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## PREFACE

The Engineering Research Center at Colorado State University is located between two lakes, Horsetooth Reservoir of the Colorado Big Thompson Project, and College Lake. The laboratories of the Center were strategically placed to utilize the high head, 250 feet, available from the reservoir and the storage capacity of the lake. The Center is the focal point for research and graduate education.

There are four principal parts to the Center; the offices for staff and graduate students, the hydraulics laboratory, the fluid dynamics laboratory, and the outdoor hydraulics - hydrology laboratory. The research activities of the Center are fluid mechanics, hydraulics, hydrology, ground-water, soil mechanics, hydro-biology, geomorphology and environmental engineering.

The hydraulics laboratory includes 50, 000 square feet of floor space in which basic and applied research activities are undertaken. The floor of the laboratory is constructed over a large sump system,
having one-acre foot capacity, which permits recirculation of water through the various research facilities. Generally, pumps are used for recirculation but the high head and large flow capacity from the reservoir can also be utilized.

The Center includes well equipped machine and woodwork shops. All research facilities of the Center are constracted on site and in the case of this model study, necessary metal work, carpentry, and nearly all the plastic work was done by personnel in the shops. The shop personnel are particularly well experienced in the art and skill of model construction.

Grateful acknowledgement is hereby expressed by the writers to Mr. W. L. Eeds of Freese, Nichols and Endress for his cooperation during the conduct of this study, to personnel of the machine shops for their ingeneous contributions in solving model construction problems, particularly in the plastic works and to others contributing to the model study and the preparation of this report.
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This report describes a hydraulic model study of the morning glory spillway for Eagle Mountain Dam. Specific studies of the morning glory spillway, vertical bend, and stilling basin, indicated that the morning glory spillway was satisfactory as designed for all discharges and symmetrical combination of open gates. Discharge rating curves for the spillway for different gate combinations are provided in Fig. 22. The spillway structure was modified to include an air vent and a deflector above the vent at the P.C. of the vertical bend, to eliminate detrimental negative pressures along the inside radius of the bend.

The original stilling basin floor level was raised 5 feet and 3 additional feet were recommended
to be added to the top of the walls for freeboard. Immediately downstream of the conduit portal, fillets should be included to form a transition from a circular to rectangular section, to prevent negative pressures from developing at the boundaries.

Graded rip rap of 39 to 42 -in. maximum size should be provided for a distance of 300 ft downstream of the basin to prevent scouring of the earth channel. A layer of gravel should underlie the rip rap. An earth channel 410 feet wide and 15 feet deep should be provided to accommodate the flow. The model construction, tests, and conclusions and recommendations are described in this report.

## INTRODUCTION

Eagle Mountain Dam, constructed in 1931-34 by the Tarrant County Water Control and Improvement District Number One, provides water supply and flood control for the city of Fort Worth, Texas. At present, flood passage through the reservoir is controlled by a chute spillway with four 25 -foot wide bays, three of which are gated. The crest of the spillway is at elevation 649.0 ft . To improve flood control capability a gated morning glory spillway has been proposed. The morning glory spillway would provide additional low level discharge capacity to Eagle Mountain Reservoir. A general plan of the dam and appurtenant works and proposed spillway is shown in Fig. 1.

The proposed morning glory spillway should be capable of passing floods up to $22,000 \mathrm{cfs}$. The crest of the morning glory is at elevation 637.0 and is 56 feet in diameter. It will be gated with 12 vertical fixed wheel gates to permit controlled outflow through the spillway.

## Description of the Spillway

The location of the spillway relative to the dam is shown in Fig. 1. Detail plan and profile of the spillway is given in Fig. 2. The spillway consists of three principal features: the morning glory crest structure, the circular conduit and the hydraulic jump stilling basin. Details of these features are shown in Fig. 3.

The morning glory crest is at elevation 637.0 where the diameter is 56.0 ft , which narrows to 23.5 ft diameter at elevation 601.0, 63 ft below the crest. There the 23.5 ft circular conduit enters into a $90-$ degree vertical bend with a radius of 35.25 ft to the centerline of the conduit. Because of the small radius of curvature relative to the diameter of the conduit it was particularly important to investigate boundary pressures and flow conditions in the bend. Twelve equally spaced piers are located around the circumference of the spillway which extends from the base at elevation $549.5,132.5$ feet to the top at elevation 682.0.

A circular conduit 23.5 feet in diameter extends from the end of the vertical bend 495.86 ft downstream to a stilling basin. Approximately 300 feet of the initial length is inclined upward at a slope of 0.090 which levels out at an invert elevation of 585.5. The purpose for the upward inclination is to
keep part of the conduit flowing full at all times and to keep the portal of the tunnel above the influence of the backwater in the downstream channel.

The stilling basin consists of a diverging chute approach to the basin 131.0 ft long and a hydraulic jump basin 93.75 ft long. Chute and floor blocks are provided in the basin to assist formation and stabilization of the jump. The basin is 55.0 ft wide and the floor elevation is at 560.0 . The stepped end sill at the end of the basin is 8 ft in height. Downstream of the basin a rip-rapped transition section will be required to join with the channel.

## Scope of tive Model Study

The purpose of the model study was to investigate the hydraulic performance of the morning glory spillway, the hydraulic conditions within the bend and conduit, and the performance of the chute and stilling basin for the expected range of head water levels, discharges and tailwater levels. The specific objectives sought in the model study are listed below:

1. Determine through visual observation, photographs, and pressure data the flow characteristics through the morning glory spillway, the vertical bend, the conduit, and the stilling basin for all expected discharges.
2. Observe flow through the spillway and pressures on the crest for various numbers and combinations of open gates $1,2,3,4,6$ and 12 at several reservoir levels. The bottom of the gate in all instances, when open, will be above elevation 649.0. For reservoir levels above 649.0 the gate openings will in effect be ports.
3. Determine the existence and magnitude of negative pressures on the boundaries and the necessity of aeration to relieve the negative pressures.
4. Study the performance of the stilling basin for the full range of discharges with the tailwater at the expected minimum elevation 590.0 and the expected maximum elevation 598.5.
5. Recommend rip rap sizes in the transition from the stilling basin to the channel and recommend a channel size downstream from stilling basin.
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## Selection of Model Scale and Model Criteria

The objective of a hydraulic model is to develop dynamically and kinematically similar flows as the prototype. This requires that geometrical similitude be maintained. Dimensional analysis will show that both the Froude and Reynolds numbers are important for the objectives of this study. For instance, the free overflow into the morning glory spillway, the hydraulic jump in the stilling basin and the open channel flow are dependent upon gravity predominantly, hence the Froude criterion prevails, whereas, for the closed conduit flow where viscous effects are dominant, the Reynolds number is important. Because in this study the
open channel flow aspects dominate, the Froude criterion was chosen to determine the geometric scale.

A model-prototype relationship of about 1:30 was determined to be most feasible from an analysis of scale ratios based upon model size required for accurate measurements, flow conditions, available laboratory space and facilities, and economy of cost. The actual geometric scale used was $1: 31.333$ which was determined by the available sizes of commercially manufactured cast acrylic resin tubes used to represent the conduit. Table I contains a list of some of the characteristic model-prototype ratios based upon the selected scale.

TABLE I
MODEL PROTOTYPE SCALE RA IIOS

| Parameter | Scale Ratio |  | Absolute Magnitude |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Function of <br> the length | Numerical <br> Ratio | Prototype | Model |
| Length | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $1: 31.333$ | 1 ft | 0.383 in. |
| Area | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{2}$ | $1: 981.757$ | $2000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $1.019 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $1: 5.598$ | 1 fps | 0.179 fps |
| Discharge | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{5 / 2}$ | $1: 549.86$ | 10000 cfs | 1.820 cfs |
| Time | $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $1: 5.598$ | 1 min | 10.718 sec |

## THE MODEL

## Model Construction

The general limits of the model are shown in Fig. 4. Dimensions of the model facilities and actual arrangement are given in Fig. 5 with a photograph of the completed model shown in Fig. 6.

The head box and tail box were constructed of plywood and waterproofed with a fiberglas lining. The inside dimensions of the head box were 10 ft wide by 12 ft long by 3 ft deep. The tail box was constructed to the size indicated in Fig. 5. The areal extent of the tail box was considered sufficient to provide control of the tailwater level; effected by a hinged gate at the downstream end of the tail box.

Water to the head box was supplied by a 14 -in. turbine pump. The discharge was regulated by a control valve in the pipe line near the head box. A rock baffle was used to distribute the flow uniformly in the approach to the spillway. The approach velocity in the head box was designed to be small enough to assure that model effects would not influence the results of the study. The topography of the upstream face of the dam was included in the model to represent the approach conditions in the prototype. Discharge measurements were made with a calibrated orifice in the supply line.

The morning glory spillway was constructed by forming a wood mold in the lathe to the exact inside dimension of the spillway and then covering this mold with fiberglas as shown in Fig. 7. Four lines of piezometers were installed in the spillway to measure pressures on the face of the crest. The position and orientation, with respect to the conduit, of the piezometers are shown in Fig. 8. To provide a continuous line of piezometers along the crest of the spillway, the vertical bend, and the crown and invert of the conduit, the piers in the model were rotated $7.5^{\circ}$ with respect to those in the prototype. This rotation of the piers did not affect the performance or flow conditions of the spillway nor the results of the tests.

The piers were milled from solid sheets of plexiglass and oriented on the spillway as noted above. Figure 9 shows how the piers were installed on the morning glory spillway, and Fig. 10 shows the morning glory installed in the head box. The fixed
wheel roller gates were made from wood and coated with fiberglas for waterproofing. A rubber seal was glued to the edge of the gates.

The $90^{\circ}$ vertical bend elbow was molded with epoxy resin. The mold used in construction is shown in Fig. 11. Four lines of piezometers were installed to measure the pressures at the boundaries. The piezometers were located as shown in Fig. 8.

A 9-inch inside diameter cast acrylic resin tube was used to model the 23.5 ft diameter conduit. Piezometers located along the crown and invert of the conduit are shown in Fig. 12.

The stilling basin was constructed from fiberglas coated plywood and plexiglass. Plywood formed the chute, basin floor, and left ${ }^{2}$ wall. Plexiglass was used for the right wall to facilitate visual observation of the flow conditions and water surface profile within the stilling basin. Piezometers were located in the original stilling basin (hereinafter referred to as stilling basin I) as shown in Fig. 13. Piezometers were located only in the center chute block as shown in Fig. 14. The baffle block just to the right of the stilling basin center line had piezometers located as shown in Fig. 14. The chute and baffle blocks containing the piezometers were cut from blocks of plexiglass. The other chute and baffle blocks were wood blocks waterproofed with a fiberglas coating.

During testing of the model, stilling basin I was modified by raising the basin floor 5 feet from elevation 560.0 to 565.0 . The modified stilling basin shall hereafter be referred to as stilling basin II. Details of stilling basin II and the location of piezometers are shown in Fig. 15. A modified baffle block (referred to as baffle block A) was tested in stilling basin II and the details of block A and piezometers located on it are shown in Fig. 14.

## Piezometers

All piezometers were attached to manometer boards with flexible polyethylene tubing. Where negative pressures existed, measurements were made with a $U$-tube manometer.
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SEC. A-A

FIGURE 5 SCHEMATIC DRAWING OF MODEL


Fig. 6. Photograph of completed model.


Fig. 7. Morning glory spillway mold being covered with fiberglas.



Fig. 9. Installation of piers on the morning glory spillway crest.


Fig. 10. Installation of the morning glory spillway and piers inside the head box.


Fig. 11. Construction of the vertical bend mold.


PIEZOMETER ELEVATIONS
ROW A

| ${ }^{\text {Pleze }} \mathrm{NO}$ | Elev in | how ${ }^{\text {cevation }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 5785 | PIEzO. | ${ }_{\text {ELEVIN }}^{\text {ET }}$ |
| 19 20 | 583.0 | ${ }^{18}$ |  |
| 21 | 5885 5935 | 19 | 560.0 |
| 22 | 5985 | 20 | 5650 |
| 23 24 24 | 6035 | 21 22 | 5700 |
| 24 <br> 25 <br> 20 | 605.5 6005 | ${ }_{23}^{22}$ | 5750 |
| 26 | 6079 6085 | 24 | 5880 5820 |
| 27 | 6090 | 25 26 | 5840 |
| 28 | 6090 | 26 27 | 5850 5850 |
|  |  | 28 | 5855 5855 |

note: piezometer lines a an
terminate at the eno or
VERTICAL BEND

FIGURE 12
CONDUIT PIEZOMETER LOCATIONS
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FIGURE 13 STILLING BASIN 1 PIEZOMETER LOCATIONS



FIGURE 14 CHUTE AND BAFFLE BLOCK PIEZOMETER LOCATIONS



## Chronology of Tests

Initial tests of the model were made to determine the location and magnitude of negative pressures throughout the spillway for all crest gates open. When adverse negative pressures were observed, as along the inside radius of the bend, modifications necessary to reduce or eliminate these negative pressures were tested. Then the performance of the morning glory spillway under different heads and various numbers of open gates along with flow conditions through the vertical bend and conduit were studied in detail. The performances of the stilling basin under varying conditions of discharge and tailwater depth was the final phase of the testing.

## Initial Tests

The discharge rating curve for the model without modifications and with all gates open is shown in Fig. 16. The curve is included here so that the reader may relate discharge to reservoir elevation or vice versa when only one quantity is mentioned in the following discussion.

For reservoir elevations below 647.0 some negative pressures were observed. Pressure data for these tests are given in table $A-1$ of Appendix $A$. As the reservoir rose from 637.0 to 649.0 adverse negative pressures were measured along the inside radius of the elbow. The lowest pressure was measured at piezometer A-13 (see Fig. 8 for piezometer locations), which indicated a pressure head of -12 feet of water at reservoir elevation 649.0. This pressure was considered to be excessive and cavitation could result if this magnitude of negative pressures persisted.

## First Modification

A constriction at the portal was first attempted to eliminate negative pressures within the conduit. The constriction consisted of a wedge with a maximum thickness of 3 ft installed along the crown of the conduit. The constriction increased the hydraulic gradient throughout the tunnel upstream of the portal, which increased the pressure within the conduit, but which also reduced the discharge. A proper balance between pressure increase and maximum discharge could not be maintained by changing the size of the constriction. That is, if the pressure within the conduit was acceptable, maximum discharge was too small, and if the maximum discharge was increased at maximum reservoir level by reducing the size of the wedge, the negative pressures were created.

## Second Modification

Local negative pressures can be eliminated by aerating the conduit, that is, allowing air to be drawn into the zone of the negative pressures and by causing the flow to be physically separated from the tunnel boundary. Two 1/4-in diameter air vents were installed near the point of curvature of the vertical bend. The centers of the vents were located at elevation 597.5 and symmetrically placed about the vertical center line as shown in Fig. 17. A small wedge was placed directly above the air vents to create separation of the flow and to prevent the flow of water from impacting on the lower lip of the air vent opening and causing erosion of the concrete there. By aerating, a slight reduction in the discharge occurred as the discharge rating curves show in Fig. 18. A discharge of $19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ at reservoir elevation 649.0 was considered acceptable. Aeration resulted in increased pressures at piezometer A13 from -12 to -0.5 feet of water at reservoir elevation 649.0. For other pressure data resulting from aeration of the vertical bend see table A-2 of Appendix A.

## Morning Glory Spillway

After the adverse pressures in the vertical bend were eliminated, a detailed study of the overall performance of the spillway was made. Photographs of the approach flow lines in the reservoir to the morning glory spillway are shown in Fig. 19, for reservoir elevations of 643 and 649 and discharges of $9,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ respectively. As the reservoir rises, the surface velocities of the approaching flow increase until they reach a maximum at a reservoir level of 648.0 . As the reservoir level increased above elevation 648.0, the surface velocities decreased. This is because the conduit controis discharge above $18,500 \mathrm{cfs}$ and discharge per unit area of approach decreases with rising reservoir levels. The magnitude and orientation of the surface velocities for two reservoir levels are shown in Fig. 20.

Uniform discharge through each bay existed for all reservoir levels as determined by the uniformity of pressure readings between the four lines of piezometers located in the spillway crest. Pressure data on the crest surface are given in table A-2 of Appendix A.

Flow conditions over the spillway crest are illustrated in the photographs of Fig. 21 for discharges of $4,000,19,000$, and $21,400 \mathrm{cfs}$.



FIGURE 17 AIR VENT AND DEFLECTOR



Fig. 19(a). Flow lines into the morning glory spillway from the reservoir with water level at 649. 0 .


Fig. 19(b). Flow lines into the morning glory spillway from the reservoir with water level at 643.0.
 FOR FLOW LINES FROM RESERVOIR TO MORNING GLORY
SEE FIGURE I9

FIGURE 20 MODEL SURFACE VELOCITIES


Fig. 21(a). Flow through spillway bays. $Q=4000 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 21(c). Flow through spillway bays.

$$
Q=21,400 \mathrm{cfs}
$$

There was some pile-up of water at the pier nose. Because of the acceleration of flow around the piers, there was a slight draw-down of the water surface near the piers until the ports became submerged. Standing waves about 0.5 feet high were observed between the piers for discharges between 14, 000 cfs and 20,000 cfs. These standing waves were not objectionable as they did not interfere with the flow. Vortices (one and sometimes two per gate bay) appeared above the submerged ports. They were evident at all reservoir levels at which the ports were submerged. The vortices did not interfere with the flow and are not objectionable.

When the reservoir level was near elevation 647.0 , an oscillation of the water surface in the throat of the morning glory was noted. This oscillation was quite regular. The "boil" of water in the throat rose to submerge the morning glory and then dropped and completely swept out of the throat. As long as free over-fall on the spillway exists, the discharge is proportional to the three halves' power of the head on the crest $\left(Q=\mathrm{CLH}^{3 / 2}\right)$. However, when the morning glory becomes submerged, the throat diameter controls and in effect it becomes an orifice and the discharge becomes proportional to the onehalf power of the head above the orifice, $\left(Q=K H^{1 / 2}\right)$. By referring to the discharge rating curve of the spillway (Fig. 18), the two regions of operation, (free overflow and orifice flow) are clearly distinguished. In the region where the two curves intersect, instability of discharge occurs which results in the oscillation of the water surface within the throat as described above. A considerable amount of turbulence and alternate entrainment and release of air was observed in the model at the morning glory crest. Due to the periodicity of the oscillation, some detrimental vibrations could be set up in the prototype if the reservoir level remained near 647.0 for any length of time. Discharge at this reservoir level with all gates open should, therefore, be avoided.

This oscillatory condition did not occur when only $2,3,4$ or 6 gates were open. The transition zone between the free overflow and orifice flow was "smoothed out" as can be seen from the rating curves with various combinations of open gates shown in Fig. 22. A "boil" similar to those shown in the photographs of Fig. 23 remained above the throat of the morning glory when 2, 3, 4 or 6 gates were open. As the reservoir level rose, the "boil" also rose, until the conduit controlled the discharge, whereupon the "boil" became submerged and was not significantly evident.

Pressures on the surface of the crest were satisfactorily positive for reservoir levels up to 649.0 for all symmetrical combinations of open gates. For reservoir levels above 649. 0 , the openings were ports with the discharge and velocity through the
ports proportional to the effective head above the ports. With four or more gates open the discharge through the spillway was controlled by the conduit and the morning glory was submerged for reservoir levels above 649.0. The head above the submerged morning glory reduced the effective head for the ports, thus the velocities under the gates were reduced, and pressures on the surface of the spillway crest were satisfactorily positive.

When only 1, 2, or 3 gates were open with high reservoir levels, the conduit did not govern discharge, and thus the morning glory did not become submerged. As a consequence, when the reservoir level rose, the high velocity jet through the ports created negative pressures on the surface of the morring glory crest. Negative pressures up to -17 ft of water head were developed at piezometer no. 3. Pressure data for these tests are given in Appendix $B$ and location of piezometer are indicated in Fig. 8. It is recommerided for reservoir levels above 649.0 that four or more gates be used to control the discharge.

## The Vertical Bend

General flow conditions through the vertical bend were satisfactory after the air vents and the deflector were installed. At low discharges the pressures were positive throughout the bend. At higher discharges when air demand existed, the pressures along the inside of the radius were only slightly negative as mentioned before. For pressure data see table A-2 of Appendix A. The wedge and the air flow into the bend created a flow condition illustrated in the photographs of Fig. 24. A hydraulic jump formed near the bottom of the vertical bend which entrained the air admitted above, but neither the hydraulic jump nor the entrainment of air appeared to create any difficulty.

The air flow in the model was measured by a calibrated $1 / 2$-in x $3 / 8$-in venturi meter. The maximum air demand in the model was 160 cfs (prototype). The required air flow rates for the entire range of spillway discharges are shown in Fig. 25 where the maximum rate as mentioned above was 160 cfs. The Corps of Engineers ${ }^{3}$ suggests that air vent pipes be sized so that air velocities of not more than 150 ft . per sec. be created through the pipes. Using this as a guide, the total area of the air vents should be abcut 1.1 square feet. A 14 -inch I. D. pipe or two $10-$ inch I. D. pipes should be adequate for ventilation. Although two vents were used in the model, one pipe of adequate size would provide the same function. It is recommended that the single 14 -inch pipe be used for the air vent since the associated head losses will be less than for two 10 -inch pipes. The vent must be sloped to permit self draining. If a water lock should form in the vent
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FIGURE 22 MODEL DISCHARGE RATING CURVES FOR SYMMETRICAL GATE SOMBINATIONS


Fig. 23(a). Flow into the morning glory spillway with 4 gates open. $Q=8,300 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 23(b). Flow into the morning glory spillway with 6 gates open. $Q=12,300 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 24(a). Flow through the vertical bend. $Q=19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 24(b). Flow through the vertical bend. $Q=23,800 \mathrm{cfs}$.


FIGURE 25 AIR DEMAND FOR RECOMMENGED WATER DISCHARGE
pipe there is always the possibility that some damage could occur before the water plug is "blown out." An arrangement for the vent similar to that shown in Fig. 26 was suggested by the consulting engineers for incorporation in the prototype design. The vertical pipe portion of the vent system is intended to be used for lowering a submersible type pump to dewater the 23.5 ft diameter conduit for inspection and maintenance purposes. The effect of this vertical pipe on flow condition was tested in the model and no detrimental results were observed. For discharges up to $19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$, the vertical pipe is in a region of positive pressure and no air is drawn through it. For discharge above $19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$, it also acts as an air vent. The total air flow remained about the same compared to tests without the vertical pipe.

## The Conduit

Flow conditions through the conduit were satisfactory for all discharges. Some negative pressures were measured along the crown of the conduit near the portal. See Appendix A for pressure data. All other piezometers indicated positive pressure heads.

The air entrained at the throat of the morning glory or from the air intakes passed through this conduit without difficulty. At low discharges the air separated from the water and slugs of air passed through the conduit as shown in Fig. 27. For discharges above $18,000 \mathrm{cfs}$, the flow through the conduit was similar to that shown in Fig. 28 where entrained air passed through the conduit in small bubbles that tended to rise and flow along the crown of the conduit.

Horizontal and vertical velocity profiles at the portal were measured to determine if unequal velocity distributions were created within the conduit. The velocities were measured with a pitot tube. From the velocity profiles for the two discharges shown in Fig. 29, it can be seen that no unusual conditions prevailed.

## Stilling Basin I

The preliminary design of the stilling basin was shown in Fig. 3. This type of basin is principally a hydraulic jump energy dissipator. The purpose of the chute blocks and floor blocks is to decrease the length of the basin from that which would be required for a normal hydraulic jump by stabilizing the jump in a fixed position relative to the basin.

Pressures on the chute and basin floor were positive for all discharges tested at various tailwater conditions. Pressure data are given in table C-1 of Appendix C. Some negative pressures were observed on the baffle block at piezometer F11 and F12 located in the side faces of the block (see Fig. 14 for piezometer locations) for conditions of high discharge and
low tailwater levels. Some erosion of the concrete baffle blocks can be expected because of the negative pressures, but this type of floor block has been used in many existing stilling basins without serious deterioration and maintenance difficulty.

The spreading jet of water at supercritical velocities in the chute created fins at the walls. These fins did not overtop the wall and did not create interference with the flow, hence aside from spray, did not create any problem. The basin satisfactorily dissipatec the kinetic energy of the flow. However, due to the turbulence and undulations of the hydraulic jump at near maximum discharges the water would overtop the original wall at elevation 601 as shown in Fig. 30. Otherwise, the observed hydraulic jump in the stilling basin was satisfactory. If the stilling basin were to contain the hydraulic jump within the confines of the walls, it would have been necessary to increase the height of the walls by approximately 8 feet, including requirement for freeboard. In an attempt to affect some economy in the structure, tests were made with a raised floor leve 1 of the basin. The results are described in the next section.

## Stilling Basin II

The relative position of the baffle blocks to the chute blocks and the overall length of the stilling basin remained the same as stilling basin I. Dimensions of the chute and basin and the piezometer locations of stilling basin II are given in Fig. 15. The same type of chute and baffle blocks were used as in stilling basin I. Elevations and locations of the piezometers on the blocks were as shown in Fig. 14.

Generally, flow conditions in the modified basin were satisfactory. However, to insure that negative pressures along the boundary immediately downstream from the tunnel portal did not occur because of the sudden change in geometry from a circular to rectangular section, fillets were placed in the corrers as shown in Fig. 31. These fillets appeared to reduce the heights of the fins created by the spreading jet at the chute walls. Pressures on the face of the fillets, the chute and the floor were measured. Positive pressures were recorded for all discharges and tailwater levels. Data are given in table C-2 of Appendix C. Piezometers F11 and F12 again indicated negative pressures of -21.0 and -15.0 ft of water, respectively, on the baffle blocks at discharge of $23,800 \mathrm{cfs}$. In an attempt to reduce the negative pressures on the baffle blocks, the blocks were streamlined by using a 1 -foot radius to round the upstream corners of the blocks. Details of the block (hereafter referred to as block A) and the piezometer locations on the block are shown in Fig. 14. Streamlining created even more adverse pressure conditions. Piezometer F11 indicated a pressure head of -40 feet of water ${ }^{4}$ at a discharge of $23,800 \mathrm{cfs}$ and a tailwater level of 598.5. Pressure
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FIGURE 26 RECOMMENDED AIr VENT ARRANGEMENT


Fig. 27. Air slugs in the conduit. $Q=8,300 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 28. Air entrained flow through the conduit, $\mathrm{Q}=21,500 \mathrm{cfs}$.



Fig. 30. Hydraulic jump profile in stilling basin I.

data are given in table C-3 of Appendix C. Considering the appearance of the jump, the extent of air entrainment, and the turbulence downstream of the basin, block A, was considerably less effective than the original baffle blocks.

Photographs of the jump profile in stilling basin II at two discharges with the original baffle blocks are shown in Fig. 32. In each case the water surface reached the top of the basin wall at elevation 606.0 ft . To prevent overtopping of the walls it is recommended that at least 3 feet of additional freeboard be added to the basin walls to provide a total of 10.5 feet of freeboard above the maximum tailwater elevation of 598.5 . This freeboard is also shown in Fig. 32 as a line at elevation 609. 0.

## Scour Control

Sand was used to mold the channel bed in the model downstream from the stilling basin. Pea-sized gravel (1/4-in. mean diameter) was placed in a layer 5 feet deep (prototype) to represent rip rap approximately 10 inches in diameter downstream from the stilling basin for a distance of 140 feet. Tests were made to determine depth and pattern of scour for different discharge and tailwater levels. Two test results for discharges of $24,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ with tailwater level
at 598.5 are shown in the photographs of Fig. 33. The maximum depth of scour was 10 feet at a location immediately downstream from the end sill.

The pea-sized gravel was replaced with 3/4inch mean diameter gravel and scour tests were again made. The scour pattern developed with the larger gravel are shown in the photographs of Fig. 34. There was essentially no movement of the larger gravel for any discharge or tailwater level. The average sized rip rap in the model when scaled up to prototype size would be approximately 24 inches in diameter (prototype). The maximum size of gravel in the model represented stones approximately 39 to 40 inches in diameter. The size of rip rap required for an average velocity of 17 fps , which would occur at the maximum discharge of $23,800 \mathrm{cfs}$, based upon data developed by the Bureau of Reclamation ${ }^{5}$ would be 42 inch diameter stones placed in a graded layer at least 63 inches thick; where the rip rap is placed over gravel bedding. Rip rap protection of the banks should be the same as that for the bed.

Rip rap protection should extend about 300 feet downstream from the stilling basin end sill. The size of rip rap can be reduced with distance downstream from the end sill. A suggested variation of rip rap sizes and thicknesses with distance is indicated in table II?

TABLE II
RIP RAP SIZES

| distance downstream <br> from stilling basin <br> end sill | Graded rip rap to con- <br> sist mostly of this size <br> stone | Thickness of graded <br> rip rap layer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-50$ feet | 42 inch diameter |  |
| $50-100$ feet | 24 inch diameter | 63 inches |
| $100-200$ feet | 4 inch diameter <br> $200-300$ feet <br> $300-$ | 18 inch diameter |
| natural channel material |  |  |$\quad$| 6 inches |
| :--- |

[^4]

Fig. 32(a). Hydraulic jump profile in stilling basin II. $Q=23,600 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Fig. 33(a). Scour downstream from stilling basin II at tailwater 598. 5. $Q=24,000 \mathrm{cfs}$.



Fig. 32(bi. Hydraulic jump profile in stilling basin II. $\mathrm{Q}=19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 33(bi. Scour downstream from stilling basin II at tailwater 598. 5.
$Q=19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 34(a). Scour downstream from stilling basin II at tailwater 598. 5. $\mathrm{Q}=24,250 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 34(b). Scour downstream from stilling basin II at tailwater 598. 5. $Q=19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$.


Fig. 34(c). Scour downstream from stilling basin II at tailwater 590. 0 . $Q=19,000 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Two alternatives were considered in designing an acceptable channel to convey the spillway discharge downstream from the stilling basin. One alternative involves a main channel with provision for overbank flow for discharges greater than a certain minimum flow. The main channel would have a bottom width of 200 feet and carry a discharge of about 2500 cfs . The overbank section would require an additional 700 feet of width to contain the maximum discharge.

The second alternative involves a channel to
convey all discharges within its banks. This alternative is suggested for the Eagle Mountain project. The channel should have a bottom width of 410 feet at an elevation near 583.5. The depth should be about 15 feet with side slopes of $3: 1$. The longitudinal slope should be about 0.0001 . The channel bed will not coincide with the existing streambed at the junction but will be approximately 6 feet lower. With some excavation of the left bank the flow will spread at the existing river channel onto its natural flood plain, therefore, although a difference in elevation exists in bed levels. the water surface should not be controlled at the junction. Alignment and suggested cross section of the channel are shown in Fig. 35.


## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDA TIONS

## Morning Glory Crest

The morning glory performed satisfactori-y for all symmetrical combinations of open gates up to reservoir level 649.0. For reservoir levels above 649.0, pressures were satisfactorily positive when 4 or more gates were open. When less than 4 gates were open, at reservoir levels above 649.0, negative pressures were recorded on the surface of the spillway crest.

Operation of the morning glory spillway at reservoir elevation 647.0 and all gates open created an oscillatory condition in the throat that could set up detrimental vibrations in the structure. The oscillation did not occur when 6 gates were closed.

Operation of one gate nnly should be discouraged because the jet of water impacts against the opposite side of the spillway and erosion of the concrete surface could occur there. Symmetrical flows should be established with any combination of numbers of open gates for reservoir levels to 649. 0 . For reservoir levels above 649.0, symmetrical flows should be established with 4 or more open gates. No modification is suggested for the shape of the crest.

## Vertical Bend

Flow conditions in the vertical bend were made satisfactory by the addition of an air vent and deflector at the P. C. of the bend. The maximum air flow rate.required was 160 cfs (prototype). It is suggested that the air vent be a 14 -inch I. D. pipe centered at elevation 597.5. The size and orientation of the
recommerded deflector wedge above the air vent are shown in Fig. 26.

## Stilling Basin

To effect some economy in excavation of both the stilling basin and downstream channel, the floor level of the basin may be raised to elevation 565.0. To provide additional freeboard the top of the basin wall should be set at elevation 609. 0 .

No modification to the chute, chute blocks, baffle blocks or end sill were required excepting a reduction $n$ the chute length resulting from the raised floor level. Pressures were positive for all discharges at all locations in the stilling basin with the except:on of the baffle blocks. Negative pressures indicated the possibility of cavitation on the sides of the baffle blocks, but based upon other basins, maintenance of the blocks should not be serious.

A channel to contain all discharge through the spillway is recommended downstream from the stilling basin. The channel should have a bed width of about 410 feet with $3: 1$ side slopes, and depth of 15 feet. The differential in elevation between the stream and channel beds of 6 feet will effectively provide tailwater control for the stilling basin. Some excavation of the left bank of the natural stream channel is suggested to provide overbank flow capability. Graded rip rap should extend 300 feet downstream from the stilling basin end sill. Maximum rip rap size should vary from 42 inches in diameter with distance downstream from the end sill.

## APPENDICES
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Table A-1 - Pressure heads on the morning glory spillway, vertical bend, and conduit with no modification
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Table C-1 - Pressure heads on the chute, floor, chute blocks, and baffle blocks of stilling basin I Table C-2 - Pressure heads on the chute, floor, chute blocks, and baffle blocks of stilling basin II Table C-3 - Pressure heads on the chute, floor, chute blocks, and baffle block A of stilling basin II

TABLE A-1
PRESSURE HEADS ON THE MORNING GLCRY SPILLWAY, VERTICAL BEND, AND CONDUIT WITH NO MODIFICATION

Pressure Heads in Feet of Water


TABLE A-1 (Continued)

| Run No. | 62 | 61 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Piezometer <br> Number* | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head |
| C 11 | 21.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | -2.0 | -1. 0 | 0. 0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 28.0 | 22.5 | 8.0 | 17.0 | 1. 0 | -0. 5 | 0. 0 | 0.5 |
| 13 | 51.0 | 43.0 | 37.0 | 36.0 | 27.5 | 17.0 | 9. 0 | 9.5 |
| 14 | 60.0 | 52.0 | 45.5 | 44.5 | 38.0 | 34.0 | 26.0 | 22.0 |
| 15 | 76.2 | 68.0 | 61.0 | 60.0 | 52.5 | 47.0 | 39.0 | 35.5 |
| 16 | 85.5 | 77.5 | 70.5 | 69.5 | 60.5 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 43.5 |
| 17 | 86.0 | 78.5 | 72.0 | 71.0 | 62.0 | 56.0 | 48.0 | 45.0 |
| 18 | 65.5 | 62.0 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 50.0 | 46.5 | 44.0 | 43.0 |
| 19 | 52.0 | 50.5 | 48.5 | 50.5 | 46.0 | 44.0 | 42. 0 | 40.5 |
| 20 | 46.0 | 44.5 | 43.0 | 44.0 | 42.5 | 41.0 | 38.5 | 46.5 |
| 21 | 38.2 | 37.0 | 36.5 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 37.0 | 34.0 | 31.5 |
| 22 | 32.0 | 31.5 | 30.0 | 30.5 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 29.0 | 36.5 |
| 23 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 28.0 | 27.0 | 24.0 | 21.5 |
| 24 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 18.2 | 22.0 | 25.8 | 25.0 | 22.0 | 19.0 |
| 25 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 19.5 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 19.2 | 17. 0 |
| 26 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 18.0 | 15.0 |
| 27 | 14.7 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 16.0 | 14.0 |
| 28 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 11.5 |
| D 1 | 33.5 | 20.5 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 2 | 28.5 | 16.5 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1. 5 |
| 3 | 28.5 | 16.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1. 0 | 1. 0 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 27.8 | 16.5 | 6.5 | -1.5 | -1. 2 | -0. 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 28.0 | 17.5 | 7.8 | 2.5 | -2. 5 | -1. 5 | -0. 5 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 28.5 | 18.0 | 8.5 | $-1.0$ | -3.2 | -2. 5 | -1.0 | -1.0 |
| 7 | 29.0 | 18.5 | 9.7 | 1.0 | -3.0 | -2. 5 | -1.0 | -1.0 |
| 8 | 26.8 | 17.5 | 10.0 | 5.0 | -2.0 | -2. 5 | -1. 5 | -1.0 |
| 9 | 23.0 | 16.0 | 9.5 | 6.5 | -1. 5 | -2.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |
| 10 | 20.5 | 15.0 | 9.5 | 8.0 | $-1.0$ | -1.0 | -0. 3 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 16.7 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 9.0 | $-1.0$ | -1.0 | -0.5 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 18.2 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 0.5 | -0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 13 | 27.3 | 24.0 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 9.5 | 4.0 | 2. 5 | 4.5 |
| 14 | 34.7 | 31.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 19.5 | 16.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 |
| 15 | 46.0 | 42.5 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 30.0 | 26.0 | 23.0 | 21.5 |
| 16 | 51.5 | 48.5 | 46.0 | 45.5 | 36.0 | 31.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| 17 | 52.8 | 50.0 | 47.3 | 47.0 | 38.5 | 33.0 | 30.5 | 30.5 |

TABLE A-2
PRESSURE HEADS ON THE MORNING GLORY SPILLWAY, VEZTICAL BEND AND CONDUIT WITH RECOMMENDED AIR INTAKES AND DEFLECTOR INS TALLED

Pressure Heads in Feet of Water

| Run No. | 55 | 56 | 63 | 28 | 29 | Run No. | 55 | 56 | 63 | 28 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reservoir Elevation | 676.0 | 662.5 | 652.5 | 649.0 | 640.5 | Reservoir <br> Elevation | 676.0 | 662.5 | 652.5 | 649.0 | 640.5 |
| Discharge cfs | 23,600 | 21, 400 | 19,700 | 19, 000 | 4, 000 | Discharge cfs | 23,600 | 21,400 | 19,700 | 19, 000 | 4, 000 |
| Piezometer Number* | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Piezometer <br> Number* | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure Head | Pressure <br> Head |
| A 1 | 34.5 | 22.0 | 12.8 | 8.5 | 2.5 | C 1 | 34.5 | 21.5 | 11.5 | 8.5 | 3.2 |
| 2 | 30.7 | 18.5 | 10.3 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 2 | 30.0 | 17.8 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 30.0 | 18.3 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 3 | 29.8 | 18.0 | 9.7 | 7.0 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 30.0 | 18.5 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 4 | 30.0 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 30.5 | 19.3 | 12. 2 | 9.0 | -0.5 | 5 | 30.5 | 19.2 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 30.5 | 20.0 | 12.7 | 9.8 | -1.0 | 6 | 31.0 | 20.0 | 12.5 | 10.0 | -0.5 |
| 7 | 31.0 | 20.5 | 13.5 | 10.5 | -1.0 | 7 | 31.8 | 21.0 | 13.5 | 11.0 | -0.5 |
| 8 | 29.0 | 20.5 | 13.5 | 11.0 | -1.5 | 8 | 30.5 | 21.0 | 13.8 | 11.7 | -1.0 |
| 9 | 27.0 | 18.8 | 13.0 | 11.0 | -1.0 | 9 | 28.0 | 19.7 | 13.5 | 11.7 | -0.5 |
| 10 | 19.5 | 17.5 | 13.0 | 11.0 | -0.5 | 10 | 26.7 | 19.5 | 14.3 | 13.0 | -0.2 |
| 11 | 19.0 | 14.2 | 10.8 | e. 5 | -1.0 | 11 | 26.0 | 20.3 | 15.8 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 29.5 | 23.5 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 7.5 | 12 | 32.7 | 26.5 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 1.5 |
| 13 | -5.5 | -2. 0 | -1.0 | -0.5 | ** | 13 | 54.0 | 45.7 | 40.0 | 38.0 | 10.0 |
| 14 | -5.0 | -2.0 | -1.0 | -0.5 | ** | 14 | 62.3 | 54.0 | 48.3 | 46.0 | 21.0 |
| 15 | -6. 5 | -1.5 | -0.7 | -0.5 | ** | 15 | 76.0 | 68.2 | 63.0 | 61.0 | 34.0 |
| 16 | -5.0 | -3.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | *** | 16 | 77.5 | 76.5 | 72.5 | 70.5 | 42.5 |
| 17 | -6.0 | -2.5 | 14.0 | 1 c .0 | ** | 17 | 77.3 | 77.3 | 74.0 | 72.5 | 44.5 |
| 18 | 1.0 | ** | ** | ** | *** | 18 | 58.0 | 61.0 | 61.5 | 61.0 | 43.0 |
| 19 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 19 | 52.5 | 52.5 | 52.0 | 51.5 | 40.5 |
| 20 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 20 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 46.5 | 46.0 | 36.0 |
| 21 | ** | ** | ** | *** | ** | 21 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 39.5 | 31.5 |
| 22 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 22 | 34.0 | 34.2 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 26.5 |
| 23 | 1.0 | ** | ** | ** | ** | 23 | 27.5 | 27.7 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 21.5 |
| 24 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | ** | 24 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 19.0 |
| 25 | -2.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | ** | 25 | 21.5 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 16.7 |
| 26 | -4.7 | -0. 5 | -1.0 | -0.5 | ** | 26 | 19.0 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 15.0 |
| 27 | -5. 5 | -1. 3 | -2.0 | -2.0 | ** | 27 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 14.0 |
| 28 | -5.0 | -1.0 | -0.5 | -0.5 | ** | 28 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 15.0 | 11.3 |
| B 1 | 32.7 | 21.5 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 3.0 | D 1 | 34.8 | 22.0 | 12. 3 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| 2 | 29.5 | 18.2 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 2 | 30.8 | 18.2 | 9. 3 | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 29.8 | 18.0 | 10.5 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 3 | 30.7 | 18.5 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 30.8 | 18.5 | 11.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 30.0 | 18.5 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 30.3 | 19.3 | 12.3 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 30.5 | 19.2 | 12.0 | 8.5 | -0.5 |
| 6 | 30.7 | 19.7 | 12.7 | 9.7 | -0. 5 | 6 | 30.8 | 20.0 | 12.8 | 9.5 | -1.0 |
| 7 | 31.5 | 20.7 | 13.5 | 10.5 | -1.0 | 7 | 31.5 | 20.8 | 13.5 | 10.5 | -1.0 |
| 8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 13.7 | 11.5 | -1.0 | 8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 13.7 | 11.5 | -1.0 |
| 9 | 27.5 | 19.2 | 13.5 | 11.5 | -1.0 | 9 | 27.5 | 19.0 | 13.3 | 11.0 | -1.0 |
| 10 | 25.0 | 18.3 | 13.5 | 12.0 | -0.5 | 10 | 25.5 | 18.5 | 13. 7 | 12.0 | -0.5 |
| 11 | 23.0 | 17.3 | 13.5 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 22.5 | 16.8 | 13.2 | 12.0 | -1.0 |
| 12 | 23.0 | 18.5 | 15.3 | 13.5 | -1.0 | 12 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 1.0 |
| 13 | 32.5 | 28.0 | 25.8 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 13 | 32.0 | 27.5 | 24.3 | 23.0 | 3.5 |
| 14 | 38.0 | 33.5 | 30.3 | 31.0 | 14.5 | 14 | 38.5 | 33.5 | 30.3 | 28.5 | 14.5 |
| 15 | 45.3 | 41.7 | 39.7 | 39.0 | 21.5 | 15 | 45.0 | 41.2 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 21.0 |
| 16 | 45.5 | 45.0 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 28.0 | 16 | 46.0 | 44.5 | 46.0 | 45.0 | 28.0 |
| 17 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 45.7 | 47.5 | 30.5 | 17 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 48.0 | 47.5 | 30.0 |

[^5]** Data not recorded.

TABLE B-1

PRESSURE HEADS ON THE MORNING GLORY SPIL二WAY CREST FOR VARIOUS NUMBERS OF OPEN GATES

Pressure Heads in Feet of Water


PRESSURE HEADS ON THE CHUTE, FLOOR, CHUTE BLOCKS,
AND BAFFLE BLOCKS OF STILLING BASIN I
Pressure Heads in Feet of Water

| Run No. | 15 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tailwater Elevation | 598.5 | 598.5 | 598.5 | 598.5 | 598.5 | 598.j | 598.5 | 598.5 | 590.0 | 590.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Discharge } \\ \text { cfs } \end{gathered}$ | 25,300 | 20, 200 | 19, 000 | 17,100 | 13,000 | 10,000 | 6, 000 | 4, 000 | 20,000 | 4,700 |
| Piezometer Number* | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head |
| E $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 5\end{aligned}$ | 3.5 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 9. 5 | 8.5 |
|  | 11.0 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 9.5 | 9. 5 | 14.5 | 7.5 |
|  | 13.5 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 12. 5 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 15.3 | 6.0 |
|  | 11.5 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 7. 5 | 6. 5 | 12. 5 | 12.0 | 3.8 |
|  | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 7. 5 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 3.0 |
|  | 9.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 9. 5 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 9.0 | 3.0 |
| 7 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 18.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 8 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 21.0 | 6.5 | 9.5 |
| 9 | 6.5 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 23.0 | 44.0 | 5.5 | 13.5 |
| 10 | 10.0 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 24, 0 | 24.0 | 26.0 | 36.5 | 7. 3 | 17.0 |
| 11 | 14.0 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 27.0 | 27.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 9.5 | 20.0 |
| 12 | 17.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 29.5 |
| 13 | 33.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 35.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 28.0 | 30.0 |
| 14 | 34.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 39.0 | 41.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 36.5 | 29.5 |
| 15 | 16.0 | 28.5 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 38.0 | 37.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 17.0 | 30.0 |
| 16 | 29.5 | 35.0 | 35.5 | 35.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 27.5 | 30.0 |
| 17 | 35.5 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 36.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 31.0 | 30.0 |
| 18 | 37.0 | 38.0 | ** | 37.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | ** | 30.0 |
| 19 | 37.5 | ** | 38.5 | 37.5 | 28. 0 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 32.5 | 30.0 |
| 20 | 38.5 | 39.0 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 33.0 | 30.0 |
| 21 | 36.5 | 37.0 | 36.5 | 36.0 | 37.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 28.0 |
| 22 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 32.5 | 32.0 | 33.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 27.0 | 26.0 |
| F 1 | 8. 5 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 20.5 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 32.5 | 33.0 | 8.0 | 24.5 |
| 2 | 10.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 10.0 | 26.5 |
| 3 | 12.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 35. 5 | 36.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 28.5 |
| 4 | 8.5 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 32.5 | 31.0 | 32.5 | 33.0 | 8.5 | 24.5 |
| 5 | 8. 0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 23.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 10.0 | 26.5 |
| 6 | 8. 0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 22.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 9. 0 | 21.5 |
| 7 | 7. 0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 21.5 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 9. 0 | 22.0 |
| 8 | 14.5 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 17.0 | 26.0 |
| 9 | 4. 0 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 20.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 7.0 | 22.0 |
| 10 | 13.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 34.0 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 34. 5 | 16.0 | 26.0 |
| 11 | -21.0 | ** | ** | 13.0 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 22.0 |
| 12 | -17. 5 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 33. 5 | 34.0 | -6.0 | 26.0 |
| * See Figures 13 and 14 for piezometer locations ** Data not recorded. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C-2
PRESSURE HEADS ON THE CHUTE, FLOOR, CHUTE BLOCKS, AND BAFFLE BLOCKS OF STILLING BASIN II

Pressure Heads in Feet of Water

| Run No. | 55 | 26B | 64 | 64A | 56 | 28 | 25 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tailwater Elevation | 598.5 | 590.0 | 598.5 | 590.0 | 598.5 | 598.5 | 590.0 | 598.5 |
| $\underset{\text { cfs }}{\text { Discharge }}$ | 23,600 | 23,600 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 21,400 | 19, 000 | 19, 000 | 4, 000 |
| Piezometer <br> Number* | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head |
| E 1 | 8.5 | 8. 2 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 9.0 |
| 2 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 12. 0 | 14.3 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 8.5 |
| 3 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.2 | 24.7 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 9.5 |
| 4 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| 5 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 14.0 |
| 6 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.5 |
| 7 | 6. 0 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 18.0 |
| 8 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 20.5 |
| 9 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 7.0 | 23.5 |
| 10 | 25.0 | 22.2 | 26.0 | 20.8 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 20.5 | 26.5 |
| 11 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 12 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 19.5 | 8.0 | 33.0 |
| 13 | 32.5 | 29.0 | 33.0 | 8.0 | 32.5 | 22.0 | 28.0 | 33.0 |
| 14 | 43.0 | 43.5 | 40.7 | 39.8 | 4C. 5 | 38.5 | 36.0 | 33.0 |
| 15 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 17.2 | 13.5 | 17.5 | 21.5 | 14.5 | 32.0 |
| 16 | 31.7 | 24.8 | 29.0 | 24.0 | 29.3 | 30.5 | 25.0 | 33.0 |
| 17 | 32.5 | 27.7 | 33.0 | 28.7 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 28.0 | 33.0 |
| 18 | 34.5 | 30.0 | 34.3 | 30.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 33.0 |
| 19 | 35.0 | 31.2 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 34. 3 | 33.5 | 30.0 | 33.0 |
| 20 | 35.5 | 31.5 | 35.2 | 31.2 | 34. £ | 34.0 | 30.0 | 33.0 |
| 21 | 33.5 | 29.2 | 33.2 | 28.3 | 33. C | 32.5 | 28.5 | 31.0 |
| 22 | 29.5 | 25.5 | 29.2 | 24.7 | 29.0 | 28.0 | 24.0 | 27.0 |
| 23 | 4.5 | ** | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 5.5 |
| 24 | 5.0 | ** | 2.7 | 3.5 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 15.0 | 6.5 |
| F 1 | 5.5 | -4.5 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 8. ${ }^{-}$ | 13.0 | 4. 0 | 28.0 |
| 2 | 7.5 | -2.5 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 10.5 | 14.5 | 6.5 | 30.0 |
| 3 | 11.0 | -0. 3 | 15.0 | 6.3 | 14.2 | 18.5 | 10.0 | 32.0 |
| 4 | 6.0 | -4.3 | 9.5 | 1.5 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 4. 0 | 28.0 |
| 5 | 7.5 | -3.5 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 11.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 30.0 |
| 6 | 7. 0 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 13.5 | 9.0 | 24.5 |
| 7 | 6.5 | 16.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 9. 0 | 25.0 |
| 8 | 24.0 | 20.5 | 14.0 | 17.0 | 18.2 | 20.5 | 15.5 | 29.0 |
| 9 | 3.0 | 13.5 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 25.0 |
| 10 | 12.0 | 19.0 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 16.5 | 20.0 | 14.5 | 29.0 |
| 11 | -23.0 | -6.0 | -14.5 | -19.0 | -12.0 | -2.0 | -14.0 | 25.0 |
| 12 | -20.0 | 0.3 | -10.0 | -18.0 | -6.0 | 0.5 | $-10.0$ | 29.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { * } \quad \text { See Fi } \\ & \text { ** } \quad \text { Data } \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | es 14 and ecorded. | piezomet | ations. |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C-3
PRESSURE HEADS ON THE CHUTE, FLOOR, CHUTE BLOCKS, AND BAFFLE BLOCK A OF STILLING BASIN II

Pressure Heads in Feet of Water

| Run No. |  | 26D | 26 E | 28D | 28E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 598.5 | 590.0 | 598.5 | 590.0 |
| Discharge |  | 23,800 | 23, 800 | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| Piezometer <br> Number* |  | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head | Pressure <br> Head |
| E | 1 | 7.8 | 8. 0 | 11.8 | 12.0 |
|  | 2 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
|  | 3 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 14.8 |
|  | 4 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 11.1 | 11.3 |
|  | 5 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
|  | 6 | 9.2 | 9. 3 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
|  | 7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
|  | 8 | 7.2 | 7. 3 | 7.7 | 6.5 |
|  | 9 | 8.0 | 8. 0 | 11.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 10 | 12.5 | 22. 1 | 24.5 | 9.0 |
|  | 11 | ** | ** | ** | ** |
|  | 12 | 2.0 | -5. 5 | 17.8 | 3.5 |
|  | 13 | 27.3 | 23.0 | 31.0 | 24.0 |
|  | 14 | 34.0 | 35.5 | 34.5 | 29.7 |
|  | 15 | 7.7 | 13.5 | 11.3 | 11.5 |
|  | 16 | 23.7 | 8.3 | 29.5 | 23.7 |
|  | 17 | 26.5 | 5. 0 | 31.3 | 25.5 |
|  | 18 | 30.7 | 16.0 | 33.0 | 8.3 |
|  | 19 | 32.5 | 19.5 | 34.2 | 29.3 |
|  | 20 | 34.8 | 32.0 | 35.2 | 30.5 |
|  | 21 | 36.0 | 23.0 | 35.0 | 30.7 |
|  | 22 | 30.5 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 |
|  | 23 | ** | ** | ** | ** |
|  | 24 | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| F | 1 | 0.0 | -4. 7 | 12.0 | 1. 2 |
|  | 2 | 2.3 | -2. 7 | 14.0 | 3.2 |
|  | 3 | 5.3 | -1.5 | 17.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 4 | 0.5 | -4. 5 | 12.5 | 1.5 |
|  | 5 | 2.0 | -3.5 | 13.5 | 3.2 |
|  | 6 | -11.5 | -1.5 | 5.8 | -3. 5 |
|  | 7 | -6. 5 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.2 |
|  | 8 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 19.0 | 1.5 |
|  | 9 | -8.0 | 0.5 | 8.3 | -0.5 |
|  | 10 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 19.0 | 12.5 |
|  | 11 | $-40.0{ }^{1}$ | -28.0 | -7.0 | -21.8 |
|  | 12 | ** | -46. $0^{1}$ | -24.5 | $-57.0^{1}$ |

* See Figures 14 and 15 for piezometer locations.
** Data not recorded.
1 Negative pressures reading below about -30 . C feet of water have no physical meaning in the prototype except to indicate possible cavitation.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All elevations or levels expressed in numbers will be understood to have dimensions in feet whether or not it is explicitly stated.

[^1]:    $\overline{2}$ Left and right as used in this report refer to the observer's left and right looking downstream.

[^2]:    $\overline{3}$ Corps of Engineers, Hydraulic Design Criteria, sheet 050-1, "Air Demand-Regulated Outlet Works, " Revised 1-64.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Pressures below the vapor pressure of water have no physical significance except to indicate possible cavitation in the prototype. Pressures less than vapor pressures were measured in the model because of scale.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Peterka, A.J., Hydraulic Design of Stilling Basins and Energy Dissipators, Engineering Monograph No. 25, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, revised July 1963, pp. 207-217.

[^5]:    * See Figures 8 and 12 for piezometer locations.

