ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

CONSUMER EDUCATION IN FOODS

FOR ADULTS

BASED UPON FOOD BUYING METHODS OF WOMEN

IN FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN

Submitted by Clara Eilert Giuliani

In partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the Degree of Master of Science

Colorado State College

of

Agriculture and Mechanic Arts
Fort Cellins, Colorado

S-1-08A-18-01-033



5

40

ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

The selection, purchase, and use of food constitute some of the most essential processes of economic life. It is important that the consumer have an intelligent understanding of consumption problems. But before practical courses in food buying can be formulated, it is advisable to consider some of the many questions regarding what is included in the field, to whom this education shall be directed, and who shall undertake the responsibility for it. In order to determine what is to be included in a course in consumer food buying, it is advisable to become acquainted with the present food buying habits of the community in which the class is to be taught.

The problem

What should be included in a course of study in consumer food buying in order that it be of practical value to homemakers?

Answers to the following questions have been sought in carrying out the study:

- 1. What are the food buying methods used by the women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin?
- 2. How can the information obtained be used in planning courses in consumer food buying?

3. How do the food buying habits of the women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, compare with the methods used by women in the small town and rural community of Yoakum, Texas?

Delimitation

In the Master's Thesis of Augusta Jannett,

Colorado State College, 1936, a study was made to determine the food buying habits of the women in Yoakum, Texas.

The same technique and questionnaires were used in this
study in order to make results comparable.

The study was limited to four types of women food buyers living in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin. The collecting of data was begun in 1939 and completed in 1942. The types of food buyers studied were: college-trained women; women of the economically comfortable group; women who belonged to the borderline group economically; and women who were on relief.

Descriptions of groups

The College Group was composed of women who were college graduates; the Economically Comfortable Group represented those families who, economically, had sufficient means to live well. - their husbands were steadily employed or were owners of business establishments; the Borderline Group represented families who, while not on relief, were having a difficult time being self-supporting; the Relief Group represented families on relief.

The local situation

Fond du Lac is a city having a population of approximately 27,000. It is located in a rich farming area at the south end of Lake Winnebago. It has many large factories which before 1933 furnished work for a rapidly growing population. During the depression many of the factories were compelled to close. Consequently, at the time of this study there were many families on relief and an increasing number on the borderline.

The food stores in Fond du Lac can be classified under two main types: the chain store and the home owned stores.

Findings

The following paragraphs are a summary of the food buying methods of the women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin.

- 1. Who buys the food. -- Although the mothers bought the food in 95 per cent of the homes, in two thirds of the homes the children and in one half of the homes the fathers also did food purchasing.
- 2. When buying is done. -- Daily food purchasing was done by 40 per cent of the families while only one fourth of them bought weekly. One third of them did their buying early in the morning. Two thirds of the families did the bulk of their buying on Saturday.
- 3. Where buying was done. -- Approximately one half of the women bought the bulk of their foods at home-owned stores; 39 per cent at chain stores; and 14 per cent expressed no choice.
- 4. How buying was done. -- Practically the same per cent of women expressed a preference for service of clerks as preferred self-service.

Sixty per cent of the homemakers preferred to shop in person. Price seemed to be the basis for judging quality in 58 per cent of the families. Brand was used by the second largest number of families. Grade was the market device used by one third of the buyers and about one half of them read labels. Over three fourths of the homemakers were influenced by advertisements. Over one half of all groups paid cash while one fourth of them bought for credit. Over one half of all buyers were not familiar with sizes of cans. Seventy per cent bought small quantities of food at a time. Over one half of the women studied bought meat for more than one meal at a time. Seventy-one per cent of the families bought fresh fruits and vegetables personally. Over one half of the women preferred packaged dried fruits. Only four per cent of all groups purchased no breads. Better than one half of all the families used prepared flours. Coffee was purchased in one pound cans by approximately one half of all the families. Forty-five per cent of all the women did not know whether they bought true or artificial extracts.

- 5. Observations of 50 buyers in two types of food stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin. -- The data obtained from the observation sheets were used to check the results of the questionnaires. With very few exceptions the results upheld the findings of the 200 questionnaires. In addition it was shown that over one half of all the questions asked concerned the price of an article, and only nine per cent of the questions dealt with quality. Eight out of nine complaints concerned price and the ninth complaint was in regard to quality.
- 6. Methods used by women who shop over the telephone. --Forty-six per cent of the telephone orders had a value greater than one dollar. Twenty-eight per cent of the orders consisted of six articles. Calling for number of units was the method used in designating the quantity desired for 89 per cent of the articles while only six questions were in regard to quality of article. Brand was only used to designate quality for 12 per cent of the articles.

Implications of the study

in food buying by children in two thirds of the homes, and by fathers in one half of the homes, it can be assumed that both children and fathers would benefit by starting consumer problems related to foods.

- 2. It was indicated that a better understanding of the advantages and disadvantages of "Home Owned" and "Chain" stores, would aid in making for wiser choice regarding when to buy.
- 3. It was found that choice of foods is not always rational but often planless and thoughtless and would be improved by improved planning of food purchases.
- 4. It was indicated that women might improve their buying habits if they knew more about brands, grade, labels, and advertisements.
- 5. It was indicated that more knowledge of advertising psychology might aid food buyers in making their own decisions.
- 6. There is an indication that a greater knowledge concerning the qualities to look for in purchasing foods would make for more efficient buyers.
- 7. This study indicates the need for a comprehensive program of training in buying for homemakers.

Recommendations

It is recommended that

l. Units in consumer buying be taught at the high school level for both girls and boys.

- 2. Homemakers be better instructed regarding the importance of the different factors which influence the time of food buying.
- 3. Consumer food buyers be given some information regarding the factors which should be considered in choosing a place to buy.
- 4. The consumer food buyer be interested concerning various methods of paying for goods and their advantages and disadvantages.
- 5. Home economists awaken to the trends in the consumer education movement and make their students conscious of their responsibility regarding food legislation.
- 6. That a greater knowledge of buying habits be encouraged by our public schools through proper interpretation of labels and grades of canned goods, shopping conditions, and evaluation of available literature.

Recommendations for further study

- 1. What are the consumer food buying methods used by men?
- 2. Of what value is the information found on labels to the homemaker?
 - 3. To what extent is food legislation enforced?
- 4. Do good consumer food buyers demand more specific factual selling and advertising than others?
- 5. How can the consumer food buyer be shown his responsibility in demanding better legislation

concerning informative food labeling?

- 6. How can homemakers be educated to the importance of reading labels before making choice when buying foods?
- 7. Does the experienced homemaker show a better knowledge of market devices than the younger homemaker with home economics training in high school?
- 8. To what extent does the advice given to the consumer food buyers by clerks influence their choice of foods?

COLORADU STATE COLLEGE OF A. & M. A.

THESIS

CONSUMER EDUCATION IN FOODS

FOR ADULTS

BASED UPON FOOD BUYING METHODS OF WOMEN

IN FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN

Submitted by Clara Eilert Giuliani

In partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the Degree of Master of Science

Colorado State College

of

Agriculture and Mechanic Arts
Fort Collins, Colorado

August, 1942

ewsom Dean of the Graduate School

Permission to publish this thesis or any part of it must be obtained from the Dean of the Graduate School.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer wishes to express her sincere appreciation for the helpful suggestions and constructive criticisms given by the following people: Maude Williamson, Associate Professor of Home Economics Education, of Colorado State College; Dr. David H. Morgan, Principal of the California School for the Blind, at Berkeley, California, and Director of Research in Home Economics Education, Colorado State College; Dr. Sarah Vinke of Colorado State College, English Advisor; and Trene Coons, Reference Librarian of Colorado State College.

Grateful acknowledgment is also made for the cooperation of the two hundred housewives of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, who gave information needed for this study; and of the managers and clerks who made possible the observations carried on in the stores.

The writer is extremely grateful to her daughter, Roma, for the many hours she spent in assisting in the tabulation of the data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pe	age
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	9 9 10 11
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE References and studies relating to consumer	12
buying in general References and studies dealing directly with	12
food buying Summary	15
CHAPTER III: MATERIALS AND METHODS College women group Economically comfortable group Women on relief	19 20 21 21
Women on relief	22
Food buying methods used by women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin	23 23 25 29 30
tions in two types of grocery stores Food buying methods used by customers who	61
shop over the telephone Comparison of food buying habits of women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, with those of women	74
in Yoakum, Texas	77
	85 85 88 90 91 106 107

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
CHAPTER VI: SUGGESTED OUTLINE FOR COURSE IN CONSUMER FOOD BUYING FOR ADULTS	109
CHAPTER VII: SUMMARY	114
APPENDIX	116
stores A-3 Check sheet for recording telephone	123
orders	125
BIBLIOGRAPHY	126

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	PERSON BUYING THE FOOD	25
2	FREQUENCY OF BUYING	27
3	TIME OF DAY BUYING IS DONE	28
4	WHEN BULK OF FOOD IS BOUGHT	29
5	WHERE BULK OF FOOD IS BOUGHT	30
6	PREFERENCE FOR SERVICE IN GROCERY STORE	31
7	HOW BUYING IS DONE	32
8	HOMEMAKERS BASIS FOR JUDGING QUALITY IN CANNED FOODS	33
9	GUIDES HOMEMAKERS USE IN SELECTING FOODS	35
10	METHODS OF PAYING FOR FOODS BOUGHT	37
11	HOW CANNED FOODS ARE BOUGHT	39
12	EXTENT TO WHICH MOMEMAKERS USE GRADE OF CANNED FOODS SUITED TO PURPOSE	40
13	HOW EGGS ARE BOUGHT	41
14	WHERE MILK IS BOUGHT	42
15	KINDS OF MILK HOMEMAKERS BUY	43
16	HOMEMAKERS' BASIS FOR JUDGING QUALITY OF BUTTER	44
17	HOMEMAKERS' PREFERENCE FOR BUTTER AND MARGARINE	45
18	WHERE HOMEMAKERS BUY MEAT	46
19	HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY MEAT	49
20	HOMEMAKERS' PREFERENCE FOR MEAT	50

LIST OF TABLES -- Continued

Table		Page
21	HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES	52
22	DRIED FRUITS HOMEMAKERS BUY AND HOW THEY BUY THEM	54
23	WHERE BAKERY PRODUCTS ARE BOUGHT	55
24	EXTENT TO WHICH DAY OLD BREAD IS ASKED FOR	56
25	HOW CRACKERS AND COOKIES ARE BOUGHT	57
26	QUANTITY OF FLOUR HOMEMAKERS BUY	58
27	KINDS OF FLOUR HOMEMAKERS BUY	59
28	HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY COFFEE	60
29	HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY EXTRACTS	61
30	EXTENT TO WHICH MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN BUY FOODS IN STORES	62
31	HOW BUYING WAS DONE	64
32	HOW CANNED FOODS WERE BOUGHT	65
33	EXTENT TO WHICH MILK WAS BOUGHT AND THE KINDS BOUGHT	66
34	BAKERY PRODUCTS BOUGHT IN GROCERY STORES	67
35	HOW FLOUR WAS BOUGHT	68
36	HOW FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES WERE SELECTED	69
37	HOW COFFEE WAS BOUGHT	70
38	EXTENT TO WHICH BUTTER AND MARGARINE WERE BOUGHT	70
39	SIZE OF ORDERS AND METHODS OF PAYING	71
40	SERVICES ASKED FOR IN GROCERY STORES	72
41	NATURE OF QUESTIONS ASKED BY CUSTOMERS IN GROCERY STORES	73

LIST OF TABLES -- Continued

Table		Page
42	NATURE OF COMPLAINTS MADE BY CUSTOMERS IN GROCERY STORES	73
43	SIZE OF TELEPHONE ORDERS	75
44	METHODS OF DESIGNATING QUANTITY OF FOODS DESIRED IN TELEPHONE ORDERS	76
45	NATURE OF QUESTIONS ASKED OVER THE TELEPHONE	76
46	METHODS OF DESIGNATING QUALITY OF FOOD DESIRED IN TELEPHONE ORDERS	77
47	COMPARISON OF FOOD BUYING HABITS OF WOMEN OF FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN, WITH THOSE OF WOMEN IN SMALL TOWN AND RURAL COMMUNITY	
	OF YOAKUM, TEXAS	81

Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

The selection, purchase, and use of food constitute some of the most essential processes of economic life. It is important that the consumer have an intelligent understanding of consumption problems. But before practical courses in food buying can be formulated, it is advisable to consider some of the many questions regarding what is included in the field, to whom this education shall be directed, and who shall undertake the responsibility for it. In order to determine what is to be included in a course in consumer food buying, it is also advisable to become acquainted with the present food buying habits of the community in which the class is to be taught.

A study of the food buying habits of the women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, should be of value in planning for them a practical course in consumer food buying. It was with this thought in mind that the following study was undertaken.

The problem

What should be included in a course of study in consumer food buying in order that it be of practical

value to housekeepers?

Answers to the following questions have been sought in carrying out the study:

- What are the food buying methods used by the women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin?
- 2. How can the information obtained be used in planning courses in consumer food buying?
- 3. How do the food buying habits of the women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, compare with the methods used by women in the small town and rural community of Yoakum, Texas?

Delimitation

In the Master's Thesis of Augusta Jannett,

Colorado State College, 1936, a study was made to determine the food buying habits of the women in Yoakum, Texas.

The same technique and questionnaires were used in this
study in order to make results comparable.

The study was limited to four types of women food buyers living in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin. The collecting of data was begun in 1939 and completed in 1942. The types of food buyers studied were: college-trained women; women of the comfortable laboring class; women who belonged to the border line group economically; and women who were on relief.1/

^{1/} The definitions of these groups are given in Chapter III.

Background

Fond du Lac is a city having a population of approximately 27,000. It is located in a rich farming area at the south end of Lake Winnebago. It has many large factories which before 1933 furnished work for a rapidly growing population. During the depression many of the factories were compelled to close. Consequently, at the time of this study there were many families on relief and an increasing number on the borderline.

fied under two main types: the chain store and the home owned store. The chain stores are all equipped with self serve apparatus of various kinds but have clerks who will wait upon customers requesting their services. They may also be classed as combination food stores, as all of them sell both groceries and meat. The home owned stores are credit stores. Many are located throughout the residential sections of the city and are often thought of as neighborhood stores. A few have a complete meat market in connection with the grocery department.

Chapter II REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In the review of available literature a number of references and studies are given which relate to the buying of foods. Since food buying is a phase of consumer buying, such articles on consumer buying as apply to foods are included among the references. Therefore this review of literature consists of two parts: first, studies and references dealing with the general topic of consumer buying; and second, studies and references dealing directly with food buying.

References and studies relating to consumer buying in general

In 1937 Dana (12:421-30), expressed her ideas concerning the buying characteristics of the consumer buyer. She felt we had become a nation of buyers who trusted anyone who had something to sell. This trust had originated back in the days when a strong confidence existed between maker and user and should be discarded by the consumer buyer now continually confronted with an amazing number of new products. She stated that the average consumer buyer has only three guides to aid him; sight, touch, and price. She felt that "consumers are victims of a great trust that has lost its reason for

being." (12:423)

Tryon (34:628-30), in 1938, quoted Montgomery (25:688-691) with respect to the part salesmanship played in creating a consumer-buyer problem. She felt that the consumer buyer had become suspicious of American business, not only because of a feeling of helplessness in judging, but also because of a conviction that business is more interested in creating new wants than in satisfying present wants. This feeling of helplessness had been brought about by the constant bombardment of newspapers, magazines, signboards, and radio. She believed that education might be of greater help to the consumer buyer than it was at that time.

In an article dealing with the home economist in business written in the <u>Journal of Home Economics</u> in 1938, Shank (32:625-628) stated that there were two types of consumer education, one which broadened the cultural training and included practical and useful knowledge of social and business conditions, and a second which involved an intensive study of selected material and services. She believed that consumers are bewildered by the vast amount of information they are expected to accumulate in order to purchase intelligently the many things they require.

The slogan, "The consumer wants to know," by Dodge (14:235-38), used in an article written for the Journal of Home Economics in 1938, is a challenge for

home economists to put on a real educational program which will teach the homemaker how to read labels, to evaluate them, and to make her choices according to her own needs.

cording to Gordon (19:1-24), in a 1939 publication, is to discover and point the way toward wiser consumption practices. He stated that there is no science of consumption, for consumers' reactions cannot be reduced to laws and predicted in advance, but that the real solution lies in Americans learning to eliminate waste. Competition, he believed, is the consumer's defender against high prices, and assures quality. The environmental influence of the group into which one is born is reflected in one's very buying habits. He called attention to the fact that although society has progressed from primitive to modern methods by producing utilities, there has been slight progress in the art of consumption.

In the same book, Gordon, 1939, stated (19:24) that one of the most popular American fallacies is the belief that all consumers in this country are supplied with the fundamental needs, and that most of them are able to satisfy additional, less essential, desires. He quoted Dr. James B. McLester, who, in his inaugural address as president of the American Medical Association, made the following statement: "Something like twenty million American people are living near or below the

threshold of nutritive safety." (New York Times, June 12, 1935)

In an article as recent as March 1941, Nystrom 27:145-7), in describing the widespread interest at present in what is known as the "consumer movement," felt there was little agreement as to the specific objectives, but that practically all who made up its leadership seemed to believe that the consumer buyer needed more education. The author believed home economists should awaken to the trends in the consumer education.

References and studies dealing directly with food buying

A study was made at the University of Missouri by Amburgy and Coles (2:19-20) in 1933 to determine the buying habits of 460 homemakers. It was planned to throw light on the familiarity of homemakers with brands, advertising, and labels and their use of these devices in buying foods. The study showed that in three fourths of the homes the housewife did the food buying; that most of the homemakers were not familiar with the brand names under which many common foods are marketed; that most of the homemakers were very indefinite as to the quantity of foods desired. The study indicated a need for a comprehensive course of training in buying for homemakers, emphasizing the potential values of brands as guides, despite certain inadequacies and limitations.

Jannett (20) in her Master's thesis, Colorado

State College, 1936, made a study to determine the food buying habits of the women of Yoakum, Texas. Her study showed that over three fourths of the customers observed in the stores shopped alone: that about half of the customers observed made decisions quickly; that the majority of customers bought small orders; that the buying habits did not differ greatly in the different types of stores; that more questions were asked in the charge and delivery stores than in the cash and carry stores; that fewer customers in the cash and carry stores asked about prices; that more customers watched the scales in the cash and carry stores; that the majority of the homemakers in the community did not select neighborhood stores; that approximately two thirds of the homemakers stated a preference for combination stores; that careful reading of labels was not the practice of the homemakers in the community and that those who read labels read them for brand name and not for information. The study showed the evidences of a need for training in food buying.

Coles (9) wrote in 1938 that

. . Although attention is directed to their problems in general, much of the interest in consumers is centered upon their problems as buyers and upon methods of improving their situation as such. (9:preface xvii)

She stated that studies seem to indicate that the bulk of the food buying for the family is done by women and that, according to a study made in New York City, (9:26), women made approximately four fifths of the purchases in grocery stores. She stated that in another study of 312 families in Elria, Ohio, women did from three fourths to nine tenths of the family food buying. Coles quoted Converse, who felt that women were the sole buyers of groceries in three fourths of the families he studied.

In her chapter dealing with the attitudes of consumer buyers toward their problems, Coles (9:32) stated that many consumer buyers were, without doubt, unaware of the existence of any problems in buying except the lack of money. In chapter four of the same book (9:36) she wrote that buying habits varied with income, nationality, and location of buyers, and that buyers tended to follow day after day and year after year certain acquired habits. She cited studies (9:43), made in Champaign-Urbana, Illinois, in 1931 and 1937, which showed that whereas 21 per cent of 360 families studies in 1931 used the telephone in purchasing foods, only 12 per cent of the same families used the telephone in 1937.

Peters (29), in 1940, made a study of the buying habits of Winn Parish Consumers. He showed that
about 50 per cent of the food was bought from independent
grocers and only 14 per cent from chain stores; that irrespective of income, quality and price were the reasons
most frequently listed for choice of store; that cash
was the method of payment used by the majority; and that

buying for the family was usually done in person by the wife.

Summary

In reviewing the references and studies it was found that there was a definite interest being manifested in the consumer buying movement, and that a need for the education of the consumer food buyer was being felt. studies gave some information on the food buying methods used by women in different communities. It seemed that the average consumer buyer depended mostly on three guides to aid him: sight, touch and price, and that many consumer buyers were, without doubt, unaware of the existence of any problems in buying except the lack of money. While studies could seldom be directly compared as they had been made in different ways and included families living under quite different circumstances, it was found that buying habits varied with income, nationality, and location of buyers; that buyers tended to follow certain acquired habits day after day, year after year; that women did most of the food buying; that most of the food purchases were cash; and that there was no consistency as to where, what, or when groceries were bought by the various groups of buyers studied.

These studies indicated a need for comprehensive courses of training in buying for homemakers, emphasizing the real values of brands as guides, their inadequacies and limitations.

Chapter III MATERIALS AND METHODS

The survey type of research method was employed in this study and the data were secured by personal and group interviewing with 200 women representing four different groups living in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin: women with college training; women of the comfortable laboring class; women of the economic borderline group; and women on relief. In addition, records were made of the purchasing done by 50 women buyers in two different types of stores. Data were also secured from the records of the telephone orders, as taken by the grocery clerk, of 50 women food buyers.

The questionnaire used in recording answers was a check sheet 1/ in which the following topics were presented:

- 1. Who buys the food?
- 2. When is the food bought?
- 3. How are foods purchased?
- 4. What guides are used in selecting foods?
- 5. How are specific foods bought?

^{1/} See Appendix A-1 for check sheet

- 6. What food buying habits are apparent in watching women buyers in grocery stores?
- 7. What are the buying practices of customers who buy over the phone?

The questionnaires used were similar to those used by Augusta Jannett, in a study made to determine the food buying habits of the women in Yoakum, Texas, in 1936. The responses secured from all the questionnaires were collected in tables similar to those she had used in order to make these two studies comparable.

College women group

At first the names of 50 women were selected at random from the membership of a college women's club. Later it was discovered that the membership was not limited to college graduates, but also included women having only two years of college work. Thereupon this list was reduced to include only names of college graduates. Names of college graduates were also obtained from friends who were personally acquainted with some college graduates, to make a total of 50.

A personal call was made at the home of each of the women and a questionnaire left. The purpose of the study was stated and the questionnaire explained in detail. The respondents were informed that no signature was required, and were given an addressed and stamped envelope in which to return the questionnaire.

Economically comfortable group

A second group of 50 women represented those families who, economically, had sufficient means to live well. Their husbands were steadily employed or were owners of business establishments. They were selected in part from an organization in one of the local churches. The writer attended their monthly meeting and was given an opportunity to speak to the women. The women were not interviewed individually, but the purpose of the study was explained to the entire group and the questionnaire was read and discussed. The women were then asked to take the questionnaires home, answer the questions, and return them at the next meeting. To complete the required number for the Comfortable Laboring Class, twenty two women who belonged to the Homemakers' Club at the Vocational and Adult School were added. The questionnaires were distributed at one of the monthly meetings of the Homemakers' Club. The purpose of the study was explained and the women were given time to complete the questionnaires at the meetings.

Borderline group

The Borderline Group represented families who, while not on relief, were having a difficult time being self supporting. This third group of 50 women were selected from the home reports of the girls attending the Vocational and Adult School, and from families with whom

the writer had been acquainted for several years. All of these women were interviewed personally and the questionnaire explained point by point before they checked the answers.

Women on relief

The group of 50 women on relief were selected from the families of girls attending the vocational school. It took three consecutive years to obtain the required number on relief. These questionnaires were answered during a class period. Since these girls were 17 years of age or over, they were considered capable of answering the questionnaire. The writer then used these questionnaires when calling in the homes for an interview to check the answers given by the daughter. Approximately 20 of the relief women were contacted personally.

Chapter IV ANALYSIS OF DATA

The treatment of the data will be presented in three parts: first, an analysis of the data showing the food buying methods used by the four groups of women buyers of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, who were a part of this study; second, an analysis of the data showing the food buying methods as determined from observations in grocery stores; and third, an analysis of data showing the buying practices of customers who shop over the telephone.

The headings of the tables are inclosed in quotation marks because they correspond to those used in a similar study by Jannett (20).

Food buying methods used by women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Who buys the food. -- Table 1 shows the person who buys the food for the family in the four different groups of women buyers studied. As shown in this table, in 92 per cent of the homes of the College Group, the mother did the food purchasing for the family, while in only 44 per cent of the homes was any food buying done by the children. In 38 per cent of the homes of the same group the fathers also aided in food buying. In only 24 per cent of the homes of the College Group was food buying a family

affair, where purchasing was done by parents and children together. The mother did none of the food buying in only eight per cent of these homes.

There was very little difference shown between the College Group and the Comfortable Group when it came to the person who bought the food. In 96 per cent of the Comfortable Group the women did the food buying, an increase of only four per cent over the percentage for the College Group. Children bought food in ten per cent more of homes of the Comfortable Group than in those of the College Group. Six per cent more fathers in the Comfortable Group than in the College Group did food purchasing.

In the Borderline Group the mother did food buying in 90 per cent of the homes, the children in 84 per cent, and the father in 56 per cent of the homes. There was a decrease in the Borderline Group of the per cent of mothers who did food buying, with a resulting increase in the number of fathers and children who bought food, when compared with the College and Comfortable Groups studied.

It was found that mothers did food buying in 100 per cent of the homes on relief. The data collected showed that there were also more fathers and children doing some of the food buying in the Relief Group.

Taking all groups into consideration, it was found that in 95 per cent of all the homes studied, the mother took part in the buying of food for the family.

05

Children did some buying in 67 per cent and fathers in 51 per cent of all the homes. In only 38 per cent of the homes did all members of the family--mother, father, and children--aid in food buying.

Table 1.-- "PERSON BUYING THE FOOD

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Member	College (N=50)		fort	om-	Bor li	der-	Rel	ief	To	otal
who hurs the			(N=50		(Na	(N=50)		50)	(N=200)	
food	No.	Per	No.	Per	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per
Mother	46	92	48	96	45	90	50	100	189	94.5
Children	22	44	27	54	42	84	42	84	133	66.5
Father	19	38	22	44	28	56	34!	68	103	50.15
Mother, fa- ther, chil-		1			;		;	;	1	
dren	12	24	14	28	22	44!	281	561	76	38.0
Mother and children	10	20	12	24	15	30	14	28	51	25.5
Father and mother	7	14	7	14	3	6	6!	12	23	11.5
Father and children	0	0	0	0	4	8	01	0	4	2.0
Mother, children,							:			
servant	21	4	01	. 0	0	0	01	01	2	1.0

When buying is done. -- The frequency of buying, the time of day, and the day of the week when buying is done are all factors of importance when considered from the standpoint of food buying. Daily food purchasing was

found to be done in 40 per cent of the College Group homes, in 50 per cent of the Comfortable Group homes, in 44 per cent of the Borderline Group homes, but in only 20 per cent of the Relief Group homes (Table 2). Taking all groups into consideration, it was found that, in 39 per cent of all the homes studied, the buying of food was a daily task.

Food was purchased twice a week by the four groups as follows: by 34 per cent of the College Group, by 28 per cent of the Comfortable Group, by 26 per cent of the Borderline Group, and by 24 per cent of the Relief Group. Of all groups studied, 28 per cent of the families purchased food twice a week.

The College Group families were found to do less weekly buying of food, since only 24 per cent listed weekly food buying. There was also less weekly buying done by the Comfortable and Borderline Groups: of the former only 16 per cent of the families and of the latter only 20 per cent of the families bought food weekly. In weekly food buying the Relief Group led, as 44 per cent of the families in this group reported doing the bulk of their buying once a week.

The Relief Group also had the highest percentage (12 per cent) of families who purchased food supplies shortly before each meal time. Only eight per cent of all the families (Table 2) studied made a practice of buying groceries just before meal time.

Table 2 .-- "FREQUENCY OF BUYING"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

rreductic à			for (N:	table	1 15	rder- ine =50)	, Ke.	lief =50)	Total (N=200)		
Buying	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No. Per cent		
Once daily	20	40	25	50	22	44	10	20	77 38.5		
Twice a week	17	34	1 14	28	1 13	26	121	24	56'28.0		
Weekly	12	24	1 8	16	10	20	22	44	52'26.0		
Before meal- time		2	3	6	5	10	61	12	1 15 7.5		

A large number of the College families, 60 per cent, did their food buying early in the morning, while the Relief Group showed the smallest number, 20 per cent, of morning shoppers (Table 3). Forty per cent of the Comfortable Group, 50 per cent of the Borderline Group, and 36 per cent of the Relief Group reported that they did not do their food buying at any definite time. Considering all groups studied, 34 per cent of all families had no definite time for food purchasing, while practically the same percentage, 33 per cent, did their buying early in the morning. In only one per cent of the families was buying done at night.

Table 3.--"TIME OF DAY BUYING IS DONE"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Time of	Coll (N=		Com- fortable (N=50)			Border- ' line ' (N=50)			Relief (N=50)			' Total ' (N=200)		
						1						1		
Varies	, ;		1	1		1	,		1	,		1	,	
can't say	41	8	1 2	105	40	1	25'	50	1	18!	36	1	671	33.5
Early morn-	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
ing	301	60	!]	13!	26	1	13!	26	1	10!	20	1	661	33.0
Afternoon	1 81	16	! 1	1!	22	1	21	4	1	10!	20	1	31!	15.5
Near noon	61	12	1	31	6	1	71	14	1	61	12	1	22!	11.0
Late after-	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
noon	21	4	1	31	6	1	31	6	1	41	8	1	12!	6.0
Night	01	0	1	0!	0	1	0!	0	1	2!	4	1	21	1.0

The grocers of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, have one outstandingly busy day, as shown in Table 4, for 63 per cent of all families studied did the bulk of their food buying on Saturday. The Relief Group, with a percentage of 88, had the largest number of families doing the bulk of their buying on Saturday. In 21 per cent of all families studied, the buying was distributed evenly throughout the week; 11 per cent of the buyers chose Friday, while only one half per cent did the bulk of their buying on Wednesday. None reported doing the bulk of their buying during the first two days of the week.

Table 4.--"WHEN BULK OF FOOD IS BOUGHT"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

	Col	Lege	1	fort		1 1	Bor	der. ne	- 1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
Day of Week		50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	-!	-	50)	1	-	200)
	No.	Per	; 1	No.	Per cent	; 1	No.,	Per cent	5 1	No.,	Per cent	; 1	No.	Per cent
			1	•		1	'		'			1		
Saturday	28	56	1	26!	52	1	271	54	1	44!	88	1	125	62.5
Evenly dis-	1		1	t		1	1		1	1		1	1	
tributed	8	16	1	16'	32	1	11'	22	1	61	12	1	41'	20.5
Friday	12	24	1	21	4	1	71	14	1	01	0	1	21!	10.5
Twice a week	21	4	1	6!	12	1	4!	8	1	01	0	1	12!	6.0
Wednesday	0	0	1	01	0	1	1!	2	1	01	0	1	1!	• 5
Monday	0	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0.0
Tuesday	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0.0
Thursday	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0.0

Where buying is done. -- All food stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, could be classified under three main types: namely, chain stores, home-owned stores, or mail order houses. According to Table 5, 46 per cent of the College Group did the bulk of their food buying at home-owned stores, 38 per cent at chain stores, and 16 per cent listed no choice. The figures for the Comfortable Group showed very little variation from those of the College Group, but there was a larger number who bought at home-owned stores among both the Borderline Group (50 per cent) and the Relief Group (52 per cent). Of all

the women, 14 per cent expressed no choice as to type of store.

Table 5.--"WHERE BULK OF BUYING IS DONE"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

Type of Store		50)	-!	-	able	1	li (N=	50)	1	(N=	ief 50) Per cent	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(N=	
Home-owned	, ,		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
store	23	46	*	221	44	1	251	50	1	261	52	1	981	49.0
Chain store-	19	38	1	19!	38	1	15'	30	1	24!	48	1	77!	38.5
No choice	8	16	1	91	18	1	10!	20	1	01	0	1	27!	13.5
Mail order	. 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
house	0	0	1	0!	0	1	0!	0	1	01	0	1	01	0.0

How buying is done. -- Regarding the preference for service in grocery stores, the data in Table 6 showed that in the four groups of women buyers studied, there were almost as many in each group who preferred self-serve as those who preferred service of a clerk. In fact, 49 per cent of all families preferred the services of a clerk and 47 per cent chose to serve themselves.

Table 6.--"PREFERENCE FOR SERVICE IN GROCERY STORE"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Service	Col		1	fort	om- table		11	der- ine	*		Lief	1		tal
Preferred	_	=50) Per	-1		=50) Per	-,		-50)	1		50) Per	- 1		200) Per
	MO.	cen	t 1	MO.	cent	; 1	NO.	Per	1	140.	cent	: 1	MO.	cent
Service of			1			1			1	,		1	,	
clerk	28	56	1	17	34	1	251	50	1	281	56	1	981	49.0
Self-serve	22	44	1	24	48	1	25	50	1	221	44	1	931	46.5
No prefer-	1		1	,		1	1		1	1		1	1	
ence	0	0	1	91	18	1	0!	0	1	01	0	1	91	4.5

It is interesting to note that 72 per cent of the Comfortable Group and also 72 per cent of the Relief Group did their buying in person. Thirty six per cent of the College Group and also 36 per cent of the Borderline Group bought food in person and by phone. Only in the case of the Relief Group was there a considerable amount of buying done by the child-with-note method, (16 per cent). The College Group showed the largest per cent, (12 per cent), who bought food over the phone.

Table 7. -- "HOW BUYING IS DONE"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Col	lege		om- table		der- ine	Re	lief	To	tal
Method of Buying	1 (N	50)	' (N-	-50)	(N.	50)	1 (N4	50)	1 (N	200)
Daying	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent
In person	22	44	36	72	25	50	36	72	1119	59.5
In person and phone	18	36	14	28	18	36	2	4	52	26.0
Note sent with child-	21	4	01	0	31	6	81	16	131	6.5
Over tele- phone	61	12	. 01	0	21	4	0	0	1 81	4.0
With shop- 'ping list'	21	4	01	0	21	4	1 41	8	1 81	4.0

Table 8 shows that 58 per cent of all questionnaires answered listed price as the determining factor in
judging quality. Comparing the four groups of women
studied, the College Group was the only group in which
more women judged by brand than by price, and even in this
group price came second as a basis for judging quality.
Information given on labels seems to carry little influence in the choice of quality, since only 16 per cent of
the College Group, two per cent of the Comfortable Group,
18 per cent of the Borderline Group, and eight per cent
of the Relief Group reported reading labels. In both the
Comfortable Group, (48 per cent), and the Borderline

Group, (36 per cent), grade was used as a basis for judging quality by the second largest number of women. There is an overlapping here and the numbers add up to more than 50 because some reported using several factors as guides.

Table 8.--"HOMEMAKERS' BASIS FOR JUDGING QUALITY IN CANNED FOODS"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Dasis	V au		fo	rt	m- able		li	The state of the s	1		Lief	1	Total
	No.			Tarifford Inc.				Per cent			Per cent	1	(N=200) No. Per cent
Price	25	50	1 2	6!	52	1	29	58	1	36	72	1	116,58.0
Brand	27	54	1 1	91	38	1	16!	32	1	16	32	1	78:39.0
Grade	24	48	1 2	41	48	1	18!	36	1	61	12	1	72'36.0
Label	8	16	t t	1!	2	1	91	18	1	4!	8	1	22'11.0

Buyers are guided in the selection of foods by many different factors. Seventy eight per cent of all four groups of women listed the newspaper and bills as the most influential factors in selecting foods (Table 9).

It is important to notice that while 56 per cent of the College Group considered newspapers and bills as the factor most frequently used in the selection of food, 32 per cent of the College Group stated that they were not influenced by advertising of any kind. Only six per cent of the Comfortable Group and four per cent of the Relief

Group stated that they were not influenced by advertising. Nine per cent of all groups of women buyers were influenced by magazines. The College Group led with a percentage of 16, while the Comfortable and Borderline Groups each had 10 per cent. No magazines were checked on the questionnaires of the Relief Group.

As indicated by Table 9, 56 per cent of the College Group asked advice from clerks, while 70 per cent of the Comfortable Group, 74 per cent of the Borderline Group, and 60 per cent of the Relief Group used this service as a guide for the selection of food.

Over one half of all the women, 63 per cent, reported that they did not look for the seal of the American Medical Association. Twenty six per cent of all Groups sometimes looked for the seal, but only 11 per cent stated that they did look for it.

More women in the College Group, (66 per cent), experimented with brands when selecting canned foods than did those in any other group. Both the Borderline and Relief Groups used price most frequently as a guide in selecting foods. No woman of the Relief Group used the information on labels as a guide in selecting foods.

Some women in all groups reported being influenced at times by the aesthetic appeal. In the College
Group 72 per cent and in the Comfortable Group 62 per
cent stated that they were sometimes influenced by the
aesthetic appeal. Fewer in these two groups definitely

Table 9.--"GUIDES HOMEMAKERS USE IN SELECTING FOODS"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Coll	ege	1	Co fort	m-	. !	Della 200 185 (1988)	der- ne		Rel	ief	T	otal
a	(N=	50)	1		50)	1		50)	,	(N=	50)	1 (N	=200
Guides Used	-	Dan	-,	1	Don	-1	1	Don	1		Don	1	1 Don
	No. 1	cent	; 1	No.	cen'	5 1	No.	cent	1	No.	cent	No.	cen
	1		1	1		1	. 1		1	1		1	1
ADVERTISING:	' '		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1
Newspapers '	1		1	1		1	,		1	1		1	1
and bills-		56	1	451	90	1	471	94	1	36'	72		178.
Handbills		4	1	61	12	1	10	20	1	10:	20		114.
Radio	5'	10	1	61	12	1	10	20	1	21	20	23	111.
Not influ-			1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1
enced by			1			1			1			1	1
advertis-			1	1		1			1	1		1	•
ing'	16'	32	1	31	6	1	01	0	1	21	4		10.
Magazines	81	16	1	51	10	1	51	10	1	01	0	1 18	9.0
	1		1	1		1	1		1			1	1
ADVISE OF	, 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1
OTHERS:	1		1	1		1	•		1	1		1	W. D.
Clerks'		56	1	351	70	1	371	74	1	301	60	130	65.0
Other shop-	1		1	1		1	,		1	1		1	
pers!		4	1	11'	22	1	91	18	t	16'	32		19.0
Managers	101	20	1	31	6	1	31	6	1	41	8	1 20	10.0
Asked no	1		1	1		1			1	1		1	111
advice	10'	20	1	1'	2	1	1'	2	1	01	0	1 12	6.0
	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	
SEAL OF	•		1	1	100	1	1		1	1		1	
AMERICAN '	1		1	1		1	1		t	1		1 1	
MEDICAL AS-	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1 1	
SOCIATION: '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1 1	
No	241	48	1	24 1	48	1	301	60	1	481	96	1126	
Sometimes '	181	36	1	161	32	1	16'	32	1	21	4		26.0
Yes'	81	16	1	10'	20	•	41	8	•	0 4	0	1 221	11.0
1	1		1	1		1	•		1	1		1 1	
ESTHETIC '	1		1	,		1	1		1	,		1 1	
APPEALS: '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1 1	
Sometimes '	36'		1	31'	62	1	17'		1			106	
Yes!	41	8	1	61		1	221	-	1	~~	52	1 581	
No1	10'	20	1	13'	26	1	11'	22	1	21	4	1 361	18.0
1			1	. 1		1	1	1810	1	1		1 1	
THER GUIDES'	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1 1	
Price'	301	60	1	201	40	1	291	58	1	261	52	105	52.5
Experiment '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1 1	
with brand'	331	66	1	281	56	t	221	44	Ť	201	40	103	51.5
1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1 1	

Table 9.-- "GUIDES HOMEMAKERS USE IN SELECTING FOODS" -- Continued

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Coll	.ege	1	Co fort	A SECTION LABOR.	1	Boro	der- ne	1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
Guides Used	(N=	-	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=		1	(N=		1		(000
	No.	Per	; 1	No.;	cent	1	No.	cent	; 1	No.	cent	; 1		Per cent
OTHER GUIDES			1	1		1	,		1	•		1	1	
Continued	100		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Tradenames-	81	16	1	121	24	1	201	40	1	121	24	1	521	26.0
Information	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
on labels-	41	8	1	31	6	1	41	8	1	01	0	1	11'	5.
Recommenda-	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
tion of	1		1	. 1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
grocers	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	•	01	0	1	01	0.0
	! !		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	

reported response to such an appeal. In the Borderline Group 44 per cent and in the Relief Group 52 per cent of the women were guided in their buying by the aesthetic appeal. Only 18 per cent of all groups were not affected by the aesthetic appeal.

As indicated in Table 10, 58 per cent of all the homemakers paid cash for the foods, while not quite one fourth, 25 per cent, of these families bought on monthly credit. A much smaller number, nine per cent, of all the groups studied used both cash and credit methods in paying for the food they purchased. Another nine per cent paid cash for part of the food bought and traded produce for the balance. Only one family, and that in the Borderline Group, took all food supplies in trade for produce. In the College Group only 48 per cent of all

women food buyers paid cash, and 38 per cent bought on credit. In the Comfortable Group 66 per cent paid cash when buying while only 16 per cent bought on credit; in the Borderline Group 62 per cent paid cash and 20 per cent bought on credit; and in the Relief Group 56 per cent paid cash and 24 per cent bought for credit.

Table 10.--"METHODS OF PAYING FOR FOODS BOUGHT"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Coll	ege	1	Con		1	Boro		1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
Methods of Payment	' (N=		1	(N=	The state of the s	1	(N=		1	(N=		1		200)
1 ayınons	No.	Per cent	, ,	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cen	1	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent
r	1 1		1	1		1	1	4	1	1		1	1	
Cash	241	48	*	331	66	1	31'	62	1	281	56	1	116!	58.0
Monthly credit	1 191	38	1	81	16	* *	10!	20	1 1	12!	24	1 1	49!	24.5
Cash and credit	1 61	12	1	51	10	1 1	61	12	1 1	01	0	1 1	17!	8.5
Cash and trade	1 1	2	1 1	4!	8	1 1	21	4	1 1	10!	20	1 1	17!	8.5
In trade for produce		0	1 1	01	0	1	1	2	1 1	0!	0	1 1 1	1'	.5

Of all the groups studied 59 per cent of the families called for canned foods by "large," "medium," or "small" in choosing size. It is gratifying to notice that, in both the College Group and the Comfortable Group, sizes of canned foods were designated by number more often than by the above terms (Table 11). Slightly more than

one half, 51 per cent, of all groups claimed to read the information on labels. The Borderline Group was the only group who reported calling for sizes of cans wanted by shape, and that only in eight per cent of the families. Over one half, 51 per cent, of all groups reported reading labels, only 12 per cent stated that they never read labels, and 37 per cent read labels sometimes. The Relief Group, with 16 per cent, had the lowest percentage of any group reading labels and the highest percentage, 36 per cent, of families who never read labels.

By far the largest number of families in all groups, 70 per cent, purchased two or more cans of food at a time. It is significant that 17 per cent of all families studied, buy canned foods by the case. The Relief Group had the largest number, 88 per cent, of families who bought two or more cans of food at a time, while in the College Group 28 per cent of the families bought canned foods by the case. Almost one fourth of the Comfortable Group bought canned food by the case.

Table 11.--"HOW CANNED FOODS ARE BOUGHT"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

					-	===					
Factors	Coll	ege	C	om- table		Bor	der-	Rel	ief	· TO	otal
C 2 2 2			TOP	table		/37-	ne	. /	.501		
in	(N=		-	=50)				(N=			200)
Purchasing	No.	Per	. No.	Per	·N	10.	Per	No.	Per	. No.	Per
		cent	1 -1	cent		-	cent	1 21 - 1	cent	1 = 1 = 1	cent
SIZE INFOR-											
MATION:			,		,					,	100
			,		1			, ,			
Large, me-											
small'	191	38	1 24	1 48	,	281	56	1 461	92	יקרדו	58.5
Number		56	1 26		1	191	38	1 61		FE 18	39.5
		0	1 0		1	41		1 01	1371177-767	1 4	
Shape'		6	1 0		,	01	0	1 01	0	1 3	
No response	1	0	,	,	1	1	U	1 1	U	1	1.0
LABEL INFOR-	. 1		1	1	1	t		1 1		1 1	
MATION:	1		1	1	1	1	,	1		1 1	
Read	34 1	68	1 26	52	1	341	68	1 81	16	1021	51.0
Sometimes '	1	-	1	1	1	1		1 1		1 1	02.0
read	161	32	1 20	40	1	141	28	241	48	1 741	37.0
Do not read'		0	1 4		1	21	4	181	36	2 5	12.0
po not road	1		1	1	1	. 1		1		1 1	
PURCHASE !	1 1		1	1	1	1		1 1		1 1	
METHOD:	1		t	1	1	t	The state of	1 1		1 1	
Buy 2 or	1		1	1	1	1		1 1		11	
more	261	52	1 32	64	1	371	74	441	88	1391	69.5
Buy single '	1		t	1	1	1		1 1		1 1	
cans'	81	16	1 17	34	1	13'	26	21	4	1 401	20.0
Buy a case-	, 1		1	1	1	1		1 1		1 1	
Never buy '	1		1	1	1	1		1 1		1 1	
canned	14'	28	12	24	1	41	8	41	8	1 341	17.0
•	1		1	1	1	. 1	3.1	1		1 1	ATT IN THE

Table 12 indicates the extent to which the housewife used the same grade of canned foods for all purposes. The College Group used the same grade for all purposes in 54 per cent of the families. In the Comfortable, Borderline, and Relief Groups, over one half of the families in each group reported that they did not use the same grade for all purposes. Taking all groups into

consideration, it was found that a little better than one half, 54 per cent, did not use the same grade for all purposes. In no instance did any group state that they did not know about grades.

Table 12.--"EXTENT TO WHICH HOMEMAKERS USE GRADE OF CANNED FOODS SUITED TO PURPOSE"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Grade of	Coll		1	Conforts	able		li		1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
Canned Foods			1	(N=8)	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1		200)
Used	No.	Per cent	, 1	No. 'I	er	, N	0.;		, 1	10.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent
			1	1		1	1		1	•		1	'	
USE SAME			1	. 1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
GRADE FOR	, ,		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
ALL PUR-	1 1		1	1		1	•		1	1		1	1	
POSES:	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
No	191	38	1	271	54	1	361	72	1	261	52	1	1081	54.0
Yes	271	54	1	131	26	1	91	18	1	221	44	1	71'	35.5
Sometimes	21	4	1	101	20	1	51	10	1	21	4	1	191	9.5
	1 1		1	1		1	1	No.	1	1		1	1	
DO NOT KNOW	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
ABOUT	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
GRADES	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	01	0.0
	1		*	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
BUY NO	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
CANNED '	1 1		1			•	1		1	1		1	1	
FOOD	21	4	1	01	0	•	01	0	•	01	0	1	21	1.0
1000	, ~,	-	1 3	1.1		1	1	U	1	,	U	1	~ 1	1.0

Eggs are an important item of food and the kinds bought might definitely affect the number of eggs the average family could afford to buy. Table 13 shows that 39 per cent of all families studied regularly bought graded eggs, and 32 per cent regularly bought ungraded eggs. Ten per cent of all families did not know which they bought. It was evident that the number of relief

families who reported buying ungraded eggs increased the total percentage of families purchasing ungraded eggs.

Approximately one half of all the families had no preference as to the color of shell, but 40 per cent preferred white shells, and only nine per cent brown shells. Over one half, 58 per cent of the homes, purchased eggs at the store. Less than one half, 44 per cent, bought from the producer.

Table 13.--"HOW EGGS ARE BOUGHT"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Coll	ege		Con	n- able		Bor	der-	1	Rel	ief	1	Tota	1
considered	(N=	50)			50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1 .	(N=20	0)
in selecting,	MO	Per	MO	11	er	1	Mo	Per	1	MO !	Per	1 NT	o. Pe	r
egg ,	MO. 1	cent	1 110	10	ent	; 1	1,00	cent	1	1,00	cent	1 11	''ce	nt
	.1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
GRADED:	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Yes'	291	58		71	34	1	21'	42	1	81	16		75'37	25/25/50
No	81	16		21	24	1	16'	32	1	281	56		64'32	
Sometimes'	31	6	1 1	01	20	1	41	8	1	81	16		25'12	
Do not know!	41	8	1 1	71	14	1	91	18	1	01	0		20'10	
Buy no eggs'	61	12	1 .	41	8	1	01	0	1	61	12	1	16' 8	.0
1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
COLOR:	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
No prefer- '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
ence'	201	40	1 2	31	46	1	291	58	1	301	60	11	02'51	.0
White shell'	221	44	1 2	31	46	1	191	38	1	16'	32	1	80'40	.0
Brown shell'	81	16	1 .	41	8	1	21	4	1	41	8	1	18' 9	.0
	. 1		1	1		1	1		1	- 1		1	-1	
SOURCE: '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Store only-'	141	28	1 2	61	52	1	331	66	1	301	60	11	03'51	. 5
Producer '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
only'	291	58	1 1	91	38	1	161	32	1	12'	24	1 1	76138	.0
Store and '	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
producer 1	71	14	1	51	10	1	11	2	1	81	16	1	21'10	.5
	1	11.	t	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	

It was found that 54 per cent of all the families bought their milk from the dairy (Table 14) Twenty-four per cent of all the families purchased milk at a store. The greatest number of families in the College Group and in the Comfortable Group bought their milk from the dairy.

Table 14.--"WHERE MILK IS BOUGHT"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

	Coll	ege	1	Co. fort			Bor		- 1	Rel	ief	1	Total
	(N=		1		50)							-,	(N=200)
Full-Chases	, No.,	cent	, 1	No.,	cen	t 1	No.	cei	at	No.	cen	t'	No. Per
Dairy	31!	62	1	32!	64		23 !	46	1 1	22!	44	1	108 54.0
Store	10!	20	1	11!	22	1	17!	34	1	101	20	1	48'24.0
Dairy and store	1 51	10	1 1	4!	8	1 1	61	12	1 1	16!	32	1 1	31,15.5
Neighbor	1 4!	8	1	3!	6	1	41	8	1	21	4	1	13! 6.5

According to Table 15, two thirds of all of the families studied used several kinds of milk. Canned milk was bought in 60 per cent of the homes. The figures indicate that more families in the Borderline Group, 86 per cent, purchased canned milk than did the families of other groups. Fewer families in the College Group, 42 per cent, than in any other group, bought canned milk. Buttermilk was bought by 42 per cent of all the families. Over one

half of all groups, 57 per cent, chose Grade A milk.

Only 17 per cent of all groups bought sweet ungraded

milk. There is an overlapping because many families used
several kinds of milk.

Table 15.--"KINDS OF MILK HOMEMAKERS BUY"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Milk	Col:	50)	1		able	1	11: (N=		1	Rel (N=	50)		N=	tal 200)
Purchased	No.	Per cent	, 1	10.	Per cent	1	No.,	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	, Ne	. ,	Per cent
Several			1	1		1	,		1	,		1	1	
kinds	27	54	1	27!	54	1	361	72	1	421	84	113	21	66.0
Canned	21	42	1	22!	44	1	43!	86	1	341	68	112	105	60.0
Grade A	47	84	1	34!	68	1	221	44	1	10!	20	111	131	56.5
Buttermilk	21	42	1	15!	30	1	16'	32	1	321	64	1 8	34!	42.0
Sweet un-		4 47	1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
graded	0	0	t	41	8	1	81	16	1	221	44	1 3	54!	17.0
Grade B	2	4	1	5!	10	1	6!	12	1	16!	32	1 2	163	14.5

Price and flavor are the two factors most used in judging the quality of butter (Table 16). Price was the deciding factor in 52 per cent of all families, while flavor was given preference by 51 per cent of the women. However, it is of interest to note that while 92 per cent of the Relief Group used price as a determining factor, 74 per cent of the College Group, 66 per cent of the Comfortable Group, and 54 per cent of the Borderline Group

used flavor as a basis for judging the quality of butter. Texture and odor were used by 11 per cent of all groups combined. There is an overlapping, and the totals are greater than 50, because some buyers use more than one characteristic in judging the quality of butter.

Table 16. -- "HOMEMAKERS' BASIS FOR JUDGING QUALITY OF

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Coll	ege	1	Co	m-	1	Bor	der-	. 1	Rel	ief	1	Total
0011	080	1:	fort	able	91	li	ne	1	1101		1	10001
(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=200)
No.	Per	1	No.	Per	- 1	No.	Per	1	No.	Per	N	o. Per
	COIL	1	1	COIL	1	1	Cent	1	1	COIII	1	1
13!	26	1	18!	36	1	26!	52	1	46!	92	11	.03 51.5
37!	74	1	331	66	1	27!	54	1	41	8	11	.01'50.5
51	10	1	10!	20	1	181	36	1	21	4	1	35'17.5
91	18	1	51	10	1	7!	14	1	0!	0	1	22:11.0
91	18	1	51	10	1	71	14	1	01	0	•	21'10.5
	(N= No.,	(N=50) No.'Per No.'cent 13' 26 37' 74 5' 10 9' 18	(N=50) No.'Per 13' 26' 37' 74' 5' 10' 9' 18'	(N=50) ' (N=No.' No.' No.'	(N=50) (N=50) No.'Per No.'Per 13' 26 ' 18' 36 37' 74 ' 33' 66 5' 10 ' 10' 20 9' 18 ' 5' 10	(N=50) ' (N=50) ' No. 'Per' 'No. 'Per' 13' 26 ' 18' 36 ' 37' 74 ' 33' 66 ' 5' 10 ' 10' 20 ' 9' 18 ' 5' 10 '	(N=50) ' (N=50) ' (N=50) ' (N=100) '	College 'fortable' line (N=50) ' (N=50) ' (N=50) No. 'Per' No. 'Per' No. 'Per' 13' 26 ' 18' 36 ' 26' 52 37' 74 ' 33' 66 ' 27' 54 5' 10 ' 10' 20 ' 18' 36 9' 18 ' 5' 10 ' 7' 14	(N=50) ' (N=50) ' (N=50) ' No. 'Per' No. 'Per' No. 'Per' 13' 26 ' 18' 36 ' 26' 52 ' 37' 74 ' 33' 66 ' 27' 54 ' 5' 10 ' 10' 20 ' 18' 36 ' 9' 18 ' 5' 10 ' 7' 14 '	(N=50) ' (N=	(N=50) ' (N=	(N=50) ' (N=

When it came to preference in kinds of butter purchased, 88 per cent of the College Group preferred sweet cream butter, while four per cent of the same group chose sour cream butter, and a second four per cent never asked about the kind of butter they were buying (Table 17). Another four per cent of the same group purchased country butter. Creamery sweet cream butter was also preferred by 66 per cent of the Comfortable Group and by 50 per cent of the Borderline Group. Only eight

4.5

per cent of the Relief Group stated a preference for sweet cream butter, while 48 per cent of the same group preferred sour cream butter, and a second 48 per cent never asked what kind of butter they were purchasing. The use of margarine is almost a negative factor, since 96 per cent of all families never used it.

Table 17. -- "HOMEMAKERS' PREFERENCE FOR BUTTER AND MARGARINE"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Types of	Col	lege	1		m- able	1		der-	1	Rel	ief	T	otal
Butter and	(N:	=50)	1		50)	t		50)	1	(N=	50)	' (N	=200)
Margarine	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent
PREFERENCE FOR:			1 1			* *			1			1	; ; ;
Creamery sweet			1	!		1			1	1		1	1
cream!	44	88	1	331	66	1	26	52	1	41	8	107	53.5
sour cream'	2	4	1	7!	14	1	16	32	1	24	48	49	24.5
which	2	4	1	21	4	1	41	8	1	241	48	1 32	16.0
Country	2	4	1	81	16	1	61	12	1	01	0	1 16	8.0
USE OF MAR-			1	1		1	1		•	1		1	1
No	A PROPERTY OF	100	١.	47 1	94	1	471	57/1920A	1	48!		192	
Yes'Sometimes'	0	0	1	2 1	0	1	31		1	0'	0	2 2	
	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1

^{1/} The State of Wisconsin has placed a very high sellers' permit or sales tax on all margarines, which causes margarine to sell for more than butter. Thus the price makes it prohibitive.

Table 18 shows that 71 per cent of all the families studied bought their meat at a meat market. In

the College Group, 78 per cent bought their meat at a meat market. Approximately three fourths of both the Comfortable and Borderline Groups also preferred the meat market, but 60 per cent of the Relief Group bought their meat at a combination store. Only four per cent of all families bought meat at farms. There is an overlapping because some families purchased meat at both meat market and combination store.

Table 18.--"WHERE HOMEMAKERS BUY MEAT"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

Dour cop			fort	om- table =50)	1 1:	ine	, Ke.	lief =50)	Total (N=200)
Meat Is Purchased	No.	Per	No.	Per cent	No.	Per	No.	Per cent	No. Per cent
Meat market-	391	78	38	76	37	74	28	56	142,71.0
Combination store	100000000	46	15	30	21	42	30	60	89!44.5
Farmer	21	4	21	4	1	2	2	4	7! 3.5

Table 19 shows that 56 per cent of the College Group asked for meat by steak, roast, or stew, and that 64 per cent of the same group bought specific cuts of meat, while only 20 per cent used both methods in buying meat. Only a few of the Relief Group called for meat by specific cuts. Taking all families into consideration, 50 per cent bought meats by steak or roast, 42 per cent by specific cuts, and 13 per cent used both methods.

The College Group used weight in purchasing meat more frequently than did any other group, as shown by the fact that 88 per cent of the group called for meat by weight. The Comfortable Group followed closely, with 80 per cent of the women of that group designating the amount wanted by weight. Only 50 per cent of the Relief Group bought meat by weight, while 28 per cent of this same group designated the amount of meat to be purchased by calling for "cents' worth." It was found that 70 per cent of all families bought meat by weight. Very nearly the same percentage, 42 per cent, of the families in each group reported that they never asked for bones, trimmings, or fat when purchasing meat. Approximately the same percentage, 40 per cent, stated that they sometimes asked for the trimmings, bones, and fats. A smaller number of all groups, 16 per cent, stated that they always asked for the trimmings, bones, and fat.

Buying meat for more than one meal at a time is the common practice of 60 per cent of all groups studied. The greater number of women in the Comfortable Group, 72 per cent, bought meat for more than one meal at a time; this was done by 46 per cent of the Borderline Group. Forty-one per cent of all groups bought meat for one meal at a time. Only seven per cent of all women used both methods of buying meat.

Not less than 68 per cent in any group asked the price per pound when buying meat. This gave a total of 77 per cent of all groups asking the price per pound when buying meat. Not over 18 per cent of any group bought meat without asking the price.

About three fourths of the women of the Comfortable Group watched the scales when buying meat, while
only 54 per cent of the College Group watched the scales.
In all groups it was found that 65 per cent watched the
scales when buying meat. Only 17 per cent never watched
the scales and 17 per cent watched the scales sometimes.

Table 19. -- "HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY MEAT"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

! !Methods Used	Coll	ege	! Co	om-	1	Bor	der- ne	. 1	Rel	ief	T	otal
in '	(N=	50)	' (N:	=50)	1	(N=	50	1	(N=	50)	1 (N:	=200)
Buying Meat	No.	Per cent	No.	Per	t 1	No.	Per cent	N	0.	Per cent	No.	Per cent
ASK FOR:			,		1			1	1		1	1
Steak, roast'			1			1		1	1		1	1
stew	181	36	1 14	28	,	191	38	1	201	40	1 77	35.5
Specific '	10	00	1 14	20		10	00	1	201	40	, , ,	1
cuts	221	44	1 16	32	1	131	26	1	41	8	1 55	27.5
Use both	22	11	1	02		10,	20	1	1	0	1	1
methods	101	20	1 20	40	1	181	36	1	261	52	1 74	137.0
me onogs	10	20	1	1		10	00	1	20,	02	, '-	1
BUY BY:	1		1		,	1		1	1		1	1
Weight	441	88	1 29	58	1	21'	42	1	221	44	1116	58.0
"Cents'	1	00	1		1	21	TA		1	7.1	1	, 00.0
worth	31	6	1 0	0		31	6	•	81	16	1 14	7.0
Both meth-	1	0	,		1	,	0	1	1		,	, ,,,,
ods	31	6	1 21	42	1	261	52	1	201	40	1 70	35.0
005	,	0	1	122	1	20,	02	1	20,	10	, '	,
ASK FOR	1		1		1	1		1	1		1	1
BONES, TRIM-	1		1		1	1		1	1		1	,
MINGS, FAT:			1		1	1		1	1		1	
No		36	1 22	44	1	221	44		261	52	1 88	44.0
Sometimes	The second secon	56	1 18		1	16'	32		18'	36		40.0
Yes		8	1 10		1	121	24	1	61	12		16.0
102	- 1	0	1	20	1	12	LI	1	1	12	1	1
BUY FOR:	1		1		1	1		1	1		1	
More than '	1		1	100	1			1	1		1	1
one meal!	301	60	1 30	60	1	261	52	1	261	52	1112	56.0
One meal	0.000	34	1 13		1	221	44		201	40		36.0
Use both	1	0.1	1	. ~~		1		1	7,		1 ~	,
methods	31	6	1 7	14	1	21	4	1	41	8	1 16	8.0
110011000	1		1		1	- 1		1	1		1	,
ASK PRICE '	1		1	20	1			1			1	•
PER POUND:	,		•		1	1		1	1		1	1
Yes		72	1 42	84	Ť	341	68	1	421	84	1154	177.0
No			1 2		1	91	18		61			13.0
Sometimes		10	1 6		1	71	14	1	21	4		10.0
	1		1	1	1	1		1	1		1	,
WATCH SCALES'	1		1		1	1		1	1		1	1
Yes		54	1 37	74	1	331	66	1	321	64	1129	64.5
No		32			1	71	14		10'			19.0
Sometimes		35,53	1 8		1	101		1	81			16.5
Domo o Amo B	1			1	1	1	~~		,			1

Beef and pork seem to be the choice of approximately 50 per cent of all groups studied (Table 20). A greater number of families in the College Group, 42 per cent, than in any other group, preferred beef. Seventy-two per cent of the families in Relief Group preferred pork. Eighteen per cent of all groups had no preference, while many indicated more than one choice. Both chicken and veal totaled less than 10 per cent for all the groups, and only three per cent of all the groups preferred lamb.

Table 20.--"HOMEMAKERS! PREFERENCE FOR MEATS"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Coll	ege		Co fort	able	1		der- ne	1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
Kind of Meat'	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1		200)
	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cent	, N	10.	Per cent
	1		9	1		1	1		1	1	110	1	1	
No one pref-'	1		1	. 1		1	1		1	. 1		1	1	
erence	231	46	1	221	44	1	191	38	1	201	40	1	841	42.0
Beef	21!	42	1	17!	34	1	11!	22	1	10!	20	1	59!	29.5
Pork'	61	12	1	10!	20	1	10!	20	1	18!	36	1	441	22.0
Chicken!	01	0	1	01	0	1	51	10	1	21	4	1	71	3.5
Veal!	01	0	1	01	0	1	4!	8	1	01	0	1	4!	2.0
Lamb	01	0	1	1'	2	1	1	2	1	01	0	1	21	1.0

According to Table 21, 73 per cent of all the homemakers selected the fresh fruits and vegetables they purchased personally. In each group a larger per cent

was found to select fresh fruits and vegetables personally than the per cent of those who reported buying personally only sometimes. In fact, only about two per cent of all groups never bought fresh fruits and vegetables personally. Almost one half of all the women, 49 per cent, reported using a variety of qualities when judging the quality of fresh fruits and vegetables. Considering the qualities of fresh fruits and vegetables separately, it was found that 39 per cent of all the women studied used freshness as a basis for determining the quality, while 16 per cent used size, and only eight per cent judged the product by handling.

Thirty-nine per cent of all the groups never buy bruised fruit, while 36 per cent sometimes purchased bruised fruit. Only 25 per cent of all families reported definitely buying bruised fruit.

Over one half of all families, 55 per cent, bought foods at specially advertised prices, while 18 per cent of all groups stated that they were never influenced by sales. It is important to notice that the largest per cent of buyers in each group bought because of specially advertised prices.

Less than one half of all groups, 43 per cent, bought the fresh fruits and vegetables for the family twice a week. For 30 per cent of all families, daily buying of fresh fruits and vegetables had become a habit.

There was an overlapping because many buyers use a variety of qualities in buying fresh fruits and vegetables.

Table 21.--"HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Ways in which	Coll	ege	fort	m- able	Bor	der- ne	Rel	ief	Total
Fruits and	(N=	50)	1 (N	=50)	(N=	50)	1 (N=	50)	1 (N=200
Vegetables	NO 1	Per	I NO I	Per	NO !	Per	I NO I	Per	'No 'Per
Are Bought	140.	cent	1 140.1	cent	140.	cent	No.	cent	No cen
anthon by									
SELECT PER- SONALLY:			, ,				1 1		1 1
Yes	321	64	1 381	76	411	82	1 301	60	1141170.
Sometimes '	161	32	1 91	18	91	18	1 201	40	1 54127.
No	21	4	1 31	6	0	0	1 01	0	! 5! 2.
JUDGE QUAL-			, ,	1.1			1 1		
ITY BY:	1		1 1		1 1		1 1		1 1
Freshness	431	86	1 431	86	391	78	1 341	68	1159179.
Variety of '			1 1		' '		1 1		'
qualities-		56	1 191	38	341	68	1 161	32	97148.
Handling		14	1 101	20	181	36	1 221	44	1 57128.
No blemish-	1		1 1		1		1 1		1 1
es1	16'	32	1 101	20 1	201	40	1 101	20	1 56'28.
Size		26	1 71	14	71	14	1 41	8	' 31'15.
Odor'	21	4	1 31	6	12'	24	1 21	4	1 191 9.
	1		1 1		1		1 1		1
BUY BRUISED '			1 1	11	1		1 1		
FOR IMME-			1 1		•		1 1		
DIATE USE-	1	AL.	1 1				1 1		
No	28'	56	181	36	21'	42	1 121	24	1 79139.
Sometimes	12'	24	1 201	40	21'	42	1 201	40	1 76136.
Yes	10'	20	1 121	24	81	16	1 181	36	1 48124.
BUY AT SPE- '	1		1 1		1		1 . 1		1 1
CIAL AD- '	1		1 1		1		1 1		1 1
VERTISED '	1		1 1		1		1 1		1 1
PRICES:	1		1 1		1.1		1 1		1 1
Sometimes '	221	44	1 271	54 1	331	66	1 261	52	1108154.
Yes	121	24	1 71	14	12'	24	1 221	44	1 53'26.
No	16!	32	1 16!	32	5 1	10	! 2!	4	1 39119.
I VOKSTINGGE									
FREQUENCY OF BUYING:	,		1 1		,		1 1		1 1
Semi-weekly'	241	48	1 251	50 1	151	30	1 201	40	1 84142.

Table 21.--"HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES" -- Continued

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Ways in which	Col	Lege	1	Cort	m- able		Boro		1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
Fruits and	(N:	=50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	200)
Vegetables	Ma	Per	1	NTO 1	Per	1	NTO I	Per	1	NTO !	Per	1	NTO I	Per
Are Bought	NO.	cent	51	MO-1	cent	; 1	No.	cent	; 1	1,00	cent	; 1 -	No.	cent
			1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
FREQUENCY OF	1 1		1			1	1		1	1		•	1	
BUYING:	1 1	100	*	1		1	t		1	1		1	1	
Daily	16	32	1	131	26	1	21'	42	1	101	20	1	601	30.0
Weekly	10	20	1	91	18	1	141	28	1	201	40	1	531	26.5
Do not buy-	01	0	1	31	6	1	01	0	1	01	0	1	31	1.5
	1 1		1	. 1		1	1		1	1		1	1	

Of all the dried fruits found in the markets, prunes were used the most by the homemakers in this sample (Table 22). In the College Group 90 per cent of the homemakers bought prunes, while only 60 per cent of the Relief Group bought prunes. Apricots came second, being purchased by 50 per cent of all groups. Only 21 per cent of all groups bought dried peaches, and only a very few bought dried pears or figs. Nine per cent of all groups did not buy prunes.

Thirty-three per cent of all the women studied preferred the medium sized prune. Eighteen per cent of all groups preferred the large size, and only four per cent bought the small size.

Over one half, 56 per cent, of all groups bought dried fruit in packages. The Comfortable Group was the only group in which more women purchased dried fruit in

bulk than in packages.

Table 22.--"DRIED FRUITS HOMEMAKERS BUY AND HOW THEY BUY

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Vinda	Col	lege	' Co	m-	*	Bor	der-	Re	lief	To	tal
Kinds	/27=	501	LOPT	able	1					. /	.0001
and Ways				001		(N=			=50)		200)
of Buying 'Dried Fruits'	No.	Per	No.	Per	.1	Vo	Per	.No.	Per	. No.	Per
Dried Fruits'		cent		cent			cent		cent		cent
DO THE DOWN TO !					:						
DRIED FRUIT 'BOUGHT:	,		, ,		1	,			,	1 1	
	451	90	331	66	,	311	62	1 30	1 60	11391	60 5
Prunes			1 12	24	,	201		1 10			49.5
Apricots!	17!	34									
Peaches!	5'	10	! 11!	22		15'	30	10		1 11	20.5
Figs'	01	0	4!	8		51	10	! 2			100
Pears!	0!	0	2 !	4		2!	4	! 2	1 4	1 6	3.0
			3116								
PREFERENCE !									TO MAKE		
AS TO		11.15									
SIZE OF	1				1						
PRUNES: '					1	1					
No pref- '	,	_	' '		1	1					
erence	71	14	181		1	291	58	' 20			37.0
Medium'	271	52	י 11י	26	1	15'	30	1 12			32.5
Large'	101	20	1 14'	28	1	41	8	1 8		36	18.0
Buy no '	1		1 1		1	1			1		
prunes	21	4	1 71	14	1	01	0	, 8	-	1 17	
Small'	41	8	1 01	0	1	21	4	1 2	1 4	1 81	4.0
			1 1		1	1		1	1	1	
METHOD OF '	1		1 1		1	1		1	1	1	
BUYING '	1		1 1		1	1		1	1	1 1	
DRIED '			1 1		1	1		1	1	1 1	
FRUIT: '	1		1 1		1	1		1	1	1 1	
Package	351	70	1 201	40	1	311	62	1 26	1 52	11121	56.0
Bulk'		26	1 271		1	191	38	1 20	1 40	1 79	39.5
No response		4	1 31	100000	1	01	0	1 4		1 9	4.5
1	1		1 1		1	1		1	1	1 1	

Less than one half of all families, 47 per cent, bought their bakery products at a store (Table 23). Forty per cent of all groups bought from the bakery.

More women from both the Comfortable and Borderline

Groups bought bakery products at the bakery than at the store. The delivery wagon was patronized by 26 per cent of all families. It was found that 12 per cent of the Comfortable Group and four per cent of the Relief Group bought no bakery products. There was an overlapping because some housewives buy from more than one place.

Table 23.--"WHERE BAKERY PRODUCTS ARE BOUGHT"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

Place of Purchase		50)	for (N	om- table =50) 'Per 'cent	(N=	der- ine 50) Per cent	(N=	50) Per cent	Total	00) er
Store	23	46	17	34	19	38	34	68	93!40	3.5
Bakery	16	32	23	56	24	48	16	32	7913	9.5
Delivery wagon	9	18	1 10	20	21	42	12	24	1 52120	6.0
Buy none	0	0	1 6	1 12	! 0!	0	21	4	1 81	4.0
No response-	2	4	1 0	1 0	1 01	0	1 01	0	1 21 3	1.0

Purchasing of day-old bread is done by only
12 per cent of all families, while 42 per cent of all
groups reported that they asked for it sometimes (Table
24). Approximately the same (or 41 per cent) of all
groups, never buy day-old bread. About 60 per cent of
the Relief Group asked for day-old bread sometimes, but
only 20 per cent of the College Group ever asked for it.

In the Comfortable Group 42 per cent of the women asked for it sometimes, and in the Borderling Group 44 per cent asked for it.

Table 24. -- "EXTENT TO WHICH DAY OLD BREAD IS ASKED FOR"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

EXCOURT TO .	Coll	ege	1	Cofort	m- able		Bor	der- ne	1	Rel	ief	1	Tota	1
which Day Old'	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=20	00
Bread Is '			1	. 1	Per	1	1	Per	1			1		
Bought '	No.	cent	1	NO.	cent	; 1	No.	cent	. 1	No.	cent	. 1	No. Pe	nt
	1		1	1		1	7		1	1	0011	1	1	
Sometimes '	1		1	1		1			1	1		1	1	
ask for	101	20	1	211	42	1	221	44	1	301	60	1	83 141	. 5
1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Never ask	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
for'	331	66	1	201	40	1	191	38	1	101	20	1	82141	-0
1	1	00	1	1	10	1	1	00	1	1	20	1	1	
Ask for day '	1		1	1		•	1		•	1		1	1	
old bread'	41	8	1	31	6	1	91	18	•	81	16	1	24'12	0
ora proad	1	0	1	1		1	1	10	1	1	10	1	1	
Buy no bread!	01	0	1	61	12	1	01	0	1	21	4	1	81 4	.0
Duy no breau	1	0	1	1	12	1	1	0		~ 1	-	1	1	
No response-1	31	6	1	01	0		01	0	1	01	0	1	31 1	. 5
No response-	1	0	t	1	O	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	

There is not a great deal of difference shown in Table 25 as to the preference for cookies and crackers in package or bulk. A little better than one half, 57 per cent, of all families bought crackers and cookies in packages, while a little less than one half, 48 per cent, bought crackers and cookies in bulk. Crackers and cookies were purchased in cellophane bags by only 15 per cent of all groups. Considering the groups separately, it was found that the College, Comfortable, and Borderline Groups all bought crackers and cookies more frequently in

packages, but purchases of the Relief Group showed that they bought them more often in bulk than in package.

Table 25.--"HOW CRACKERS AND COOKIES ARE BOUGHT"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

TII MITTOIL	Coll		1	fort			li		1	Rel		1	Total
Crackers and Cookies are Bought			, 1	(N=		t'		Per cent	- 1	(N=		1	No. 'Per
	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	10.1
Packages	291	58	1	31!	62	1	31!	62	1	221	44	1	113'56.5
Bulk!	15!	30	1	20!	40	1	27!	54	1	341	68	1	96'48.0
All three!	61	12	1	81	16	1	13!	26	1	14!	28	1	41'20.5
Cellophane '	1		•	1		1	1		1	1		1	1
bags!	91	18	1	8!	16	1	61	12	1	6!	12	1	29'14.5
Buy none	01	0	1	41	8	1	0 !	0	1	21	4	1	6' 3.0

Table 26 shows the quantity of flour homemakers bought at a time. Over one half, 54 per cent, of all families purchased 48 pounds of flour at a time. Slightly less than one fourth, 24 per cent, of the families bought 24 pounds of flour at a time. A very small percentage of all families purchased less than 24 pounds of flour at a time. It is important that only two per cent of all groups purchased 100 pounds of flour at a time. Four per cent of the Borderline Group and four per cent also of the Relief Group purchased 100 pounds of flour at a time.

Table 26.--"QUANTITY OF FLOUR HOMEMAKERS BUY"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

		Coll (N=	50)	1	fort (N=	able	1	(N=	ne 50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	200)
• • • •		1 1		!	,		!	1		1			1		
100	pounds	0	0	1	01	0	1	21	4	1	21	4	1	41	2.0
48	pounds	151	30	1	27!	54	1	301	60	1	361	72	1	108	54.0
24	pounds	18	36	1	15!	30	1	13!	26	1	21	4	1	48!	24.0
12	pounds	81	16	1	21	4	1	1'	2	1	21	4	1	13!	6.5
6	pounds	61	12	1	51	10	1	31	6	1	01	0	1	14!	7.5
3	pounds	3!	6	1	1!	2	1	1'	2	1	6!	12	1	11;	5.5

The largest percentage of women in each group purchased all-purpose flour, a total of 64 per cent for all groups (Table 27). Taking into consideration that all-purpose and white flour are the same kind of flour, it would mean that practically three fourths of all groups bought such flour. Slightly more than one fourth, 28 per cent, of all groups bought pastry flour. The College Group had the largest per cent of users of pastry flour, (50 per cent). Slightly less than one fourth of all women bought graham or whole wheat flours.

Table 27.--"KINDS OF FLOUR HOMEMAKERS BUY"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

	Coll	ege	1	Cofort	m- able	1	Bor	der-	.1	Rel	ief	1	To	tal
	_(N=		1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)			50)	1		200)
Flour	No.	Per cent	; 1	No.	Per		No.	Per cent	; t	No.,	Per	; 1	No.	Per cent
	•		1	,	20	!	1		1	- 1		1	1	
All-purpose-	401	80	1	351	70	*	27!	54		261	52	1	128	04.(
White	16!	32	*	10!	20	1	17!	34	1	24!	48	1	671	33.5
Pastry	251	50	1	11;	22	1	10!	20	1	10	20	1	561	28.0
Graham or whole wheat	14!	28	1	19!	38	1	91	18	1	61	12	1	481	24.0
	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Bread	21	4	1	51	10	1	41	8	1	21	4	1	13'	6.5
Ready pre-	1		1	*		1	1		1	1		1	1	
pared:	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Yes	201	40 60	1	291	58 42	1	41'	82	1	261	52 48	1	116'	
•			•	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	

More families seemed to buy coffee in can or package than in bulk (Table 28), and more families bought coffee in cans than in packages. In the Relief Group, 60 per cent of the families bought coffee in packages, while only 16 per cent of the families bought coffee in cans. Only 13 per cent of all groups bought ground bulk coffee. Slightly more than one half of all families studied, 51 per cent, purchased coffee in one pound quantity. Over one fourth, 30 per cent, bought three pounds at a time. Only in the Borderline Group did more families buy three pounds at a time than one pound at a time.

Three per cent of all families bought no coffee.

Table 28.--"HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY COFFEE"

[Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

FOLIII	Coll		i if	ort	m- able	1	11	der ·	1		ief	1	Total
and Quantity	(N=	50)	'_		50)	1		50)	_'	(N=	50)	١.	(N=200)
of Coffee	No.	Per	, N	0.,	Per cent	,]	No.,	Per cent	, 1	No.	Per cent	1	No. 'Per cent
FORM:			1	1		1	1		1	1		1	
Can	401	80	1 5	251	50	1	181	36	1	81	16	•	91'45.5
Package	81	16	1	141	28	1	151	30	1	301	60	1	67133.5
Whole bean	1 1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	
"ground	, ,		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1
now"	0 1	0	1	61	12	1	10'	20	1	81	16	1	24'12.0
Bulk, ground	81	16	1	71	14	1	51	10	1	61	12	1	26'13.0
			1						1				
QUANTITY													
PURCHASED			,										
AT A TIME:		46	, ,	301	60	,	171	34	,	321	64		102'51.0
3 pounds		12		151	30	1	221	44	,	16'	32	1	59'29.5
2 pounds			, .	41	8	•	91	18	*	01	0	1	28114.0
1/2 pound		14	1	0 1	o	1	01	0	1	61	12	1	13' 6.5
None	01	0	1	41	8	•	01	0	1	21	4	1	6' 3.0
5 pounds		270	1	0 1	0	1	21	4	1	0 1	0	1	2' 1.0
	1 1		1	1		t	1		1	1		1	

Table 29 clearly shows that at least 45 per cent of all Groups did not know whether they bought true extracts or artificial flavoring. The College Group had the largest per cent of women, 66 per cent, who bought true extracts. In all other groups the largest per cent of their group stated that they did not know which kind of extract they bought. Price was the guide most frequently used in choosing extracts by both the Borderline and Relief Groups. Content was the guide used by the

greatest number of the College Group, while the Comfortable Group were guided mostly by the amount of extract used.

Table 29.--"HOW HOMEMAKERS BUY EXTRACTS"

Based upon questionnaire responses of 200 women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Coll	eg e	1	Cort		91	Bor	der. ne	- 1	Rel	ief	1	Tot	al
1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=	50)	1	(N=2	(00
•	No.	Per cent	1	No.	Per cen	51	No.	Per cen	1	No.	Per cent	t *	No. 'P	er ent
	•		1	1		1	1		1	1		*	1	
KIND BOUGHT:	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Do not know!	61	12	1	261	52	1	231	46	1	341	68	1	8914	4.5
True extract	331	66	1	151	30	1	91	18	1	61	12	1	6313	1.5
Artificial '	12'	24	1	91	18	1	181	36	t	81	16	•	4712	3.5
1	1		1	1			•		1	1		1	1	
GUIDE IN '	1		1			*	1		1	, 1		1	1	
CHOOSING:	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1	
Price	91	18	1	21'	42	1	271	54	1	38'	76	1	95' 4'	7.5
Amount used!	21'	42	1	241	48	*	22'	44	1	6'	12	1	73' 3	6.5
Contents	231	46	1	121	24	1	14'	28	1	41	8	1	53' 2	6.5
Size and '	1		*	1		1	1		1	1		1	'	
shape'	0 !	0	7	1'	2	1	2'	4	1	2'	4	*	5'	2.5

Food buying methods as determined from observation in two types of grocery stores

After personally observing the buying methods used by 50 food buyers in two types of stores, it was evident that women did the food buying for most of the homes. Table 30 shows that 82 per cent of the shoppers in the two types of stores, in which observations were recorded, were women; 16 per cent were men; and only two per cent were children.

Table 30. -- "EXTENT TO WHICH MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN BUY FOODS IN STORES"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Type of Shopper	Type of	Total (N=50)			
Type of Bhopper	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain ' (N=25)'	No.	Per	
Female	20	21	41	82.0	
Male	5	1 3 !	8 !	16.0	
Child	0	1 1	1	2.0	

About three fourths of the 50 shoppers observed, 76 per cent, shopped without a list (Table 31).

Practically the same percentage shopped without a list in both "credit" and "Cash and Carry" stores. Better than one half of the customers observed, 72 per cent, made decisions quickly. In the "Credit" store, 19 out of 25 made decisions quickly, while in the "Cash and Carry" store 12 out of 25 made their decisions quickly. Over one half of the customers in both types of stores, or 62 per cent, did not seem to read labels when purchasing any canned or packaged food. In both types of stores it was observed that 63 per cent of the customers asked about price if the article was not marked.

Only a very few customers purchasing food designated the amount desired by "cents' worth." Weight, measure, or unit were the descriptions given by 96 per

cent of the buyers. The customers did not all buy food which required weighing, but of those who purchased food requiring the use of a scale, approximately half watched the scales.

Approximately three fourths, 78 per cent, of the customers asked advice from clerks or managers in both types of store. Six per cent asked advice from others shopping, while 16 per cent asked for no advice.

Table 31. -- "HOW BUYING WAS DONE"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Factors	Type of S		Total (N=50)			
Influencing Buyers	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	' Per ' cent		
LIST:		;		•		
Yes	18 7	20	38 12	' 76.0 ! 24.0		
DECISION MADE:		,		•		
Quickly'Slowly'	19	12 13 1	31 19	' 62.0 ' 38.0		
READ LABELS:	70		77			
No'Yes'	10	21 1	31	' 62.0 ' 14.0		
Not evident	11	3 1	12	24.0		
ASKED PRICES: IF NOT MARKED:				!		
No'Yes'	4	5 20 1	9 34	18.0		
Not evident	7	0	7	1 14.0		
ASKED MEASURE BY: 'Weight, measure, or '		;		!		
unit'	20	15 '	35	1 70.0		
Cents' worth'	1	2 !	3	6.0		
Both methods'	4	8 1	12	24.0		
WATCHES SCALES:	_	! !	10	. 00 0		
Yes	7	6 1	13 12	26.0		
No article weighed!	12	13	25	50.0		
ASKED ADVICE OF:				1		
Clerks or manager!	23	16 !	39	78.0		
No one'Other shoppers'	2	6 1	8	16.0		
orner anobber s		, ,	J	1		

According to observations recorded in Table 32, 17 of the 23 customers in the "Cash and Carry" store who bought canned foods helped themselves to the size they wanted. The remaining six asked for cans by number.

In the "Credit" stores customers could not help themselves. Of the 15 customers who purchased canned foods, three called for size by number, while eight designated the size desired by large, medium, or small, and four merely asked for a "can of - -." Nine of the 15 customers bought a single can and six bought two or more cans.

Table 32.--"HOW CANNED FOODS WERE BOUGHT"

[Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

Factors Considered in Purchasing	Type of Store				Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	1	Chain (N=25)		No.	1	Per cent
		1		•		1	14-6-16
SIZE INFORMATION:		1		1		1	
Taking size wanted '	0	1	17	1	17		34.0
Number	3	1	6	1	9	1	18.0
Large, medium, small-'	8	1	0	•	8		16.0
No purchase	14	1	2	1	16	1	32.0
•		1		1		1	
QUANTITY:						1	
A single can	9		18		27	1	54.0
Two or more	6	1	5	1	11	1	22.0
No purchase	10	9	2	*	12	1	24.0
10 200 000000				1			

According to Table 33, 11 of the 14 customers who bought milk in the "Home Owned" store, bought fresh milk, while three called for canned milk.

In the "Cash and Carry" store only seven of the 25 buyers observed purchased milk, and of the seven, three bought fresh milk and four canned.

Table 33. -- "EXTENT TO WHICH MILK WAS BOUGHT AND THE KINDS BOUGHT"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Kind of Milk	Type of	Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	' Per ' cent
Fresh	11	3 1	14	28.0
Canned	3	4 1	7	1 14.0
No purchase	11	18 !	29	58.0

Approximately three fourths of the 25 buyers observed in the "Home Owned" store bought some kind of bakery product (Table 34). Thirteen bought bread, three crackers, and two rolls or biscuits. In the "Cash and Carry" store, 16 of the 25 buyers observed bought some bakery product. Of these 16, seven bought bread, six bought pies, two bought cakes, and one bought rolls or biscuits. No second day bread was purchased.

Table 34.-- BAKERY PRODUCTS BOUGHT IN GROCERY STORES"

[Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin]

Bakery Products	Type of Store				Total (N=50)		
Bakery Froducts	Home Owned (N=25)	1	Chain (N=25)	1	No.	,	Per cent
Bread	13	1	7		20	1	40
Pie!	0	1	6	*	6	•	12
Cake!	3	1	2	1	5	1	10
Rolls and biscuits!	2	1	1.	1	3	1	6
Day old bread	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
No purchase'	7	1	9	1	16	1	32

The amount of flour bought in both types of stores was very small (Table 35). Of 25 customers observed in the "Home Owned" store only one bought a 24 pound bag of all-purpose flour, calling for it by brand. In the "Cash and Carry" store, of 25 customers observed, only two bought flour. Both buyers called for a certain brand of all-purpose flour in three pound bags.

Table 35.--"HOW FLOUR WAS BOUGHT"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	. Type of	. Type of Store		
	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	' Per
QUANTITY: 3 pounds 24 pounds No purchase	0 1 24	2 0 23	2 1 47	4.0 2.0 94.0
KIND: All-purpose	: 1	2 ;	3	6.0
BRAND:	1	2 ;	3	6.0

The writer personally observed 25 buyers in two types of food stores who were selecting fresh fruits and vegetables (Table 36). In the "Home-Owned" store, 18 handled the fruit or vegetables they were selecting, and only seven did not handle the article. In the "Chain" store, 21 of the buyers handled the fresh foods they were buying and only four did not handle any fruit and vegetables.

Table 36.--"HOW FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES WERE SELECTED"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Type of	Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	Per cent
Handled fruits and vegetables	18	21	39	78.0
Did not handle	7	4 !	11	22.0

Table 37 shows that only two customers out of the 25 buyers observed in a "Home Owned" store bought coffee. One bought a one pound package of coffee and the other bought a three pound package of coffee. Eight of the 25 buyers observed in the "Chain" store bought coffee. Three bought packaged coffee, three purchased can coffee, and two asked for whole bean "ground now." Of the eight who bought coffee, seven bought one pound each, while only one asked for three pounds. Ten per cent of all buyers observed purchased package coffee, while six per cent bought coffee in cans. Sixteen per cent of all those who purchased coffee asked for one can.

Table 37 .-- "HOW COFFEE WAS BOUGHT"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

	Type of Store			Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	,	No.	1	Per cent
CONTAINER:			1			
Package	2	3	1	5	1	10.0
Can	0	1 3		5	1	6.0
Whole bean "ground 'now"	0	1 2	1	2	1	4.0
No purchase!	23	1 17	*	40	1	80.0
QUANTITY:		1	1		1	
1 pound	1	1 7		8	1	16.0
3 pounds	1	' 1	*	2	1	4.0
No purchase'	23	1 17	1	40	1	80.0

According to Table 38, "Creamery Butter" was the only description given when asking for butter in both types of stores.

Table 38.--"EXTENT TO WHICH BUTTER AND MARGARINE WERE BOUGHT

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Butter and Margarine	Type of	Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	' Per ' cent
Creamery butter	11	5	16	32.0
No purchase	14	20	34	68.0
Margarine'	0	. 0	0	. 0.0

There seemed to be a comparatively small difference in the size of the orders in the two types of
food stores (Table 39). In the "Home Owned" store, 17
out of 25 orders amounted to less than one dollar. In
the "Chain" store, 16 of the 25 orders given averaged
less than one dollar each. The method of paying is not
comparable in the two stores, since the "Chain" store
does not give credit. It is significant to note that of
the 25 buyers observed in the "Credit" store, 14 asked
for credit.

Table 39.--"SIZE OF ORDERS AND METHOD OF PAYING"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers in two types of stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Orders and Payment !	Type of	Total (N=50)			
02 401 5 4314 7 4314 6315	Home Owned (N=25)	Chai		No.	Per
SIZE OF ORDER:		1 -	,		
Smallless than \$1'	17	1 16	. 1	33	166.0
Large\$1 and over!	8	1 9	1	17	134.0
		1	1		1
METHOD OF PAYING:		1	1		1
Cash	11	1 25	1	36	172.0
Credit!	14	1 0	1	14	128.0
Trade	0	1 0	1	0	1 0.0
		9	1		1

Since the "Chain" stores do not give credit or deliver, only the services asked of clerks can be compared with those of the "Home Owned" store (Table 40).

Nineteen of the 25 buyers observed in the "Home Owned" store asked for service of the clerks, while only 12 of

the 25 observed in the "Chain" store asked for the same type of service. Fourteen buyers in the "Home Owned" store asked for credit, but only one asked to have goods delivered.

Table 40.-- "SERVICES ASKED FOR IN GROCERY STORES"

[Based upon observation of 50 buyers]

Service	Type of	Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	Per cent
Clerks to serve them!	19	12	31	62.0
Credit	14	0	14	28.0
Delivery	1	0	1	2.0

Many kinds of questions were asked of the food clerk daily (Table 41). Of the many questions asked in a "Home Owned" store by the 25 customers observed, 15 pertained to price, five to quality, four to variety, and one each to foods available and to the size of a container. In the "Chain" store 11 of the questions of the 25 buyers observed pertained to price, four to quality, and two to available foods. Comparing the questions asked in both types of stores, most questions pertained to price. Fewer questions were asked in the "Chain" store.

Table 41. -- "NATURE OF QUESTIONS ASKED BY CUSTOMERS IN GROCERY STORES"

Based upon observation of 50 buyers7

Questions	Type of	Total (N=50)			
Questions ;	Home Owned (N=25)	Chain (N=25)	No.	Per	
Price	15	11	26	52.0	
Quality'	5	4	9 1	18.0	
Variety'	4	. 0 :	4 !	8.0	
Foods available!	1	2 !	3 !	6.0	
Size of container -!	1	. 0 :	1 ;	2.0	

According to Table 42, more complaints were made in the "Chain" than in the "Home Owned" store. Most complaints were regarding the price of foods. Eighty two per cent of the buyers made no complaints.

Table 42. -- "NATURE OF COMPLAINTS MADE BY CUSTOMERS IN GROCERY STORES"

Based upon observations of 50 buyers in two types of food stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Complaints	Type of Store			Total (N=50)		
	Home Owned (N=25)	1	Chain (N=25)	1	No.	Per cent
ERTAINING TO:		1		1		
Price	2	-	6	1	8	16.0
Quality	0		1	•	1	1 2.0
No complaints	23	1	18	14	11	1 82.0
		1				1

Food buying methods used by women who shop over the telephone

Seven of the 50 telephone orders were for \$0.50 or less; 20 orders ranged from \$0.50 to \$1.00; and 23 of the orders were over one dollar (Table 43). In other words, 46 per cent of all telephone orders had a value of one dollar or more, 40 per cent ranged from fifty cents to one dollar, while only 14 per cent were for less than fifty cents' worth.

The largest number of orders consisted of from three to six articles. Twenty eight per cent of the 50 telephone orders consisted of six articles; 22 per cent of four articles; 16 per cent of five articles; and 14 per cent of three articles. No order over the telephone was for less than two articles.

Table 43.--"SIZE OF TELEPHONE ORDERS"

Based upon 50 telephone orders in a "Home Owned"

store in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin7

oden of malankana Oudana 1	Number of Orders	Per cent
Size of Telephone Orders	(N=50)	(N=50)
\$0.50 or less	7.	14.0
\$0.50 to \$1.00	20	40.0
Over \$1.00	23	46.0
NUMBER OF ARTICLES IN ORDER:		
1	0	0.0
2	2 7	4.0
3		14.0
4	11	22.0
5	8	16.0
6	14	28.0
7	3	6.0
8	3	6.0
9	1	2.0
11	1	2.0

Quantity was most frequently designated by giving the number of units wanted (Table 44). Of a total of 225 articles, 202 were ordered by number of units wanted, 50 articles by weight or measure, and two by "Cents' Worth." Six designated quantity by calling for a bottle or a can or a package of the food desired.

Table 44. -- "METHODS OF DESIGNATING QUANTITY OF FOOD DE-SIRED IN TELEPHONE ORDERS

Based upon the telephone orders of 50 buyers in a "Home Owned" store in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Methods of Designating Quantity	Number of Articles Represented
Number of units!	202
Weight or measure	50
A bottle, can or package	6
Cents' worth	2

According to Table 45, in 50 telephone orders, 49 questions were asked concerning the price, while 15 questions were asked regarding the time of delivery. In the same 50 orders, 54 questions were asked concerning the kind, 31 questions concerning the size, six concerning the quality, and only four concerning the brand.

Table 45. -- "NATURE OF QUESTIONS ASKED OVER THE TELEPHONE"

Based upon 50 telephone orders in a "Home Owned" store in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Type of Questions	Number of Questions (N=159)
Kind'	54
Size'	31 49
Price'	49
Time of delivery'	15
Quality'	6
Brand	4

Table 46 shows the methods used in 50 telephone orders to designate the quality of foods desired. The quality of only 26 articles was designated by brand, while 199 articles were ordered by can, box, slices, or a description of the article wanted. In 40 instances the purpose for which the article was to be used was given; the form desired was given for 18 articles.

Table 46.-- "METHODS OF DESIGNATING QUALITY OF FOOD DE-SIRED IN TELEPHONE ORDERS"

Based upon 50 telephone orders in a "Home Owned" store in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

Methods of Designating Quality	Number of Articles Represented (N=225)	
BRAND:		
No	199	
Yes	26	
STATED PURPOSE	40	
CMARTIN HODIC	18	
STATED FORM	10	

women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin with those of women in Yoakum, Texas

In comparing the food buying methods found to be used by homemakers in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, with those found to be used by the women in the small town and rural community of Yoakum, Texas, it was found that there was a difference in the following items:

- 1. The Wisconsin study found that in nearly two thirds of the families children did some food buying, while the Texas study showed that in only 12 per cent of the urban and four per cent of the rural families did children do food buying. In the Wisconsin study one half of the men did food buying, while only 10 per cent of the urban men and 32 per cent of the rural men did food buying in the Texas study.
- 2. In Fond du Lac about one half of the women bought at home-owned stores, while in Yoakum all of the families at times bought food at home-owned stores. In Fond du Lac 39 per cent of the women shopped at "Chain" stores, while in Yoakum 80 per cent of the urban and 76 per cent of the rural women shopped at times at the chain stores.
- study bought in person than in the Wisconsin study. None of the women in the Wisconsin study shopped at one store only, while over one half of the families in the Texas study bought at one store only. Only four per cent of the buyers in the Wisconsin study used a list when shopping while over one half of both the Texas groups were found to use lists. Fewer of the Texas rural but more of the Texas urban families bought on a credit basis than did the families in the Wisconsin study.
- 4. Only 11 women in the Wisconsin study were not influenced by advertising, while over two thirds of

79

both of the Texas were found not to be influenced by advertising. Eighteen per cent of the women in the Wisconsin study stated they were not influenced by the aesthetic appeal of foods but 52 per cent in each of the Texas groups stated they were influenced. The Wisconsin study showed that very little country butter was bought by the women, while over one half of the women in the Texas study preferred country butter.

- women bought their meat at stores, and 71 per cent at meat markets, but 70 per cent of the urban women in the Texas study bought their meat at the store and 36 per cent of the women bought at the meat market. Almost twice as large a per cent of the Texas women called for specific cuts of meat than in the Wisconsin study. A greater number of the women in the Texas study buy meat at special sales than do the women of Wisconsin. Only two per cent of the families in the Wisconsin study preferred veal, while 63 per cent of the urban Texas women prefer it. On the other hand 72 per cent of the families of the Wisconsin study prefer beef and pork while none of the Texas families studied preferred beef and pork.
- 6. Twenty eight per cent of the Wisconsin women judged quality by handling while 42 per cent of the urban and 38 per cent of the rural Texas women handled fruit when selecting. A larger percentage of women in the Wisconsin study judged the quality of fresh

fruits and vegetables by freshness while only 54 per cent in the urban and less in the rural Texas groups used freshness in judging quality of fruits and vegetables.

- 7. Forty per cent of the families in the Wisconsin group bought their bread at the bakery while only 12 per cent of the urban Texas group bought at a bakery.
- 8. None of the rural Texas women bought flour in small quantities. All Texas women bought larger quantities than the Wisconsin women.
- 9. The largest percentage of rural Texas women bought whole bean "ground now" coffee but less can or package coffee. On the other hand both the Wisconsin women and the urban Texas women bought mostly can or packaged coffee.

More women in the Wisconsin study used price as a guide in selecting the various foods than did the women in the Texas study.

Table 47.--COMPARISON OF FOOD BUYING HABITS OF WOMEN IN FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN, WITH THOSE WOMEN IN SMALL TOWN AND RURAL COMMUNITY OF YOAKUM, TEXAS

			Texas	
	Habits ;	Wisconsin	Urban	Rural
		Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
1.	WHO BUYS THE FOOD:			
	Mothers'	95	88	96
	Children	67	1 12	1 4
	Father	50	10	32
2.	WHEN BUYING IS DONE:			
0.2	Daily	40	50	1 18
	Weekly'	26	22	1 68
	Before meals	8	' 0	. 0
	Morning'	33	1 56	1 18
	Afternoon	16	1 30	1 70
	Bulk on Saturday	63	1 46	1 64
	Distributed through		1	1
	the week	21	32	1 14
3.	WHERE BUYING IS DONE:			
•	Home owned store	49	100	1 100
	Chain	39	1 80	1 76
4.	HOW BUYING IS DONE:			
-	In person	60	94	1 100
	At one store only	Ö	66	50
	With list	4	56	58
	On credit basis'	25	54	1 8
	Pay cash	58	48	94
	ray cash	30	1	1 34
5.				
	LECTING FOODS:			
	Ask the advice of			
	clerks	65	70	74
	Not influenced by			
	advertising	11	72	66
	Do not look for seal			
	of Am. Med. Assn.			
	Com. on foods	63	58	78
	Use labels	6	1 6	1 8
	Experiment with		•	
	brands	52	44	1 38
	Price	53	28	1 20

Table 47.--COMPARISON OF FOOD BUYING HABITS OF WOMEN IN FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN, WITH THOSE WOMEN IN SMALL TOWN AND RURAL COMMUNITY OF YOAKUM, TEXAS--Continued

		Wisconsin	Texas	
	Habits		Urban	Rural
		Per cent	Per cent	
5.	Continued			
	Trade names'	26	46	54
	the aesthetic appeal of foods	11	72	66
6.	HOW SPECIFIC FOODS ARE BOUGHT:			
	a. Canned foods			1
	Read labels	51	44	1 42
	Buy 2 or more cans' Brand chief fac- ' tor in determin- '	70	74	48
	ing quality'	39	58	34
	to purpose	54	46	44
	Buy graded eggs! Have preference for color of	38	36	00
	shell'	49	64	1 32
	Buy at the store! c. Milk and butter	52	68	00
	Buy none	0	20	100
	or farmer	54	58	00
	Buy at the store - Buy evaporated	24	20	00
	milk' Judge butter by	60	38	00
	flavor'	51	54	50
	butter	8	54	1 2
	Buy margarine!		60	22
	Buy at the store -		70	1 32
	Buy at the market ! Buy by specific !		36	42
	cuts!	28	54	1 22

Table 47. -- COMPARISON OF FOOD BUYING HABITS OF WOMEN IN FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN, WITH THOSE WOMEN IN SMALL TOWN AND RURAL COMMUNITY OF YOAKUM, TEXAS--Continued

		Texas	
Habits	Wisconsin	Urban	Rural
	Per cent	Per cent Per cen	
6. Continued			
d. MeatContinued '		•	1
Buy by weight'	58	56	54
Do not ask for			1
trimmings	44	62	46
Buy for one meal			
only	36	58	40
Do not buy at spe-			
cial sales'	20	90	64
Ask price if not			
marked	77	70	54
Do not watch the			
scales	19	64	42
Prefer veal	2	68	. 0
Prefer pork and			
beef	72	0	100
e. Fruits and vege-			
tables			
Select personally	71	82	100
Judge quality by			
handling	28	42	38
Judge quality by	20		
freshness	80	54	45
Do not buy bruised!	40	04	
fruit!	40	84	76
Do not buy at	00		
special sales!	20	68	64
Buy daily	30	32	6
Buy semi-weekly	co .	64	. 04
or weekly	69	64	94
Buy prunes most	70	68	84
often	70	00	04
Prefer large	10	1 40	. EC
prunes	18	42	56
Buy dried fruits !	40	00	
in bulk	40	88	82
f. Bakery products	ATT	60	. FC
Buy at the store	47	62	56
Buy at the bakery	40	12	44

Table 47. -- COMPARISON OF FOOD BUYING HABITS OF WOMEN IN FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN, WITH THOSE WOMEN IN SMALL TOWN AND RURAL COMMUNITY OF YOAKUM, TEXAS--Continued

		Wisconsin Per cent	Texas	
	Habits		Urban	Rural
			Per cent	
6.	Continued			
	f. Bakery products ! Continued			
	Do not ask for			00
	day old bread! Prefer crackers ! and cookies in	41	74	. 82
	g. Flour	57	78	78
	Buy in small quantity! Buy in large	24	46	0
	quantity	2 1	52 1	100
	Prefer all-purpose! Do not use ready	64	90	82
	prepared flour!	42	72	90
	Buy whole bean 'ground now"	12	24	70
	Buy can or package!	79	70	20
	Buy single pounds !	51	48	46
	Buy 2 or 3 pounds '	44	46 1	46
	i. Extracts			10
	Buy true extract - Do not know kind	32	50	48
	bought' Determine size by	45	20 !	26
	contents' Determine size by	27	36 !	42
	amount used! Determine size by '	37	36	32
	price	48	20	32

Chapter V

The purpose of this study, as stated in Chapter I, was to plan a course of study in consumer food buying which will be of practical value to homemakers. In order to plan a practical course in food buying for the women in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, it was important to know something about the present food buying methods used by the women of the community.

Food buying methods

Who buys the food. -- It is evident that women do the bulk of the food purchasing. In 95 per cent of all the homes studied, it was found that the mothers did the food buying.

Children helped in the buying of food in about 67 per cent of all four groups. There were fewer children who bought food in the College Group and the Comfortable Group than in either the Borderline Group or the Relief Group. The very fact that the children from the first two groups did less food shopping might be attributed to the fact that these homes have someone to care for the smaller children, thus giving the mother more free time for shopping. Another factor which may enter

in, is the fact that the families of the Borderline Group and the Relief Group seem to be much larger and frequently the older children assume many of the family responsibilities such as food buying.

It was also found that there were more fathers in the Relief Group who did food buying than in the College Group. The majority of the fathers of the College Group are professional men with irregular hours of work. This fact might make it difficult for them to aid in food buying, while the regular hours of the laboring man might make it convenient for him to purchase the groceries on the way home from work.

Although the mothers seemed to carry the greater share of responsibility for the food buying in all groups, still it is important to know that in approximately two thirds of all the homes studied, children did food buying. It is also significant that fathers did food buying in one half of all the groups studied.

The personal observations of buying in two types of stores served as a check on the food buying methods as determined by the questionnaires. It was found that over four fifths of the shoppers were women. While there were fewer men and children shopping, this was due to the fact that the observations were mostly taken at a time when employed men would be working and children in school.

From the standpoint of quality and economy, it

may be of great importance to the family whether mother or father or children do the food buying. This is illustrated by an incident which occurred at the Fond du Lac school. The girls had figured the cost of the dishes and were encouraged to prepare them at home. The day following one of these lessons, one of the girls came to the instructor and informed her that she had prepared a certain dish which in class they had estimated cost 42 cents but when she prepared it at home it cost 86 cents. mother was angry and said she was going to tell the instructor what she thought about her teaching. The instructor soon discovered that the girl had sent a younger brother to the grocery store for the necessary ingredients but had not instructed him regarding the price. The brother had bought an 18 cent can of peas instead of a ten cent can used at school, and had done the same with the other articles he had purchased for his sister. illustrates how important the role of buyer is. Observation and experience have led the writer to believe that most men will take whatever grade or price of article the clerk offers without taking the time to ask for much information regarding the food being bought.

The findings in this study agree with those made by others. According to a study made in New York City in 1938, (9:26), women made approximately four fifths of the purchases in grocery stores. In a study of 312 families in Elyria, Ohio, (9:26), women did the buying

of groceries in three fourths to nine tenths of the families. Cole quoted Converse (9:26) who found that women were the sole buyers of groceries in three fourths of the families he studied.

When buying is done. -- It would seem from the analysis that approximately 39 per cent of all the families bought foods daily. This may be due to a lack of planning for their meals in advance or simply to poor home management. On the other hand many families live in small apartments without sufficient storage space to permit them to purchase any quantity of food in advance and they are therefore compelled to buy daily.

Better than one fourth of the families studied bought their groceries twice a week and approximately one fourth bought food supplies weekly. Almost twice as many families in the Relief Group bought weekly than in any of the other groups. Since W. P. A. workers only work part time on Saturdays, this might explain the fact that 86 per cent of the Relief Group did the bulk of their buying on Saturday.

Only a small percentage of all groups bought foods regularly just before meal time. In the case of the College Group this can be explained by the fact that some live in exclusive but very small downtown apartments having kitchenettes but no storage space. In other groups it may be due to the fact that both husband and

wife work and live in small light-housekeeping apartments.

Over one half of the College Group women bought their food supplies early in the morning. Since College women generally have fewer children and sometimes have servants in the home to care for them, it would be possible for them to buy in the morning. A significant number of the Comfortable Group also did their food buying in the morning. Only about one fifth of the Relief Group bought their groceries early in the morning. probably because there were more children and less help in the home because of the older children attending school. Or the mother might prefer to wait for the family car which the father used to go to work, and as a result the time of purchase varied. The same may hold true in the Borderline Group, since it was found that about half of the group had no definite time for buying. Since there was almost no night buying reported, the small per cent might have been emergency buying for parties or midnight lunches.

It was clearly shown that approximately two thirds of all the groups did the bulk of their food buying on Saturdays. The newspapers and weekly handbills advertising Saturday sales are factors which may have influenced Saturday buying. Then, too, the fact that most grocery stores are closed on Sunday would increase the number of Saturday buyers.

Where buying is done. -- There are many factors which play a part in the homemaker's decision regarding the choice of a grocery store. Is the location convenient? Is the dealer reliable? Will it make any difference to her whether foods are attractively displayed; whether dairy products are kept in a refrigerator and the store clean and sanitary? Is it worth her time to trade at a cash and carry store, or does she prefer to phone and charge the foods she purchases?

Since approximately one half of all the housewives studied bought their food supplies at a "Home Owned" store, while less than one half (40 per cent) chose to buy at the "Chain" store, and approximately 14 per cent had no choice. it seems that there are more families trading at the "Home Owned" stores than at the "Chain" stores. This may be due to the improved conditions and types of salesmen now operating the majority of home-owned stores in Fond du Lac. The writer has been aware of a definite improvement in many respects in the "Home Owned" store and feels there is far less difference between the two types of stores than in former years. Some of the managers of the "Home Owned" stores were formerly employed by "Chain" stores, consequently follow many of their methods. One outstanding difference remains, and that is the fact that the "Home Owned" store is the only food store selling for credit.

The automobile plays an important part in making it possible for the family to purchase at the large "Chain" stores down town. Another factor which may increase the number who buy at the "Chain" stores, is that many "Home Owned" stores in Fond du Lac no longer furnish free delivery service but use the same parcel delivery system which services the "Chain" stores. Then too, the "Chain" stores have a larger variety of products to choose from and prices are often lower.

The data in Table 6 seemed to indicate that almost as many women preferred self-serve as services of a clerk. This would be expected since the percentage of housewives who bought at the "Chain" stores was almost as large as the percentage of those who preferred to shop at the "Home Owned" store. In the "Home Owned" store 14 of the 25 buyers observed asked for credit. This might have been one reason for buying there.

How buying is done. -- It has been estimated that the homemaker alone purchases approximately 87 per cent of all raw and market foods. Scores of articles and editorials in recent years on standards, grades, and informative labels have played an important part in equipping homemakers for the task of buying the family's food supply. Education in choice making is one of the most important of the various phases of food buying.

About sixty per cent of all the women in this study preferred to shop in person. Other studies also

found that in the majority of cases the homemaker preferred to shop in person. About one fourth of the women
used the telephone part of the time in purchasing their
groceries. As would be expected, only four per cent of
the Relief Group used the telephone in buying food. Only
four per cent of all the women in this study reported using shopping lists while in the Kansas study by Scholz,
80 per cent of all the families made shopping lists.

Jannett in a Texas study also reported that about one
half of all the shoppers used a list.

Over one half of all the women used price in determining the quality of canned food. Fewer of the College Group and of the Comfortable Group used price in judging quality, than of the Borderline Group and of the Relief Group, as would be expected. More than one half of the buyers observed in the two types of stores also used price in determining the quality of canned food. In 50 telephone orders 49 questions were asked concerning price but only 6 about quality and 4 about brand. Of 50 telephone orders given representing 225 articles, only 27 articles were called for by brand. In all but the Relief Group, there was not a great difference between the number who checked brand and those who checked grade. Since there was an overlapping, it was probably due to the fact that many buyers call for the item by brand name first and then ask about the grade. Only 11 per cent of all the women of the four groups read labels

and 14 per cent of those observed in stores did.

Day and night, newspapers, signboards, magazines and radio voices tell the consumer buyer that friends are won or lost by one's choice of laundry soap, that marital happiness is insured by the right complexion cream, and that business success is certain if dandruff is absent. Buyers are guided in the selection of foods by many different factors, one of the most influential of which is advertising. Over three fourths of all groups of women listed the newspaper and hand bills as the most influential factor in selecting foods, but approximately one third of the College Group stated that they were not influenced by advertising of any kind. Only an insignificantly small number of the other groups stated that they were not influenced by advertising. number of women buyers who were influenced by magazines was about nine per cent of all groups studied. None of these women belonged to the Relief Group.

Nearly two thirds of all the women asked advice of clerks when buying their food, while some asked advice of managers and other shoppers. It just seems natural for shoppers to ask advice for they really want to have information about many things. The writer asked several clerks, with years of experience, how many customers followed their advice, and they all stated that they believed that the majority of them took their advice. One fifth of the College Group women never asked for advice.

About two thirds of all of the women reported that they never looked for the seal of the American Medical Association while only 11 per cent stated that they looked for it. In one of the adult food classes which was mostly composed of women who were college graduates, a question was asked concerning the seal of the American Medical Association. It was soon discovered that none of them had known of its presence on food labels but thought of it only in connection with drugs.

While price seemed to be the all important guide for about one half of the women buyers when buying groceries, about one half of the women also experimented with brands, but only a few ever read labels. It is important to know that an article in Business Week for July 6, 1940, informs us that canners whose wares have been well advertised fear that grading will kill the value of brand names. In Table 9 only six per cent of the women reported reading labels, while in Table 11, 51 per cent stated that they read labels. The contradiction may be due to a confusion as to the meaning of the terms brands and labels, since the per cent of women who stated that they read labels in Table 11 is the same as the per cent of women who reported experimenting with brands in Table 9. Personal observation in the stores showed that about 62 per cent did not read labels.

Advertising has played up the aesthetic appeal of goods to such an extent that about half of the women

admitted that they were influenced by it sometimes. It is a known fact that certain seasonal foods keep their sales the year round by packing the product in attractive vases or glasses. Many types of cheese spreads are sold in attractive drinking glasses. Having purchased one or two, the homemakers are soon interested in having a half dozen or dozen glasses.

It is evident that over one half of the food purchases of consumers are paid for in cash. Coles (9:277) stated that approximately two thirds or more of all purchases of consumers are paid for in cash. In this study it was evident (Table 10) that over one half of the buyers paid cash for their groceries and approximately one fourth used credit. The College Group had the largest percentage of families using credit. This might be explained by the fact that many of the fathers of the College Group are professional men or business men who do not receive their pay at a definite time.

The data indicated that more than one half of the families used the terms large, medium, or small in designating size. By personal observation it was found that three fourths of the women used the terms large, medium, or small in calling for canned goods. It is of interest to note that in both the College Group and the Comfortable Group, sizes of canned foods were designated by number more often than by the term large, medium, or small. Store observation indicated that about 18 per cent

stated the number of the can. Many of the customers in the "Chain" stores help themselves to the things they want, making it impossible to know which factors they considered important. Seventy per cent of all the women stated that they bought two or more cans of food at a time, but personal observation showed only 22 per cent buying more than one can. It is also significant that 17 per cent of all families bought canned foods by the case. Buying by the case is a far more economical means of purchasing, but as most of the women who bought canned foods by the case belonged either to the College Group or the Comfortable Group, it did not help those who needed it most, for the Borderline Group and the Relief Group were probably unable to purchase that much at a time.

About one third of all the homemakers used the same grade of canned goods for all purposes, while approximately one half used grades suited to the purpose. Over one half of the College Group reported using the same grade for all purposes, while over one half of the women in each of the other groups stated that they did not use the same grade for all purposes.

According to Table 8 only about one half of all the women used grade as a basis for judging quality yet in Table 12 over one half stated that they used grades suited to the purpose. These inconsistencies definitely show that the consumer food buyer is confused as to the meaning of the terms. The writer has had statements from managers and clerks, telling her that most of the buyers merely call for "a can of - -" when buying canned goods. Over one half of all groups reported reading labels and over one third read them seemetimes. But it was difficult to determine their purpose in reading the label. Was the label being read for brand name, weight content, or description of the contents of the can? Personal observation of buyers indicated that almost two thirds did not read the labels on the cans.

About one third of the families purchased graded eggs and another one third bought ungraded eggs. As Fond du Lac is located in the center of a rich farming area, fresh eggs are plentiful all year, and, since ungraded eggs are several cents a dozen cheaper, one can safely choose the fresh ungraded eggs. White shells seem to be preferred by 40 per cent of the homemakers; on the other hand about one half of all the women had no preference.

Two thirds of all the families studied used several kinds of milk. Three fourths of the families bought fresh milk and 70 per cent of these families bought from the dairy. The dairies deliver pasteurized milk. As two thirds of the families purchased canned milk this explains the large number of families purchasing milk in some form at the grocery store. From personal observation it seems that 28 per cent bought fresh

milk and 14 per cent canned milk.

Price and flavor were used as a basis for judging the quality of butter by the largest number of families. Ninety two per cent of the Relief Group stated that they used price as a basis for judging the quality of butter. It is the opinion of the writer that they were compelled to use price as a factor in buying butter because of limited finances. In all of the other groups, flavor was used by the largest number of women in judging the quality of butter. Although only 16 per cent of the women admitted that they did not ask what kind of butter they were buying, it is the opinion of the writer that the majority of homemakers do not know what types of butter they are buying.

The State of Wisconsin has placed a very high retailer's permit or sales tax on all margarines, making the price of margarine higher than that of the best butter, therefore very few groceries in Wisconsin sell it.

Three fourths of the women in the College
Group, in the Comfortable Group and in the Borderline
Group buy their meat at a meat market. These meat markets are "Home Owned" and many of them specialize in
various kinds of home made articles. Most of these meat
markets carry the very best grades of meat and in addition they sell on credit. The largest number of women
in the Relief Group bought their meat at the "Chain"

store. The homemakers used various methods of buying meat for their families. One fact is very evident; that many are not familiar with specific cuts of meat, and that they do not realize the value of trimmings and fat.

An incident which happened in one of the local meat markets illustrates the extent to which homemakers know quality of meat. The writer was talking with the manager of an exclusive meat market. He had just told her that most women do not know meat and that even when he told his customers the truth many did not believe him. Just then a well dressed woman entered the market and asked for a quantity of the best quality of steak. showed her a splendid slice of steak well marbled, and of fine color and told her it was the best in the market. Immediately she answered saying that if he thought she didn't recognize beef which came from a fat old cow when she saw it, he just had another guess coming. wanted a nice tender piece of lean beef. He then showed her a slice of lean, dark red beef from an older animal and she answered, "There, I know tender young steak when I see it," and bought the piece. After she had gone he turned to the writer and said, "I hope you know that the first piece of beef was the best any market can boast of, but she did not believe me. Was I correct when I told you that the customers do not know the quality of meat?"

Three fourths of the homemakers inquired about the price of meat per pound when buying, and slightly

less than three fourths watched the scales. One half of all homemakers preferred beef, less than one half had no one preference, and about one fourth preferred pork.

Only a very few families preferred chicken, veal, or lamb. The high cost of lamb may be one explanation for the small amount used but from years of experience as a food instructor the writer has discovered that only a very few people use any quantity of lamb. The Syrians are an exception to this for they are very fond of mutton and lamb and consume large quantities of it. The price of chicken may make it a luxury food; but the writer believes that although many enjoy chicken for special occasions, they would not choose to have it very often.

Purchasing meat for one meal or for more than one meal at a time would no doubt depend to a great extent upon whether or not the family owned some type of refrigerator.

Fresh fruits and vegetables are now playing an important part in furnishing the right vitamins. Fresh fruits and vegetables may prove to be expensive if too much must be thrown away. How, when, and where to buy them in order to get the best possible value for the food dollars is important. Seventy per cent of all the women in this study selected their fresh fruits and vegetables in person and about one fourth of the women bought them in person sometimes. Freshness was used by the greatest number of women in all groups as a basis for determining

quality of fresh fruits and vegetables. Approximately one half of the women used a variety of qualities and over one fourth judged the quality by handling. Grocery stores have a large loss because of spoilage of fresh fruits and vegetables much of which is caused by customers pinching or squeezing articles when judging for ripeness, or firmness. One fruit dealer made the statement that he estimated that 50 per cent of his fruit spoilage was due to women buyers' pinching or handling such fruits as pears, apples, plums, and bananas. Tomatoes are also an article which is easily spoiled by handling.

The dealer often sells bruised fruit at a discount for quick sale to prevent a greater loss. Forty per cent of all the women never buy bruised fruit. The writer's personal observations of individuals buying fresh fruit indicated that over three fourths of the buyers handled the fruit in selecting. Very few fruit buyers realize that they may have contributed to the merchant's loss. One fourth of the women bought bruised fruit for immediate use.

Unless the housekeeper has a refrigerator it is more economical to buy fresh fruits when needed, and this study showed that about one third of the women bought fresh fruits daily. Such fruits as oranges, apples, grapes, and peaches will keep nicely in a refrigerator for several days. The data showed that 42 per cent of the families bought their fresh produce semi-weekly.

The homemaker who is not interested in getting a bargain for her home is the exception to the rule; specially advertised prices of foods are silent but forceful arguments for buying. Too many food buyers are not familiar with the regular price and do not realize that the advertised article is not always being sold for less but is some instances at an increase. That advertising is effective was shown by the fact that over one half of all the families made a practice of buying foods at specially advertised prices.

Dried fruits have become an important item in present day menus. The data showed that all groups used dried fruits in some form. Seventy per cent of all groups bought prunes and one half of all the families also bought apricots. Fewer families purchased peaches. One third of all the women had no preference as to size and a second one third preferred the medium-sized prunes. Over one half of the buyers bought packaged dried fruits, while approximately one third preferred bulk. The writer believes that the majority of homemakers do not pay much attention to the size of the dried fruit, except that the medium sized are cheaper than the large sized fruit. believes that many homemakers buy the packaged dried fruit because it is tenderized and then so packed that it retains a maximum of moisture; it therefore seems more tender in contrast with the bulk prunes and apricots.

Forty per cent of the families purchased their

bakery products at the bakery, while 47 per cent bought at a store. Personal observation of 50 buyers in grocery stores showed that 40 per cent of the buyer's choice of bakery products was bread and only about ten per cent bought pies or cakes. The writer believes that a large number of Fond du Lac families buy their bread but prefer to bake their own cakes and pies.

Fond du Lac has several large bakeries that put out some excellent products, but many of the stores sell what is there known as "bootleg" bread which comes from large cities in the east and is delivered daily. This bread sells for as little as five and eight cents a loaf. Many families purchase this at the stores not realizing that it does not contain the same amount of food value as the home baker's loaf. The "Home Owned" stores do sell the local bakery bread and it would be convenient for the homemakers to buy it when they bought their groceries, for most of the bakeries are located down town. One fourth of the families bought from the delivery wagon. In Fond du Lac a chain organization known as the "Omar" bakeries makes house to house calls and competes with the local bakeries in price. Day old bread was purchased by 12 per cent of the families, while less than one half of the buyers ask for it sometimes. Many of the bakeries refuse to sell day old bread for fear it might reduce their profits and the "Chain" stores do not seem to sell "day old" bread.

The 48 pound bag of flour seems to be bought by the largest number of housewives--over one half of the women in this sample. One fourth of all the women bought 24 pounds at a time. Only a very few purchased smaller amounts of flour. The amount of flour purchased at a time would depend upon several things: the size of the family, whether or not bread is baked, and the amount of storage space in the home or apartment. All-purpose flour was asked for by 64 per cent of the women, yet in reality there is no such flour. "All-purpose" is a misnomer for the different products as bread and cake require a different gluten content. Only bakeries buy real bread flour as it is heavier flour.

Approximately one half of all families buy prepared flour. The increasing use of prepared flours may
be due to the increasing number of employed women who do
light housekeeping, also to the large number of housekeepers who have never learned to prepare their own batters, or those who live the life of social butterflies,
and the prepared flour is the easiest way out.

It was found that the College, Comfortable, and Borderline Groups bought crackers and cookies more frequently in packages, but purchases of the Relief Group showed that they bought them more often in bulk than in package. Bulk cookies and crackers cost less per pound than those which are packaged. The Relief Group families are generally larger and this may account for their choice

Approximately one half of all the families purchased coffee in one pound cans while about one third bought coffee in three pound packages. Three fourths of the buyers observed in the two grocery stores, also bought coffee in one pound cans. Advertising plays an important part in influencing homemakers to purchase coffee in smaller quantities by playing up the "dated coffee" idea.

In one of the adult food classes the question arose as to the difference between a true and artificial extract. It was soon evident that the majority of the class did not know which kind of extract they had been using for years. They had been using the same brand of extract for years, each believing they were buying the best. Almost one half used price as a guide in choosing their extracts, not realizing that the highest priced article is not always of the best quality. One third of the homemakers bought true extracts.

From observation it was noted that two thirds of the food shoppers purchased less than one dollar's worth, and almost three fourths of the buyers paid cash. It was evident that there were approximately as many credit sales as there were orders for more than one dollar's worth.

The greater percentage of the telephone orders were for six articles, the second largest for four articles, and the third for five articles. Only a very

few telephone orders were for two articles and none for one article. Very few women would expect a grocery to deliver one or two articles, and it may be that many homemakers gave a larger order when in all probability they only needed one or two articles.

The homemaker's orders given over the telephone dealt more with quantity than with quality or brand for out of 225 articles ordered, 202 merely designated number of units of an article wanted, and 50 asked for an article by weight.

Implications of the study

- l. Since it was found that mothers were aided in food buying by children in two thirds of the homes, and by fathers in one half of the himes, it can assumed that both children and fathers would benefit by studying consumer problems related to foods.
- 2. It was indicated that a better understanding of the advantages and disadvantages of "Home Owned" and "Chain" stores, would aid in making a wiser choice regarding where to buy.
- 3. It was found that choice of goods is not always rational but often planless and thoughtless and would be improved by improved planning of food purchases.
- 4. It was indicated that women might improve their buying habits if they knew more about brands, grades labels, and advertisements.

107

- 5. It was indicated that more knowledge of advertising psychology might aid food buyers in making their own decisions.
- 6. There is an indication that a greater knowledge concerning the qualities to look for in purchasing foods would make for more efficient buyers.
- 7. This study indicates the need for a comprehensive program of training in buying for homemakers.

Recommendations for further study

- 1. What are the consumer food buying methods used by men?
- 2. Of what value is the information found on labels to the homemaker?
 - 3. To what extent is food legislation enforced?
- 4. Do good consumer food buyers demand more specific factual selling and advertising than others?
- 5. How can the consumer food buyer be shown his responsibility in demanding better legislation concerning informative food labeling?
- 6. How can homemakers be educated to the importance of reading labels before making choice when buying foods?
- 7. Does the experienced homemaker show a better knowledge of market devices than the younger home-maker with home economics training in high school?
 - 8. To what extent does the advice given to

the consumer food buyers by clerks influence their choice of foods?

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

- 1. Units in consumer buying be taught at the high school level for both girls and boys.
- 2. Homemakers be better instructed regarding the importance of the different factors which influence the time of food buying.
- 3. Consumer food buyers be given some informaation regarding the factors which should be considered in choosing a place to buy.
- 4. The consumer food buyer be interested concerning various methods of paying for goods and their advantages and disadvantages.
- 5. Home economists awaken to the trends in the consumer education movement and make their students conscious of their responsibility regarding food legislation.
- 6. That a greater knowledge of buying habits be encouraged by our public schools through proper interpretation of labels and grades of canned goods, shopping conditions, and evaluation of available literature.

Chapter VI

SUGGESTED OUTLINE FOR A COURSE IN CONSUMER FOOD BUYING FOR ADULTS

The unit on consumer-buying should be planned with the following factors in mind:

- 1. The community conditions.
- 2. The expressed interests of the group.
- 3. The concrete needs of the group which have been discovered by the teacher.
- 4. The ability and socio-economic background of the student.
- 5. The classes should be started and closed promptly at the specified time.

I. Some worthwhile objectives

- A. An interest in securing greater satisfaction from money spent.
- B. A recognition that consumers could frequently get more for their money than they do.
- C. An interest in thinking before buying.
- D. A realization of the consumer's need for help in buying.
- E. A recognition of the appeals made by sellers to consumers and their effects.
- F. A realization of the possibilities and limitation of brands and labels.

II. Suggested Course Content

A. The character of the modern market

- 1. The avenues through which articles reach the consumer from the original producer.
- Characteristics of a good marker organization.
- 3. Necessary factual data about
 - a. Brands, labels, and names
 - b. Trade names
 - c. Grade marks
 - d. Qualities -- ratings
- B. Where to buy

Local vs. out-of-town

Local vs. mail order

Home-owned vs. chain

- 1. OBJECTIVE--To develop an understanding of the factors which should be considered in choosing a place to buy.
- 2. PRINCIPLES INVOLVED -- The consumer needs to understand:
 - a. Advantages and disadvantages of the home-owned store, chain store, and mail order house
 - b. The types of service
 - (1) Delivery
 - (2) Cash and carry
 - (3) Credit
 - (4) Service of clerks
 - (5) Self-serve
- C. When shall we buy?

Daily vs. weekly vs. seasonal

Sales vs. regular prices

 OBJECTIVE--To develop an understanding of factors which influence time for buying.

- 2. PRINCIPLES INVOLVED -- The consumer needs to understand:
 - a. Advantages and disadvantages of daily buying
 - Advantages and disadvantages of sales
 - Advantages and disadvantages of seasonal purchases
 - d. The factors causing variation in price of particular goods at different times.
 - e. The relation of time of purchase to the price and the quality of goods available.
- D. How much shall we buy?

Small quantity vs. large quantity

and at an

- 1. OBJECTIVE -- To develop judgment in determining when to buy in large and small quantities.
- 2. PRINCIPLES INVOLVED -- The consumer needs to understand:
 - a. The needs of her family.
 - b. The things which will make for the greatest satisfaction of the family group.
 - That buying in large quantities saves time and energy.
 - d. The relation of quantity bought to price, need, and to storage facilities, and to time spent in buying.
 - e. The advantages and disadvantages of quantity buying.
- E. How to pay for goods

Cash vs. credit

112

- OBJECTIVE--To develop an understanding of various methods of paying for goods.
- 2. PRINCIPLES INVOLVED -- The consumer needs to understand:
 - a. The advantages and disadvantages of cash payments.
 - b. The cost of credit buying to the customer.
 - c. The advantages and disadvantages of buying for credit.
 - d. The practices of consumers using credit.
- F. Sales factors which influence the consumer food buyer's choice
 - OBJECTIVE--To develop an understanding of the many devices which may prove an advantage or disadvantage to the food buyer.
 - 2. PRINCIPLES INVOLVED -- The consumer needs to understand that:
 - a. The qualities of goods vary and therefore she should understand how to evaluate trade marks, labels and brands.
 - b. Price and quality are not correlated; therefore she needs reliable information.
 - c. Advertising is planned for the purpose of selling products.
 - d. Advertising may not state an untruth but yet imply an untruth.
 - e. Magazines, newspapers, advertising agencies, radio and movies partly depend for existence upon the sales resulting from advertisements.

- f. The sales person is there to sell and has a selling psychology which the buyer must evaluate and perhaps resist.
- g. The salesman's livelihood depends upon his ability to sell and a consumer's livelihood depends upon his resistance to salesmanship.
- h. All legislation is not enforced.
- Commercial agencies tend to resist legislation.
- j. Commercial agencies are able to live within the law and yet deceive the public.
- k. The consumer is protected by legislation to a certain extent in such products as milk, meat and store inspection.
- 1. The consumer has a responsibility in letting the commercial agencies know what he wants.

Chapter VII

SUMMARY

The selection, purchase, and use of food constitute some of the most essential processes of economic life.

The following paragraphs are a summary of the food buying methods of the women of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, as indicated in the 200 questionnaires answered by the four groups of homemakers studied; by observation of 50 consumers buying foods in two stores of different types; and by the records of 50 telephone orders.

- l. Who buys the food. -- Although the mothers bought the food in 95 per cent of the homes, in two thirds of the homes the children and in one half of the homes the fathers also did food purchasing.
- 2. When buying is done. -- Daily food purchasing was done by 40 per cent of the families while only one fourth of them bought weekly. One third of them did their buying early in the morning. Two thirds of the families did the bulk of their buying on Saturday.
- 3. Where buying was done. -- Approximately one half of the women bought the bulk of their foods at home-owned stores; 39 per cent at chain stores; and 14 per cent expressed no choice.
- 4. How buying was done. -- Practically the same per cent of women expressed a preference for service of clerks as preferred self-service. Sixty per cent of the homemakers preferred to shop in person. Price seemed to be the basis for judging quality in 58 per cent of the families.

Brand was used by the second largest number of families. Grade was the market device used by one third of the buyers and about one half of them read labels. Over three fourths of the homemakers were influenced by advertisements. Over one half of all groups paid cash while one fourth of them bought for credit. Over one half of all buyers were not familiar with sizes of cans. Seventy per cent bought small quantities of food at a time. Over one half of the women studied bought meat for more than one meal at a time. Seventy-one per cent of the families bought fresh fruits and vegetables personally. Over one half of the women preferred packaged dried fruits. Only four per cent of all groups purchased no breads. Better than one half of all the families use prepared flours. Coffee was purchased in one pound cans by approximately one half of all the families. Forty-five per cent of all the women did not know whether they bought true or artificial extracts.

- of food stores in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin. -- The data obtained from the observation sheets were used to check the results of the questionnaires. With very few exceptions the results upheld the findings of the 200 questionnaires. In addition it was shown that over one half of all the questions asked concerned the price of an article, and only nine per cent of the questions dealt with quality. Eight out of nine complaints concerned price and the ninth complaint was in regard to quality.
- 6. Methods used by women who shop over the telephone. --Forty-six per cent of the telephone orders had a value greater than one dollar. Twenty-eight per cent of the orders consisted of six articles. Calling for number of units was the method used in designating the quantity desired for 89 per cent of the articles while only six questions were in regard to quality of article. Brand was only used to designate quality for 12 per cent of the articles.

APPENDIX

		Page
Appendix A-1.	Questionnaire for personal inter- views	117
Appendix A-2.	Check sheet for observation in grocery stores	123
Appendix A-3.	Check sheet for recording tele- phone orders	125
Bibliography -		126

APPENDIX A-1

FOOD BUYING HABITS Mechanics of Buying

I.	Who	Buys				
	1.	Does motherfatherchildrenservant				
relativesdo the buying of foods?						
	2.	Does motherfatherchildrenservant				
		or relatives do the bulk of the buying?				
II.	II. When Buying Is Done					
	3.	Do you buy daily: once a day before each				
		mealbi-weeklyor weekly?				
	4. Do you buy early in the morning near noon					
	afternoon_late afternoon_or at night?					
	5.	5. Do you do the bulk of your buying on Mon				
	Tues. Wed. Thur. Fri. Sat. or is it					
		evenly distributed?				
III.	Whe	re Buying Is Done				
	6.	Do you buy at a home owned store chain				
		storecombination store (meat and				
		groceries)country storeneighborhood				
		store mail order from producer large				
		city near by house to house canvasser ?				

	7.	Do you buy the bulk of groceries or only the		
		unusualin this city?		
	8.	Do you prefer self serve or stores where		
		clerks wait on you?		
	9.	Do you do most of your buying at a home owned		
		storechain storecombination store		
		country neighborhood store mail order ?		
IV.	How	Buying Is Done		
	10.	Do you buy in personover telephonesend a		
		noteor grocer solicits your order by phone_?		
	11.	Do you do all of your buying at one store or		
		do you shop about?		
	12.	Do you shop with a listwithout listor		
		sometimes with a list?		
	13.	Do you pay cash trade or ask for credit:		
		monthly_longtime?		
V.	Guid	des Used in Selecting Foods		
	14. What kind of advertisements influence your buy			
		ing: radionewspapermagazinehand-		
		bills?		
	15.	Do you ask advice of clerks the manager or		
		of other people?		
	16.	Do you look for seal of acceptance of American		
		Medical Association committee on foods? Yes		
		NoSometimes		
	17.	Are you guided in selecting foods by: experi-		
		menting with brands labels price brands		

		and trade names?		
	18.	Do you ever buy foods because of their aes-		
		thetic appeal? YesNoSometimes		
VI.	How	Specific Foods Are Bought		
	Canned Goods:			
	19. Do you ask for cans by number as 1 or 2,			
		a large, medium, smallor by shape?		
	20.	Do you read labels? Yes No Sometimes		
	21.	Do you buy single cansseveralor a case		
		of certain canned foods as peas or corn?		
	22.	When buying canned goods do you judge the		
	quality by priceby gradeby brandby			
	information given on label?			
	23.	Do you buy canned foods by grade? Yes No		
	Sometimes?			
	24.	Do you buy the same grade of canned peas for all		
		purposes? Yes No Sometimes		
	Eggs:			
	25.	Do you buy graded eggs? Yes No Sometimes		
		Try to		
	26.	Do you prefer white shell eggsbrown shell		
		or have no preferences?		
	27.	Do you buy eggs from the storeor the pro-		
		ducer?		
	Milk	and Butter:		
	28.	Do you buy grade A grade B sweet ungraded		

	skimmedbuttermilkcannedevaporated
	condensed?
29.	Do you buy your milk from the dairystore
	or a neighbor?
30.	Do you judge the quality of butter by color
	priceflavorodorbody or texture?
31.	Do you buy creamery butter: sweet cream
	sour cream_or country butter?
32.	Do you buy margarine? YesNoSometimes
Meat	s:
33.	Do you buy your meat in person_over tele-
	phonesend childsend servanthusband
	or by other adults?
34.	Do you buy your meat at combination store
	meat marketor from farmer?
35.	Do you ask for meat as steak or roastby
	specific cut as flank or round_by weight_
	cents worth?
36.	Do you ask that trimmings, bones and fat be
	wrapped with meat? YesNoSometimes
37.	Do you buy meats for one meal or for more
	than one meal?
38.	Do you buy meats at specially advertised prices?
	Yes_No_Sometimes_
39.	Do you ask the price per pound? YesNo
	Sometimes

40.	Do you watch the scales? YesNoSome-			
	times			
41.	Is veal_lamb_pork_beef_or chicken			
	the meat served most often in your home?			
Frui	ts and Vegetables:			
42.	Do you make personal selection of fresh fruits			
	and vegetables? YesNoSometimes			
43.	Do you judge the quality of fresh fruits and			
	vegetables by handling by size by odor			
	by freshnessby lack of blemishes?			
44.	Do you buy dried peachesprunesapricots			
	pearsor figsmost often?			
45.	Do you prefer large (30-40)medium (50-60)			
	small (90-100) or have no preferences in			
	size of prunes?			
46.	Do you buy dried fruit in bulk or in pack-			
	ages?			
47.	Do you ever buy slightly bruised or danaged			
	fruits and vegetables for immediate use? Yes			
	NoSometimes			
48.	Do you buy fruits and vegetables at specially			
	advertised prices? YesNoSometimes			
49.	Do you buy fresh fruits and vegetables daily			
	semi-weeklyor weekly?			
Bake	Bakery Products:			
50.	Do you buy your bakery products at the store			

		bakery or from the bakery delivery wagon ?			
	51.	Do you ever buy day old break? Yes No			
Sometimes					
	52.	Do you buy crackers and cookies in bulk in			
		packages or in cellophane bags ?			
	Some Miscellaneous Staples:				
	53.	Do you buy flour in 3 lb6 lb12 lb			
		24 lb. or 48 lb. sacks?			
	54.	Do you buy all purposebreadpastry			
		white rye graham or wholewheat flour?			
	55.	Do you buy Bisquick Aunt Jemima Pancake			
		Flouror otherready prepared flour?			
	Coff	ee:			
	56.	Do you buy whole bean whole bean, ground			
		now_bulk ground_package_or can_coffee?			
	57.	Do you buy 1/2 lb1 lb3 lbor 5 lbs.			
		coffee?			
	Extr	acts:			
	58.	Do you buy true extracts artificial or			
		don't know?			
	59.	Is your guide in choosing size of bottle,			
		pricecontentssize and shape of bottle			
		amount used?			

APPENDIX A-2

RECORD OF

PERSONAL OBSERVATION MADE IN STORES

Buying in Person

Type	of store Time of Day Day of Week				
1.	Shopper: male female adult child child with				
	noteman with note				
2.	Shopper aloneaccompanied				
3.	Decision made quickly_slowly_				
4.	Read labels of canned and package goods: Yes				
	No				
5.	Advice asked of: clerks manager others in				
	store				
6.	Services asked for: delivery_clerk to wait on				
	them; Credit: monthlylong-time				
7.	Shopping list: YesNo				
8.	Asked prices if foods weren't marked: YesNo				
9.	Asked for food weight measure unit cents				
	worth				
10.	Asked for cans by no. 1 or 2largemedium or				
	small_by shape				
11.	Asked for meats by certain cutsor steak, roast,				
	stew				
non-accommodate to the common of					

-	
12.	Watching scalesdidn't watch scales
13.	Single purchase of cans_2 or more_case_
14.	Bought milk fresh canned evaporated con-
	densed
15.	Bought bread rolls biscuits cakes pies
16.	Flour: 3 lbs. 6 lbs. 12 lbs. 24 lbs.
	48 lbs
17.	Coffee: whole bean whole bean, ground now
	bulk groundpackagebrand; amount: 1/2 lb
	1 1b3 1b
18.	Flavoring: trueartificialsize l oz2 oz
19.	Butter: creamery_country_margarine_
20.	Size of order (in money)
21.	Cash credit: monthly long-time trade
22.	Goods returned reasons:
23.	Questions asked:
24.	Complaints:

APPENDIX A-3

FORM FOR RECORDING TELEPHONE ORDERS Telephone Orders

Type	of storeT	ime of day	Day of	week
1.	Person phoning order father other adult		_servant_	_child
2.	Size of order (in mo	ney)		
3.	Copy of order:			
4.	Questions asked:			
5.	Other comments:			

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. A.B.C. challenge: high inaccuracy rate in gradelabeling shown by separate surveys. tab. Business week, p. 62, June 14, 1941.
- Amburgey, J. W. and Coles, Jessie V. Buying habits of Missouri homemakers. Journal of home economics, 26:19-20, January 1934.
- 3. Banks, E. S. Making every food dollar count. Parents magazine, 15:51, October 1940.
- 4. Brown, Clara M. and Hatcher, Hazel M. Statistical techniques adapted to home economic problems.
 Minneapolis, Minn., Burgess publishing company, 1939. 104 p.
- 5. Brooks, Christopher. Consumer education? Come and get it. Good housekeeping, 105:86-7, October 1937.
- 6. Canners try government grading. Business week, p. 44-51, July 6, 1940.
- 7. Chains use grades; experiment with use of A-B-C labels on canned foods. Business week, p. 27, November 30, 1940.
- 8. Coles, Jessie V.; Cohn, Saul. Educating the consumer-a symposium. Journal of home economics, 30:617-623, November 1938.
- 9. Coles, Jessie V. Consumer buyer and the market. New York, John Wiley and sons, Inc., 1938. 596 p.
- 10. Coles, Jessie V. Standardization of consumer's goods. New York, The Ronald press company, 1932. 323 p.
- 11. Consumer in tough spot between bunk and debunk.
 Science news letter, 33:87, February 5, 1938.
- 12. Dana, Margaret. Open your purse and shut your eyes.
 Atlantic monthly, 160:421-30, October 1937.

- 13. DeArmond, Fred. Consumer clans are gathering.
 Nation's business, 26:40;42;44. January 1938.
- 14. Dodge, Bernice. Trends in consumer education.

 Journal of home economics, 30:235-38, April
 1938.
- Gordon, Leland J. Economics for consumers. New York, American book company, 1939. 638 p.
- 16. Harap, Henry. The education of the consumer. New York, The Macmillan company, 1924. 360 p.
- 17. Holden, Harriet M. An analysis of consumer habits in the purchase and use of foods with special reference to Bogalusa. Master's thesis, 1939.

 Louisiana State Normal College. (Abstract in: Association of land grant colleges and universities. Home economics section. Notes on graduate studies and research in home economics and home economics education, 1939. Washington, U. S. Office of education. Vocational division, 1939. p. 150.)
- 18. Howe, Harriet R. Consumer education service of the American home economics association. Journal of home economics, 31:10-13, January 1939.
- 19. Hoyt, Elizabeth Ellis. Consumption in our society.
 New York, McGraw-Hill book company, Inc., 1938.
 420 p.
- 20. Jannett, Augusta. A study to determine the food buying habits of homemakers in Yoakum, Texas, to be used as a basis for teaching consumer buying. Master's thesis, 1936. Colorado State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts. 168 p. ms.
- 21. Kennedy, Ada and Vaughn, Cora. Consumer economics. Peoria, Illinois, The Manual Arts press, 1939. 360 p.
- 22. Kyrk, Hazel. Place of economics and business in consumer education. Journal of home economics, 33:302-4, May 1941.
- 23. Marsh, Dorothy. I'd like to know how to cut food costs. Good housekeeping, 109:178-9. October 1939.
- 24. Marsh, Dorothy. More food for the same money. Good housekeeping, 111:82-3, September 1940.

- 25. Montgomery, D. E. You must ask questions. Journal of home economics, 30:688-691, December 1938.
- 26. Montgomery, D. E. Consumer under way. Survey graphic, 27:213-217, April 1938.
- 27. Nystrom, Paul H. Home economics and consumer education. Journal of home economics, 33:145-7, March 1941.
- 28. Nystrom, Paul H. Economic principles of consumption.
 New York, The Ronald press company, 1931.
 586 p.
- 29. Peters, I. W. The buying habits of Winn Parish consumers. Master's thesis, 1940. Louisiana State University. (Abstract in: Association of land grant colleges and universities. Home economics section. Notes on graduate studies and research in home economics and home economics education, 1940-41. Washington, U. S. Office of education. Vocational division, 1941. p. 181.)
- 30. Question grade labels; canners oppose. Business week, p. 28, August 3, 1940.
- 31. Riis, R. W. How much is a dozen? Buying fruits and vegetables by the pound. Readers digest, 38:52, May 1941.
- 32. Shank, Dorothy. The home economist in business regards the education of the consumer. Journal of home economics, 30:625-8, November 1938.
- 33. Shower, L. G. Ever try to feed a family on a dollar a day? Ladies home journal, 57:60, February 1940.
- 34. Tryon, Ruth Wilson. Case history of a consumer.

 Journal of home economics, 30:628-30, November
 1938.
- 35. Van Syckle, Calla. How price and income affect food purchases. Journal of home economics, 32:15-19, January 1940.
- 36. Waite, Warren C. and Cassady, Ralph Jr. The consumer and economic order. New York, McGraw-Hill book company, Inc., 1939. 389 p.
- 37. Warne, Colston E. Consumers on the march. Nation, 144:645-6,675-6, June 12, 1937.