21)-C (22) | $1.492(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 113.8(3) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 115.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 109.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 118.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 117.0(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 103.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 111.5(4) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 125.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 110.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 123.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 103.4(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 115.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 119.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 124.0(4) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 116.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 110.9(3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 108.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 118.6(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 112.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $111.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 112.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 119.0(4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 122.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 118.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 119.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 119.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 117.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 123.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 118.514) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 121.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 120.4(4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 119.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 120.0(4) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 124.4(4) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 125.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 110.5(4) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 106.5(4) |

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left[\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right]$ for 59. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[\left(h a^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots 2 h k a * b^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}\right]$

| U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $21(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $24(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $45(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $34(2)$ | $45(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $34(3)$ | $35(3)$ | $29(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $-10(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $24(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $25(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $35(3)$ | $24(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $28(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-\mathrm{I}(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $24(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $26(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $33(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $45(3)$ | $19(2)$ | $46(3)$ | $3(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $49(3)$ | $31(3)$ | $46(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $8(3)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $31(3)$ | $39(3)$ | $41(3)$ | $-4(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $33(3)$ | $29(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $22(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $37(3)$ | $42(3)$ | $26(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $53(3)$ | $32(3)$ | $32(3)$ | $3(2)$ | $-9(2)$ | $-12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $42(3)$ | $37(3)$ | $34(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $35(3)$ | $46(3)$ | $27(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $30(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $25(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $30(3)$ | $66(4)$ | $39(3)$ | $6(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $-8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $77(4)$ | $70(4)$ | $38(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $12(3)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for 59.

|  | X |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | U(eq) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| H(IA) | $-1339(5)$ | $4185(4)$ | $-936(2)$ | 39 |
| H(1B) | $-2043(5)$ | $5463(4)$ | $-849(2)$ | 39 |
| H(2A) | $-4125(5)$ | $4066(3)$ | $-587(2)$ | 34 |
| H(4A) | $-1687(4)$ | $4846(3)$ | $1031(2)$ | 27 |
| H(6A) | $2530(5)$ | $4156(3)$ | $490(2)$ | 34 |
| H(7A) | $765(4)$ | $3994(3)$ | $-398(2)$ | 27 |
| H(9A) | $20(5)$ | $6967(3)$ | $-193(2)$ | 35 |
| H(10A) | $1340(5)$ | $8458(4)$ | $-733(2)$ | 44 |
| H(11A) | $3589(5)$ | $8083(4)$ | $-1318(2)$ | 51 |
| H(12A) | $4518(5)$ | $6200(4)$ | $-1371(2)$ | 44 |
| H(13A) | $3175(5)$ | $4689(4)$ | $-848(2)$ | 39 |
| H(15A) | $3137(5)$ | $6260(4)$ | $657(2)$ | 42 |
| H(16A) | $3412(5)$ | $7691(4)$ | $1509(2)$ | 47 |
| H(17A) | $2085(5)$ | $7578(4)$ | $2571(2)$ | 45 |
| H(18A) | $495(5)$ | $6006(4)$ | $2776(2)$ | 43 |
| H(19A) | $215(5)$ | $4575(4)$ | $1919(2)$ | 36 |
| H(21A) | $-5938(5)$ | $2912(5)$ | $1962(2)$ | 54 |
| H(22C) | $-4448(7)$ | $4995(5)$ | $2583(3)$ | 74 |
| H(22A) | $-5814(7)$ | $4309(5)$ | $2992(3)$ | 74 |

## Appendix 2

## X-ray Crystal Structure Data for Compound 90



Crystal data and structure refinement for 90 .

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume, Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
$\theta$ range for data collection
Limiting indices
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices $[1>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
Color of Crystal
rwcedl3 (Sebahar/Williams)
$\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38.50} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6.50}$
591.18

298(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
P2 1
$\mathrm{a}=12.4236(2) \AA$ alpha $=90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{b}=20.5339(2) \AA$ beta $=113.1830(10)^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{c}=14.1279(2) \AA$ gamma $=90^{\circ}$
3313.07(8) $\AA^{3}, 4$
$1.185 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.082 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
1258
$0.33 \times 0.36 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$
1.57 to $28.16^{\circ}$
$-16 \leq h \leq 8,-26 \leq k \leq 26,-18 \leq 1 \leq 18$
21584
$14556\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0203\right)$
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
14556/1/785
1.092
$\mathrm{RI}=0.0721$, $w R 2=0.1707$
$\mathrm{RI}=0.1045, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1968$
0.0027(7)
0.933 and $-0.402 \mathrm{e}^{-3}{ }^{-3}$

Colorless

Table 2. Atomic coordinates [ $\left.\times 10^{4}\right]$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left[\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right]$ for 90 . $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{i j}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N(1) | 855 (3) | 1118 (2) | -1878 (3) | 64 (1) |
| N (10) | -116 (3) | 3289 (1) | -2145 (2) | 44 (1) |
| O (1) | 384 (3) | 1463 (1) | -529 (2) | 70 (1) |
| O (2) | 1662 (3) | 3806 (2) | 510 (2) | 65 (1) |
| O (3) | 3330 (3) | 3109 (2) | -989 (4) | 101 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 3213 (3) | 2090 (2) | -431 (3) | 86 (1) |
| O (5) | -3057 (3) | 2822 (2) | -2768 (3) | 87 (1) |
| O (6) | -116 (2) | 4125 (1) | -489 (2) | 53 (1) |
| C (2) | 631 (4) | 1571 (2) | -1269 (3) | 54 (1) |
| C (3D) | 1047 (3) | 2089 (2) | -2610 (3) | 49 (1) |
| C (3) | 694 (3) | 2257 (2) | -1720 (3) | 46 (1) |
| C (4) | 1283 (4) | 2478 (2) | -3307 (3) | 58 (1) |
| C (5) | 1576 (5) | 2183 (3) | -4063 (4) | 75 (1) |
| C (6) | 1640 (5) | 1511 (3) | -4109 (4) | 82 (1) |
| C (7D) | 1107 (4) | 1410 (2) | -2674 (3) | 54 (1) |
| C (7) | 1399 (4) | 1106 (2) | -3421 (4) | 71 (1) |
| C (8) | 1525 (3) | 2731 (2) | -896 (3) | 47 (1) |
| C (9) | 968 (3) | 3409 (2) | -1230 (3) | 44 (1) |
| C (11) | -970 (3) | 3826 (2) | -2340 (3) | 45 (1) |
| C (12) | -1210 (3) | 3957 (2) | -1368 (3) | 49 (1) |
| C (13) | 859 (3) | 3777 (2) | -319 (3) | 48 (1) |
| C (14) | 2793 (4) | 2687 (2) | -781 (3) | 68 (1) |
| C (15) | 4376 (6) | 1928 (4) | -369 (7) | 129 (3) |
| C (16) | 4332 (8) | 1603 (7) | -1252 (9) | 193 (5) |
| C (17) | -497 (3) | 2615 (2) | -2047 (2) | 44 (1) |
| C (18) | -1473 (3) | 2366 (2) | -3051 (3) | 54 (1) |
| C (19) | -2667 (4) | 2211 (2) | -3025 (3) | 62 (1) |
| C (20) | -2586 (5) | 1687 (3) | -2230 (5) | 95 (2) |
| C (21) | -3502 (5) | 1992 (4) | -4103 (5) | 110 (2) |
| C (22) | -4104 (7) | 2809 (4) | -2563 (10) | 183 (5) |
| C (23) | -2114 (3) | 4478 (2) | -1453 (3) | 54 (1) |
| C (24) | -3134 (4) | 4508 (3) | -2348 (4) | 80 (1) |
| C (25) | -4020 (4) | 4950 (3) | -2429 (5) | 90 (2) |
| C (26) | -3902 (5) | 5362 (2) | -1629 (5) | 87 (2) |
| C (27) | -2882 (5) | 5349 (2) | -747 (5) | 81 (1) |
| C (28) | -1986 (4) | 4910 (2) | -666 (3) | 66 (1) |
| C (29) | -563 (3) | 4403 (2) | -2814 (3) | 46 (1) |
| C (30) | -350 (4) | 5026 (2) | -2392 (3) | 60 (1) |
| C (31) | -7 (4) | 5524 (2) | -2890 (4) | 72 (1) |
| C (32) | 132 (4) | 5406 (2) | -3800 (4) | 74 (1) |
| C (33) | -68 (4) | 4789 (3) | -4223 (4) | 75 (1) |
| C (34) | -398 (4) | 4286 (2) | -3726 (3) | 60 (1) |
| N(1B) | -1356 (3) | 2210 (2) | 1323 (3) | 65 (1) |
| N (10B) | 1428 (3) | 3650 (1) | 3321 (2) | 46 (1) |
| O (18) | -511 (3) | 2757 (1) | 368 (2) | 66 (1) |


| O (2B) | 646 (3) | 5108 (1) | 1677 (2) | 69 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | -1695 (3) | 4625 (2) | 2143 (3) | 90 (1) |
| O (4B) | -2422 (3) | 3772 (2) | 1085 (3) | 74 (1) |
| O (5B) | 3473 (3) | 2538 (1) | 3065 (2) | 70 (1) |
| O (6B) | 2393 (2) | 4696 (1) | 2521 (2) | 56 (1) |
| C (2B) | -698 (3) | 2682 (2) | 1150 (3) | 51 (1) |
| C (3B) | -199 (3) | 3116 (2) | 2127 (3) | 44 (1) |
| C (3C) | -829 (3) | 2840 (2) | 2778 (3) | 50 (1) |
| C (4B) | -833 (4) | 3041 (2) | 3717 (3) | 63 (1) |
| C (5B) | -1507 (5) | 2684 (3) | 4119 (4) | 80 (1) |
| C (6B) | -2138 (5) | 2152 (3) | 3617 (5) | 90 (2) |
| C (7C) | -1477 (4) | 2301 (2) | 2265 (3) | 59 (1) |
| C (7B) | -2141 (5) | 1945 (2) | 2684 (5) | 82 (1) |
| C (8B) | -373 (3) | 3853 (2) | 1870 (3) | 46 (1) |
| C (9B) | 682 (31 | 4186 (2) | 2722 (3) | 48 (1) |
| C (11B) | 2664 (3) | 3848 (2) | 3855 (3) | 49 (1) |
| C (12B) | 3125 (3) | 4149 (2) | 3098 (3) | 50 (1) |
| C (13B) | 1241 (3) | 4688 (2) | 2253 (3) | 51 (1) |
| C (14B) | -1553 (4) | 4128 (2) | 1745 (3) | 58 (1) |
| C (15B) | -3629 (5) | 3976 (3) | 861 (6) | 104 (2) |
| C (16B) | -4057 (7) | 3684 (6) | 1560 (9) | 168 (4) |
| C (17B) | 1151 (3) | 3064 (2) | 2637 (3) | 44 (1) |
| C (18B) | 1672 (3) | 2435 (2) | 3245 (3) | 49 (1) |
| C (19B) | 2562 (4) | 2062 (2) | 2950 (3) | 55 (1) |
| C (20B) | 1990 (5) | 1801 (3) | 1842 (3) | 78 (1) |
| C (21B) | 3072 (5) | 1494 (2) | 3707 (4) | 76 (1) |
| C (22B) | 4370 (6) | 2350 (4) | 2736 (6) | 117 (2) |
| C (23B) | 4382 (3) | 4394 (2) | 3567 (3) | 57 (1) |
| C (24B) | 5258 (4) | 3997 (2) | 4244 (4) | 80 (1) |
| C (25B) | 6408 (5) | 4224 (3) | 4674 (5) | 98 (21 |
| C (26B) | 6710 (5) | 4830 (4) | 4461 (6) | 129 (3) |
| C (27B) | 5866 (6) | 5219 (4) | 3801 (8) | 151 (4) |
| C (28B) | 4703 (5) | 5006 (3) | 3348 (6) | 112 (2) |
| C (29B) | 2791 (3) | 4251 (2) | 4806 (3) | 54 (1) |
| C (30B) | 2772 (4) | 4927 (2) | 4829 (4) | 77 (1) |
| C (31B) | 2824 (5) | 5245 (3) | 5729 (5) | 95 (2) |
| C (32B) | 2921 (5) | 4916 (4) | 6582 (5) | 97 (2) |
| C (33B) | 2972 (5) | 4238 (4) | 6591 (4) | 96 (2) |
| C (34B) | 2899 (4) | 3914 (3) | 5696 (3) | 78 (1) |
| O (7) | -1397 (7) | 984 (3) | 187 (4) | 169 (3) |
| C (35) | -2207 (10) | 474 (4) | -176 (7) | 154 (3) |
| C (36) | -2987 (9) | 309 (8) | 453 (8) | 211 (6) |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ l for 90 .

| C (4) - C (3D) - C (7D) | 119.8 (3) | C (4) - C (3D) - C (3) | 131.7 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C (7D) - C (3D) -C (3) | 108.5 (3) | C (3D) -C (3) -C (17) | 114.3 (3) |
| C (3D) - C (3) - C (8) | 115.9 (3) | C (17) - C (3) - C (8) | 101.6 (3) |
| C (3D) - C (3) - C (2) | 102.1 (3) | C (17) - C (3)-C (2) | 110.7 (3) |
| C (8) - C (3)-C (2) | 112.6 (3) | C (3D) - C (4) - C (5) | 119.0 (4) |
| C (6) - C (5) - C (4) | 120.4 (4) | C (5) - C (6) - C (7) | 121.8 (4) |
| C (7) - C (7D) - C (3D) | 122.1 (4) | C (7) - C (7D) -N (1) | 128.3 (4) |
| C (3D) - C (7D) - N (1) | 109.6 (3) | C (7D) - C (7) - C (6) | 116.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 114.0 (3) | C (14) - C (8) - C (3) | 113.4 (3) |
| C (9)-C (8) - C (3) | 104.5 (3) | N (10) - C (9) - C (8) | 105.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 117.6 (3) | C (8) - C (9) - C (13) | 111.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{C}$ (11) - C (12) | 110.0 (3) | N (10) - C (11)-C (29) | 108.6 (3) |
| C (12) - C (11) - C (29) | 117.7 (3) | O (6) - C (12)-C (23) | 108.0 (3) |
| O (6) - C (12) - C (11) | 110.7 (3) | C (23) - C (12)-C (11) | 116.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 119.4 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 121.6 (3) |
| O (6) - C (13) - C (9) | 118.7 (3) | O (3) - C (14) - O (4) | 125.1 (4) |
| O (3)-C (14)-C (8) | 125.7 (4) | O (4) - C (14) - C (8) | 109.2 (4) |
| C (16)-C (15)-O (4) | 111.5 (7) | N (10) - C (17) - C (18) | 112.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 99.6 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 115.4 (3) |
| C (19)-C (18) - C (17) | 117.6 (3) | 0 (5) - C (19)-C (20) | 111.0 (4) |
| O (5) - C (19)-C (18) | 104.5 (3) | C (20) - C (19)-C (18) | 112.2 (4) |
| O (5) - C (19)-C (21) | 110.0 (4) | C (20) - C (19)-C (21) | 110.2 (4) |
| C (18) - C (19)-C (21) | 108.7 (4) | C (28) - C (23)-C (24) | 118.5 (4) |
| C (28) - C (23) - C (12) | 122.7 (4) | C (24)-C (23)-C (12) | 118.7 (4) |
| C (23) - C (24) - C (25) | 120.5 (5) | C (26) - C (25) - C (24) | 120.6 (5) |
| C (25) - C (26) - C (27) | 119.5 (5) | C (26) - C (27) - C (28) | 120.1 (5) |
| C (23) - C (28) - C (27) | 120.7 (5) | C (30) - C (29) - C (34) | 118.6 (3) |
| C (30) - C (29) - C (11) | 124.0 (3) | C (34) - C (29) - C (11) | 117.3 (3) |
| C (29) - C (30) - C (31) | 120.1 (4) | C (32)-C (31)-C (30) | 120.8 (4) |
| C (33) - C (32) - C (31) | 119.8 (4) | C (32)-C (33)-C (34) | 119.9 (4) |
| C (33)-C (34) - C (29) | 120.8 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{IB})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{C})$ | 111.8 (3) |
| C (9B) - N (10B) - C(11B) | 112.4 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(17 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(17 \mathrm{~B})$ | 118.7 (3) | $C$ (14B) - 0 (4B) - C (15B) | 118.2 (4) |
| C (22B) - 0 (5B) - C (19B) | 117.2 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-0$ (6B) - C (12B) | 120.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{lB})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{lB})$ | 126.6 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{lB})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 125.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{lB})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.1 (3) | C (3C) - C (3B)-C (17B) | 114.3 (3) |
| C (3C) - C (3B)-C (8B) | 116.4 (3) | C (17B) - C (3B) - C (8B) | 101.6 (3) |
| C (3C) - C (3B)-C (2B) | 101.7 (3) | C (17B) - C (3B)-C (2B) | 110.8 (3) |
| C (8B) - C (3B) - C (2B) | 112.4 (3) | $C(7 C)-C(3 C)-C(4 B)$ | 120.9 (4) |
| C (7C) - C (3C)-C (3B) | 108.0 (3) | C (4B) - C (3C) - C (3B) | 131.1 (3) |
| C (5B) - C (4B)-C (3C) | 117.5 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 121.6 (5) |
| C (5B) - C (6B) - C (7B) | 121.7 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{IB})$ | 110.0 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 129.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{C})$ | 117.5 (5) | C (14B) - C (8B) - C (9B) | 114.2 (3) |
| C (14B) - C (8B) - C (3B) | 115.5 (3) | C (9B) - C (8B) - C (3B) | 104.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 117.5 (3) | $N(10 B)-C(9 B)-C(8 B)$ | 105.4 (3) |
| C (13B) - C (9B) - C (8B) | 110.5 (3) | $\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.8 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(29 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.9 (3) | C (12B) - C (11B) - C (29B) | 117.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.1 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 112.1 (3) |
| C (23B) - C (12B) - C (11B) | 115.6 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-0(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 118.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.5 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 120.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 124.1 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 124.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.9 (3) | C (16B) - C (15B) - O (4B) | 111.0 (6) |


| $\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(17 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~B})$ | $111.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(10 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(17 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | $100.2(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(17 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | $117.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(17 \mathrm{~B})$ | $117.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~B})$ | $104.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | $112.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | $110.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | $110.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | $109.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(21 \mathrm{~B})$ | $110.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(24 \mathrm{~B})$ | $117.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(28 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | $122.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | $119.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(24 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~B})$ | $119.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(25 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(24 \mathrm{~B})$ | $122.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(26 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(25 \mathrm{~B})$ | $118.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(28 \mathrm{~B})$ | $120.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(28 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~B})$ | $121.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(29 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~B})$ | $118.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(34 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(29 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $117.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(29 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $124.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~B})$ | $119.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~B})$ | $122.1(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(32 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | $119.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(33 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(34 \mathrm{~B})$ | $118.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(34 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | $121.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $117.6(8)$ |  |  |

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left[\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right]$ for 90 .
The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:
$-2 \pi^{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{ha}^{*}\right) 2 \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hka}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}\right]$

|  | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N(1) | 99 (3) | 33 (2) | 61 (2) | -4 (1) | 35 (2) | 2 (2) |
| N(10) | 57 (2) | 37 (1) | 38 (1) | 3 (1) | 18 (1) | -1 (1) |
| O (1) | 113 (3) | 52 (2) | 52 (2) | 7 (1) | 39 (2) | -8 (2) |
| O (2) | 71 (21 | 63 (2) | 47 (1) | -9 (1) | 9 (1) | -1 (1) |
| O (3) | 65 (2) | 78 (2) | 161 (4) | 12 (2) | 46 (2) | -6 (2) |
| O (4) | 78 (2) | 67 (2) | 106 (3) | 7 (2) | 30 (2) | 20 (21 |
| O (5) | 72 (2) | 64 (2) | 134 (3) | -9 (2) | 51 (2) | -11(2) |
| O (6) | 67 (2) | 44 (1) | 44 (1) | -4 (1) | 19 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (2) | 74 (3) | 41 (2) | 4412) | 3 (2) | 20 (21 | -5 (2) |
| C (3D) | 58 (2) | 46 (2) | 44 (2) | 1 (2) | 22 (2) | 3 (2) |
| C (3) | 63 (2) | 38 (2) | 40 (2) | 1 (1) | 22 (2) | 0 (2) |
| C (4) | 79 (3) | 50 (2) | 56 (2) | 3 (2) | 37 (2) | -1 (2) |
| C (5) | 99 (3) | 79 (3) | 64 (3) | 0 (2) | 51 (3) | -4 (3) |
| C (6) | 99 (4) | 92 (4) | 72 (3) | -18(3) | 54 (3) | -7 (3) |
| C (7D) | 68 (2) | 49 (2) | 48 (2) | -2 (2) | 27 (2) | -1 (2) |
| C (7) | 92 (3) | 57 (3) | 70 (3) | -13(2) | 40 (3) | 5 (2) |
| C (8) | 59 (2) | 38 (2) | 40 (2) | 0 (1) | 17 (2) | 1 (2) |
| C (9) | 52 (2) | 36 (2) | 44 (2) | 3 (1) | 18 (2) | -6 (1) |
| C (11) | 50 (2) | 39 (2) | 44 (2) | 3 (1) | 16 (2) | -2 (2) |
| C (12) | 55 (2) | 40 (2) | 51 (2) | 0 (2) | 21 (2) | -3 (2) |
| C (13) | 60 (2) | 35 (2) | 47 (2) | -2 (2) | 18 (2) | -3 (2) |
| C (14) | 62 (3) | 62 (3) | 68 (3) | -5 (2) | 13 (2) | 10 (2) |
| C (15) | 78 (4) | 112 (5) | 182 (8) | -3 (5) | 35 (5) | 40 (4) |
| C (16) | 116 (7) | 297 (16) | 194 (10) | -37 (11) | 91 (7) | 39 (8) |
| C (17) | 59 (2) | 40 (2) | 39 (2) | -3 (1) | 24 (2) | -6 (2) |
| C (18) | 62 (2) | 57 (2) | 43 (2) | -9 (2) | 20 (2) | -12 (2) |
| C (19) | 64 (2) | 50 (2) | 68 (2) | -8(2) | 21 (2) | -17 (2) |
| C (20) | 99 (4) | 82 (3) | 110 (4) | 8 (3) | 48 (3) | -32 (3) |
| C (21) | 84 (4) | 139 (6) | 90 (4) | -23 (4) | 16 (3) | -42 (4) |
| C (22) | 118 (6) | 120 (6) | 372 (16) | -33 (8) | 162 (9) | -13 (5) |
| C (23) | 58 (2) | 43 (2) | 69 (2) | 3 (2) | 33 (2) | -4 (2) |
| C (24) | 59 (3) | 88 (3) | 86 (3) | -15 (3) | 21 (2) | 5 (2) |
| C (25) | 60 (3) | 85 (3) | 116 (4) | -10(3) | 23 (3) | 8 (3) |
| C (26) | 67 (3) | 64 (3) | 142 (5) | 7 (3) | 53 (3) | 14 (2) |
| C (27) | 96 (4) | 55 (3) | 109 (4) | 7 (3) | 60 (3) | 14 (2) |
| C (28) | 81 (3) | 55 (2) | 67 (2) | 7 (2) | 36 (2) | 5 (2) |
| C (29) | 46 (2) | 44 (2) | 44 (2) | 10 (2) | 13 (2) | 2 (1) |
| C (30) | 66 (2) | 47 (2) | 72 (2) | 4 (2) | 34 (2) | 0 (2) |
| C (31) | 76 (3) | 42 (2) | 107 (4) | 11 (2) | 47 (3) | 3 (2) |
| C (32) | 65 (3) | 69 (3) | 91 (3) | 40 (3) | 33 (2) | 3 (2) |
| C (33) | 71 (3) | 92 (4) | 64 (3) | 19 (2) | 30 (2) | -4 (2) |
| C (34) | 65 (2) | 60 (2) | 53 (2) | 11 (2) | 23 (2) | -2 (2) |
| N(1B) | 85 (2) | 45 (2) | 61 (2) | -10 (2) | 25 (2) | -15 (2) |
| N (10B) | 55 (2) | 39 (1) | 42 (1) | -3 (1) | 18 (1) | -1 (1) |
| O (1B) | 89 (2) | 64 (2) | 43 (1) | -7 (1) | 26 (1) | 0 (2) |
| O (2B) | 69 (2) | 44 (1) | 90 (2) | 15 (1) | 28 (2) | 9 (1) |
| O (3B) | 73 (2) | 66 (2) | 137 (3) | -26 (2) | 47 (2) | 8 (2) |
| O (4B) | 56 (2) | 62 (2) | 93 (2) | 2 (2) | 17 (2) | 0 (1) |
| O (5B) | 73 (2) | 114 (6) | 93 (2) | -3 (2) | 52 (2) | 1 (1) |


| O (6B) | 53 (2) | 49 (1) | 61 (1) | 8 (1) | 19 (1) | -5 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C (2B) | 67 (2) | 39 (2) | 45 (2) | -1 (2) | 21 (2) | 2 (2) |
| C (3B) | 54 (2) | 38 (2) | 43 (2) | -2 (1) | 22 (2) | 1 (1) |
| C (3C) | 61 (2) | 42 (2) | 49 (2) | 8 (2) | 23 (2) | 2 (2) |
| C (4B) | 82 (3) | 58 (21 | 59 (2) | 2 (2) | 40 (2) | 2 (2) |
| C (5B) | 101 (4) | 85 (3) | 75 (3) | 8 (3) | 57 (3) | 2 (3) |
| C (6B) | 103 (4) | 87 (4) | 105 (4) | 24 (3) | 66 (4) | -3 (3) |
| C (7C) | 70 (2) | 43 (2) | 64 (2) | 6 (2) | 27 (2) | -1 (2) |
| C (7B) | 91 (3) | 59 (3) | 103 (4) | 6 (3) | 47 (3) | -19 (2) |
| C (8B) | 54 (2) | 40 (2) | 47 (2) | 1 (2) | 24 (2) | 4 (2) |
| C (9B) | 54 (2) | 45 (2) | 50 (2) | -3 (2) | 27 (2) | 2 (2) |
| C (11B) | 53 (2) | 46 (2) | 49 (2) | 0 (2) | 20 (2) | 2 (2) |
| C (12B) | 53 (2) | 47 (2) | 48 (2) | 1 (2) | 17 (2) | 3 (2) |
| C (13B) | 60 (21 | 35 (2) | 57 (2) | -2 (2) | 23 (2) | -2 (2) |
| C (14B) | 56 (2) | 44 (2) | 71 (2) | 3 (2) | 21 (2) | 1 (2) |
| C (15B) | 61 (3) | 100 (4) | 142 (5) | 22 (4) | 31 (3) | -1 (3) |
| C (16B) | 100 (5) | 197 (10) | 223 (10) | 47 (9) | 80 (6) | 22 (6) |
| C (17B) | 56 (2) | 38 (2) | 42 (2) | 1 (1) | 24 (2) | 2 (2) |
| C (18B) | 60 (2) | 42 (2) | 47 (2) | 0 (2) | 25 (2) | 1 (2) |
| C (19B) | 66 (2) | 48 (2) | 53 (2) | 2 (2) | 26 (2) | 10 (2) |
| C (20B) | 95 (3) | 78 (3) | 60 (2) | -15 (2) | 31 (2) | 19 (3) |
| C (21B) | 84 (3) | 66 (3) | 78 (3) | 16 (2) | 32 (3) | 24 (2) |
| C (22B) | 102 (4) | 120 (5) | 165 (6) | -13 (5) | 91 (5) | 5 (4) |
| C (23B) | 55 (2) | 55 (2) | 61 (2) | -1 (2) | 23 (2) | -1 (2) |
| C (24B) | 63 (3) | 72 (3) | 92 (3) | 14 (3) | 18 (2) | -3 (2) |
| C (25B) | 61 (3) | 109 (4) | 109 (4) | 27 (4) | 16 (3) | 8 (3) |
| C (26B) | 55 (3) | 127 (6) | 164 (7) | 34 (5) | 2 (4) | -19 (3) |
| C (27B) | 79 (4) | 96 (5) | 237 (9) | 41 (6) | 19 (5) | -27 (4) |
| C (28B) | 65 (3) | 83 (4) | 163 (6) | 44 (4) | 18 (3) | -12 (3) |
| C (29B) | 50 (2) | 63 (21 | 48 (2) | -7 (2) | 18 (2) | -3 (2) |
| C (30B) | 90 (3) | 63 (3) | 69 (3) | -14 (2) | 23 (2) | 7 (2) |
| C (31B) | 98 (4) | 87 (4) | 92 (4) | -38 (3) | 29 (3) | 9 (3) |
| C (32B) | 67 (3) | 151 (6) | 76 (3) | -59 (4) | 30 (3) | -21 (3) |
| C (33B) | 92 (4) | 142 (6) | 57 (3) | -20 (3) | 35 (3) | -34 (4) |
| C (34B) | 87 (3) | 88 (3) | 59 (3) | -13 (2) | 27 (2) | -24 (3) |
| O (7) | 257 (7) | 129 (4) | 94 (3) | 8 (3) | 39 (4) | -95 (5) |
| O (35) | 218 (9) | 61 (2) | 131 (6) | -12 (5) | 70 (7) | 3 (7) |
| O (36) | 166 (9) | 342 (18) | 144 (8) | 75 (10) | 82 (7) | -2 (10) |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for 90 .

| H (1A) | 843 (3) | 705 (2) | -1788 (3) | 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H (4A) | 1247 (4) | 2929 (2) | -3272 (3) | 70 |
| H (5A) | 1729 (5) | 2438 (3) | -4539 (4) | 90 |
| H (6A) | 1850 (5) | 1324 (3) | -4612 (4) | 98 |
| H (7A) | 1432 (4) | 655 (2) | -3460 (4) | 85 |
| H (8A) | 1495 (3) | 2624 (2) | -231 (3) | 56 |
| H (9A) | 1494 (3) | 3662 (2) | -1454 (3) | 53 |
| H (11A) | -1707 (3) | 3671 (2) | -2869 (3) | 54 |
| H (12A) | -1496 (3) | 3549 (2) | -1193 (3) | 58 |
| H (15A) | 4831 (6) | 2324 (4) | -281 (7) | 155 |
| H (15B) | 4767 (6) | 1654 (4) | 228 (7) | 155 |
| H (16A) | 5112 (8) | 1502 (7) | -1186 (9) | 290 |
| H (16B) | 3960 (8) | 1877 (7) | -1842 (9) | 290 |
| H (16C) | 3891 (8) | 1208 (7) | -1335 (9) | 290 |
| H (17A) | -770 (3) | 2597 (2) | -1485 (2) | 53 |
| H (18A) | -1340 (3) | 2311 (2) | -3649 (3) | 65 |
| H (20A) | -3351 (5) | 1606 (3) | -2240 (5) | 143 |
| H (20B) | -2075 (5) | 1834 (3) | -1557 (5) | 143 |
| H (20C) | -2280 (5) | 1293 (3) | -2394 (5) | 143 |
| H (21A) | -3545 (5) | 2324 (4) | -4595 (5) | 165 |
| H (21B) | -4267 (5) | 1919 (4) | -4107 (5) | 165 |
| H (21C) | -3216 (5) | 1595 (4) | -4280 (5) | 165 |
| H (22A) | -4277 (7) | 3240 (4) | -2403 (10) | 275 |
| H (22B) | -3988 (7) | 2526 (4) | -1990 (10) | 275 |
| H (22C) | -4745 (7) | 2651 (4) | -3160 (10) | 275 |
| H (24A) | -3224 (4) | 4231 (3) | -2895 (4) | 96 |
| H (25A) | -4695 (4) | 4965 (3) | -3030 (5) | 109 |
| H (26A) | -4504 (5) | 5648 (2) | -1680 (5) | 105 |
| H (27A) | -2790 (5) | 5633 (2) | -208 (5) | 97 |
| H (28A) | -1298 (4) | 4909 (2) | -75 (3) | 79 |
| H (30A) | -435 (4) | 5111 (2) | -1779 (3) | 72 |
| H (31A) | 129 (4) | 5940 (2) | -2606 (4) | 86 |
| H (32A) | 359 (4) | 5741 (2) | -4125 (4) | 89 |
| H (33A) | 18 (4) | 4708 (3) | -4837 (4) | 90 |
| H (34A) | -511 (4) | 3869 (2) | -4004 (3) | 72 |
| H (1BA) | -1663 (3) | 1892 (2) | 908 (3) | 78 |
| H (4BA) | -402 (4) | 3401 (2) | 4063 (3) | 75 |
| H (5BA) | -1529 (5) | 2810 (3) | 4743 (4) | 96 |
| H (6BA) | -2575 (5) | 1924 (3) | 3911 (5) | 108 |
| H (7BA) | -2570 (5) | 1582 (2) | 2348 (5) | 98 |
| H (8BA) | -286 (3) | 3919 (2) | 1216 (3) | 55 |
| H (9BA) | 386 (3) | 4420 (2) | 3175 (3) | 57 |
| H (11B) | 3112 (3) | 3447 (2) | 4111 (3) | 59 |
| H (12B) | 3088 (3) | 3811 (2) | 2596 (3) | 60 |
| H (15C) | -3659 (5) | 4446 (3) | 912 (6) | 125 |
| H (15D) | -4127 (5) | 3852 (3) | 162 (6) | 125 |
| H (16D) | -4847 (7) | 3825 (6) | 1398 (9) | 252 |
| H (16E) | -3572 (7) | 3812 (6) | 2250 (9) | 252 |
| H (16F) | -4040 (7) | 3219 (6) | 1502 (9) | 252 |


| H (17B) | $1470(7)$ | $3127(2)$ | $2110(3)$ | 53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H (18B) | $2046(3)$ | $2548(2)$ | $3969(3)$ | 59 |
| H (18C) | $1030(3)$ | $2143(2)$ | $3168(3)$ | 59 |
| H (20D) | $2562(5)$ | $1569(3)$ | $1674(3)$ | 116 |
| H (20E) | $1691(5)$ | $2159(3)$ | $1374(3)$ | 116 |
| H (20F) | $1359(5)$ | $1513(3)$ | $1786(3)$ | 116 |
| H (21D) | $3628(5)$ | $1260(2)$ | $3521(4)$ | 114 |
| H (21E) | $2451(5)$ | $1207(2)$ | $3679(4)$ | 114 |
| H (21F) | $3454(5)$ | $1663(2)$ | $4393(4)$ | 114 |
| H (22D) | $4916(6)$ | $2702(4)$ | $2850(6)$ | 175 |
| H (22E) | $4030(6)$ | $2246(4)$ | $2016(6)$ | 175 |
| H (22F) | $4772(6)$ | $1976(4)$ | $3120(6)$ | 175 |
| H (24B) | $5077(4)$ | $3584(2)$ | $4407(4)$ | 96 |
| H (25B) | $6988(5)$ | $3955(3)$ | $5121(5)$ | 118 |
| H (26B) | $7482(5)$ | $4973(4)$ | $4763(6)$ | 154 |
| H (27B) | $6062(6)$ | $5632(4)$ | $3647(8)$ | 181 |
| H (28B) | $4136(5)$ | $5279(3)$ | $2895(6)$ | 134 |
| H (30B) | $2727(4)$ | $5168(2)$ | $4256(4)$ | 92 |
| H (31B) | $2789(5)$ | $5698(3)$ | $5734(5)$ | 114 |
| H (32B) | $2954(5)$ | $5140(4)$ | $7165(5)$ | 117 |
| H (33B) | $3053(5)$ | $4006(4)$ | $7180(4)$ | 115 |
| H (34B) | $2924(4)$ | $3462(3)$ | $5695(3)$ | 94 |
| H (7B) | $-1045(7)$ | $1028(3)$ | $-193(4)$ | 254 |
| H (35A) | $-1781(10)$ | $84(4)$ | $-203(7)$ | 185 |
| H (35B) | $-2730(10)$ | $577(4)$ | $-877(7)$ | 185 |
| H (36A) | $-3495(9)$ | $-50(8)$ | $133(8)$ | 316 |
| H (36B) | $-3449(9)$ | $682(8)$ | $460(8)$ | 316 |
| H (36C) | $-2489(9)$ | $194(8)$ | $1147(8)$ | 316 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 3

## X-ray Crystal Structure Data for Compound 94



Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 94.

| Identification code | rw11m |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C33 H32 N2 O6 |
| Formula weight | 552.61 |
| Temperature | 167(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.3761(7) \AA \quad \alpha=108.640(2)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.3319(9) \AA \quad \beta=97.220(2)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=13.1524(10) \AA \quad \gamma=97.298(2)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1406.76 (18) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.305 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.090 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 584 |
| Crystal size | $0.08 \times 0.20 \times 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.66 to $28.36^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-10<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=16,-15<=1<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 9233 |
| Independent reflections | $6374[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0502]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=28.36^{\circ}$ | 90.4\% |
| Absorption correction | SADABS |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6374/0/371 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.975 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{l}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0766, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1702$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1640, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2116$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.004(2) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.514 and $-0.510 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{\AA}{ }^{-3}$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for rw11m. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{j}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 6923(2) | -38(2) | 5575(2) | 28(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 8929(3) | -3119(2) | 4138(2) | 39(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 6686(3) | -2671(2) | 3967(2) | 37(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 9754(2) | 2411(2) | 8796(2) | 27(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 10068(3) | 433(2) | 6791(2) | 46(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 11619(3) | 1633(2) | 9252(2) | 41(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 4738(3) | -1164(2) | 5494(2) | 28(1) |
| N (2) | 8206(3) | 130(2) | 7832(2) | 24(1) |
| C(1) | 6215(4) | -871(3) | 5730(3) | 25(1) |
| C (2) | 4263(4) | -2221(3) | 5649(3) | 24(1) |
| C(3) | 2849(4) | -2813(3) | 5433(3) | 29(1) |
| C(4) | 2651(4) | -3855(3) | 5658(3) | 31(1) |
| C(5) | 3813(4) | -4248(3) | 6077(3) | 29(1) |
| C(6) | 5218(4) | -3641(3) | 6291 (3) | 26(1) |
| C(7) | 5468(3) | -2610(3) | 6068(3) | 20(1) |
| C(8) | 6830(3) | -1703(3) | 6253(3) | 20(1) |
| C(9) | 8140(3) | -2139(3) | 5762(3) | 22(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 8751(4) | -2990(3) | 6266(3) | 28(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 8744(4) | -2675(3) | 7467(3) | 26(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 8150(3) | -1802(3) | 8006(3) | 25(1) |
| C(13) | $7798(4)$ | -2666(3) | 4532(3) | 27(1) |
| C(14) | 8741(5) | -3609(3) | 2962(3) | 48(1) |
| C(15) | 9403(4) | -3467(3) | 8000(3) | 38(1) |
| C(16) | 7357(4) | -1051(3) | 7513(3) | 22(1) |
| C(17) | 7393(4) | 1093(3) | 8132(3) | $25(1)$ |
| C(18) | 8351(4) | 2177(3) | 8083(3) | 26(1) |
| C(19) | 10453(4) | 1499(3) | 8687(3) | 27(1) |
| C(20) | 9709(4) | 356(3) | 7823(3) | 26(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 6908(4) | 1275(3) | 9229(3) | 25(1) |
| C(22) | 5562(4) | 1601(3) | 9380(3) | 34(1) |
| C(23) | 5134(4) | 1815(3) | 10383(4) | 41(1) |


| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $6043(4)$ | $1729(3)$ | $11251(3)$ | $39(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $7351(4)$ | $1378(3)$ | $11103(3)$ | $40(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $7775(4)$ | $1134(3)$ | $10087(3)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $7675(4)$ | $3253(3)$ | $8423(3)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $8110(4)$ | $4109(3)$ | $9448(3)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $7425(5)$ | $5064(3)$ | $9748(4)$ | $48(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $6295(5)$ | $5182(3)$ | $9039(4)$ | $50(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $5857(5)$ | $4356(3)$ | $8030(4)$ | $49(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $6549(4)$ | $3392(3)$ | $7709(3)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $11556(5)$ | $256(4)$ | $6625(5)$ | $68(2)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 94.

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.238(4) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.574(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.344(4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.512(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.447(4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.540(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.200(4) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.501(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.351(4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.317(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.454(4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.520(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.468(4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.507(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \mathrm{C}(33)$ | 1.472(5) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.524(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.202(4) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.537(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.355(4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.506(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.409(4) | $\mathrm{C}(19)$-C(20) | 1.514(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$-C(20) | 1.403(4) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.376(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$-C(17) | 1.467(4) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.390 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$-C(16) | $1.471(4)$ | C(22)-C(23) | 1.381(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.537(4) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.378(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.378(4) | C(24)-C(25) | 1.367(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.401(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.393(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.403(5) | C(27)-C(32) | 1.389(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.371(5) | C(27)-C(28) | 1.393(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.377(5) | C(28)-C(29) | 1.383(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.391 (4) | C(29)-C(30) | 1.376(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.526(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.363(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.536(4) | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.398(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 115.6(3) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 123.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 116.1(2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 127.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 114.5(3) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 109.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 116.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 120.2(3) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 121.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 123.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 121.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 116.6(2) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 119.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 124.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 118.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 126.6(3) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 133.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 109.0(3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 108.4(3) |


| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $116.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $109.9(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $101.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $113.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $111.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $119.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $109.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $123.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $108.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $116.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $109.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $114.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $112.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $111.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $105.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $111.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $118.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $114.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $121.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $122.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $119.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $122.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $120.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $115.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $120.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $125.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $119.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $123.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $120.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $125.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $120.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $110.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $118.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $111.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $119.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $116.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $122.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $109.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $120.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $112.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $120.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $107.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $119.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $113.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $120.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $107.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $120.3(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for rw11m. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*} b^{*} U^{12}\right]$ for 94.

|  | $\mathrm{U}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $36(2)$ | $20(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $8(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $40(2)$ | $42(2)$ | $27(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $25(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $45(2)$ | $46(2)$ | $47(2)$ | $13(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $27(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $47(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $26(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $17(1)$ | $30(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $26(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $9(1)$ | $7(2)$ | $8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $20(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $10(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $23(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $42(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $32(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $25(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $20(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $21(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $8(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $20(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $8(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $24(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $24(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $13(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $26(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $33(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $63(3)$ | $46(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $38(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $46(3)$ | $19(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $23(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $24(2)$ | $17(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $26(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $7(1)$ | $-4(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $25(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $13(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $24(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $10(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $26(2)$ | $17(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $3(2)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $26(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $43(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $27(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $57(3)$ | $13(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $12(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $38(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $33(2)$ | $55(3)$ | $36(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $27(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $33(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $46(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $56(3)$ | $28(2)$ | $50(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $49(3)$ | $23(2)$ | $77(3)$ | $15(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $49(3)$ | $32(2)$ | $59(3)$ | $17(2)$ | $-15(2)$ | $9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $46(3)$ | $27(2)$ | $42(2)$ | $10(2)$ | $-12(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $51(3)$ | $61(3)$ | $107(5)$ | $35(3)$ | $44(3)$ | $20(3)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for 94.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4154 | -748 | 5273 | 33 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 2050 | -2531 | 5147 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1696 | -4295 | 5519 | 37 |
| H(5A) | 3646 | -4956 | 6222 | 35 |
| H(6A) | 6008 | -3923 | 6588 | 31 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 8931 | -1445 | 5949 | 26 |
| H(10A) | 8165 | -3777 | 5885 | 33 |
| H(10B) | 9766 | -3021 | 6140 | 33 |
| H(12A) | 8228 | -1633 | 8770 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{~A})$ | 9618 | -3915 | 2756 | 73 |
| H(14B) | 8586 | -3004 | 2647 | 73 |
| H(14C) | 7893 | -4241 | 2688 | 73 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~A})$ | 9784 | -4056 | 7459 | 57 |
| H(15B) | 8650 | -3851 | 8286 | 57 |
| H(15C) | 10201 | -3005 | 8598 | 57 |
| H(16A) | 6452 | -968 | 7840 | 26 |
| H(17A) | 6495 | 897 | 7564 | 30 |
| H(18A) | 8521 | 2023 | 7318 | 31 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 10157 | -283 | 7975 | 31 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4934 | 1676 | 8790 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4206 | 2024 | 10474 | 49 |
| H(24A) | 5764 | 1912 | 11948 | 46 |
| H(25A) | 7972 | 1299 | 11695 | 48 |
| H(26A) | 8671 | 868 | 9986 | 38 |
| H(28A) | 8886 | 4037 | 9947 | 44 |
| H(29A) | 7737 | 5642 | 10449 | 58 |
| $\mathrm{H}(30 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5822 | 5836 | 9250 | 60 |
| H(31A) | 5074 | 4435 | 7541 | 58 |
| H(32A) | 6247 | 2831 | 6998 | 49 |
| H(33A) | 11708 | 321 | 5922 | 102 |
| H(33B) | 11682 | -519 | 6631 | 102 |
| H(33C) | 12268 | 848 | 7212 | 102 |
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## The Asymmetric Total Synthesis of ( + )- and $(-)$-Spirotryprostatin B
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The spirotryprostatins, ${ }^{1}$ tryprostatins, ${ }^{2}$ and cyclotryprostatins ${ }^{3}$ represent a promising class of antimitotic arrest agents. Isolated from the fermentation broth of Aspergillus fumigatus, spirotryprostatin B has been shown to completely inhibit the G2/M progression of mammalian tsFT210 cells at concentrations over $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. While the cyclotryprostatins and tryprostatins have been shown to act by affecting microtubule assembly, ${ }^{4}$ much less is known about the spirotryprostatins due to the limited availability of these compounds. Fermentation of 400 L of culture medium yielded 1 mg of spirotryprostatin A and 11 mg of spirotryprostatin B (1), respectively.

Although numerous naturally occurring prenylated alkaloids derived from proline and tryptophan are known, ${ }^{5}$ the unique spirooxindole ring system distinguishes the spirotryprostatins. This unique structural array along with the limited quantities and the interesting biological activity render the spirotryprostatins attractive synthetic targets. Recently, the total synthesis of spirotryprostatin A was completed using the classical halohydrin to oxindole spiro-ring-forming contraction sequence. ${ }^{6}$ We have directed our research interests toward the total synthesis of the more biologically active congener, spirotryprostatin $B$, using an entirely new strategy to access this type of amino acid substructure.

In contemplating the synthesis of spirotryprostatin B, it was envisioned that the core pyrrolidine ring could be formed through an asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction, (Scheme 1).? Reaction of a chiral azomethine ylide of the type 3 with an oxindolylideneacetate 2 could set four contiguous stereogenic centers. Of these, the quaternary spirooxindolyl center at C3 and the adjacent C 18 center would have to be controlled in a relative and absolute sense culminating in amino acid 4. Standard peptide coupling protocol with protected proline 5 followed by cyclization would generate the diketopiperazine 6 . Completion of the synthesis mandates unmasking of the prenyl side-chain followed by oxidative decarboxylation. We record here the successful execution of this strategy.
We have previously reported that the addition of an aldehyde to 5,6 -diphenylmorpholin-2-one (7) generates a mixture of the corresponding $E$ - and $Z$-azomethine ylides with a preference for

[^6]
## Scheme 1



Scheme 2


the $E$-ylide in the cases of sterically demanding aldehydes. ${ }^{8}$ Dipolar cycloadditions of ylides generated from this system proceed with a high degree of endo selectivity to give substituted pyrrolidines. On the basis of this premise that a bulky isoprene aldehyde progenitor would favor the $E$-ylide geometry, the relative stereochemistry at the isoprene-bearing carbon (C18) of spirotryprostatin seemed attainable. However, it was more difficult to predict the regio- and stereochemical course at the C3 and consequently the C8 positions. Previous reports with azomethine ylides and oxindolylideneacetate dipolarophiles related to 2 suggested that the undesired regiochemistry may result from this type of cycloaddition. ${ }^{9}$ The reaction of oxazinone ${ }^{8} 7$ with aldehyde $8^{10}$ and oxindole $9^{11}$ in toluene at room temperature in the presence of $3 \AA \mathrm{~mol}$ sieves, however, afforded cycloadduct 11 in $82 \%$ yield.

[^7]Scheme 3


The relative and absolute stereochemistry of this substance was firmly secured through single-crystal X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information). The dipolar cycloaddition reaction of azomethine ylide 10 therefore must proceed via the E- $\beta$-exo transition state (shown in Scheme 2). ${ }^{12}$ This reaction, which sets four contiguous stereogenic centers, constructs the entire prenylated tryptophyl moiety of spirotryprostatin B in a single, simple operation.
With this key intermediate in hand, the synthesis of spirotryprostatin B required coupling of the spriooxindole amino acid with proline, and installation of the two olefinic units (Scheme 3 ). Thus, reductive cleavage of bibenzyl from oxazinone 11 proceeded in essentially quantitative yield affording the amino acid 12. Coupling with D-proline benzyl ester (BOP reagent, MeCN , triethylamine, $74 \%$ ) furnished the requisite dipeptide. ${ }^{13}$ It is interesting to note that the steric bulk of the environment around the amino group of $\mathbf{1 2}$ obviated the need for a protecting group during the peptide coupling procedure and the free amino acid 12 was directly and effectively used in the reaction. Deprotection of the benzyl ester under standard conditions followed by BOP-mediated cyclization generated the diketopiperazine 13 in $94 \%$ yield over 2 steps.

Several strategies were examined for the installation of the two olefinic units that had to be judiciously sequenced with the planned oxidative decarboxylation. Ultimately, it was found that installation of the isoprenyl unsaturation could be accomplished by subjecting 13 to dehydrating conditions in the presence of TsOH in refluxing toluene yielding 14 in $82-89 \%$ yield without the production of double bond isomers. It should be noted that hydrolysis of the ethyl ester of 14 under standard conditions
(9) (a) Grigg, R.; Basanagoudar, L. D.; Kennedy, D. A.; Malone, J. F.; Thianpatanagul, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2803-2806. (b) Grigg, R.: Aly, M. F.: Seidharan, V.; Thianpatanagul, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. Aly, M. F.. Seidharan, Wenianpatanagul, S. Sythem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
1984. 182-183. (c) Wenkert, E.; Liu, S. Synthesis 1992, 323-327. (d) 1984. 182-183. (c) Wenkert, E.; Liu, S. Synthesis 1992, 323-327. (d) Casaschi, A.; Faita, G.: Gamba Invernizzi, A.; Grunanger, P. Gazz Chim.
Ital. 1993, 123, 137-143. (d) Palmisano, G.: Annunziata, R.; Papeo, G.; Sisti, M. Tetrahedron Asymm. 1996, 7, 1-4. (e) Nyerges, M.: Gajdics, L.; Szollosy, A.: Toke, L. Synth Lett. 1999, 111-113. (f) Grigg, R.: Landsell, M. I.: Thorton-Pett, M. Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 2025-2044. (g) Fejes, I.; Toke, L.: Nyerges, M.: Pak, C. S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 639-644.
(10) Aldehyde 8 is obtained from inexpensive, commercially available 3 -methoxy-3-methyl-1-butanol (Aldrich Chemical Co.) by Swern oxidation in $89 \%$ yield.
(11) The unsaturated oxindole $\mathbf{1 0}$ is readily prepared from isatin (Aldrich Chemical Co .) by condensation with ( Ph$\left.)_{3} \mathrm{PCHCO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ in refluxing diglyme in $84 \%$ yield.
(12) " $\beta$ " refers to the approach of the dipolarophile from the top face as drawn in Scheme 2
(13) The yield for the conversion of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to the 1 -proline isomer corresponding to 13 was $52 \%$ and this diminished yield appears to reflect the thermodynamic instability of forming the corresponding trans-diketopiperazine.
( LiOH in THF/MeOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) failed to give any of the desired product. We found that the use of Lil in refluxing pyridine produced the desired carboxylic acid in $74 \%$ yield. ${ }^{14}$ The final oxidative decarboxylation proved problematic under a range of Kochi-type conditions $\left(\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}{ }^{15}\right.$ or iodosobenzene diacetate $\left.{ }^{16}\right)$. After extensive experimentation, we found that a modified Hunsdiecker reaction using conditions developed by Barton et al. ${ }^{17}$ gave 12 -epi-spirotryprostatin B in $34-43 \%$ yield. This substance was then epimerized with NaOMe in MeOH to give a 2:1 ratio of 1 to 12 -epi-spirotryprostatin B that were easily separable by silica gel chromatography. The synthetic ( - )spirotryprostatin $B(1)$ spectra were identical with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, and HR-EI-MS spectra of the natural product kindly provided by Dr. Hiroyuki Osada. ${ }^{1}$ With use of the antipode of 7. $(+$ )-ent-spirotryprostatin B was prepared in like manner (see data in Supporting Information).

In summary, the application of a stereochemically distinct asymmetric 1,3 dipolar cycloaddition provides access to both antipodes of spirotryprostatin B in an efficient nine-step sequence. This approach appears well-suited to preparing the simpler congener spirotryprostatin A, and several analogues that may prove useful in defining the antimitotic properties of this class of unique spirooxindole alkaloids and studies toward those objectives are in progress in these laboratories. ${ }^{18}$

Acknowledgment. Financial support is gratefully acknowledged from the National Science Foundation (CHE9731947). Dr. Hiroyuki Osada of the Riken Institute, Japan, is acknowledged for providing detailed spectra of natural spirotryprostatin B.

Supporting Information Available: Complete spectroscopic data for all new compounds including details of the X-ray structure determination for compound 11 (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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#### Abstract

The asymmetric, stereocontrolled total syntheses of $(+)$ and ( - )-spirotryprostatin B (2) are described. Formation of the core pyrrolidine ring was accomplished via a diastereoselective asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction. Addition of 3-methoxy-3methylbutanal to ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one generated an azomethine ylide that reacted with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate to furnish the desired cycloadduct (11) that possessed the correct relative and absolute stereochemistry of natural spirotryprostatin B. The key dipolar cycloaddition reaction sets four contiguous stereogenic centers. Reductive cleavage of the oxazinone generated the spiro-oxindole pyrrolidine (19) that was coupled to D-proline benzyl ester and cyclized to the pentacyclic diketopiperazine 22. A Barton-modified Hunsdiecker protocol effected oxidative decarboxylation to yield 12 -epi-spirotryprostatin B (30). Thermodynamic epimerization of the D-proline stereogenic center with sodium methoxide yielded spirotryprostatin $\mathbf{B}$ as the major product. The antipode of the natural product, ent-spirotryprostatin B, was prepared from ( $5 S, 6 R$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one. Several synthetic intermediates and spirotryprostatin analogs were tested for their activity as G2/M phase cell cycle inhibitors and microtuble assembly against 3 Y 1 and tsFT210 mammalian cells. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Elucidating the regulatory machinery of the cell cycle is crucial to understanding how defects in the regulatory mechanism of the cell result in uncontrolled growth and differentiation, such as cancer. ${ }^{1}$ Small-molecule natural products are proving invaluable in contemporary studies of cellular probes through their ability to specifically bind target proteins that modulate signal transduction cascades. Numerous examples exist in which the biological function of a particular cellular factor have been investigated through the use such compounds. ${ }^{2}$ Therefore, the development of new and specific inhibitors of signal transduction cascade pathways will continue to be extremely important in the understanding of the regulatory mechanism of the cell cycle.

Recently, powerful bioassays have been developed to specifically identify new natural products that inhibit the progression of the cell cycle at distinct phases. Using temperature-sensitive mammalian tsFT210 cells and rat normal fibroblast 3Y1 cells, Osada et al., have exploited

[^9]these screening technologies to identify a wide array of interesting natural products from the fermentation broth of the fungus Aspergillus fumigatus and other microbial sources. ${ }^{3}$

Included in the families of fungal metabolites identified in this manner are the fumitremorgins, ${ }^{4}$ the tryprostatins, ${ }^{5}$ the cyclotryprostatins ${ }^{4}$ and the spirotryprostatins ( $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, Fig. $1) .{ }^{6}$ The primary target of tryprostatin A and cyclotryprostatins A and B are microtubules which induce M-phase specific inhibition and microtubule disassembly. ${ }^{7}$ This family of prenylated, cyclo-L-Trp-L-Pro-derived polycyclic alkaloids has received considerable attention recently due to their unique biological activities and interesting chemical structures. These substances have therefore attracted considerable synthetic attention and individual total syntheses of each representative class have been reported. ${ }^{8-10}$

The tryprostatin family of secondary metabolites are the consequence of several modes of isoprenylation of the tryptophan moiety of the simple cyclic dipeptide progenitor cyclo-L-Trp-L-Pro. ${ }^{11}$ The structurally most interesting and complex members of this family are the spirotryprostatins A and $B$ which, curiously display among the weakest
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cyciotryprostatin $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}$ demethoxy fumetrimorgin $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ cyclotryprostatin $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{O}$

Figure 1. Structures of the spirotryprostatins, tryprostatins, cyclotryprostatins and fumitremorgins.
biological activity of this family of cell cycle inhibitors. Isolated in 1996, from A. fumigatus, spirotryprostatin A (1) and spirotryprostatin B (2) were shown to completely inhibit the progression of cells at concentrations greater than 253 and $34.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Despite their relatively modest biological activity relative to other members of this family, the spirotryprostatins have nonetheless garnered the most attention due to their intriguing molecular structures. The detailed mechanism of action by which these substances inhibit microtubule assembly is presently not known and studies to discover the target of these natural products have been hampered by the small quantities of these substances that can be conveniently isolated from the producing organism. Herein, we present a full account of our efforts towards the total synthesis of both antipodes of spirotryprostatin B and analogs. ${ }^{12}$

## 2. Results and discussion

At the outset, we focused on devising an efficient and stereocontrolled method to construct the core spiro-oxindole-containing pyrrolidine ring as the backbone to our synthetic strategy as shown Scheme 1. It was envisioned that an asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition between a chiral azomethine ylide of the general type 4 and an oxindolylidene acetate (3) could, in both a relative and absolute sense, generate the desired spiro-amino acid 5 . If successful, the reaction would generate two of the three necessary stereogenic centers contained in the natural product. Coupling with a suitable proline derivative (6) followed by cyclization would yield the diketopiperazine 7.


2, spirotryprostatin B

Scheme 1. General synthetic plan for the synthesis of 2.
With the construction of the desired framework represented as in the pentacyclic substance 7, completion of the synthesis would mandate judiciously timed oxidative decarboxylation and installation of the isoprene-derived unsaturation via elaboration of the pentacyclic substance 7.

The utility of [1,3]-dipolar cycloadditions is a wellestablished synthetic method for the formation of variously substituted pyrrolidine rings. ${ }^{13}$ Numerous methods exist, including reaction of azomethine ylides with oxindolylidene acetate dipolarophiles, for the construction of spirooxindole systems related to that present in 1 and $2 .{ }^{14}$ However, the literature contains conflicting evidence as to the regio- and diastereochemical outcome of such reactions.
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Scheme 2.

Azomethine ylides derived from our diphenyl oxazinonebased glycine template, ${ }^{15}$ and related chiral glycine-based azomethine ylide equivalents, ${ }^{16}$ reveal that the regio- and stereochemistry of the resulting cycloadducts are dependent upon both the nature of the aldehyde and the dipolarophile. While simple symmetrical alkenyl dipolarophiles (i.e. dimethylmaleate) usually proceed with a high degree of endo-selectivity, there are few studies that address the regiochemical aspects of asymmetrically substituted dipolarophiles. It was therefore difficult to predict if the amide or the ester moiety of the oxindolylidene acetate (3) would dominate in directing the facial approach of the dipole. In this particular instance, there are thus eight possible diastereomeric transition state structures, and only one of which culminates in the desired spirotryprostatin stereostructure.

With respect to the relative stereochemistry of the prenyl side-chain, the reaction was expected to be diastereoselective in the desired sense since, earlier studies in our laboratories suggested that bulky aliphatic aldehydes preferentially form the $E$-ylide. ${ }^{15}$ Assuming that the $E$-ylide geometry would dominate in the present case, four possible diastereomers could be reasonably expected to result from the planned cycloaddition. As shown in Scheme 2 , reaction of the azomethine ylide derived from oxazinone $8^{17}$ and aldehyde $9^{18}$ with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate $10^{19}$ in the presence of molecular sieves in hot toluene, resulted in the formation of two cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ in a 1:2 ratio and $86 \%$ combined yield. We were pleased to observe that this initial set of reaction conditions indeed afforded the desired cycloadduct as evidenced by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and nOe experiments.

The relative and absolute stereochemistry of the desired cycloadduct 11 was further secured through single-crystal X-ray analysis as depicted in Scheme 2. This result suggested that approach of the dipolarophile to the azomethine ylide occurs with the carboethoxy group being positioned opposite to the bulky phenyl groups in an exo-fashion. The reaction must therefore proceed via an $E$-beta-exo transition state ${ }^{20}$ and constructs the entire prenylated tryptophyl moiety of spirotryprostatin B in a single, simple operation. However, the yield was far from ideal since 11 was isolated as a 1:2 mixture along with 12, which results from the elimination of methanol from the desired cycloadduct. Additionally, a small amount of a third product 13 was produced and confirmed to be the reversed regio- and stereoisomer of the desired cycloadduct. Therefore, additional effort was directed at shifting the ratio of cycloadducts towards compound 11.

We had not foreseen the possible loss of methanol from the aldehyde progenitor as these conditions had heretofore proven to be very mild and tolerated a wide range of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes. ${ }^{21}$ It was not clear whether the elimination was occurring during the reaction or after formation of the cycloadduct. Re-subjecting 11 to refluxing toluene in the presence of molecular sieves did not afford any of the eliminated cycloadduct 12 suggesting that a distinct azomethine ylide was being formed in situ and a proposed mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ is illustrated in Scheme 3.


Scheme 3. Mechanism proposed for partitioning of $\mathbf{1 4}$ to cycloadducts 11 and 12 .

Addition of the aldehyde 9 to oxazinone $\mathbf{8}$ should initially generate the salt 14 which can then be deprotonated $\alpha$ - to the lactone carbonyl or $\beta$ - to the nitrogen atom to give the ylide 15 or the eneamine 16, respectively. Dipole 15 can then condense with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate (10) to generate the desired cycloadduct 11. If eneamine 16 is formed, then under the thermal conditions of the reaction, nitrogenassisted extrusion of methoxide furnishes the thermodynamically more stable (relative 14) conjugated iminium ion species 17.

Deprotonation $\alpha$ - to the carbonyl would then generate the azomethine ylide $\mathbf{1 8}$ that can suffer cycloaddition to yield 12. To minimize formation of the undesired cycloadduct, the reaction was performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of at reflux temperature, improving the yield of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $82 \%$ with only $6 \%$ of 12 being formed.

With the key spiro-tetracyclic intermediate (11) in hand, focus shifted to construction of the diketopiperazine as detailed in Scheme 4. Reductive cleavage of the chiral auxiliary afforded acid 19 which was esterified with $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ to yield the corresponding methyl ester 20 in $86 \%$. Attempts to acylate the nitrogen of the pyrrolidine ring


Scheme 4.
of $\mathbf{2 0}$ failed under a number of conditions. Only trace amounts of product were ever obtained and were complicated by acylation of the oxindole nitrogen. The decreased nucleophilicity of the pyrrolidine nitrogen can be attributed to the surrounding steric bulk. The ester and propylidene groups which are $\alpha$ - to the amine, are in an anticonfiguration effectively blocking each face of the nitrogen from electrophilic attack.

This initially discouraging result was eventually turned into an asset since, it was soon realized that the steric hindrance about the nitrogen might allow for direct coupling on the free, zwitterionic amino acid without concomitant selfcondensation with the active ester. Thus, amino acid 19 was taken on crude from the preceeding hydrogenation step and directly coupled with L-proline benzyl ester with BOP ${ }^{22}$ as the activating agent to give the dipeptide $\mathbf{2 1}$ in $74 \%$ for the two steps. Reduction of the benzyl ester followed by BOPmediated cyclization afforded the desired diketopiperazine (DKP) 22 in excellent yield. The stage was now set for sequential installation of the two olefinic moieties.

Several strategies were examined for the installation of the enamide functionality and the prenyl side-chain. The initial plan was to first form the C-8/C-9 unsaturation and subsequently secure the C-19/C-20 olefinic group since the planned oxidative decarboxylation would involve an alkyl radical that might react with a proximal prenyl group. However, it was recognized that if an undesired intramolecular radical cyclization process were to occur, it would have to occur via a stereoelectronically disfavored 5 -endo-trig cyclization. ${ }^{23}$ With these considerations in mind attempts to effect a radical-based oxidative decarboxylation were pursued. Saponification of the ethyl ester of 22 was attempted using LiOH in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, but failed to give any of the desired carboxylic acid. After some exploration, it was found that LiI in refluxing pyridine ${ }^{24}$ furnished the desired carboxylic acid (Scheme 5). However, attempts to affect the oxidative decarboxylation either through the use of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}{ }^{25}$ or iodosobenzene diacetate ${ }^{26}$ were unsuccessful, apparently due to the lability of the oxindole $2^{\circ}$ amide. The oxindole nitrogen atom of 22 was protected as the corresponding SEM derivative 23. Cleavage of the ethyl ester with LiI in refluxing pyridine furnished the corresponding acid that was subjected to Kochi-type conditions generating the eneamide 24, albeit only in poor yields ( $10-25 \%$ ).

Unfortunately, all attempts to install the C-19/C-20 unsaturation with 24 as a substrate were uniformly unsuccessful under a range of acidic elimination conditions. While the enamide proved to be stable to both mildly basic
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$\xrightarrow[\text { DIPEA, } \triangle,(48 \%)]{\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ;}$


Scheme 6.
and acidic conditions, more vigorous conditions resulted in decomposition. These results suggested that the isopropylidene group needed to be in place prior to installation of the C-8/C-9 unsaturation. To this end, diketopiperazine 22 was subjected to treatment with TsOH in refluxing toluene resulting in the formation of the desired olefin 25 in good yield with only trace amounts of the isomeric disubstituted olefin present (Scheme 6). As before, the SEM group was used to protect the oxindole nitrogen (26).

Subjecting the carboxylic acid, resulting from saponification of the ethyl ester 26, to a classical Kochi-type oxidative decarboxylation protocol produced the over-oxidized triene 27. Despite extensive effort, we were unable to obviate oxidation of the proline residue under a wide range of Kochi-type conditions. Triene 27 provided an intriguing analog of spirotryprostatin B once the protecting group was removed. The free oxindole 28 was thus obtained using dimethyl aluminum chloride followed by heating in diisopropylethyl amine. ${ }^{27}$

We next turned to examining a Barton-modified Hunsdiecker reaction as a possible solution to the oxidative decarboxylation problem. ${ }^{28}$ This reaction has found utility in a number of applications for the generation of alkyl halides, however the application of this method for the formation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amino acid derivatives has seldom been reported. The ethyl ester was converted to the acid as above with lithium iodide in hot pyridine yielding




Scheme 7.
carboxylic acid 29, (Scheme 7). Treatment of 29 with DCC, DMAP and $N$-hydroxy pyridine-2-thione yielded a product 30 whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic signatures closely resembled those of the natural product with the exception of some slight variations in the chemical shifts of several resonances.

The Barton-modified Hunsdiecker protocol converts the carboxylic acid, via radical decarboxylation of the N -hydroxy pyridine-2-thione ester, into a secondary alkyl radical that is quenched by the solvent, $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$, into the corresponding alkyl bromides, which under thermal conditions, eliminate HBr to form the olefin. The overall yield for this process was far from exceptional ( $34-43 \%$ ) and, it is possible that the formation and relative rates of elimination of the two diastereomeric bromides, might have contributed to the recovery of only moderate amounts of the desired product. We suspect that only the bromide that is positioned trans, antiperiplanar to the $\alpha$-hydrogen, suffers facile elimination to give 12 -epi-spirotryprostatin B. Our excitement that the reaction had occurred as planned was tempered by the discrepancies observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data between the natural spirotryprostatin $B$ and product 30 .

The absolute stereochemistry of the L-proline residue was not in doubt in the initial stages of the synthesis and both the relative and absolute stereochemistry of the spiro-oxindole moiety had been secured by X-ray crystallographic analysis of 11. Thus, we suspected that an epimerization had occurred in the proline ring either at the stage of the elimination of methanol from 22, or during the ethyl ester cleavage to ultimately give 12-epi-spirotryprostain B 30 .

To decipher at what stage the suspected epimerization reaction was occurring, the complementary D-prolinederived cis-diketopiperazine 31, was constructed as shown in Scheme 8. This was accomplished in a similar fashion to that utilized for the formation of the trans-diketopiperazine 22. Thus, coupling of amino acid 19 with d-proline benzyl ester ( $74 \%$ ) followed by hydrogenation of the benzyl ester and cyclization ( $94 \%$ over two steps) afforded 31.
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Scheme 8.


30, 12-ep-spirotryprostatin B
2. spirotyprostatin $B$

Scheme 9. Thermodynamic epimerization of 32 to 2 .

If the dehydration step was the culprit in the loss of stereochemical integrity of 22 , then subjecting the two substrates (22 and 31) separately to the elimination conditions would yield the same product. This indeed proved to be the case wherein it was observed that the pentacyclic product 25 was formed exclusively from either substrate when treated with TsOH in hot toluene. It is wellknown that cis-diketopiperazines are thermodynamically more stable than the corresponding trans-isomers for cyclic anhydrides of proline. ${ }^{29}$ In contrast, reported syntheses of the fumetrimorgins have demonstrated the ability of substrates with the 6-6-5-ring system to undergo epimerization from the cis-configuration to the trans-configuration. ${ }^{30}$

With the stereochemical issues clarified, we returned to the task of converting 12 -epi-spirotryprostatin B (30) into the natural stereoisomer as shown in Scheme 9. Addition of NaOMe in MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 30 yielded an equilibrium mixture of spirotryprostatin B (2): 12-epi-spirotryprostatin B (30) in a $2: 1$ ratio. These diastereomers were easily separated by chromatography and the recovered $\mathbf{3 0}$ could be re-subjected to the epimerization protocol giving 2 in $62 \%$ overall yield for the two cycles. The synthetic and natural specimens of (-)-spirotryprostatin B displayed identical spectroscopic data including optical rotation. In like fashion, ( + )-ent-spirotryprostatin B was synthesized starting with the opposite antipode of $8 .{ }^{17}$

## 3. Biological activity

The effects of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{2 2}, \mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 0}$, their enantiomers, and ent-spirotryprostatin $B$ on cell cycle control and microtuble assembly were examined. Given the moderate activities of the title compounds $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ for spirotryprostatin B), it was not surprising to find that all of the spirotryprostatin analogs prepared in this study that were tested had no effect on in vitro microtubule assembly and had little or no effect on in vitro cell cycle inhibition. Three compounds ( $\mathbf{3 0}$, ent-30, and ent-2) did however, provide some intriguing results.

12-epi-Spirotryprostatin B (30) was shown to cause partial accumulation of cells at the $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ phase at concentrations of $125 \mu \mathrm{M}$ but were toxic to 3 Y 1 and tsFT210 cells at $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or higher concentrations. The enantiomer of 30 was however, neither toxic to the cells nor showed any activity for cell cycle proliferation and microtuble assembly. Similar results were seen in the testing of spirotryprostatin (2) and ent-2. Spirotryprostatin B has been reported to inhibit tubulin polymerization and to be cytotoxic to mammalian cells ${ }^{6}$ whereas ent-2 had no effect on in vitro microtubule
assembly or in vitro cell cycle inhibition but was toxic to 3 Y 1 and tsFT210 cells at 31.3 and $15.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations, respectively. These data suggest that the molecular target of ent-spirotryprostatin B is different from that of the natural product. Further studies aimed at elucidating the cellular target of these substances and the molecular mechanism by which they arrest the cell cycle are currently under investigation in these laboratories.

## 4. Conclusion

In summary, the synthesis of both antipodes of spirotryprostatin B (2) has been achieved utilizing a diastereoselective, asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloaddition reaction as the key step. This strategy, which sets four contiguous stereogenic centers in one step, also appears to be adaptable to the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A and efforts are underway in this regard. In addition, a tertiary methyl ether was demonstrated to serve as a suitable progenitor of the prenyl group providing an alternative method for the introduction of the isopropylidene functionality. Moreover, the inherent thermodynamic stability of diketopiperazines with the 5-6-5 ring system have been shown to preferentially favor the cis-configuration. Application of the methodology developed in this work is being applied to the synthesis of other potentially biologically useful members of the spirotryprostatin structural class.

## 5. Experimental

### 5.1. Cell culture and proliferation assay

Rat normal fibroblast 3 Y 1 cells ${ }^{31}$ were grown in Dulbecco's modified MEM culture medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum under a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Exponentially growing 3 Y 1 cells were treated with the test compounds for 24 h . The distribution of DNA content was determined by flow cytometry and relative cell numbers (cell number at 24 h per initial cell number at $0 \mathrm{~h} \times 100$ ) were counted. MTT assay is a colorimetric assay using 3(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2 H -tetrazolium bromide. The cell viability was determined by this assay with minor modifications. ${ }^{32}$

### 5.2. Preparation of microtubule and turbidity assay (in vitro microtubule assembly assay)

Calf brain microtubule protein was prepared by two cycles of assembly-disassembly ${ }^{33}$ and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Mes buffer $(100 \mathrm{mM} 2-(N$-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (Mes), 1 mM EGTA and 0.5 mM MgCl 2 ) at pH 6.8 . Protein concentrations were determined by using the Dc Protein Assay (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Microtubule assembly was monitored by the turbidity assay as described previously. ${ }^{34,}$ 35
5.2.1. 3-Methyl-3-methoxybutanal (9). To an oven-dried 2000 mL three-neck round-bottom flask with stir bar was added DMSO ( $15.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and oxalyl chloride ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 250 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was
added dropwise over 15 min . 3-Methyl-3-methoxybutan-1ol ( $12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) along with pyridine $(16.5 \mathrm{~mL}$, 200 mmol ) in 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise over 15 min . The reaction was stirred 15 min . more at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(75 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 75 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added over 15 min with vigourous stirring. The solution was kept at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then warmed to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for another 15 min . 1 N HCl was used to acidify to pH -4 and the layers separated. The aqueous layers were extracted with three 50 mL portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude product could be obtained by column chromatography with $2: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the eluent to yield $11.5 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$. The product was further purified by distillation to remove any impurities. For 9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.26, (s, 3 H ), $9.84(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 18.6,42.7,46.6,67.1,195.4 ; \mathrm{IR}$ ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) 2974, 2937, 2828, $1732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (EI + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 117.1, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 117.1.

### 5.3. Cycloaddition

To a 500 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro- 5,6 -diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethyl oxindolylidene acetate ( 10$)(6.4 \mathrm{~g}$, 29.6 mmol ) and 5.0 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. An oven-dried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon. Freshly distilled toluene ( 250 mL ) was added followed by 3-methyl-3-methoxybutanal ( $9,2.75 \mathrm{~g}$, 23.7 mmol ). The reaction was then heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at that temperature for 1 h at which time the heating mantle was turned off. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through Celite to remove the sieves. Concentration afforded an orange solid which was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 4: 1\right.$ hexanes/ $\mathrm{EtOAC} \rightarrow 1: 1$ hexane$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford 9.2 g of $11(82 \%)$ and 0.70 g of 12 (6.3\%) and 0.12 g of 13 ( $1.1 \%$ ). Analytical samples of 11 were generated by recrystallization from EtOH .
5.3.1. Spiro[ $3 H$-indole-3, $7^{\prime} \quad\left(6^{\prime} H\right)$-[1H ]pyrrolo $[2,1-$ $c][1,4]$ oxazine $]-8^{\prime}$-carboxylic acid, $1,2,3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}$ a-hexa-hydro- $6^{\prime}$-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)- $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, 2$-dioxo- $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ diphenyl, ethyl ester, ( $3 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S, 8^{\prime} R, 8^{\prime}$ a ) (11). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-88.8$ ( c $1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); melting point: 225$227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.68(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=0.9$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09$ (br s, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 23.6,26.9,45.4,50.6,53.3,56.0,57.1$, $57.5,61.5,65.5,74.5,77.1,110.8,124.0,126.2,127.2,128.3$, $128.4,128.5,129.4,129.5,130.2,130.4,137.5,138.3,142.3$, $170.1,173.0,178.9$; IR (NaCl/neat) $3308,1734,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
ent-11: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=91.7\left(c .1 .0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
5.3.2. Spiro[ $3 H$-indole-3, $7^{\prime} \quad\left(6^{\prime} H\right)$ - $[1 H$ ]pyrrolo $[2,1-$ $c][1,4]$ oxazine $]-8^{\prime}$-carboxylic acid, $1,2,3^{\prime}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}$ a-hexa-hydro- $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$-(2-methyl-2-prop-ene-yl)-1',2-dioxo- $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ -
diphenyl-, ethyl ester, ( $3 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S, 8^{\prime} R, 8^{\prime} a R$ ) (12). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=52.8\left(c 0.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 13.5,18.8,26.2,54.1,57.3$, $59.8,60.2,61.4,68.7,78.0,109.8,119.8,122.7,125.9$, $126.1,126.9,127.8,128.1,128.3,128.6,129.0,129.2$, $136.0,136.4,141.1,141.4,168.6,171.8,177.6$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $3305,1730,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 537.2389$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 537.2383.
5.3.3. Spiro[ $3 H$-indole- $3,7^{\prime} \quad\left(6^{\prime} H\right)-[1 H]$ pyrrolo $[2,1$ -c][1,4]oxazine]-8'-carboxylic acid, $1,2,3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}, 8^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$-hexa-hydro- $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)- $1^{\prime}, 2$-dioxo- $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}, \mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ -diphenyl-, ethyl ester, ( $3 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S, 8^{\prime} R, 8^{\prime} a R$ ) (13). $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=118.1$ (c $\left.1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.64(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$, (t, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84,(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 14.2,24.7$, $24.8,43.0,49.5,56.1,59.8,60.4,60.5,60.7,65.6,73.7$, $79.2,110.3,123.1,124.7,126.5,127.4,127.7,127.8,128.0$, $128.3,129.2,129.4,137.0,137.1,141.1,169.5,170.4$, 179.4; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3288,1718,1621 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 569.2651$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 569.2640.
5.3.4. Spiro[ 3 H -indole- $3,3^{\prime}$-pyrrolidine $]-4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-dicarboxylic acid, 1,2-dihydro-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methyl-propyl)-2-oxo-, $4^{\prime}$-ethyl ester, monohydrochloride, ( $2^{\prime} S, 3 S, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R$ ) (19). Recrystallized cycloadduct 11 $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a sealable pressure tube and dissolved in 200 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{EtOH}$. The solvent was purged with argon for 5 min and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The tube was sealed and flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ before finally pressurizing to 70 Psi . The reaction was stirred for 36 h and then filtered through Celite to remove the palladium catalyst. Concentration afforded a viscous oil which was triturated with $1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O}, 1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc , and $1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O}$ to give 3.75 g (quant. yield) of a white solid 19 upon drying under high vaccum. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-14.0(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ HOD: $0.64(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.2,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.1(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ HOD: $15.0,24.8,25.6,41.9,50.5$, $55.0,60.2,61.1,63.1,63.9,75.0,112.3,120.2,123.6,124.6$, $125.2,125.7,127.7,129.9,130.9,131.7,144.3,168.2$, 169.3, 176.3; IR (NaCl/neat) 3444, 3098, 3058, 2977, 1746, 1771, 1634, $1568 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 391.1869$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 391.1866.
ent-Amino acid 19: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=10.0(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
5.3.5. Spiro[ 3 H -indole- $3,3^{\prime}$-pyrrolidine $]-4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-dicarboxylic acid, 1,2-dihydro-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpro-pyl)-2-oxo-, $4^{\prime}$-ethyl ester, $5^{\prime}$-methyl ester, ( $2^{\prime} S, 3 S$, $4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R$ ) (20). Recrystallized cycloadduct $11(0.50 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.88 mmol ) was added to a sealable pressure tube and dissolved in 10 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{EtOH}$. The solvent was purged with argon for 5 min and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \quad(155 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.88 mmol ) was added. The tube was sealed and flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ before finally pressurizing to 70 Psi . The reaction was stirred for 36 h and then filtered through Celite to remove the palladium catalyst. Concentration afforded a viscous oil which was taken up 5 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$. $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}(-1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2.0 M solution in hexanes) was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred 5 min . and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Column Chromatography with 1:1 hexanes/EtOAc affored $325 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of white solid $20 .[\alpha]^{25}=-27.3$ (c 0.97 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.63(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.10 (s, 3 H ), 1.19 (dd, $J=9.6,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.17 (br s, 1H), 3.58-3.66 (m, 1H), $3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.72-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=0.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (dt, $J=0.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 1.53,24.4$, $25.8,40.6,49.4,52.8,54.9,59.1,61.0,61.1,63.7,74.4$, $109.4,122.7,126.2,127.8,128.6,140.9,169.4,175.2$, 178.0; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3244,1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 405.2025$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 405.2024.
5.3.6. Spiro $\left[3 \mathrm{H}\right.$-indole- $3,3^{\prime}$-pyrrolidine $]-4^{\prime}$-carboxylic acid, 1,2-dihydro-2'-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2-oxo-$5^{\prime}$-[[(2S )-2-[(phenylmethoxy)carbonyl]-1-pyrrolidinyl]-carbonyl)-, ethyl ester, ( $\left.\mathbf{2}^{\prime} S, 3 S, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R\right) 21$. To a 200 mL round-bottom flask that contained amino acid $19(3.75 \mathrm{~g}$, 8.8 mmol ) and was placed under high vacuum for 24 h was added BOP ${ }^{22}(4.25 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and L-proline benzyl ester hydrochloride ( $2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was flushed with argon, 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added and the reaction mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With stirring, triethylamine $(2.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 8 h . The solvent was then evaporated, replaced with 100 mL of EtOAc, washed with $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ sat. brine sol., dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield 5.0 g of a brown foam 21 which was taken on crude. An analytical sample of 21 was generated by column chromatography with $1: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-75.3\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, DMSO) $\delta$ DMSO: $0.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75$ (br s, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 3.47-3.66 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.28$ (m, 6H), 9.97 (br s, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ DMSO $d_{6}$ : 13.8, 25.6, 25.7, 47.4, 48.7, 49.0, 55.9, 59.9, 60.2, 60.6, $60.8,62.7,64.5,66.6,74.2,109.9,121.5,122.2,1222.6$, $125.5,128.2,128.3,128.4,128.5,129.0,143.4,170.2$, 171.2, 172.3, 177.8; IR (NaCl/neat) 3239, 1731, 1725, 1645, $1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 578.2866, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 578.2862.
ent-21: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=81.5\left(c\right.$ 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
5.3.7. Spiro[ $1 H, 5 H$-dipyrrolo $\left[1,2-a: 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-d\right]$ pyrazine$2(3 H), 3^{\prime}$ - $\left[3 H\right.$ ]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 6$, 7,8,10,10a-octahydro-3-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)$\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 5,10$-trioxo-, ethyl ester, $(1 R, 2 S, 3 S, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 10 \mathrm{a} R) 22$. To a 100 mL round-bottom flask that contained $21(5.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 8.7 mmol ) was added a stir bar and 20 mL of EtOH . Argon was bubbled through for 5 min . and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The system was flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and a balloon of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was attached. The solution was stirred vigorously for 1.5 h and then filtered through Celite, evaporated and placed on high vacuum overnight. To the crude mixture was added a stir bar, $\mathrm{BOP}^{22}(3.83 \mathrm{~g}$, 8.6 mmol ) and 80 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Triethylamine $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$, 8.6 mmol ) was added dropwise and the reaction was allowed to stir for 8 h at which time the solvent was evaporated. Purification via column chromatography with $75: 20: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ afforded $2.75 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$ of 22 as a white solid. For 22: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-92.0$ (c 1.0 , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.92(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.2, \quad 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.5,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~h}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), \quad 3.42$ (ddd, $J=3.9,7.5,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ (d, $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-4.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31$ (dd, $J=5.4,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89$ (dd, $J=3.9$, $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}), \quad 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}), \quad 7.17$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 12.9,20.7$, $23.1,23.7,29.1,38.4,43.8,47.9,53.3,56.1,59.3,59.6$, $60.1,60.6,73.5,109.6,121.1,123.6,126.3,128.5,141.0$, $161.8,165.2,168.8,179.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3244,1763$, 1667, $1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2291$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2280$.
ent-22: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=95.8\left(c\right.$ 1.2, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
5.3.8. $N$-SEM diketopiperazine 23. To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added diketopiperazine 22 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The system was flushed with $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{THF}$ added and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. KHMDS ( 0.33 mL of a 0.5 M sol., 0.16 mmol ) was added and stirred for 15 min . SEMCl $(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for $8 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Sat} . \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the reaction mixture poured into 10 mL EtOAc. The aq. layer was extracted $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAc, the organic layers combine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and chromatographed with $75: 20: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ to yield $60 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of the white solid $23:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-2.4$ (c $0.74, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.8(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.2,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (dd, $J=10.8,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50$ (quint, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.97-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32$ (dd, $J=5.6,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(1 / 2 \mathrm{Abq}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.13,(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(1 / 2 \mathrm{Abq}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}$,
$J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}:$ $-2.09,13.0,17.1,20.9,23.2,23.8,29.2,38.8,44.0,48.2$, $54.2,56.2,59.7,60.2,60.8,65.6,69.0,73.7,109.5,121.9$, 123.0, 126.4, 128.7, 142.1, 161.9, 164.9, 168.8, 178.6; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $2971,1724,1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 600.3105$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 600.3109$.
5.3.9. Eneamide 24. To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added SEM protected diketopiperazine 23 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiI}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$. An oven dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled pyridine ( 5 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux for 48 h . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 10 mL of EtOAc, extracted with $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layers combined. The solution was then saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 4 with 1 N HCl and extracted with $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield a white solid, which was used without further purification. To the flask which contained the crude carboxylic acid was added $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an oven dried condensor was attached. The system was flushed with Ar and distilled DMF ( 1 mL ) was added. The reaction was wrapped in tin foil and stirred for 15 min . at which time $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.12 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred (still in the dark) for 15 min more and then heated to reflux for 1.5 h . Evaporation of the solvent and purification via column chromatography with $75: 20: 5 \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ to yielded $5 \mathrm{mg}(11 \%)$ of a clear oil. For 24: $[\alpha]^{25}=-6.2(c$ $0.16, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.1(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.24(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.09$ $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}:-1.23,18.0,22.2,22.9,25.4,29.7,39.7$, $44.7,48.4,61.9,63.8,66.5,70.1,70.6,109.7,110.3,118.0$, $122.7,126.6,128.8,137.2,142.3,161.3,164.6,169.0$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 2952,1727,1683,1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (FAB + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 526.2737$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ 527.2727.
5.3.10. Spiro[ $1 H, 5 H$-dipyrrolo $\left[1,2-a: 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-d\right]$ pyrazine$2(3 H), 3^{\prime}$-[3H ]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 5 a, 6,7$, 8,10,10a-octahydro-3-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, ethyl ester, $(1 R, 2 S, 3 S, 5 \mathrm{a} R, 10 \mathrm{a} R-25)$. To a flamedried 250 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added diketopiperazine $22(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol}), 4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 5.0 g ) and TsOH ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). An oven-dried condensor was attached, the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled toluene ( 200 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux temperature for 8 h . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 100 mL of EtOAc, washed with $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ sat. brine sol., dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and chromatographed with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ to yield $1.75 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of 25: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=78.5\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.79(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.10(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78$ (dt, $J=1.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12$ (d, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ (d, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 7.27,11.9,17.4,19.4,20.8,39.3,45.2,52.8,54.3$, $54.9,55.3,57.2,103.8,113.1,116.0,119.2,119.3,122.8$, $130.8,134.8,158.5,160.3,161.5,171.0$; IR (NaCl/neat) 3219, 1723, 1663, $1648 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 438.2029$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 438.2017$.
ent-25: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-74.0\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
5.3.11. $N$-SEM diketopiperazine 26. To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added 25 $(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The system was flushed with Ar, THF added and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. KHMDS $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.5 M sol., 0.18 mmol ) was added and stirred for 15 min . SEMCl $(0.035 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for $8 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Sat} . \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the reaction mixture poured into 10 mL EtOAc. The aq. layer was extracted $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAC, the organic layers combine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and chromatographed with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ to yield $70 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of the white solid 26: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-63.5\left(c 0.97, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),(1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10$ (d, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}:-1.16,13.7,17.9,18.5$, $23.8,25.9,27.2,45.7,51.9,59.0,60.7,61.3,61.8,63.7$, $66.4,70.1,110.0,119.4,122.9,125.1,125.5,129.4,137.5$, $142.8,165.0,166.8,167.9,175.9$; IR $(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) ~ 1728$, $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}$ ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 568.2843, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 568.2827.
5.3.12. $N$-SEM triene 27. To a flame-dried 10 mL roundbottom flask with stir bar was added $26(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and LiI ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). An oven dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled pyridine ( 5 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux for 48 h . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 10 mL of EtOAc, extracted with $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layers combined. The solution was then saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 4 with 1 N HCl and extracted with $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield $45 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$ a white solid, which was used without further purification. To the flask which contained the crude carboxylic acid was added $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an oven dried condensor was attached. The system was flushed with Ar and distilled DMF ( 1 mL ) was added. The reaction was wrapped in tin foil and stirred for 15 min . at which time $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred (still in the dark) for 15 min . more and then heated to reflux for 1.5 h . Evaporation of the solvent
and purification via column chromatography with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ to yielded $8 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%)$ of 26 as a clear oil. For 26: $[\alpha]^{25}=-60.0\left(c 0.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}:-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),(1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.4$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{t}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}:-1.2,18.0,18.5,25.4,28.7$, $29.9,45.4,62.1,64.5,66.6,70.2,110.1,116.2,119.7,119.9$, 123.0, 126.7, 127.4, 129.4, 135.5, 138.1, 138.5, 142.0, 152.2, 152.7, 177.0; IR (NaCl/neat) $1727,1683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB +) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 491.2240$, found $(m / z) 491.2226$.
5.3.13. Triene 28 . To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added $27(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1.0 M hexane solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}(0.086 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise under Ar. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 15 min . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and poured into a sat. $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ tartrate solution ( 2 mL ) also at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred vigorously for 1 h . The aq. layer was then extracted $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ with EtOAc, the organic layers combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. Purification was accomplished by PTLC ( $1 / 2$ of a $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ plate) with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ as the eluent to yield $3 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$ of 28 as a clear oil. For 28 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85$ (dt, $J=3.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ (br s, 1H); IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat ) $1763,1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 362.1504$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 362.1484$.
5.3.14. Spiro $\left[1 H, 5 H\right.$-dipyrrolo $\left[1,2-a: 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-d\right]$ pyrazine$\mathbf{2 ( 3 H}), \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-[3H ]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 6,7$, 8,10,10a-octahydro-3-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, $(1 R, 2 S, 3 S, 5 \mathrm{aR}, 10 \mathrm{a} R) 29$. To a flame dried 100 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added olefin $25(0.87 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.0 mmol ) and LiI ( $2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with argon, freshly distilled pyridine ( 50 mL ) was added and the system heated to reflux for 48 h . The solvent was evaporated and replaced with 50 mL of EtOAc, extracted with $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layers combined. The solution was then saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 4 with 1 N HCl and extracted with $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to yield $0.58 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$ of 29 . The organic layer from the first extraction was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and purified via column chromatography with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ to recover 80 mg of unreacted starting material 25. For 29 : $[\alpha]_{D}^{2 s}=73.0 \quad$ (c $\left.\quad 0.8, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{NMR} \quad(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta \mathrm{MeOH}: 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: 12.5,18.7,19.9,22.3$, $40.7,47.0,54.8,55.9,57.1,58.8,105.2,115.8,117.1,121.3$, 121.5, 124.2, 131.1, 137.7, 161.3, 163.0, 164.9, 173.1; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $3248,1731,1678,1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 410.1716$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 410.1698.
ent-29: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-75.0(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
5.3.15. Spiro[ $1 H, 5 H$-dipyrrolo[ $\left.1,2-a: 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-d\right]$ pyrazine$2(3 H), 3^{\prime}$ - $[3 \mathrm{H}$ ]indole]-1-carboxylic acid, 5a,6,7,8,10,10a-hexahydro-3-(2-methoxy-2-methylpropyl)-2',5,10-trioxo-, ethyl ester, ( $1 R, 2 S, 3 S, 5 \mathrm{a} R, 10 \mathrm{a} R-31$ ). Compound 31 was generated in an identical fashion to diketopiperazine 22 yet afforded a higher yield (94\%). For 31: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=81.7 \quad\left(c \quad 1.0, \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.24,(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 8.26 (br s, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 7.27,15.8,17.0,19.2,21.0,33.5,39.1,41.3$, $48.1,52.7,54.1,54.7,55.0,55.5,67.3,103.2,115.2$, $119.8,120.2,122.3,135.3,158.0,159.7,161.0,171.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $3268,1729,1671,1669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 470.2291$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 470.2296.
ent-Diketopiperazine 31: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-81.2\left(c\right.$ 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
5.3.16. Spiro $3 H, 5 H$-dipyrrolo $\left.1,2-a: 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-d\right]$ pyrazine$\mathbf{2 ( 1 0 H}), 3^{\prime}$ - $[3 H$ ]indole $]-2^{\prime}, 5,10\left(1^{\prime} H\right)$-trione, $5 \mathrm{5a,6,7,8}$-tet-rahydro-3-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-, ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 \mathrm{aR}$ ) (12-epispirotyprostatin B) (30). To a flame-dried 100 mL roundbottom flask with stir bar was added carboxylic acid 31 $(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, DCC $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2 -mercaptopyridine $N$-oxide $(0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$. An oven-dried condenser was attached and the system was flushed with argon and wrapped in tin foil. Freshly distilled $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the system was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The foil was then removed and the reaction heated to reflux for 1.5 h . The solvent was evaporated and the resulting oil was purified by chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 75:20:5 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}\right)$ to yield 0.095 g (37\%) of 32. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=41.3$ (c $0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.54 (d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.90-2.16$ (m, 3 H ), $2.18-2.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-$ $3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=1.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=1.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.69$ (br s, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 18.6,22.2,25.7$, $29.3,45.3,62.0,62.1,64.8,110.1,115.8,119.3,121.8$, $122.8,127.2,128.6,129.3,155.7,162.5,178.2$; IR $(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3196,1724,1676,1639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 364.1661$, found ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) 364.1658.
epi-ent-Spirotyprostatin $\mathrm{B}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-42.5\left(c \mathrm{c} 0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
5.3.17. Spirotyprostatin B (2). To a flame-dried 10 mL round-bottom flask with stir bar was added 12-epispirotyprostatin B (32) ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeOH}$ $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the system cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1 M solution of NaOMe in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.26 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h at which time 5 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added along with 5 mL of EtOAc. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, evaporated and purifed by chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 75:20:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / i$-PrOH) to yield 0.044 g ( $46 \%$ ) of 2 and $0.28 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$ of 32. For 2: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-151.1$ (c $0.45, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : $1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-$ $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.58 (ddd, $J=2.9,9.3,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3$, $12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=1.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (dt, $J=1.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 7.77 (br s, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $18.4,22.3,25.5,29.5,45.0,61.8,61.9,64.3,110.0$, $116.4,120.7,122.5,127.4,128.1,129.3,138.4,138.5$, 140.6, 155.2, 162.7, 178.1; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3235,1718$, 1677, $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 363.1583$, found $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 363.1584$.
ent-Spirotryprostatin $\mathrm{B}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=155.1\left(c 0.33, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
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#### Abstract

The asymmetric $[1,3]$ dipolar cycloaddition reactions of azomethine ylides derived from 5,6-diphenylmorpholin-2-one with various aldehydes and ethyl oxindolylideneacetate are described. Addition of an aldehyde to the morpholin-2-one, under essentially neutral conditions, results in the preferential formation of the $E$-ylide which then reacts with the dipolarophile to yield spirooxindole pyrrolidine derivatives in moderate to excellent regio- and diastereoselectivities. The resulting cycloadducts were easily separated by column chromatography and converted to the corresponding amino acid methyl esters through catalytic hydrogenolysis.


## INTRODUCTION

The $[1,3]$ dipolar cycloaddition reactions of azomethine ylides with alkene dipolarophiles have proven invaluable for the construction of highly substituted pyrrolidine derivatives. ${ }^{1}$ Chiral pyrrolidines constitute the main structural element of a number of alkaloid natural products, including the microtubule inhibitors spirotryprostatins A and B (Figure 1). ${ }^{2}$ The development of a general, stereoselective version of the reaction, either through the use of a chiral auxiliary attached to the dipolarophile or through the use of a chiral azomethine ylide is therefore an important synthetic objective.


1, spirotryprostatin $A$


2, spirotryprostatin $B$

Figure 1

[^10]Azomethine ylides derived from chiral, non-racemic glycinates have been shown to serve as effective templates for the synthesis of highly substituted pyrrolidines. ${ }^{3}$ While a number of groups have explored the reaction of achiral azomethine ylides with oxindolylidene acetates, ${ }^{4}$ to our knowledge there are no examples reported in the literature of $[1,3]$ dipolar cycloaddition reactions between azomethine ylides derived from 5,6-diphenylmorpholin-2-one and oxindolylideneacetates. In our total synthesis of $(-)$-spirotryprostatin B, we demonstrated the viability of this approach for the formation of spirooxindole pyrrolidine derivatives. ${ }^{5}$ In a continuation of this work, the effects of various aldehydes on the regio- and diastereoselectivity of this system and conversion of the cycloadducts to the corresponding amino acid methyl esters has been explored (Scheme 1).


## Scheme 1

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The starting material for this investigation, ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one (3), was conveniently prepared ${ }^{6}$ from the commercially available $N-t$-BOC derivative. ${ }^{7}$ Addition of an aldehyde to the amino lactone (3) in the presence $3 \AA$ molecular sieves in toluene generates an $E / Z$ mixture of azomethine ylide (5). We have previously reported that in the case of sterically demanding aldehydes, the $E$-ylide was preferentially favored and that dipolar cycloadditions of ylides generated from this system proceeded with a high degree of endo-selectivity to give substituted pyrrolidines. ${ }^{6}$ In the present system, the $[1,3]$ dipole reacts with ethyl oxindolylidene acetate (4) via an $E$ - $\beta$-exo transition state to yield spirooxindole cycloadducts (6) (Scheme 1). $E$ - $\beta$-exo refers to the preferential formation of the $E$ azomethine ylide and approach of the dipolarophile anti- or $\beta$ - to the phenyl groups with the carboethoxy
acting in an exo-fashion (inset, Scheme 1). Two other products were also isolated, 7 and 8, and were the consequence of approach of the dipolarophile in an endo-fashion and via cycloaddition with the Z-ylide, respectively. The specific examples, reaction temperature, yields and diastereomeric ratios for 6:8 are recorded in Table 1.

Table 1. Spirooxindole Pyrrolidine Cycloadducts (6, 7 and 8).

| Entry | Aldehyde | R | Temp | Yield $(\% 6)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\% 7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\% 8) \end{gathered}$ | Diast. ratio (6:8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | paraformaldehyde ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H | reflux | 28 | 11 | 0 | - |
| b | benzyloxyacetaldehyde | $\mathrm{BzOCH}_{2}$ | reflux | 44 | 14 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |
| c | benzyloxyacetaldehyde | $\mathrm{BzOCH}_{2}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 54 | 8 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |
| d | isobutyraldehyde | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | reflux | 43 | 11 | 5 | 8.6:1 |
| e | isobutyraldehyde | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 74 | 6 | trace | $>20: 1$ |
| f | isovaleraldehyde | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | reflux | 84 | 1 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |
| g | isovaleraldehyde | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 86 | 0 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |
| h | 3-methoxy-3methylbutanal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ | reflux | 29 | 0 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |
| 1 | 3-methoxy-3methylbutanal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 82 | 1 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |
| j | $p$-anisaldehyde ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $p$ - MeOPh | reflux | 60 | 0 | 0 | $>20: 1$ |

a) In addition, $9 \%$ of another compound was isolated, which was the result of addition of the dipolarophile in a regiochemically similar fashion to 7, but with the carboethoxy group adding via an exoapproach. b) A second product ( $59 \%$ ) was obtained as a result of elimination of the tertiary alcohol to afford the trisubstituted olefin derivative. c) The trisubstituted olefin was also obtained at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{6 g}$, although in reduced yield ( $6 \%$ ). d) The reaction required prolonged heating ( $>24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to obtain the reported yield, whereas most reaction were complete between 2 and 8 h .

The regio- and stereochemistry of the resulting cycloadducts was dependent on the nature of the aldehyde constituents. Bulky aldehydes favored the formation of $E$-ylides and therefore cycloadducts (6), (Table 1, Entries $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{j}$ ). Ab initio calculations on this system as well as a similar system ${ }^{8}$ are in agreement with this observation. Isobutyraldehyde was expected to follow this trend, however the reaction produced three products, ( $\mathbf{6 d}, 7 \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{8 d}$ ) and resulted in an $8.6: 1$ diastereomeric ratio of $\mathbf{6 d}: \mathbf{8 d}$. For the less branched
systems, high diastereoselectivity resulted ( $>20: 1$ ), however only moderate exo-selectivity with respect to the carboethoxy group (endo for the oxindole) was observed, (Table 1, Entries a-c). The ylide generated from paraformaldehyde yielded three products, one of which was the result of the ester reacting in an endo-fashion, (7a). The more sterically demanding aldehydes resulted in high exo-selectivity as well as high diastereoselectivity (Table 1, Entries f-j).

Reaction temperature also seemed to affect the regiochemistry and stereochemistry of the resulting products. In the case of isobutyraldehyde moderate regioselectivity and diastereoselectivity was observed when the reaction was performed under refluxing toluene conditions. When the temperature of the system was lowered to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ratio of cycloadducts (6) and (7) was increased from $\sim 4: 1$ to $\sim 12: 1$ and the diastereomeric ratio of products (6) and (8) improved to greater than 20:1 (Table 1, Entries d and e). On the contrary, cycloaddition of the ylide derived from $p$-anisaldehyde (Entry j ), required refluxing conditions for the reaction to occur. Presumably, the electron-donating effect of the methoxy group deters attack of the aldehyde by the incoming nucleophile and requires elevated temperatures for the formation of the azomethine ylide.

The regiochemistry of the cycloadducts were easily determined by the mutiplicity of the C2 hydrogen; a doublet was observed in the case of cycloadducts (6) and (8) whereas as singlet is exhibited for cycloadduct (7). The relative and absolute stereochemistry was determined by difference $\mathrm{nOe}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and correlation to the known stereogenic centers (C5 and C6) of the starting material ( $5 R, 6 S$ )-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one (Figure 2). The structure of Entry $6 \mathbf{i}$ was confirmed by single crystal X-Ray analysis as reported in the earlier account of the total synthesis of spirotryprostatin B.


Figure 2. Observed nOe enhancements for cycloadducts (6,7 and 8).

Conversion of the tetracyclic products into the corresponding spirooxindole-substituted proline methyl ester derivatives was accomplished by catalytic hydrogenation (Scheme 2). For characterization purposes, the amino acids were converted to the corresponding methyl esters. Hydrogenolysis of the chiral auxiliary was accomplished in most cases by the use of palladium chloride under 70 psi of hydrogen for 36 hs (Table 2). However, p-anisaldehyde derivative ( $\mathbf{6 j}$ ) proved resistant to these conditions and only partial
reduction was observed, (Table 2, Entry 5). Elevated temperatures and pressures resulted in a complex mixture of products. Pearlman's catalyst, which has been shown to selectively reduce the benzylic C-N bond of an unsubstituted aromatic in the presence of a $p$-methoxy derivative, ${ }^{9}$ failed to dramatically improve formation of the desired product. The bulk of the reaction proved again, to be under-reduction. A search for alternative sources of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ yielded conditions ${ }^{10}$ for the complete removal of the bibenzyl moiety (Table 2, Entry 7). Small amounts of epimerization at the $\alpha$-position and cleavage of the pyrrolidine C-N bond were observed along with $59 \%$ of the desired product for the two steps. It is noteworthy to mention that any attempt to remove the chiral auxiliary via an oxidative protocol, such as $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ resulted in decomposition of the starting material. The electron-rich oxindole moiety presumably reacts with the oxidizing agents examined.


Scheme 2

Table 2. Conversion of dipolar cycloadducts (6) into amino acids methyl esters (9) and (10).

| Entry | Substrate | Method | Yield (\% 9 <br> and 10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 93 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{7 a}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 73 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{6 f}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 89 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{6 h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 85 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{6 j}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ | 5 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{6 j}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 25 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{6 j}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ | 59 |

## CONCLUSION

In summary, the asymmetric syntheses of spirooxindole-substituted pyrrolidines via diastereoselective $[1,3]$ dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylides derived from a chiral, non-racemic glycinate and ethyl oxindolylidene are described. The reaction is highly exo-selective for the carboethoxy group of the dipolarophile and sets three or four contiguous stereogenic centers including the quaternary carbon of a spirooxindole. In most cases two regioisomers were detected and were isolated with good to excellent diastereoselectivity. This methodology should find uslefu applications for the synthesis of
spirooxindole-substituted natural products and their derivatives.
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## EXPERIMENTAL

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed under a positive pressure of argon using oven-dried glassware $\left(120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ that was cooled in a dessicator, unless stated otherwise. Toluene was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. THF was freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. $3 \AA$ molecular sieves were activated by heating for three minutes at the highest setting in a microwave followed by cooling under argon. Column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel Kiesel 60 (230-400 mesh). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, HSQC and nOe experiments were recorded on a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. Spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were given in ppm and were relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. MS were obtained on Fisons VG Autospec. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkinelmer 1600 series FT-IR spectrophotometer. Optical rotations were determined with a Rudolph Research Autopol III automatic polarimeter referenced to the D-line of sodium.

## General procedure for the [1,3] dipolar cycloaddition of oxindolyl acetates with azomethine ylides derived from ( $\mathbf{5 R , 6 S}$ )-5,6-diphenylmorpholin-2-one:

Method A (Reflux): To a flame dried 25 mL round bottom with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ ) -2, 3, 5, 6-tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one ( 253 mg 1.0 mmol ), ethyl oxindolyl acetate ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.50 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. An oven-dried condensor was attached and the system was flushed with Ar. Freshly distilled toluene ( 10 mL ) followed by the aldehyde ( 1.2 mmol ). The system was heated to reflux under Ar and kept at that temperature for two hs. The system was allowed to cool to rt, filtered through celite to remove the sieves and purified by flash chromatography using hexane/EtOAc as the eluents. Analytical samples were prepared by HPLC.

Method B ( $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): To a flame dried 25 mL round bottom with stir bar was added ( $5 R, 6 S$ ) $-2,3,5,6$ -tetrahydro-5,6-diphenyl-1,4-oxazin-2-one ( 253 mg 1.0 mmol ), ethyl oxindolylacetate ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 0.50 g of activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. The system was flushed with Ar. Freshly distilled toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by the aldehyde ( 1.2 mmol ). The system was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar , as measured by a thermocouple, and kept at that temperature for 2 h . The reaction was allowed to cool to rt, filtered through celite to remove the sieves and purified by flash chromatography using hexane/EtOAc as the eluents. Analytical samples were prepared by HPLC.

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from paraformaldehyde. Method B: From 360 mg of paraformaldehyde ( 10.0 mmol ) was obtained 135 mg of $\mathbf{6 a}(28 \%), 53 \mathrm{mg}$ of $7 \mathrm{a}(11 \%)$, and 43 mg of $7 \mathrm{a}-$ exo $(9 \%)$ as white amorphous solids after refluxing for 10 h and purification by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate). For 6a: $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathrm{D}} ^{25}=-32.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.78, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\delta 8.74$ (br s, 1H), 7.27-7.21 (m, 10H), 7.09 (dd, J=1.6 Hz, 6.85 .75 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J=8.8 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 178.2, 171.4, 168.7, 140.8, 136.1, 134.4, 129.8, 129.1, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 127.9, 124.6, 123.2, 110.1, 84.4, 67.5, 63.7, $61.4,60.7,55.5,54.3,13.6$;. IR (neat) $3302,1735,1618$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 483.1920, found 483.1917; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}-\alpha$ : $(3.45 \%)$; irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}-\alpha$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{9}(3.61 \%)$. For $7 \mathrm{a}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-111.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.7,169.8,167.9,141.5,135.4,134.7,130.3,129.4,129.3$, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.6, 123.7, 123.0, 110.2, 86.2, 72.9, 62.6, 61.6, 60.9, 54.1, 51.5, 13.6. IR (neat) 3307, 1726, $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{FAB}+\right.$ ) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 483.1920$, found 483.1911. For 7a-exo: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-123.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.43, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.9,169.2,166.7,142.2,134.7,133.5,129.5,129.4$, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.0, 124.4, 122.8, 110.1, 85.6, 68.7, 65.4, 61.1, 58.3, 52.5, 50.0, 13.5; IR (neat) $3313,1731,1619 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 483.1920$, found 483.1904; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{8}(1.54 \%)$.

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from benzyloxyacetaldehyde. Benzyloxyacetaldehyde ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was prepared according to literature procedure. ${ }^{11}$ Method A: 265 mg of $\mathbf{6 b}(44 \%)$ and 85 mg of $\mathbf{7 b}$ ( $14 \%$ ) were obtained as white amorphous solids. Method B: 325 mg of $\mathbf{6 b}(54 \%)$ and 50 mg of $\mathbf{7 b}(8 \%)$ were obtained as white amorphous solids. For $\mathbf{6 b}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-32.5^{\circ}\left(c 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.4,171.4,168.2,141.4,137.4,136.4,135.8,129.3,129.1,128.5$, $128.4,128.0,127.9,127.8,126.2,126.1,122.8,109.9,78.2,73.7,70.9,69.9,62.2,61.5,58.6,58.2,54.5$, 13.6; IR (neat) $3269,1732,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 603.2495$, found 603.2477; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}(3.07 \%)$. For $7 \mathbf{b}$ : $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-161.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) 7.04-6.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37$ $(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (dd, J = $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 177.0,169.0,167.0,142.2,138.2,136.0,135.3,129.7,129.6,128.6,128.5,128.2$, 128.1, 127.6, 127.1, 124.6, 122.7, 110.4, 83.5, 73.3, 72.3, 69.9, 65.5, 64.1, 61.1, 60.2, 52.9, 13.5; IR (neat) $3269,1732,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 603.2495$, found 603.2483 .

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from isobutyraldehyde. Isobutyraldehyde ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ mmol ) was used as received from Aldrich. Method A: 225 mg of $\mathbf{6 c}(43 \%), 73 \mathrm{mg}$ of 7 d (11\%) and 25 mg of $\mathbf{8 d}(5 \%)$ were obtained as white amorphous solids. Method B: 387 mg of $\mathbf{6 d}(74 \%), 30 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{7 d}$ $(6 \%)$ and a trace amount of $\mathbf{8 d}(<1 \%)$ were obtained as white amorphous solids. For 6 d : $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-58.8^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ $\left.1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.85-3.73 (m, 3H), $1.88($ sept, $\mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathbf{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 178.3,170.1,167.2,140.9,136.0,135.7,129.5,129.0$, $128.5,128.2,127.8,127.6,126.3,126.1,122.7,110.1,77.5,76.4,64.3,61.5,59.8,59.5,56.8,30.8,20.5$, 19.2, 13.7; IR (neat) $3288,1729,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2389$, found 525.2390. NOE data: irradiation of H9 enhanced H5 (2.62\%). For 7d: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-20.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.9 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 7.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 6.97-6.83 (m, 4H), $5.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0$, J = $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.3,169.8,167.1,142.4,136.2,135.2,129.7$, $129.6,128.7,128.3,128.1,128.0,127.8,126.8,125.4,122.5,110.6,84.4,71.2,71.1,64.9,61.9,61.1$, $51.7,32.0,18.6,17.0,13.5$; IR (neat) $3300,1727,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 525.2389$, found 525.2378 ; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{7}(4.16 \%)$. For $\mathbf{8 d}$ : $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}=-$ $24.0^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{sept}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.8$, 168.6, 140.3, 140.9, 136.2, 133.9, 130.9, $129.7,128.8,128.2,128.1,127.8,126.1,125.0,122.9,109.7,82.7,77.4,61.3,61.1,60.6,58.6,57.0,28.1$, 20.6, 18.9, 13.6;. IR (neat) $3296,1734,1715,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 525.2389 , found 525.2386 ; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{9}(6.88 \%)$; irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (4.30\%).

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from isovaleraldehyde. Isovaleraldehyde ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ mmol ) was used as received from Aldrich. Method A: 452 mg of $\mathbf{6 f}(84 \%)$ was obtained as white amorphous solids and a trace amount of $\mathbf{7 f}(\sim 1 \%)$ was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra but not isolated. Method B: 463 mg of $7 \mathbf{f}(86 \%)$ was obtained as a white amorphous solid. For $7 \mathbf{f}:[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+62.7^{\circ}(c 1.0$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.2$, $171.5,168.4,141.4,136.3,136.0,129.2,129.1,128.6,128.5,128.2,128.0,126.6,126.5,126.2,122.9$, $109.9,77.6,68.8,61.5,60.8,59.8,58.2,54.8,39.9,25.8,23.7,22.6,13.6 ;$ IR (neat) $3284,1732,1618$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 539.2546$, found 539.2544 ; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{9}(2.17 \%)$.

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from 3-methyl-3-methoxybutanal. 3-Methyl-3methoxybutanal ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was prepared by Swern oxidation of 3-methyl-3-methoxybutan-ol, which is commercially available from Aldrich. Method A: 165 mg of $\mathbf{6 h}(29 \%)$ and 335 mg of $\mathbf{6 h}$-elim ( $59 \%$ ) were obtained as white amorphous solids. Method B: 465 mg of $\mathbf{6 h}(82 \%), 34 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{6 h}$-elim ( $6 \%$ ) and 5 mg of $\mathbf{7 h}(1 \%)$ were obtained as white amorphous solids. For $\mathbf{6 h}:[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-14.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{1.0}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; melting point: $225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 178.9,173.0$, $170.1,142.3,138.3,137.5,130.4,130.2,129.5,129.4,128.5,128.4,128.3,127.2,126.2,124.0,110.8$, $77.1,74.5,65.5,61.5,57.5,57.1,56.0,53.3,50.6,45.4,26.9,23.6$; $\mathbf{I R}(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat $) 3308,1734,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

An X-Ray crystal structural analysis for this compound has been previously reported. 5 For $\mathbf{6 h}$-elim: [ $\mathbf{\alpha}$ ] ${ }_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}=+52.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 177.6, 171.8, 168.6, 141.4, 136.4, 136.0, 129.2, 129.0, 128.6, $128.3,128.1,127.8,126.9,126.1,125.9,122.7,119.8,109.8,78.0,68.7,61.4,60.2,59.8,57.3,54.1,26.2$, 18.8, 13.5; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $3305,1730,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / z) 537.2389$, found 537.2383. For $\mathbf{7 h}:[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}}=+118.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.16-7.28 (m, 12H), 6.97, (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 179.4,170.4,169.5,141.1,137.1,137.0,129.4,129.2,128.3,128.0$, $127.8,127.7,127.4,126.5,124.7,123.1,110.3,79.2,73.7,65.6,60.7,60.5,60.4,59.8,56.1,49.5,43.0$, 24.8, 24.7, 14.2; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ neat) $3288,1718,1621 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 569.2652 , found 569.2640 .

Cycloaddition of azomethine ylide derived from p-anisaldehyde. p-Anisaldehyde ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used as received from Aldrich. Method A: 353 mg of $\mathbf{6 j} \mathbf{~ ( ~} 60 \%$ ) was obtained as white amorphous solid. For $\mathbf{6 j}:[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}=+80.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.47, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.8,171.6,168.4,140.6,136.0,135.8,129.4,129.1$, $129.0,128.6,128.4,127.9,126.8,126.0,125.7,125.6,122.4,113.8,109.6,76.2,74.6,61.5,61.4,59.0$, $57.1,55.3,54.4,13.5$; IR (neat) $3296,1728,1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ 589.2338, found 589.2327; NOE data: irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ enhanced $\mathrm{H}_{5}(10.2 \%)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{9}(4.38 \%)$

General procedure for the reduction of spirooxindole pyrrolidine derivatives to the corresponding amino acid methyl esters: The cycloadducts $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were taken up in THF:MeOH $1: 1(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a pressurizable tube. Argon was bubbled through for 5 min and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The system was sealed and hydrogenated $(65-75 \mathrm{Psi})$ for 36 h at rt . The heterogeneous solution was filtered through celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, a stir bar added and $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$, available from Aldrich as a 2.0 M solution in hexanes, was added until a yellow color persisted. The reaction was stirred for 15 min and then
evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography using hexanes/EtOAc as the eluents yielded white amorphous solids. Analytical samples were prepared by PTLC.

Amino acid methyl ester (9a): Prepared by hydrogenation of cycloadduct ( $6 \mathbf{a}$ ) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Column chromatography with $2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc yielded $30 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of 9 a as a white amorphous solid. For 9a: $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-23.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.82-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.1,173.5$, $169.6,140.6,130.1,128.9,124.4,123.0,109.7,62.3,61.2,58.5,58.0,56.6,52.9,13.6$; IR (neat) 3303 , $1732,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 319.1294$, found 319.1286.

Amino acid methyl ester (10a): Prepared by hydrogenation of cycloadduct (7a) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Column chromatography with $2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc yielded $24 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of 10a as a white amorphous solid. For 10a: $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}^{25}=-61.1^{\circ}\left(c 0.61, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}$ $=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.4,170.2,169.7,141.3,129.2,127.0$, 124.6, 122.7, 109.6, 70.2, 61.0, 59.6, 54.2, 52.1, 47.8, 13.6; IR (neat) $3326,1730,1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 319.1294$, found 319.1289.

Amino acid methyl ester ( 9 f ): Prepared by hydrogenation of cycloadduct ( $\mathbf{6 f}$ ) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Column chromatography with $2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc yielded 31 mg ( $89 \%$ ) of 9 f as a white amorphous solid. For 9f: $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+24.8^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.65(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathbf{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.2,174.9,169.4,141.1,128.7,127.5,125.8,122.7$, 109.6, $65.5,61.2,59.3,55.8,52.9,39.2,25.8,23.5,22.2,13.5$; IR (neat) $3325,1728,1617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB + ) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) 375.1920$, found 375.1922.

Amino acid methyl ester (9h): Prepared by hydrogenation of cycloadduct ( $6 \mathbf{h}$ ) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Column chromatography with $2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc yielded $30 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of 9 h as a white amorphous
solid. For $9 \mathbf{h}:[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}}=-27.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.97, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.17(\operatorname{br~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.0,175.2,169.4$, $140.9,128.6,127.8,126.2,122.7,109.4,74.4,63.7,61.1,61.0,59.1,54.9,52.8,49.4,40.6,25.8,24.4$, 13.5; IR (neat) $3244,1734,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / z) 405.2025$, found 405.2024.

Amino acid methyl ester $\mathbf{9 j}$ : Prepared by hydrogenation of cycloadduct $\mathbf{6 j}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Column chromatography with $2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc yielded $30 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of $\mathbf{9 j}$ as a white amorphous solid. For $\mathbf{9 j}$ : $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}}=+30.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79$ (br s, 1H) , $0.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.4,175.1,169.3,159.3,140.4$, $128.6,128.3,126.9,126.5,122.2,113.1,109.2,70.1,62.4,61.2,58.2,55.2,54.8,52.9,13.5$; IR (neat) $3265,1735,1713,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~m} / z) 425.1713$, found 425.1706 .
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## Appendix 5

## Research Proposal

# Asymmetric Azomethine Ylide [1,3]-Dipolar Cycloaddition with Ethyl 

## Oxindolylidene Acetate

## Introduction

Recently, Ishii and coworkers isolated a novel Ras-farnesyltransferase inhibitor designated TAN-1813 from the culture broth of the Phoma sp. FL-41510 fungus strain. ${ }^{1}$ The importance of small binding proteins such as Ras proteins in regulating cell proliferation and differentiation has been well documented. ${ }^{2}$ While the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 47 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for the inhibition of rat brain farnesyltransferase was only moderate, TAN-1813 (Figure 1) represents a possible lead as an anti-cancer compound.


Figure 1. Structure of TAN-1813. * Denotes unknown stereochemistry.

While the potential therapeutic uses alone warrant synthetic investigation, the novel structure adds to the need for a route to TAN-1813. The seven stereocenters, highly functionalized [4.4.0] bicyclic ring system and the di-substituted maleimide make it an intriguing compound. In addition, the absolute and relative configuration is unknown as two of the seven stereocenters have not been defined. This information combined with the interesting structure and potential applications as a chemotherapeutic substantiate the need for a total synthesis TAN-1813.

In contemplating the total synthesis of TAN-1813 a number of considerations had to be taken into account. First, the strategy must allow for the stereoselective generation of all four possible diastereomers. Interestingly, it is not known why NMR studies have not been able to determine the relative configuration of all stereocenters. A synthesis will allow for a number of intermediates that should provide both the absolute and relative stereochemistry. Secondly, installation of the maleimide group will need to occur late in the synthesis due to the limitations imposed by the electrophilicity of the maleimide. Additionally, introduction of the maleimide last would help determine if it is necessary for the biological activity of TAN 1813. Synthesis and biological evaluation of each piece would help elucidate which portion of the molecule is important for the natural products biological activity. Lastly, an overall convergent approach would be the most efficient and would allow for a number of different analogues to be generated. With these considerations in mind, a novel retrosynthetic analysis for the synthesis of TAN-1813 1 (Scheme 1). The key transformations to this approach involve formation of the maleimide precursor 3 through an asymmetric conjugate addition and formation of the core bicyclic ring 7 through an asymmetric Ene cyclization.

The natural product will result from a Stille reaction between acid chloride 2 and maleimide 3. The maleimide $\mathbf{3}$ will be generated from conjugate addition of a chiral hydrazone 4 to dibromomaleimide 5. The core bicyclic acid chloride 2 serves as the backbone of TAN 1813 and will be formed from the ester 6 after chemoselective epoxidation and reduction. Formation of compound 6 will in turn, be generated by two different routes. The first strategy involves displacement of the alcohol by cyanide, hydrolysis and methyl ester formation. The second strategy will involve enone formation


Scheme 2. Synthesis of Stille precursor 14.

The addition/elimination will be expected to proceed with nearly complete enantioselectivity as Enders and Redenbach have shown that the SAMP hydrazones can be added to Michael acceptors with high stereoselectivities. ${ }^{4}$ Hydrazone 15 was added to methyl-2-butenoate to yield 16 in quantitative yield and in greater than $96 \%$ enantioselectivity (Scheme 3). The choice of commercially available dibromomaleimide as the Michael acceptor in the reaction was based on its use in a number of syntheses, ${ }^{5}$ and will be expected to react as predicted. This protocol will however, require protection of the maleimide nitrogen due to its acidity. The Mitsunobu reaction with paramethoxybenzyl alcohol has proven a reliable method for such alkylations. ${ }^{6}$


Scheme 3. Asymmetric conjugate addition using SAMP hydrazone.

Installation of the terminal olefin may require more extensive studies as the integrity of the newly formed stereocenter may not withstand standard methods for the formation of the exo-methylene, such as a Wittig reaction. The use of non-basic titaniumbased methylenating reagents such as Petasis' reagent, $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiMe}_{2},{ }^{7}$ or Nozaki's reagent, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{TiCl}_{4},{ }^{8}$ will provide an alternative to the classical methods. Upon generation of
the terminal olefin, conversion of the bromide to the vinyl tin will commence. Palladiumcatalyzed stannylations ${ }^{9}$ have proven tolerant of various functionalities and will be expected to yield Stille precursor 14.

## Synthesis of Intermediates 9 and 10

Synthon 9 will be generated starting with known lactone 17 (Scheme 4). ${ }^{10}$ Trost and coworkers have shown that palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation of 17 with the sodium salt of bis(benzenesulfonyl)methane proceeds stereospecifically to yield $\mathbf{1 8}$ upon esterification with diazomethane. ${ }^{11}$ Additionally, they proved that the reduction of the sulfonyl groups could be accomplished with $6 \%$ sodium-mercury amalgam. Further reduction of the ester to the primary alcohol will be accomplished by lithium aluminum hydride. Protection of the resulting alcohol as the tert-butyldiphenylsilyl ether 19 will provide a robust protecting group as it will not be removed until the late stages of the synthesis. ${ }^{12}$ Lastly, epoxidation with meta-chloroperbenzoic acid will furnish the desired compound 20.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of Epoxide 20.

Based on the work of Barrett et al., the epoxidation is expected to occur opposite the two alkyl groups. They showed that oxirane formation of a similar substrate 21, proceeded stereoselectively away from the two alkyl groups to give a $\sim 2: 1$ mixture of separable diastereomers ( $\mathbf{2 2}$ and 23) (Scheme 5). ${ }^{13}$ The similarities between substrates 20
and 21 suggest that the syn configuration and meta orientation of the methyl group and alkoxy group in cyclohexene derivative $\mathbf{2 0}$ will provide steric hindrance so as to favor approach of the electrophilic oxygen source opposite the side-chains. While recent studies provide alternative methods that may improve the diastereomeric ratio above that of the Barrett protocol, efforts will not focus heavily on improving the ratio as the proposed strategy will provide copious amounts of the desired product.


Scheme 5. Diastereoselective epoxidation.

The straightforward synthesis of synthon 10 will begin with known oxazolidinone 24 (Scheme 6). ${ }^{14}$ Reduction with lithium borohydride followed by protection of the resulting alcohol as the p-methoxybenzyl ether according to a literature protocol will generate 25. ${ }^{15}$ Ozonolysis followed by reductive work-up with dimethyl sulfide will result in the formation of aldehyde $\mathbf{2 6}$. As this strategy mirrors the reference cited, except for the choice of the protecting group for the primary alcohol, the sequence will proceed as shown. Addition of propane dithiol in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate will then convert the aldehyde to yield cyclic dithiane 27. ${ }^{16}$


Scheme 6. Synthesis of Dithiane 27.

## Coupling and Elaboration to Acid Chloride 2

With the requisite compounds in hand, elaboration to the desired acid chloride 2 will begin with the addition of 27 to the epoxide 20 . Oishi and coworkers have shown that lithiodithianes add with complete regioselectively to disubstituted oxiranes with a directing allylic methyl group (Scheme 7). ${ }^{17}$ Approach of the bulky lithiodithiane occurred from the top face and away from the branched methyl group to yield only alcohol 29.


Scheme 7. Nucleophilic opening of oxirane $\mathbf{2 8}$ by dithiane.

While the cited example is acyclic, the same rational will be used in the cyclic case, suggesting that addition of $\mathbf{2 7}$ to $\mathbf{2 0}$ will yield $\mathbf{3 0}$ exclusively (Scheme 8 ). ${ }^{18}$ The methyl group is expected to create steric bulk above the bridgehead carbon of the epoxide and direct the nucleophile to the opposite terminus. Acetylation with acetic anhydride will provide 31. Standard mercury perchlorate mediated conversion of dithiane $\mathbf{3 1}$ to the ketone will be used followed by base induced elimination of the acetate to give enone 32. ${ }^{19}$ At this stage it will be necessary to asymmetrically reduce the enone to the resulting allylic alcohol, which will be later utilized to direct an epoxidation. Noyori's binapthylaluminum hydride has proven to be a quite general method for the asymmetric reduction of enones to the corresponding allylic alcohol (Scheme 11 ). ${ }^{20}$ While the catalyst should direct the hydride source opposite the alkyl groups, it is plausible that only moderate diastereoselectivity will be obtained, in which case the triisopropyl group
could be easily removed and used to direct the reduction from the top face to give the stereochemistry shown in $\mathbf{3 3}$. Protection of the resulting alcohol as the triethylsilyl ether (TES) will provide an orthogonal group that can be selectively cleaved in the presence triisopropylsilyl ether. Some exploration in choice of protecting group maybe required as the TES group may prove to labile. Deprotection of the para-methoxybenzyl ether with dichlorodicyano quinone ${ }^{21}$ followed by Swern oxidation ${ }^{22}$ will generate the aldehyde 34.


Scheme 8. Synthesis of Ene Precursor 27.

With the key precursor 34 in hand, the Ene cyclization will be attempted. Snider and Goldman have shown that reaction of acrolein with racemic methyl-enecyclohexane 35 generates an equal mixture of diastereomers 38 and 39 through the mechanism shown (Scheme 9). ${ }^{23}$ Dimethyl aluminum chloride reacts with acrolein to form the "ate" complex 36, which undergoes an intermolecular Ene reaction to generate 37. This then reacts intramolecularly in a second Ene reaction to give only the two diastereomers 38 and 39. If excess acrolein is used then only the product 40 is observed, a result of an Oppenaur oxidation.


Scheme 9. Mechanism of Sequential Ene Reactions.

In the case of precursor 34, the first Ene reaction has been eliminated and the starting material is optically active. This reaction will therefore proceed to give alcohol 41, stereoselectively (Scheme 10). At this point, the strategy will diverge so as to be able to account for the synthesis of all four possible diastereomers. Formation of the mesylate and displacement with potassium cyanide in dimethyl sulfoxide will yield the nitrile $41 .{ }^{24}$ The reaction will be expected to yield the desired product however, mesylates are prone to eliminate lowering yields and complicating the reaction.


Scheme 10. Synthesis of Acid Chloride 45.

Nucleophilic displacement of cyclohexanol 34 therefore could result in significant formations of the olefin. Alternatively, Tsunoda and co-workers have developed a onepot cyanation of secondary alcohols based on the Mitsunobu reaction (Scheme 11). ${ }^{25}$ Addition of the cyano-Wittig reagent, shown below, to cyclic alcohol 46 reacted smoothly to give cyanide 47 with only small amounts of the olefin detected. Application of this method will provide a complimentary method for cyanation of the alcohol 42.


Scheme 11. One Pot Cyanation.

Conversion of $\mathbf{4 2}$ to the ester will be accomplished by acidic methanol. ${ }^{26}$ The TBDPS group will be stable to these conditions, however literature suggests that the triethylsilyl ether will not survive, thereby decreasing the need for a deprotection step. ${ }^{27}$ Judicious choice of reaction conditions will be required and will be reflected in the choice of reagents from this point forward as more vigorous methods might affect an epimerization of the newly formed stereocenter. Directed epoxidation will follow and yield 43. Due to the neighboring alcohol, this reaction is expected to proceed stereospecifically with meta-chloroperbenzoic acid as the oxidant, ${ }^{28}$ however a number of vanadium reagents would provide the desired selectivity as well. ${ }^{29}$ Reprotection of the alcohol as the triethylsilyl ether followed by reduction of the epoxide with palladium on carbon will yield alcohol 44. Danishefsky and coworkers have shown in there total synthesis of $\mathrm{Taxol}^{\circledR}$ that trisubstituted epoxides are opened chemoselectively in the presence of an enones (Scheme 12). ${ }^{30}$


Scheme 12. Palladium Catalyzed Reduction of Epoxide 48.

This will provide an excellent method for the introduction of the tertiary alcohol. Protection with para-methoxybenzyl chloride followed by saponification of the ester with lithium hydroxide and acid chloride formation will generate the second coupling partner 45, for the Stille reaction (Scheme 10). Although this synthesis will be somewhat long, it allows for the straightforward formation of both diastereomers at the $\alpha$ position of acid chloride 45.

The Mitsunobu reaction ${ }^{31}$ will be used to convert alcohol 41 into its diastereomer 50 (Scheme 13). Again, the potential for olefin formation exists but will be expected to furnish the cyanide rather than elimination product with Tsunoda's work serving as a back-up approach. ${ }^{26}$ Addition of diethyl azodicarboxylate to alcohol 41 in the presence of triphenylphosphine and acetic acid will give the acetate, which upon subjecting to potassium carbonate in methanol will generate, alcohol 50. This compound can then be elaborated to the diastereomeric acid chloride 51 as before (Scheme 10).


Scheme 13. Formation of the Second Diastereomer 51.

The other two possible diastereomers will be formed by taking in account the ease of which the product from the Ene cyclization was oxidized and isomerized to 40 , Scheme 9. Similarly, Swern oxidation of $\mathbf{4 1}$ and concominant isomerization will yield the intermediate enone, which will be converted to the triflate 52 (Scheme 14). ${ }^{32}$ Carbonylation ${ }^{33}$ will yield the methyl ester followed by cleavage of the TES group and chemoselective, asymmetric epoxidation will give 53. Conjugated diene 52 could potentially be too electron deficient, however the $\delta, \gamma$ olefin of enones have been differentiated in a number of systems and will react as expected. ${ }^{34}$ Palladium catalyzed reduction of the epoxide will then afford tertiary alcohol 54.


Scheme 14. Synthesis of Other Diastereomers.

An asymmetric 1,4-reduction of the enone will efficiently set two contiguous stereocenter with addition of hydrogen occurring from the same face as the tertiary alcohol. A similar approach has been recorded by Mori and was accomplished in high yield (Scheme 15). ${ }^{35}$ The enone was reduced with approach of hydrogen directed by the

## Completion of TAN 1813

With vinyl stannane and all four diastereomers of the acid chloride in hand, coupling and functional group transformations will be all that is required to complete the total synthesis (Scheme 16). The Stille reaction of acid chlorides $\mathbf{4 5}, \mathbf{5 1}, \mathbf{5 6}$, and $\mathbf{5 7}$, with stannane $\mathbf{1 4}$, will result in the formation of ketones $\mathbf{6 0} .{ }^{37}$ Again, selective hydrolysis of the triethylsilyl ether will yield the secondary alcohol. Mesylation followed by base catalyzed elimination will generate the internal olefin of 61. Deprotection of the tertbutyldiphenylsilyl ether with tetrabutylammonium fluoride ${ }^{38}$ will finally release the primary alcohol. Oxidation to the carboxylic acid will be accomplished by a two-step protocol. First, Swern oxidation ${ }^{22}$ will form the aldehyde and then sodium chlorite ${ }^{39}$ will further oxidize the compound to give 62. Deprotection of both the tertiary ether and the maleimide with dichlorodicyanoquinone ${ }^{21}$ will complete the synthesis of TAN 1813.


61
14



Scheme 16. Completion of TAN-1813.

In summary, the asymmetric total synthesis of TAN 1813 and three diastereomers has been proposed. The strategy utilizes an intramolecular Ene cyclization as the key step and will disclose the absolute structure of the natural product. The synthesis will also be highly convergent and provide a number of analogues that will help elucidate the biological activity of these compounds.
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