## Rate of change of the peak for floods

## PROGRESSING ALONG A CHANNEL

Vujica M. Yevdjevich



INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR HYDRAULIC RESEARCH ELEVENTH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS
rate of change of the peak for floods PROGRESSING ALONG A CHANNEL By Professor VUJICA M.YEVDJEVICH Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, U.S.A. Civil Engineering Department.

# INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR HYDRAULIC RESEARCH 

RATE OF CHANGE OF THE PEAK FOR FLOODS PROGRESSING ALONG A CHANNEL

By Professor Vujica M. Yevdjevich<br>Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, U.S.A. Civil Engineering Department

The rate of change of peak discharge, $\mathrm{dQ}_{0} / \mathrm{dx}$, and the rate of change of peak depth, $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$, of one-peak flood hydrographs are analyzed by using the two De Saint Venant partial differential equations for unsteady free surface flow. One-peak flood hydrographs and flood profiles along the channel are approximated by Pearson Type III function, with three parameters: peak discharge (or peak depth); time-lag of the rising limb of hydrograph; and time-lag between the peak and the center of gravity of the hydrograph. The volume of flood wave is related to these parameters by an approximation. Six parameters, three for each discharge and depth hydrographs are related by using the time-lag $\delta$ between occurrences of peak discharge and peak depth, as a basic parameter. The procedure of computing $\delta$ by a trial-anderror method is outlined, as well as procedures to compute $d Q_{0} / d x$ and $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$.

Les dérivées, $d_{Q} / d x$ et $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{O}} / \mathrm{dx}$ du débit et de la profondeur de pointe d'une crue, possédant un seul maximum, sont étudiées à l'aide des équations aux dérivées partielles de Saint-Venant pour un écoulement nonpermanent à surface libre. L'hydrogramme et le profil du plan d'eau le long du canal, sont exprimés approximativement par des fonctions de Pearson dutroisième genre, dépendant des trois paramètres suivants: le débit ou la profondeur de pointe, le délai de la branche ascendante de la courbe des debits de le délai entre le maximum et le centre de gravité de cette même courbe. Le volume de la crue est exprimé en fonction de ces paramètres par une approximation. Six parametres, trois pour L'hydrogramme et trois pour le profil du plan d'eau, sont reliés entre eux en utilisant comme paramètre de base, l'intervalle de temps $\delta$ séparant le débit et la profondeur de pointe. Les procédés de calcul de $\delta$ (par approximations successives), de $\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$ et de $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$ sont exposés.

1. Subject of paper. The rate of change of one-peak flood wave is analyzed. The wave is approximated by an analytical expression with parameters determined from the initial wave conditions. The rate of change is determined by using this expression.

Whether a wave becomes attenuated or amplified by progressing depends on three groups of parameters: (a) Characteristics of river channel; (b) Lateral outflow or inflow; and (c) Initial characteristics of flood wave. If these parameters are known, the rate of change of flood peak along the channel may be determined.
2. Basic definitions and equations. Figure 1 demonstrates a gradually varied wave at the time $t=t_{0}$, in the form $H_{z}=f(x)$, or $H_{e}=f_{1}(x)$, with $H_{z}, H, H_{o}, z, x$, defined in the figure. Figure 2 shows discharge and depth hydrographs at the place $x=x_{0}$, in the form $Q=F_{1}(t)$, and $H=F_{2}(t)$, with the base flow $Q_{b}$ and the base depth $H_{b}$, with other symbols defined in the figure.

Inasmuch as the water depth is small in comparison with wave length the approximation of flood wave motion by De Saint Venant continuity and momentum partial differential equations is good. They are, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}+q=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relates to the river channel section $d x$, with $A=$ cross section, $\mathrm{q}=$ lateral outflow per unit length (negative for lateral inflow), with $\partial A / \partial t$ positive for rising water level, and $\partial Q / \partial x$ positive for increasing discharge along the channel; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+g \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{e}}{\partial x}+g S_{f}-\frac{\beta V q}{A}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with: $V=Q / A=$ mean velocity; $g=$ acceleration of gravity; $S_{f}=$ fric tion slope taken as positive value; $\partial \mathrm{V} / \partial \mathrm{t}$ positive if the mean velocity with time at a given place increases; and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}+\frac{\alpha \mathrm{V}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~g}}=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{z}+\frac{\alpha \mathrm{V}^{2}}{2 g} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ velocity distribution coefficients.
The two independent variables are x and t , and discharge Q and depth $H$ are used here as two dependent variables to facilitate the determination of rates of change of the peak: $\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$ and $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$.

For a gradually varied wave, the friction slope $S_{f}$ in unsteady flow may be replaced as approximation by the friction slope in steady flow. The friction slope $S_{f}$ may be determined by either of three equations: DarcyWeisback, Chézy and Manning. However, each of them introduces further complication in eq. (2). With given initial and boundary conditions, it is possible - at least theoretically - to determine $Q=F_{1}(x, t)$ and $H=F_{2}(x, t)$ from eqs. (1) and (2), and the two equations for differentials dQ and 2 dH .

The continuity equation involves the cross-section area, while the momentum equation is based on the rate of change of energy line. For irregular channel shapes with changing slope $S_{o}$ the bridge between these two basic equations makes the first complexity in analysis. Assuming the area-depth function in the form $A=\mathrm{pH}^{\mathrm{S}}$, the rates of change of $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{s}$, and
$S_{0}$ with $x$ provide the needed bridge between the two equations.
3. Expression for the rate of change of peak discharge. Introducing $\partial \mathrm{A} / \partial \mathrm{t}$ from eq. (1) into eq. (2) by replacing $\partial \mathrm{V} / \partial \mathrm{t}$ and taking that at the peak discharge hydrograph $\partial Q / \partial t=0$, and $\partial Q / \partial x=d Q / d x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{dx}}=-\frac{\mathrm{gA}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{\beta \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with all values given at the time of maximum discharge $Q_{m}$ of initial discharge hydrograph for a given channel position. If $\left(\partial H_{e} / \partial x+S_{f}\right)$ is positive, $d Q_{0} / d x<0$, and the wave attenuates. The $e_{\text {sum of }}$ energy slope and friction slope determines whether a wave attenuates quickly or slowly, or amplifies.

Using the relationship $A=\mathrm{pH}^{\mathrm{S}}$ and eq.(3), the rate of change of peak discharge becomes.
$\left.\frac{d Q_{m}}{d x}=\frac{d Q_{0}}{d x}=-\frac{g A_{q}^{2}}{(\alpha+\beta) Q_{m}}\left[\left(S_{f}-S_{o}\right)+\left(1-\alpha s K_{q}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial H_{q}}{\partial x}-\frac{\alpha Q_{m}^{2}}{g A_{q}^{2}} \frac{P^{\prime}}{p}+s^{\prime} \ln H\right)\right]$
with $K_{q}=Q_{m} / A_{q} \sqrt{g H_{q}}$ the ratio of mean velocity to theoretical clerity for the depth $H$ of small disturbances at the time of $Q_{m}$ and given $x$. For a prismatic channel with $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}=\mathrm{s}^{\prime}=0$ the last term in $\mathrm{m}_{\text {equ }}$. (5) vanishes.

If the term $\left[\left(1-\alpha \dot{s} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}\right) \partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} / \partial \mathrm{x}\right]$ is negligible in comparison with $\Delta S=S_{f}-S_{o}$, a flood attenuates. Insomuch as this term may be either positive or negative, and non-negligible, a'flood movement may be associated with an increase of discharge. If $d Q_{0} / d x$ is positive, the peak discharge increases with distance. This increase ${ }^{\circ}$ has a limit, because the friction slope increases with an increase of $Q_{m}$, so that after a sufficient increase the difference $\Delta$ S becomes equal to the ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ negative value of the term and the wave progresses with constant peak discharge. The change of one factor affects the others, because $S_{o}, p^{\prime}$, $s^{\prime}$ and $\partial H_{q} / \partial x$ are interrelated. For a diverging channel ( $S$ increasing, $p^{\prime}>0, s^{\prime}>0$ ), the attenuation rate $\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$ decreases, and for a converging channel, there is an increase in attenuation. If these factors change sufficiently in the case of divergence the peak discharge may start to increase.
4. Expression for the rate of change of maximum depth. For the time of maximum depth $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}$, of initial depth hydrograph at a given position x , the value $\partial \mathrm{H} / \partial \mathrm{t}=0$, and $\partial \mathrm{H} / \partial \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$. As $\partial \mathrm{A} / \partial \mathrm{t}=0$ for $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}$, eq. (1) gives $\partial \mathrm{Q} / \partial \mathrm{x}=-\mathrm{q}$. In this case ${ }^{\mathrm{O}}$ eq. (2) gives
$\left(\alpha s K_{h}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d H_{m}}{d x}=\left(S_{f}-S_{o}\right)+\frac{\beta}{g A_{h}} \frac{\partial Q_{h}}{\partial t}-\frac{\alpha Q_{h}^{2}}{g A_{h}^{2}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}+s^{\prime} \ln H_{m}\right)-$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{Q_{h q}^{2}}}{\mathrm{gA}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{dx}$ and various values in eq. (6) relate to the position of time occurrence of $H_{m}$ for given $x$. In the case of a prismatic channel with no lateral flow ( $p^{\prime}, s^{\prime}$, and q are zero), only the first three terms of eq. (6) are present. The complexity of eqs. (5) and (6) as applied to natural channels with changing factors $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{q}, \alpha, \beta$, along the channel generally justifies an approximation in the analysis of effect of these factors on the rate of change of flood peak.
5. Fitting of Pearson Type III function. Flood wave functions $Q=f_{1}(Q, H)$ and $\bar{H}=f_{2}(Q, H)$ are approximated here by Pearson Type III function ${ }^{1}$
$Q=Q_{b}+Q_{o} e^{-\left[\left(t-t_{1}\right)-\left(x-x_{1}\right) / C_{1}\right] / G}\left[1+\left(t-t_{1}\right) / m-\left(x-x_{1}\right) / C_{1} m\right]^{m / G}$
and
$H=H_{b}+H_{o} e^{-\left[\left(t-t_{2}\right)-\left(x-x_{2}\right) / C_{2}\right] / D}\left[1+\left(t-t_{2}\right) / a-\left(x-x_{2}\right) / C_{2} a\right]^{a / D}$
where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are values for time and channel position, respectively, at which initially the maximum discharge and the maximum depth occur, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are celerities of any discharge and any depth, respective$l y$; and $G, m, D$ and a are parameters of initial waves as defined in fig. 2.

If the same coordinate system ( $x, t$ ) is used for both eqs. (7) and (8), then $\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}} \delta$.

For the position $x=x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $t_{1}=0$, the discharge and depth hydrographs are

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=Q_{b}+Q_{o} e^{-t / G}\left(1+\frac{t}{m}\right)^{m / G} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{b}+H_{o} e^{-(t-\delta) / D}[1+(t-\delta) / a]^{a / D} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the time $t=t_{1}=t_{2}$, and $x_{1}=0$, the discharge and depm profiles along the channel are

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=Q_{b}+Q_{o} e^{x / C_{1} G}\left(1-x / C_{1} m\right)^{m / G} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{b}+H_{o} e^{\left(x+C_{o} \delta\right) / C_{2} D}\left[1-\left(x+C_{o} \delta\right) / C_{2}\right]^{a / D} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from fig. 2 that $\delta=a-m$, with $\delta>0$, so that $a>m$.
The integral of $\left(Q-Q_{b}\right) d t$ from $t=-m$ to infinity gives the flood volume, $W$, above the base flow. The integration of eq. (9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W}{Q_{\mathrm{O}} G}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{eG}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)^{\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{G}} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{G}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (13) may be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W}{Q_{0} G}=\frac{5}{2} \quad \sqrt{\frac{m}{G}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}=0, \mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ constant, and $\mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{dt}$, then the integration of Adx by using eq. (12) gives for $H_{b}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{Ws}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{o}} C_{0} D}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{eD}}{\mathrm{sa}}\right)^{\mathrm{as} / \mathrm{D}} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{as}}{\mathrm{D}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. Approximate relationships for parameters of discharge and depth hydrographs. Six parameters of the initial discharge and depth hydrographs are: $Q_{m}, H_{m}, m$, $a$, and $G$, $D$, all of which are interrelated. It is supposed that either $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{m}$, and G , or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, a and D are parameters of the hydrograph, initially known. To determine the other three parameters, it is here supposed that $Q_{0}, m$ and $G$ are known. From fig. 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=m+\delta \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation of $Q_{o}$ and $H_{o}$ is determined by assuming that $Q_{b}=0$, $H_{b}=0$, or relatively small, and that at the time $t=\delta$ (peak depth) the energy slope is $S_{f}=S_{o}$, so that $Q_{h}=A_{h} \sqrt{R_{h} S_{o}}$. By putting the hydraulic radius $R_{h}=\eta H_{o}$ with $\eta \leq 1$, and taking $Q_{h}$ from eq. (9) with $t=\delta$ and $Q_{b}=0$, as equal to the above value of $Q_{h}$, and using $A=p H^{5}$, then
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta / \mathrm{G}}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{pk}^{1 / 2} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}(2 \mathrm{~s}+1) / 2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{1 / 2}$
where k is the friction coefficient in Chezy formuls.
By using eq. (15), eq. (14) as an approximation of $\Gamma$-function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\frac{4 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~W}}{25 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{O}}^{2}(\mathrm{~m}+\delta)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with values $A$, $s$ and $C_{o}$ at the peak depth. Equations (16) through (18) enable the computation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, a and C for given $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{m}$ and G , when $\delta$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are know, and W computed by eq. (14).
7. Expressions for determination of $\delta$, Assuming that the rate of change of kinetic energy head, $\partial\left(\alpha \mathrm{V}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~g}\right) / \partial \mathrm{x}$, for the position of peak discharge is small and negligible in comparison with the bottom slope $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and with the rate of change $\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} / \partial \mathrm{x}$, then the peak discharge with the friction slope ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}-\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} / \partial \mathrm{x}$ ) may be expressed by Chezy formula as
$Q_{o}=k A_{q} \sqrt{R_{q} S_{f}}=p k \eta \eta^{1 / 2} \dot{H}_{q}^{(2 s+1) / 2}\left(S_{o}-\frac{\partial H_{q}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)^{1 / 2}$
with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{q}}=\eta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ determined from $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{pH}^{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}$ assumed to be constant

The gradient $\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} / \partial \mathrm{x}$ is determined from eq. (12). As the gradient of $\left(\alpha \mathrm{V}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~g}\right)$ is positivè $\mathrm{q}^{\text {around }} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{O}}$, because V increases in the direction of flow at the position of maximum discharge, as shown in fig. 3, its neglect gives a value of $\delta$ somewhat different from the actual $\delta$. The value $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{o}}$ in eq. (19) is at $x=0$ of eq. (11). Taking $C_{2}=C_{0}=$ constant, and $C_{0} \delta$ also a constant in eq. (12), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=-\frac{\delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{D}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{o}$ at the time of $Q_{o}$. The value. $H_{q}$ from eq. (10), for $t=0, H_{b}=0$,
is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{q}=H_{o} e^{\delta / D}(1-\delta / a)^{a / D} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the values $a$ and $D$ from eqs. (16) and (20), and $H_{0}$ from eq. (17) into eq. (21) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ becomes a function of known parameters $\delta{ }^{\mathrm{O}}$ and of $\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} / \partial \mathrm{x}$. Putting these values $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ and $\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} / \partial \mathrm{x}$ into eq. (19), for known $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{O}}$, $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}, \eta, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{G}, \delta$-value can be determined if $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is known. Using $C_{o}=\sqrt{\mathrm{gH}_{\mathrm{q}}}$ and $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{pH}^{\mathrm{S}}$, $\delta$ can be determined by a trial-and-error proqedure. Assuming a value $\delta, H_{o}$ is computed by eq. (17), a is computed by eq. (16), $D$ by eq. (18), and $H_{q}$ by eq. (21). Using the expression for $C_{o}=\sqrt{g H_{q}}$, then $\partial H_{q} / \partial x$ is computed by eq. (20). Putting these values into eq. (19), $Q$ value is obtained and compared with $Q_{0}$. Then the correction for $\delta$ is applied.

From eq. (9), by using $Q_{h}$ at the maximum depth, and $\delta$ replacing $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Q_{h}}{\partial t}=-\frac{Q_{h} \delta}{a G} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is given as soon as $\delta$ is known. The rates of change of peak discharge and peak depth of eqs. (5) and (6) can be computed from the known $\delta$ by using eqs. (20) and (22), respectively.

The above expressions and computational procedures enable the approximate determination of rate of change of flood peaks along the channel, by starting from the initial hydrographs at a given channel position under the assumption that flood hydrographs and flood waves along the channel may be reasonably approximated by Pearson Type III function. The above analysis is the part of a study underway, which has as objectives of routing floods by investigating how the three parameters in Pearson Type III function change along the channel under various channel conditions.




## СКОРОСТЬ ИЗМЕНЕНИЯ ПИКА ПАВОДКА В РУСЛЕ


#### Abstract

Аннотация B докладе приведен анализ скорости изменения максимальных расхода $d Q_{0} / d x$, глубины $d H_{0} / d x$, однопиковых паводковых гидрографов на основе использования двух уравнения Сен-Венана в частных производных для неустановившегося потока со свободной поверхностью. Гидрографы однопиковых паводков и профили паводков вдоль русла апроксимируются по кривой Пирсона Ш типа с тремя параметрами: максимальный расход (или максимальная глубина) ; замедление нарастания паводка по длине русла и отставание во времени между пиком и центром тяжести гидрографа. Путем приближения установлено соотношение между объемом паводковой волны и этими параметрами.


Найдено соотношение между шестью параметрами по три для каждого гидрографа расхода и глубины путем использования в качестве основного параметра отставание $\delta$ по времени между максимальным расходом и махсимальной глубиной. Описана методика расчета $\delta$ методом проб и ошибок, а также методика расчета $d Q_{0} / d x$ и $\quad d H_{0} / d x \quad$.

