Epitaxial films of semiconducting FeSi₂ on (001) silicon

John E. Mahan,^{a)} Kent M. Geib,^{a)} and G. Y. Robinson^{a)} Colorado Research Development Corporation, 621 Seventeenth Street, Suite 1620, Denver, Colorado 80293

Robert G. Long and Yan Xinghua^{b)} Department of Electrical Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

Gang Bai and Marc-A. Nicolet California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Menachem Nathan

Department of Electron Devices and Materials and Electromagnetic Radiation, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel

(Received 11 January 1990; accepted for publication 15 March 1990)

Epitaxial thin films of the semiconducting transition metal silicide, beta-FeSi₂, were grown on (001) silicon wafers. The observed matching face relationship is FeSi₂(100)/Si(001), with the azimuthal orientation being FeSi₂[010]||Si(110). This heteroepitaxial relationship has a common unit mesh of 59 Å² area, with a mismatch of 2.1%. There is a strong tendency toward island formation within this heteroepitaxial system.

Beta-FeSi₂ is a direct, narrow-band-gap semiconductor¹ that offers the possibility of epitaxial growth on (001) oriented silicon substrates. The material is of considerable interest for the development of near-infrared light sources and detectors within the silicon microelectronics technology. Its development for optoelectronic applications will depend, in all probability, upon the availability of epitaxial films on silicon. Although the Bravais lattice is orthorhombic and there is no close lattice parameter match to silicon in the conventional sense, an acceptable "lattice matching"^{2,3} is still achievable via the formation of a coincidence net with a small mismatch and a reasonably sized unit mesh common to the matching crystallographic faces of the two materials.

For growth on (111) oriented silicon substrates, the epitaxial tendencies of beta-FeSi₂ were first noted by Cheng *et al.*⁴ and interfaces of impressive quality have been recently reported.⁵ For growth on (001) oriented silicon substrates, epitaxy has been reported only for very thin films (corresponding to less than 25 Å of deposited metal).⁶ A milestone will be reached with the growth of films of a structural quality and thickness meeting the needs of optoelectronic device development. We report here the growth on (001) silicon of what appear to be large-area single-crystal beta-FeSi₂ films of several hundred angstroms thickness.

Beta-FeSi₂ possesses an end-centered (on the *a*-face) orthorhombic Bravais lattice, with lattice parameters a = 9.863 Å, b = 7.791 Å, and c = 7.833 Å.⁷ The silicide unit cell's "*a* face" itself offers a reasonable prospect for a common unit mesh with the silicon (001) face. Oriented with FeSi₂[010] parallel to a Si(110) direction, this common unit mesh is of 59 Å² area. One example of the proposed heteroepitaxial relationship, and its common unit mesh, are illustrated in Fig. 1. Along the FeSi₂[010] direction the room-temperature mismatch is 1.6% and along [001], it is 2.1%.



^{b)} Present address: Beijing Institute of Radio Metrology and Measurements, Beijing, China.

Since the bulk Si(001) face is of fourfold symmetry, there are two distinct but equivalent azimuthal orientations for $FeSi_2(100)$ on Si(001). These may be represented by $FeSi_2[010]||Si[110]$ or Si[$\overline{1}10$]. This fact may be problematical because it should lead to the formation of rotation twins. The relative crystallographic azimuthal orientations of the expected twins differ by a rotation of 90° about the $FeSi_2$ [100] direction. Twins of each orientation should be equally probable unless special steps are taken during film growth. In one study, the rotation twins were reported to be not observed with low-energy electron diffraction.⁶

We grew FeSi₂ films on (001) silicon wafers, obtaining similar results with both reactive deposition epitaxy (RDE—deposition of metal onto a hot silicon substrate), and molecular beam epitaxy (MBE—codeposition of iron and silicon in stoichimetric proportion at high temperature) if the same growth temperature were used. The iron and silicon were deposited using electron beam evaporation



FIG. 1. Proposed heteroepitaxial relationship and common unit mesh for beta-FeSi₂ and the (001) silicon face. It is the relative orientation that is suggested; the relative position is merely assumed.

sources, with the iron deposition rate being typically 0.5 Å/s. The selected substrate temperature was maintained with a graphite heater, a feedback controller, and a tungsten-rhenium thermocouple near to or in contact with the back side of the rotating substrate. Although the base pressure of the growth system is in the 10^{-11} Torr range, the pressure was typically in the mid 10^{-9} Torr range during growth.

The substrate surface was prepared as follows: First, the wafer was removed from its box, etched for 30 s in buffered HF solution, and loaded immediately into the sample introduction chamber of the growth system. The wafer was then transferred to the growth chamber and brought to 200 °C over approximately a 3 min period. Next, the wafer temperature was raised to 400 °C as quickly as possible and held there for 15 min. During this time there was a sharpening of the silicon 2×2 reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) pattern (which typically appeared at ~ 250 °C), and the Kikuchi lines (visible initially at room temperature). The substrate preparation was concluded with a "silicon beam clean"8 (the exposure of the surface to a silicon flux corresponding to a deposition rate of 0.2 Å/s for 250 s at 700 °C). The silicon beam clean resulted in sharper and brighter RHEED and Kikuchi patterns, suggesting that a smooth and atomically clean surface existed for the film growth.

We observed a variety of matching face relationships and azimuthal orientations among the films we grew.⁹ We will describe the results for films typically of several hundred angstroms thickness (except for samples grown for x-ray diffraction analysis, which typically requires films of several thousand angstroms thickness), grown at 450–550 °C. Within this growth temperature range, we found with Bragg–Brentano x-ray diffraction a strong preference for the matching face relationship of Fig. 1. This diffraction technique samples the crystalline planes which are parallel to the substrate's surface. The patterns of numerous samples were quite similar to that of Fig. 2 (which was obtained from a ~5000-Å-thick film grown at 450 °C by MBE).

One observes, in Fig. 2, three diffraction peaks at angles corresponding to silicide $(h\ 00)$ planes. The peak positions which are given in the figure were calculated from the above lattice parameters. One also observes reflections from the



FIG. 2. Bragg-Brentano x-ray diffraction pattern for a \sim 5000-Å-thick beta-FeSi₂ film grown by MBE at 450 °C.

silicon substrate—the copper k-alpha (400) reflection at 69.1° and the k-beta (400) reflection at 61.7°. Finally, there is an additional film reflection at ~46.3°. We attribute this peak to a beta-FeSi₂ (004) or (040) reflection. Noting the logarithmic scale of the pattern, one should recognize that this peak is relatively very weak.

There are no other reasonable matches for beta-FeSi₂ reflections to the (h 00)-labeled peaks in Fig. 2. In addition, there are no reasonable matches for alpha-FeSi₂, a high-temperature tetragonal phase which possesses a metallic band structure.¹⁰ Thus, Fig. 2 suggests a very strong tendency toward the matching face relationship of Fig. 1.

Our identification of the phase formed as beta-FeSi₂ is supported by verification of the film compositions with Rutherford backscattering spectrometry. Measurements on a number of films grown at 500 and 550 °C indicated an Fe/ Si ratio that agrees with the stoichiometric composition to within experimental error ($\pm 10\%$).

Observations of RHEED patterns during and after growth suggested that the films are well aligned with the substrate in azimuthal orientation as well as in matching face, and that they are smooth on a scale at least as large as the coherence length of the incident electron beam (~ 100 Å).¹¹ We show, in Fig. 3, two representative RHEED patterns for films grown by depositing 100 Å of iron at 500 °C





FIG. 3. RHEED patterns for FeSi₂ grown by deposition of 100 Å of iron at 450 °C with the incident electron beam along (a) Si(110) and (b) Si(100).

Intense streaks of two distinct spacings are seen, with the incident electron beam respectively along Si(110) [Fig. 3(a)] and along Si(100) [Fig. 3(b)]. The well-developed streaks are characteristic of a smooth surface of an epitaxial film.

The observed RHEED streak spacings are in agreement, to within the accuracy of the measurements, with the values predicted for the (100) FeSi₂ face with the incident electron beam along FeSi₂(010) [Fig. 3(a)] and along FeSi₂(011) [Fig. 3(b)].⁹ Each pattern is repetitive with azimuthal rotations of the sample of any multiple of 90°. Thus, the expected azimuthal orientation as illustrated in Fig. 1, FeSi₂[010]||Si(110), is confirmed by RHEED.

Structural characterization by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) also suggests that epitaxial films were obtained. We show, in Fig. 4, a transmission electron microscopy plan view and transmission electron diffraction pattern for a film grown by RDE by depositing 100 Å of iron at 500 °C. The contrast in the bright-field plan view is due to lateral variations in film thickness; however, no grain boundaries were seen for this or lower magnifications. TEM examinations of a number of samples confirmed a strong tendency toward island formation within this heteroepitaxial system. Somewhat thinner films grown at this temperature exhibited the spotty but highly oriented RHEED pattern characteristic of a discontinuous epitaxial film. While the surface morphology has not been optimized, the film is nevertheless of an apparently large-area single-crystal structure.

The electron diffraction pattern shown in Fig. 4 is representative of those obtained from all regions of the 3-in-diam





FIG. 4. Transmission electron microscopy plan view ($100.000 \times$) and electron diffraction pattern. An area 700×900 nm² is shown. The 100 keV incident electron beam was aligned with Si[001].

sample. The strong, clear diffraction spots are a composite pattern due to both the film and the silicon substrate. This experimental pattern is consistent with a kinematically calculated composite pattern for film and substrate aligned as was portrayed in Fig. 1.⁹ Thus, the predicted heteroepitaxial relationship is also confirmed by TEM analysis.

We would like to review two potential problems with the above characterization of epitaxy in this system. First, the expected rotation twins were not detected. We know of no aspect of the substrate preparation which would have favored kinetically one of the two distinct but equivalent azimuthal orientations. As a practical matter, the experimental observation of a twinned microstructure may be difficult because the *b* and *c* lattice parameters are very similar, differing by only 0.5%. Second, the (004)/(040) x-ray diffraction peak, which was observed with a relatively thick sample, may indicate a tendency toward a deterioration of the epitaxial relationship for relatively thick films. We did not detect regions of this texture in the thinner films with any of the techniques available to us.

In conclusion, FeSi₂ films were grown which possess a very high degree of epitaxial alignment with the silicon substrate, even though there is a strong tendency to islanding. The questions of the existence of rotation domains and of the possibility of pseudomorphic growth require additional research. We have indications that the film morphology may be improved by growth at lower tempeatures.⁹ Nevertheless, these present results lend support to the belief that films suitable for optoelectronic device development may be obtained.

This research was sponsored in part by the U.S. Army Research Office and the National Science Foundation through NSF grant No. ECS-8514842 to CSU, and in part by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency through a Small Business Innovation Research contract with the Colorado Research Development Corporation. Financial support by the Semiconductor Research Corporation at Caltech (contract No. 89-SJ-100) is acknowledged. The authors are also grateful to the management of Martin Marietta Laboratories for their interest and to Professor J. Derrien for providing an unpublished analysis of the FeSi₂ xray diffraction pattern.

- ³A. Zur, T. C. McGill, and M-A. Nicolet, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 600 (1985).
 ⁴H. C. Cheng, L. J. Chen, and Y. R. Your, in *Thin Films and Interfaces II*, Materials Research Society Symposia Proceedings, Vol. 25, edited by J. E. E. Baglin, D. R. Campbell, and W. K. Chu (North-Holland, New York, 1984), p. 441.
- ⁵N. Cherief, C. D'Anterroches, R. C. Cinti, T. A. Nguyen Tan, and J. Derrien, Appl. Phys. Lett. 55, 1671 (1989).

- ⁷Marc-A. Nicolet and S. S. Lau, "Formation and Characterization of Transition-Metal Silicides," in *VLSI Electronics: Microstructure Science*, edited by N. G. Einspruch (Academic, New York, 1983), Vol. 6, 329.
- ^{*}K. Kugimiya, Y. Hirofuji, and N. Matsuo, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 24, 564 (1985).
- ⁹John E. Mahan, Kent M. Geib, G. Y. Robinson, Robert G. Long, Gang Bai, Marc-A. Nicolet, and Menachem Nathan (unpublished).
- ¹⁰U. Birkholz and J. Schelm, Phys. Status. Solidi. 34, K177 (1969).
- ¹¹E. Bauer, in *Topics in Applied Physics*, edited by R. Gromer (Springer, Berlin, 1975), Vol. 4, p. 225.

¹M. C. Bost and John E. Mahan, J. Appl. Phys. 64, 2034 (1988).

²A. Zur and T. C. McGill, J. Appl. Phys. 55, 378 (1984).

^oS. Kennou, N. Cherief, R. C. Cinti, and T. A. Nguyen Tan, Surf. Sci. 211/ 212, 685 (1989).

Applied Physics Letters is copyrighted by the American Institute of Physics (AIP). Redistribution of journal material is subject to the AIP online journal license and/or AIP copyright. For more information, see http://ojps.aip.org/aplo/aplcr.jsp Copyright of Applied Physics Letters is the property of American Institute of Physics and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.