

QC852  
C6  
no. 264  
ARCHIVE

# A POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF $6.3 \mu\text{m}$ WATER VAPOR AND $4.3 \mu\text{m}$ $\text{CO}_2$ ATMOSPHERIC TRANSMISSIVITIES

P. Minnis and S. K. Cox



Atmospheric Science

PAPER NO.

264

US ISSN 0067-0340

DEPARTMENT OF ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE  
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY  
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

A POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  WATER VAPOR  
AND 4.3  $\mu\text{m}$   $\text{CO}_2$  ATMOSPHERIC TRANSMISSIVITIES

By

Patrick Minnis and Stephen K. Cox

Research supported by the  
National Science Foundation under  
Grants OCD 72-01681 A03 and OCD 74-21678

Department of Atmospheric Science  
Colorado State University  
Fort Collins, Colorado  
80523

December, 1976

Atmospheric Science Paper No. 264

## ABSTRACT

### A POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF 6.3 $\mu\text{m}$ WATER VAPOR AND 4.3 $\mu\text{m}$ CO<sub>2</sub> ATMOSPHERIC TRANSMISSIVITIES

The research reported in this paper describes a polynomial model formulated for the depiction of 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  H<sub>2</sub>O and 4.3  $\mu\text{m}$  CO<sub>2</sub> transmissivities in the atmosphere. A six degree function utilizing a corrected optical path is found sufficient for expressing convolved tabulated transmittance data of 10 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution, with an RMS error of about one percent transmission. With this model transmissivities may be calculated for many spectral intervals in a relatively small amount of time with the precision required for most atmospheric problems.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MODEL	2
III. RESULTS	9
IV. APPLICATION TO THE ATMOSPHERE	17
V. CONCLUSION	18
REFERENCES	19
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	20

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$a_v$	spectral absorption coefficient
$b_v$	spectral optical path correction exponent
$C_n(\Delta\nu)$	spectral interval polynomial absorption coefficient
$K_v$	spectral absorption coefficient
$p$	atmospheric pressure
$p_e$	effective atmospheric pressure
$p_0$	standard atmospheric pressure
$s$	line intensity
$T$	atmospheric temperature
$T_c$	effective atmospheric temperature
$T_0$	standard atmospheric temperature
$u$	optical mass
$u^*$	effective optical path
$W(\Delta\nu)$	spectral interval transmission function
$x_i$	half width function
$x$	logarithm of effective optical path
$Y$	spectral interval polynomial absorption function
$\alpha$	Lorentz half width
$\alpha_0$	Lorentz half width at standard conditions
$\gamma$	pressure correction exponent
$\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$	spectral interval pressure correction exponent
$\lambda$	temperature correction exponent
$\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$	spectral interval temperature correction exponent
$\nu$	frequency
$\Delta\nu$	spectral interval

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\tau_v$  monochromatic radiation transmittance

$\tau_{\Delta v}$  spectral interval radiation transmittance

## LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE
Table I. Pressures, temperatures, and optical paths of tabulated transmittances.	6
Table II. Applicable ranges of the model.	10
Table III. Spectral intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents and RMS errors for the 4.3 $\mu\text{m}$ CO <sub>2</sub> band.	11
Table IV. Spectral intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents and RMS errors for the 6.3 $\mu\text{m}$ water vapor band.	13

## I. INTRODUCTION

In order to simplify and to reduce computer time required for calculating transmittances in the  $6.3 \mu\text{m}$  water vapor band and in the  $4.3 \mu\text{m} \text{CO}_2$  band calculated transmission data were fitted with a polynomial model. Coefficients for the model were determined from calculated transmittances tabulated in  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  intervals for both bands at standard temperature ( $296^\circ\text{K}$ ) and pressure (1013 mb). Pressure and temperature effects were taken into account by means of a corrected optical depth. A six degree polynomial in the logarithm of corrected optical depth was sufficient for representing the tabulated transmittances in most of the  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  intervals of these bands with an RMS precision of better than 1% in transmission.

## II. DEVELOPMENT

For monochromatic radiation the transmissivity,  $\tau_v$ , at a frequency,  $v$ , is given by

$$\tau_v = \exp(-K_v u) \quad (1)$$

where  $u$  is the optical mass and  $K_v$  the absorption coefficient. For an absorption band where there are many discrete spectral absorbing lines the absorption coefficient is in reality a sum of the absorption coefficients of all spectral lines extending to the frequency  $v$ . In other words,

$$K_v = \sum_i K_{v_i} \quad (2)$$

where  $K_{v_i}$  is the absorption coefficient of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  line. In the atmosphere, the absorption coefficient is described with reasonable accuracy by the Lorentz line shape

$$K_{v_i} = \frac{s_i \alpha_i}{\pi[(v-v_i)^2 + \alpha_i^2]} \quad (3)$$

where  $s_i$  is the line intensity,  $\alpha_i$  the Lorentz half width and  $v_i$  is the frequency of the line center.

Combining the three equations above, one obtains

$$\tau_v = \exp\left[-\frac{u}{\pi} \sum_i \frac{\alpha_i s_i}{[(v-v_i)^2 + \alpha_i^2]}\right] \quad (4)$$

In order to express Eq. 4 in a simple polynomial form it must be assumed that  $\alpha_i$  in the denominator be expressed by a characteristic value,  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ , which is good for all temperature and pressure conditions

(Goody, 1964). Using this assumption and the fact that for the Lorentz shape pressure broadening may be represented by the approximation

$$\alpha_i \cong \alpha_{0i} \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right) \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^n, \quad \text{where } n = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (5)$$

and  $\alpha_{0i}$  refers to the Lorentz half-width at STP, equation 4 may be simplified. Multiplying Eq. 5 by  $u$ ,

$$\alpha_i u \cong \alpha_{0i} \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right) \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u,$$

however, the temperature dependence is in fact more complicated and the power at  $(p/p_0)$  is not restricted to unity, so in order to establish the equality, a more general form is introduced.

$$\alpha_i u = \alpha_{0i} \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^\lambda \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^\lambda u$$

or

$$\alpha_i u = \alpha_{0i} u_* \quad (6)$$

where  $u_* = \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^\lambda \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^\lambda u,$  (7)

$u_*$  is the effective optical path. Introducing the above simplification into Eq. 4 we obtain

$$\tau_v = \exp[-\frac{u_*}{\pi} \sum_i x_i s_i] \quad (8)$$

where  $x_i = \alpha_{0i} [(\nu - \nu_i)^2 + \alpha_i^2]^{-1}$ . For a given spectral interval it will

be assumed that  $\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_i x_i s_i$  may be represented by a constant value  $a_\nu$  which further reduces Eq. 8 to

$$\tau_v = \exp[-a_v u_*]. \quad (9)$$

From Goody's (1964) discussion of single line and band models, it was found that theoretically the power of the optical path need not be restricted to unity, especially in the case of overlapping lines. In a study by Roach (1961) in which laboratory data and empirical fits for infrared and near-infrared  $\text{CO}_2$  and water vapor bands developed by Howard, Burch and Williams (1955) were utilized, the integral absorption is proportional to the square root of the optical path for weak lines. For the strong line approximation the absorption is proportional to a multiple (218 - 460) of the  $\log u$ . To account for this complex interaction between overlapping weak and strong lines it becomes necessary to introduce the exponent  $b_v$ , into equation 9 yielding

$$\tau_v = \exp[-a_v u_*^{b_v}]. \quad (10)$$

Following the method of Smith (1969) and taking the logarithm of Eq. 10 twice yields the simple polynomial form

$$\ln(-\ln \tau_v) = \ln a_v + b_v \ln u_* + R_v \quad (11)$$

where  $R_v$ , the residual required to establish the equality in Eq. 11 may be interpreted as all higher order terms in  $\ln u_*$ .

In our application a regular polynomial expansion similar to Eq. 11 is applied to convolved transmittance data tabulated in  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  intervals of the  $6.3 \mu\text{m}$  water vapor band and the  $4.3 \mu\text{m}$   $\text{CO}_2$  band. The expansion used is of the form

$$W(\Delta v) = C_0(\Delta v) + C_1(\Delta v) x + C_2(\Delta v) x^2 + \dots + C_n(\Delta v) x^n \quad (12)$$

where  $w_{\Delta\nu} = \ln(-\ln \tau_{\Delta\nu})$  and  $x = \ln u_*$ .

Having the tabulated transmittance data for the pressures, temperatures, and optical depths given in Table I, only three points remain unsolved. The coefficients for Eq. 12 for each  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  interval need to be determined and the exponents  $\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$  and  $\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$  need to be determined. The former was handled in the following manner.

At STP  $u_* = u$ , so now  $x = \ln u$ . Using the tabulated values of  $\tau_{\Delta\nu}$  and  $u$ , the values of the coefficients in each  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  interval are simultaneously derived by the method of least squares. By statistical analysis, it was found that a polynomial of no more than six degrees in  $\ln u$  is sufficient to describe the relationship of  $\tau$  with  $u$ . In order to achieve an optimum description over the entire range of transmittances tabulated for a given frequency interval all values of  $\tau$  equal to 1 and 0 were deleted from the analysis.

In order to determine the values of  $\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$ , and  $\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$  for each  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  spectral interval, it is first necessary to have a unique value of  $u_*$  for a given  $u$ ,  $p$ , and  $T$ . These values were determined in the following way. Consider a transmittance value at a given optical depth, temperature, and pressure,  $\tau_{u,p,T}$ . At STP there is also a value  $\tau_{u_1,\text{STP}}$  where

$$\tau_{u,p,T} = \tau_{u_1,\text{STP}} \quad (13)$$

By knowing the value of  $u_1$  where this equality holds it is possible to assign to  $u_*$  the value  $u_1$ . Since a set of tabulated transmittance values at various temperatures, pressures and optical depths is available (Table I) it is merely a matter of plotting a family of curves with each curve representing the relationship of  $\tau$  and  $u$  at a unique

PRESSURE		TEMPERATURE		OPTICAL PATH	
CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O
mb	mb	°K	°K	Atm. cm.	Atm. cm.
1013	1013	296	296	.0001	.001
700	800	273	293	.0005	.01
500	600	253	273	.001	.1
100	400	233	233	.0025	1.0
				.005	
10	200	213	213	.01	2.0
				.1	4.0
				1	8.0
				10	10.0
				50	20.0
				100	40.0
				250	80.0
				500	
				750	
				1000	
				1250	
				2500	

Table I. Pressures, temperatures, and optical paths of tabulated transmittances, used as a data base for the development of the polynomial model.

combination of temperature and pressure. This is done for each spectral interval with  $\tau$  graphed against  $\log u$ .

If a curve of  $\tau_{\text{STP}}$  vs.  $\log u$  is first graphed for each interval, it is then a simple matter of taking a  $\tau_{u,p,T}$  and finding the value of  $u_1$  where  $\tau_{u,p,T} = \tau_{u_1, \text{STP}}$ . By tabulating a set of values for  $u_*$ ,  $u$ ,  $p$ ,  $T$  and subjecting these to a linear regression analysis using a logarithmic form of Eq. 7,

$$(\ln u_* - \ln u) = \lambda_{\Delta\nu} (\ln p - \ln p_0) + \gamma_{\Delta\nu} (\ln T - \ln T_0)$$

the best fit values of  $\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$  and  $\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$  may be obtained.

For some weak lines where the graphical resolution was not sufficiently precise for finding a unique value of  $u_*$  from the curve and for some strong lines where  $\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial u}$  was too high, another method was employed for finding values of  $u_*$ . In these cases, it was necessary to use the values of  $\tau_{u,p,T}$  in the polynomial relationship found at STP and solve for  $u_*$ . Also, in some cases (strong CO<sub>2</sub>) it was found necessary to determine two different values for both  $\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$  and  $\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$  in order to achieve a higher degree of precision. The values of  $\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$  and  $\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$  to be used is specified as a function of the pressure.

All transmittance values used in the derivation of this model were calculated with a program (Program LBL) written by R. McClatchey (1973) which utilized laboratory line intensity data compiled by the AFCRL (TR-73-0096).

The McClatchey program made convolved transmittance computations by utilizing the line intensity data in a Lorentz shape which was modified at pressures below 100 mb by use of the Voigt shape (Goody, 1964). For the purposes of this model the McClatchey program was run using a

.01  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  monochromatic frequency increment and a .05  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  triangular slit function half width at the optical depths specified in Table I. All influences from a given line were ignored at  $2.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  from the line center. The convolved transmittances were calculated at each  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  starting at  $1250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and ending at  $2450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the  $6.3 \mu\text{m}$  water band and starting at  $2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and ending at  $2630 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the  $4.3 \mu\text{m}$   $\text{CO}_2$  band. An RMS error of less than 1% transmission with respect to the tabulated transmittances for most of the  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  intervals considered was achieved with use of this model.

### III. RESULTS

Tables III and IV list the coefficients of the significant terms in equation 12, found for the  $4.3 \mu\text{m}$   $\text{CO}_2$  and  $6.3 \mu\text{m}$  water vapor bands. The transmittance for a spectral interval can be calculated from these coefficients by the relation

$$\tau_{\Delta\nu} = \exp[-\exp(\Upsilon)]. \quad (14)$$

In Eq. 14  $\Upsilon = \sum_{i=0}^6 c_i x^i$  where the  $c_i$ 's are given in Tables III and IV.

Also, the values for  $\lambda_{\Delta\nu}$  and  $\gamma_{\Delta\nu}$  are presented in Tables III and IV.

In Eq. 15  $x = \ln u_*$  where

$$u_* = u \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right)^{\lambda_{\Delta\nu}} \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{\gamma_{\Delta\nu}}.$$

It is noted that  $\tau_{\Delta\nu}$  as computed from Eq. 10 must be constrained to the interval 0 to 1 (i.e. if  $\tau_{\Delta\nu} > 1.0$ ,  $\tau_{\Delta\nu} = 1.0$ ; if  $\tau_{\Delta\nu} < 0$ ,  $\tau_{\Delta\nu} = 0$ ).

The range of applications of this model is limited to the optical depths given in Table II. Use of values outside of these ranges could lead to serious errors in results. For errors and limitations encountered within the useful range of the model see the column headed "RMS ERROR" in Tables III and IV.

TABLE II. Useful range of model

CO <sub>2</sub>		H <sub>2</sub> O	
u <sub>*</sub> (atm. cm.)		u <sub>*</sub> (atm. cm.)	
min.	max.	min.	max.
0.1	2500.0	0.001	85.0
Spectral Range (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		Spectral Range (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	
2000-2630		1250-2450	

$\nu$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	$C_0$	$C_1$	$C_2 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_3 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_4 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_5 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_6 \times 10^n$	$n$	$\gamma$	$\lambda$	RMS ERROR % $\tau$	LIMITING CONDITIONS
2000	-11.6688	1.003763											.143	2.828	.03	
2010	-10.59660	.980826											-.18971	1.2434	.02	
2020	-10.23181	.97098											.05822	1.599	.13	
2030	-9.114978	1.096552	-.1518652	-1	.1960704	-1	-.6664442	-2	.8262779	-3	-.3683478	-4	.0487	3.8545	.34	
2040	-7.168705	1.095537	-.9948559	-1	.3567489	-1	-.5395615	-2	.2230342	-3	.402385	-5	.1542	3.1542	.71	
2050	-6.275427	.9783538	.1135545	-1	.2697099	-2	-.2065808	-2	.148796	-3	.1271628	-5	.3187	1.0075	1.4	
2060	-6.475222	1.028614	.8108571	-2	.5654846	-3	-.1816227	-2	.2179822	-3	-.6859203	-5	A(.58387) B(.17784)	A(.096) B(.7161)	.80	p>100, use A
2070	-5.673477	1.133939	-.1940258	+0	.1037922	+0	-.2744545	-1	.3153987	-2	-.1313592	-3	A(.3529) B(.1054)	A(.92664) B(1.10403)	.90	p>100, use A
2080	-8.1111579	1.157891	-.495307	-1	.1333789	-1	-.2615897	-2	.2724533	-3	-.1252151	-4	.14188	1.489	.62	
2090	-12.20607	7.384675	-3.507023	+0	1.000936	+0	-.1559653	+0	.124387	-1	-.3974606	-3	.2066	4.1503	.38	
2100	-12.71590	4.681139	-1.380183	+0	.2674267	+0	-.2785658	-1	.1461297	-2	-.3029923	-4	.2065	4.6716	.28	
2110	-12.71690	5.542827	-2.259306	+0	.5952606	+0	-.8685228	-1	.6642176	-2	-.2086656	-3	-.07842	4.641	.13	
2120	-13.81551	6.017355	-2.441485	+0	.5987598	+0	-.7895454	-1	.5402158	-2	-.1522199	-3	.1317	5.4743	.08	
2130	-13.81551	6.255362	-3.150488	+0	.9934008	+0	-.167781	+0	.1433565	-1	-.4876130	-3	.0498	4.941	.23	
2140	-10.52849	1.007707											-.14331	6.661	.07	
2150	-11.33792	1.008508											-.01083	8.268	.02	
2160	-1399.352	1341.1359	-.5376869	+3	.1146184	+3	-.1368848	+2	.8681828	+0	-.2284334	-1	-.7439	6.42	.10	$u_* < 50, \tau = 1.0$
2170	-12.65558	1.043676											-.1146	11.525	.02	
2180	-11.69599	1.046987											-.1724	12.51	.03	
2190	-13.81551	9.762707	-.7563113	+1	.280655	+1	-.5135127	+0	.4593461	-1	-.1608862	-2	-.09631	8.8833	.22	
2200	-13.81551	7.166384	-.3337185	+1	.9615654	+0	-.1523022	+0	.1250231	-1	-.4161007	-3	-.17131	10.69	.30	
2210	-7.561423	1.522972	-.2849925	+0	.8698373	-1	-.1445866	-1	.1181778	-2	-.3862841	-4	-.1103	10.69	.48	
2220	-5.549922	1.072481	-.234514	-1	.3193229	-2	-.1008166	-2	.8659035	-4	-.1975633	-5	-.1002	8.863	.92	
2230	-3.814379	1.003372	-.1975505	-1	-.568079	-2	-.4909031	-3	.2685157	-3	-.167033	-4	A(.4586) B(.1155)	A(6.41564) B(7.4264)	A(.55) B(.22)	p<100, use B
2240	-3.107889	.9154184	-.1679270	-1	.5472486	-2	-.1554092	-2	-.1565793	-3	.3913460	-4	A(.59988) B(.26684)	A(5.1173) B(5.9502)	A(1.2) B(1.10)	p<100, use B
2250	-1.613904	.8004722	-.5333285	-1	.4027510	-2	-.2235731	-2	-.5172158	-3	.3365971	-4	A(.7714) B(.50557)	A(2.4621) B(3.5022)	A(.10) B(1.5)	p<500, use B
2260	-1.259589	.7056034	-.2345379	-1	.1082191	-1	-.1654415	-2	.1560126	-3	-.6104281	-5	A(.85625) B(.65224)	A(.8373) B(1.732)	A(.10) B(1.8)	p<100, use B
2270	-1.01856	.6806692	-.3924527	-1	.1697213	-1	-.1704094	-2	.2270495	-4	.4112372	-5	A(.9222) B(.64872)	A(.02476) B(1.1855)	A(.42) B(1.7)	p<100, use B
2280	-.9282895	.8521525	-.8997461	-1	.3436132	-3	.6250376	-2	-.40819	-4	-.1543246	-3	A(.84955) B(.4408)	A(3.5082) B(4.6314)	A(.74) B(2.1)	p<500, use B $u_* > 100, \tau = 0$

TABLE III. Spectral intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents for 4.3  $\mu\text{m}$  CO<sub>2</sub> band

$\nu$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	$c_0$	$c_1$	$c_2 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_3 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_4 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_5 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_6 \times 10^n$	$n$	$\gamma$	$\lambda$	RMS ERROR % $\tau$	LIMITING CONDITIONS
2290	-.0412770	.7314233	-.4014692	-1	.2736055	-2	.1104330	-2	.8144719	-4			A(1.118)	A(2.83)	A(1.0)	p<500, use B
2300	.535833	.6869181	-.1546908	-1	.1309148	-1	.2987873	-2	.208231	-3			B(.71103)	B(2.10945)	B(1.5)	
2310	1.211583	.7908794	.8524421	-1	.2108139	-1	.1262320	-2					.6608	4.846	1.7	$u_* > 500, \tau = 0$
2320	1.733303	.689087											.77992	3.8	.80	$u_* > 250, \tau = 0$
2330	2.076448	3.749197	.2986294	+1	.1047945	+1	.1582643	+0	.8752171	-2			.88132	1.745	.20	
2340	1.794512	.682285											.11546	7.4264	2.2	$u_* > 10, \tau = 0$
2350	1.962694	.647478											.86668	.9795	.60	
2360	2.512322	.8556896	.2928097	-1	-.6161232	-2	-.1318683	-2	.4538172	-4	.9256632	-5	.94112	.39911	1.3	
2370	1.379881	.628314											.8985	3.3574	.10	
2380	-.4562727	.5675137	-.470478	-1	.1704993	-2	.8098327	-3	-.3352258	-5	-.4235605	-5	.54315	9.5172	1.9	
2390 A	-3.707507	.2427206	-.1141463	+0	.6857687	-1	-.8447277	-3	-.135696	-2			.5624	13.72	.50	$u_* < 75, A$
2390 B	-12.343	5.932585	-.1202592	-1	.8683469	-1	.6296423	-2	-.1346239	-2	.5886439	-4	.5624	13.72	.50	$u_* > 75, B$
2400	-13.12236	5.075257	-.2396008	+1	.7234902	+0	-.1189038	+0	.101102	-1	-.3484698	-3	-.82865	7.0381	.27	
2410	-12.71690	4.990170	-.2285069	+1	.6736437	+0	-.1081057	+0	.897723	-2	-.3027885	-3	.2262	6.481	.26	
2420	-13.12236	4.909700	-.2123409	+1	.5976136	+0	-.9229550	-1	.7439495	-2	-.2454690	-3	.0827	5.0843	.17	
2430	-12.71690	4.547448	-.1888515	+1	.5182736	+0	-.7767888	-1	.6065730	-2	-.1942519	-3	.3053	5.83	.37	
2440	-12.42922	5.193784	-.2398289	+1	.6992543	+0	-.1100077	+0	.8904603	-2	-.2919119	-3	.39423	7.386	.39	
2450	-10.38771	.975357											.0336	10.81	.05	
2460	-15.21179	1.356926											-1.4484	8.669	.08	
2470	-14.85062	1.326848											.5502	3.449	.08	
2480	-13.05816	1.112558											.2006	.6326	.03	
2490	-13.22684	1.078971											.1637	-.8178	.02	
2500	-14.51671	1.204648											.1801	-1.276	.03	
2510	-12.56425	1.044403											-.06395	-.35745	.02	
2520	-12.9239	1.021165											.13034	.6791	.02	
2530	-16.80484	1.387741											.01828	.3281	.03	
2540	-22.02705	1.902337											.3517	-.6391	.01	
2550	-72.51609	8.103366											.3	-.6	.01	$\tau = 0$
2560																
2570	-16.29282	1.202111											-.0083	4.012	.01	
2580	-12.86049	.997688											-.0715	2.815	.01	
2590	-130.3871	137.1886	-.6374150	+2	.1553281	+2	-.2074061	+1	.1436343	+0	-.4025499	-2	.03	-.6	.12	$u_* < 1, \tau = 1$
2600	-11.76677	1.047577											-.0298	-.4854	.05	
2610	-13.0082	1.094928											-.037	-.93084	.04	
2620	-11.45619	1.023624											-.0546	-.4328	.02	
2630	-11.75953	1.051602											-.2802	.5072	.10	

TABLE III. Spectral intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents for 4.3  $\mu\text{m}$  CO<sub>2</sub> band

$\nu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$c_0$	$c_1$	$c_2 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_3 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_4 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_5 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_6 \times 10^n$	$n$	$\gamma$	$\lambda$	RMS ERROR % $\tau$	LIMITING CONDITIONS
1250	-7.523403	1.115514	.1113683	-1	-.2154055	-1	.6134898	-3	.1726722	-2	-.2617828	-3	-.4503	6.0075	.03	
1260	-4.824289	.9890130	-.1422394	-1	-.6519051	-2	-.5702513	-3	.2361331	-3	-.1195842	-4	.59426	4.5726	.20	
1270	-5.178665	.9867838	-.2159568	-1	-.6638722	-2	-.5444032	-3	.1164342	-3	.1207201	-4	-.09456	5.07052	.22	
1280	-5.026492	1.002985	-.1643425	-1	-.6007118	-2	-.3533454	-3	.1399816	-3	-.4964413	-5	.12452	6.1897	.30	
1290	-5.745833	1.014653	.4944907	-2	-.1114754	-1	-.2382948	-2	.1142783	-2	-.1207630	-3	-.82514	9.4782	.34	
1300	-5.622221	1.005112	-.1878642	-1	-.8458318	-2	-.1193196	-3	.1981468	-3	.8308224	-6	.2038	8.36281	.18	
1310	-3.193766	.9289146	-.4826521	-1	-.1242498	-1	.2059330	-2	.4595628	-3	-.8379227	-4	.1015	4.7395	.53	
1320	-4.698016	1.041980	-.3649320	-1	-.2554895	-1	.2253854	-2	.1023684	-2	-.1294214	-3	.53872	4.6854	.70	
1330	-2.912854	.8127313	-.6518750	-1	-.6306190	-2	.1138910	-2	.1526660	-3	-.1337210	-4	-.0887	4.64741	.82	
1340	-3.351250	.8148053	-.6906277	-1	-.7632003	-2	.1037707	-2	.2230663	-3	.4518774	-5	-.05722	4.2935	.32	
1350	-4.391205	.9498369	-.5494290	-1	-.1299426	-1	.3346050	-2	.4362039	-3	-.1014758	-3	.5745	3.8631	.21	
1360	-2.229603	.6931086	-.6881879	-1	.2115602	-2	.1808088	-2	-.6650180	-4	.3018200	-4	.34869	4.1334	.58	
1370	-2.463575	.7358997	-.5828874	-1	.3514741	-2	.1548396	-2	-.1376282	-3	.3141942	-4	.14223	4.08272	.65	
1380	-2.639212	.6203174	-.2637395	-1	.2197629	-2	-.5927768	-3	-.4062073	-5	.1277608	-4	.47125	2.2673	.40	
1390	-1.681148	.6247951	-.5077404	-1	.5755714	-2	.9346739	-3	-.1858333	-3	-.2559655	-4	.66982	3.23854	.78	
1400	-2.999052	.6920855	-.5447039	-1	.9934879	-2	.2019340	-2	.3498640	-3	.5412370	-4	.36362	2.58617	.41	
1410	-1.794604	.5275334	-.4083221	-1	.7521672	-2	.3835267	-3	-.1719390	-3	.1390166	-4	.31636	3.02354	.53	
1420	-2.411910	.7863917	-.6300680	-1	-.1425434	-2	.1409973	-2	.2610143	-4	-.1411986	-4	.6546	1.9344	.45	
1430	-1.623185	.5446810	-.3702338	-1	.9847981	-2	.5500564	-3	-.2679472	-3	-.2494176	-4	.48111	1.8088	.45	
1440	-2.801317	.6235168	-.5039367	-1	.1057550	-1	.1486979	-2	.3618938	-3	-.4780380	-4	.3347	2.0345	.38	
1450	-1.075371	.5502116	-.4699074	-1	-.2591721	-2	-.5223393	-3	.1950970	-3	.3260155	-4	.64316	1.2469	.73	
1460	-2.296299	.5693489	-.2695699	-1	.8661900	-2	.8623992	-5	-.2284938	-3	-.1378330	-4	.96093	-.13851	.52	
1470	-1.238994	.55884310	-.5173156	-1	.5185382	-2	.5977594	-3	-.1437413	-3	-.1496735	-4	.61541	1.0118	.70	
1480	-1.955311	.7198861	-.6069813	-1	-.1188440	-2	.9302169	-3	.2488852	-4	.7936170	-5	.4833	1.7415	.77	
1490	-1.126785	.5791793	-.4117228	-1	.4700010	-2	.3657488	-4	-.1700426	-3	-.1170901	-4	.60451	.345	.44	
1500	-.8496409	.5134084	-.5178445	-1	.4117965	-2	.5581583	-3	-.2687433	-4	-.2017896	-5	.60347	.829	.70	
1510	-1.061634	.6514814	-.6055387	-1	.8611481	-2	.2156782	-2	-.2137083	-3	-.4182012	-4	.45922	1.97663	.77	
1520	-.6215797	.634411	-.3210257	-1	.3501981	-2	.7086512	-4	-.5318281	-4	-.2234009	-6	A(.79435) B(.665)	A(.26) B(1.2)		p<400, use B
1530	-1.065227	.5373775	-.4270132	-1	.5655739	-2	.4986884	-3	-.9163901	-4	-.7784704	-5	.5032	.5434	.84	
1540	-.8185037	.5816737	-.4637327	-1	-.3066333	-2	-.6161646	-3	.1763353	-3	.3123889	-4	.7903	.6918	.78	
1550	-.7386507	.5274873	-.4464589	-1	.5755210	-2	.6031077	-3	-.7042349	-4	-.6105420	-5	.7202	.7446	.68	
1560	-1.282116	.5047078	-.4180638	-1	.7841022	-2	.5779814	-3	-.1681248	-3	-.1548365	-4	.8442	-.9043	.51	
1570	-1.312035	.5108337	-.2747305	-1	.1807238	-2	-.1015745	-2	-.9279670	-5	.1790218	-4	.7413	-.126	.40	
1580	-6.086696	1.012970	.1309415	-2	-.1187388	-2	-.2197165	-2	.7092823	-3	-.7866758	-4	-.05265	4.624	.13	
1590	-3.337431	.9190066	-.4150070	-1	-.7744309	-2	.1088785	-2	.1936099	-3	-.3114893	-4	.2057	1.5826	.52	

TABLE IV. Spectral intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents for 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  water vapor band

$\nu$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	$c_0$	$c_1$	$c_2 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_3 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_4 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_5 \times 10^n$	$n$	$c_6 \times 10^n$	$n$	$\gamma$	$\lambda$	RMS ERROR % $\pm$	LIMITING CONDITIONS
1600	-2.952878	.9267226	-.4091990	-1	-.1104738	-1	.1987223	-3	.3009132	-3	.3986262	-5	.27297	.74609	.88	
1610	-1.726232	.5215215	-.2254797	-1	.3514981	-3	-.1309393	-2	.4263525	-4	.2608712	-4	.8016	-1.445	.66	
1620	-1.679269	.6384774	-.4221796	-1	.6566940	-2	.8353135	-3	-.1574958	-3	-.1877166	-4	.65285	-.9089	.31	
1630	-1.389478	.4411941	-.5442028	-1	.6497407	-2	.1030066	-2	-.1739352	-3	-.3504294	-4	.8164	-1.492	.32	
1640	-1.292707	.5740062	-.4802520	-1	-.1142807	-3	-.6781228	-4	.6912524	-4	.1344076	-4	.7658	-.596	.31	
1650	-.9646004	.3828036	-.4000790	-1	.1089477	-1	.4053161	-3	-.2311628	-3	.1713651	-4	.7323	-.5406	.59	
1660	-1.6557941	.5957592	-.5003442	-1	.2740492	-2	.2733652	-3	-.8137568	-4	-.5866427	-5	.5051	-.02776	.95	
1670	-1.253811	.5384481	-.3147184	-1	.1224117	-1	.5523984	-3	-.3430930	-3	.3098300	-4	.7656	-.4662	.34	
1680	-.8518642	.5656042	-.3548937	-1	.5372149	-2	.4739055	-3	-.1067042	-3	-.1113892	-4	.62573	.90404	.44	
1690	-1.251196	.5767304	-.1804385	-1	-.1176239	-2	-.1330040	-2	.8542801	-4	.2892565	-4	.77413	-.3152	.28	
1700	-.8360292	.4848361	-.3917358	-1	.1290840	-1	.8208375	-3	-.3665030	-3	-.3689185	-4	.6182	-.3125	.49	
1710	-.9493980	.5865651	-.3716811	-1	-.1073398	-2	-.4478715	-3	.1144351	-3	.2209961	-4	.7707	.5824	.81	
1720	-3.328748	.8811315	-.3457315	-1	-.8622309	-3	.5258166	-3	.4643425	-5	-.6972957	-5	.4119	1.9526	.39	
1730	-1.095224	.5614041	-.2203160	-1	.2522702	-2	-.6930630	-3	.4659614	-4	.2089049	-4	.76754	.6889	.46	
1740	-1.229221	.7056694	-.4421891	-1	.1001604	-2	.5664177	-3	.9333973	-5	-.2806864	-5	.4683	.6627	.62	
1750	-1.549372	.5831574	-.3241347	-1	.7834497	-2	.2802490	-3	-.2384433	-3	-.2082444	-4	.6186	2.012	.46	
1760	-1.879264	.5417106	-.3100323	-1	.8714060	-2	.8164442	-4	-.2156956	-3	-.1315559	-4	.7629	1.6255	.26	
1770	-1.482360	.5907030	-.3406397	-1	.2351340	-2	-.1509738	-3	.2109444	-4	.1103890	-4	.7199	-.1086	.70	
1780	-2.362442	.6364812	-.4487051	-1	.1091047	-1	.1408917	-2	-.3496269	-3	-.4500972	-4	.46725	1.9506	.35	
1790	-1.668572	.6406677	-.2803156	-1	.3976474	-2	-.8273006	-4	-.7233971	-4	-.8172406	-6	.6905	1.3432	.18	
1800	-2.183601	.6655345	-.5731159	-1	.7029463	-2	.1726994	-2	-.2334795	-3	-.4152875	-4	.4128	2.028	.55	
1810	-2.818403	.7133048	-.6344222	-1	.3416692	-2	.1817341	-2	-.1311337	-3	-.3702215	-4	.322	2.046	.41	
1820	-2.467579	.6422959	-.5620410	-1	.9378511	-2	.1857259	-2	-.2994529	-3	-.4726200	-4	.69335	1.565	.10	
1830	-2.200603	.6375558	-.6813344	-1	.2692202	-2	.1456957	-2	-.3562699	-4	-.1567368	-4	.4785	.91043	.56	
1840	-1.949537	.6090040	-.2841388	-1	.4127135	-2	-.4277478	-3	-.1099117	-3	.6514168	-6	.713	2.0	.46	
1850	-5.015885	.9835242	-.3023411	-1	-.1082766	-1	.1173906	-2	.3675893	-3	-.5673779	-4	.70352	3.2664	.10	
1860	-2.749139	.7970375	-.5875241	-1	-.3821069	-2	.8168971	-3	.6601706	-4	-.8525242	-5	.4716	1.66	.69	
1870	-2.857052	.5598702	-.5746383	-1	.6180143	-2	.8809197	-3	-.1971874	-3	-.2422129	-4	.3787	1.4173	.48	
1880	-4.581218	.9830508	-.2662520	-1	-.7536398	-2	.5140282	-3	.1991532	-3	-.3304451	-4	1.63723	1.492	.33	
1890	-2.824140	.6962483	-.6511873	-1	.3735509	-2	.1690701	-2	-.1238234	-3	-.2890593	-4	.383	1.738	.46	
1900	-4.255107	.9371587	-.4482704	-1	-.1020297	-1	.1857785	-2	.2830929	-3	.6268593	-4	.2583	2.31	.31	
1910	-2.857568	.6359083	-.4167038	-1	.4074594	-2	.4418033	-3	-.1006299	-3	-.1120382	-4	.44275	1.543	.47	
1920	-3.326163	.8227689	-.6338880	-1	-.6129284	-2	.1063581	-2	.1756312	-3	-.5479515	-6	.2285	3.63	.22	
1930	-6.026984	1.053557	-.3155929	-1	-.1362850	-1	.2596936	-2	.3786073	-3	-.1034550	-3	.00991	4.88184	.03	
1940	-2.617471	.7300794	-.8290940	-1	-.4432245	-2	.3517908	-2	.1891294	-3	-.8002774	-4	.29353	2.63	.80	
1950	-5.534998	1.025649	-.2195776	-1	-.1279462	-1	.1758367	-2	.4320189	-3	-.8766187	-4	.00778	3.57665	.08	

TABLE IV. Spectral intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents for 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  water vapor band

$\nu$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	$C_0$	$C_1$	$C_2 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_3 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_4 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_5 \times 10^n$	$n$	$C_6 \times 10^n$	$n$	$\gamma$	$\lambda$	RMS ERROR % $\tau$	LIMITING CONDITIONS
1960	-3.751299	.8565832	-.5124706	-1	-.5712889	-2	.6208276	-3	.1382761	-3	.1584703	-5	.57	3.2352	.26	
1970	-7.798852	1.073767	.1796094	-1	-.1603197	-1	-.7319673	-3	.1474496	-2	-.2031580	-3	-.02623	1.22953	.03	
1980	-4.930807	.9342666	-.5431239	-1	-.1566033	-1	.2188849	-2	.4415825	-3	-.6789466	-4	.01297	4.40115	.04	
1990	-3.738759	.7910507	-.5532003	-1	-.1938514	-2	.2052361	-2	.4297634	-4	-.6082696	-4	.46406	4.5315	.64	
2000	-6.022226	.9814609	.1174670	-1	-.5961920	-2	-.3472491	-2	.9026121	-3	-.7271331	-4	-.14754	2.8127	.08	
2010	-4.327603	.8784282	-.5462483	-1	-.7393181	-2	.2339617	-2	.2147462	-3	-.7044762	-4	-.3466	5.9185	.42	
2020	-5.700011	.9839282	.1269819	-1	-.6426326	-2	-.2998061	-2	.1030953	-2	-.1045786	-3	-.14493	4.35104	.04	
2030	-8.439707	1.534201	-.2630327	+0	.3532721	-1							-.406	5.79634	.03	
2040	-5.074994	.9706586	-.1924880	-1	-.8288782	-2	-.8757833	-3	.2806208	-3	-.8101925	-6	-.05696	4.739	.05	
2050	-11.53295	2.319683	-.2990429	+0	.4979471	-2	.3509445	-2	-.2408299	-4			.568	3.948	.02	
2060	-4.984365	.9412570	-.1478779	-1	-.1321623	-1	-.1608954	-2	.1473672	-2	-.1779824	-3	.43966	5.4	.22	
2070	-7.469541	1.050980	-.1896076	-1	.1999134	-2	-.1845006	-2	.7103605	-3	-.9540667	-4	.2524	3.309	.09	
2080	-7.418272	1.001302	-.3179280	-2	.1303392	-2	-.2793750	-2	.8373476	-3	-.9467817	-4	.1925	7.007	.02	
2090	-6.203654	.9912012	-.1169829	-1	-.5223104	-2	-.2923888	-2	.7686243	-3	-.4216393	-4	.42512	5.5074	.03	
2100	-7.989391	1.094532	-.1777856	+0	.1132367	+0	-.2903229	-1	.2544588	-2			.13652	2.8407	.01	
2110	-12.62268	1.395346											.095	3.16	.10	
2120	-8.743065	1.276693	-.27774983	+0	.1474123	+0	-.3471644	-1	.2912374	-2			.0564	3.474	.03	
2130	-7.297670	1.144933	-.2155349	+0	.1292404	+0	-.3424728	-1	.3062523	-2			-.154	5.58	.01	
2140	-7.783127	1.335991	-.2871638	+0	.9348258	+0	-.1035395	-1					.07932	7.0453	.02	
2150	-8.808495	1.180073	-.1378444	+0	.7391174	-1	-.1929461	-1	.1764266	-2			.1096	4.7934	.01	
2160	-8.464965	1.201994	-.1828124	+0	.8391135	-1	-.1791054	-1	.1325444	-2			.09056	8.9081	.02	
2170	-9.955573	1.380030	-.285800	+0	.9548358	-1	-.1073846	-1					.04037	3.1193	.01	
2180	-9.100236	1.470646	-.3288353	+0	.1203543	+0	-.1476783	-1					-.07143	7.958	.05	
2190	-12.20607	1.406288											.3428	3.9	.02	
2200	-9.316707	1.477720	-.3449222	+0	.1037735	+0	-.1077963	-1					-.0663	10.261	.10	
2210	-10.13867	1.187353											-.0052	6.669	.03	
2220	-11.77252	1.374533											-.0455	5.347	.03	
2230	-10.20201	1.054434											.1873	8.805	.01	
2240	-10.34802	1.126794											-.1608	8.709	.02	
2250	-9.895746	1.126414											.15464	5.6372	.03	
2260	-11.69888	1.268287											.21604	3.605	.02	
2270	-35.29655	6.315727											1.931	-11.11	.05	
2280	-11.85668	1.165333											3.134	9.74	.01	
2290	-10.07241	.9740010											-.3355	11.776	.02	
2300	-16.45504	1.904020											.52044	-.5015	.02	

TABLE IV. Spectral Intervals, empirical absorption coefficients, pressure and temperature correction exponents for 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  water vapor band

$\nu$ (cm $^{-1}$ )	$c_0$	$c_1$	$c_2 \times 10^n$	n	$c_3 \times 10^n$	n	$c_4 \times 10^n$	n	$c_5 \times 10^n$	n	$c_6 \times 10^n$	n	$\gamma$	$\lambda$	RMS ERROR % $\tau$	LIMITING CONDITIONS
2310	-11.56798	1.026496											.1247	8.264	.01	
2320	-12.93035	1.302651											.1157	7.858	.01	
2330	-20.23708	2.788853											.12472	1.0172	.01	
2340	-19.83727	2.615219											-.1956	5.793	.01	
2350	-14.29588	1.378627											-.1956	5.793	.01	
2360	-18.21139	2.113971											.07984	2.3382	.01	
2370	-19.20545	2.340820											-.104	2.8583	.01	
2380	-12.89249	.9001730											-.665	11.49	.01	
2390	-26.26830	3.868676											-.1177	1.70365	.01	
2400	-23.76723	3.321964											-.03321	2.2152	.01	
2410	-13.13293	.7369810											.116	7.8575	.01	
2420	-55.65472	10.44066											-.06245	.76724	.01	
2430	-109.1294	22.43474											-.10	.767	.01	
2440																
2450	-62.21981	11.82282											-.06245	.76724	.01	$\tau=0$

TABLE IV. Spectral Intervals, Empirical absorption coefficients, pressure  
and temperature correction exponents for 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  water vapor band

#### IV. APPLICATION TO THE ATMOSPHERE

The transmittance model described above is applicable to homogeneous temperature and pressure conditions. To apply this model to atmospheric conditions (i.e. varying temperature and pressure along ray path) it is necessary to introduce an equivalent temperature and pressure of the nonhomogeneous medium such that the transmittance of the nonhomogeneous medium is approximately equal to that of a homogeneous medium at equivalent temperature and pressure. An equivalent temperature and pressure may be defined as the mean values for the medium:

$$T_e(u) = \frac{\int_0^u T \, du}{\int_0^u du}, \quad p_e(u) = \frac{\int_0^u p \, du}{\int_0^u du}$$

The transmittance in each spectral interval where there is absorption by both the  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in the atmosphere may be approximated by

$$\tau_{\Delta\nu}(u) = \tau_{\Delta\nu}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \times \tau_{\Delta\nu}(\text{CO}_2).$$

V. CONCLUSION

The polynomial model reported here enables the rapid calculation of atmospheric transmission function in the  $4.3 \mu\text{m}$   $\text{CO}_2$  and the  $6.3 \mu\text{m}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  absorption bands with a precision sufficient for most atmospheric problems. A relatively small amount of data is required for the calculation of these functions which encompass two important spectral regions in the atmosphere. High spectral and vertical resolution may be realized with the use of this model in some applications.

## REFERENCES

- Goody, R. M., 1964: Atmospheric Radiation, I. Theoretical Basis.  
Oxford University Press, London, 430 pp.
- McClatchey, R. A., et al., 1972: Optical Properties of the Atmosphere.  
(3rd Edition), USAF Research Report, AFCRL-72-0497, Air Force  
Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts.
- McClatchey, R. A., et al., 1973: AFCRL Atmospheric Absorption Line  
Parameters Compilation. USAF Research Report, AFCRL-TR-73-0096,  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts.
- Roach, W. T., 1961: The Absorption of Solar Radiation by Water Vapor  
and Carbon Dioxide in a Cloudless Atmosphere. Royal Meteorological  
Society Quarterly Journal, 87, pp. 364-373.
- Smith, W. L., 1969: A Polynomial Representation of Carbon Dioxide and  
Water Vapor Transmission. ESSA Technical Report, NESC 47, National  
Environmental Satellite Center, Washington, D. C.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks are due to Ms. Susan Kuehl and Ms. Sandra Wunch for typing the manuscript and to Mr. Rich D. Miller and Ms. M. Charline Polifka for their invaluable computer programming assistance.

This research has been supported by the National Science Foundation and the NOAA GATE Project Office under Grants OCD 72-01681 and OCD 74-21678.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET		1. Report No. CSU-ATSP- 264	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
4. Title and Subtitle  A Polynomial Representation of 6.3 $\mu\text{m}$ Water Vapor and 4.3 $\mu\text{m}$ CO <sub>2</sub> Atmospheric Transmissivities.		5. Report Date December, 1976		
6.				
7. Author(s) Patrick Minnis and Stephen K. Cox		8. Performing Organization Rept. No.		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address  Department of Atmospheric Science Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado 80523		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.		
		11. Contract/Grant No. OCD 72-01681 OCD 74-21678		
12 Sponsoring Organization Name and Address  National Science Foundation Washington, D. C. NOAA GATE Project Office, Rockville, Maryland		13. Type of Report & Period Covered Research Paper		
14.				
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstracts  The research reported in this paper describes a polynomial model formulated for the depiction of 6.3 $\mu\text{m}$ H <sub>2</sub> O and 4.3 $\mu\text{m}$ CO <sub>2</sub> transmissivities in the atmosphere. A six degree function utilizing a corrected optical path is found sufficient for expressing convolved tabulated transmittance data of 10 cm <sup>-1</sup> resolution, with an RMS error of about one percent transmission. With this model transmissivities may be calculated for many spectral intervals in a relatively small amount of time with the precision required for most atmospheric problems.				
17a. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors  IR Transmittance  Water Vapor Transmittance  Carbon Dioxide Transmittance				
17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms				
17c. COSATI Field/Group				
18. Availability Statement		19. Security Class (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	21. No. of Pages 20	
		20. Security Class (This Page) UNCLASSIFIED	22. Price	