Hail Events in Northeastern Colorado In 1959, Including an Evaluation Of a Hail Suppression Program

Prepared for Presentation to
The American Meteorological Society
Conference on Applied Meteorology
5 April 1960
Santa Barbara, California

By
Richard A. Schleusener

Civil Engineering Department
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado

CER60RAS7

Colorado State University

Department of Atmospheric Science

Paper No. 5

7.6a

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE TECHNICAL PAPER
NUMBER 6

#5

HAIL EVENTS IN NORTHEASTERN COLORADO IN 1959, INCLUDING AN EVALUATION OF A HAIL SUPPRESSION PROGRAM

Prepared for Presentation to
The American Meteorological Society
Conference on Applied Meteorology
5 April 1960
Santa Barbara, California

by

Richard A. Schleusener

Civil Engineering Department
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado

CER60RAS7

ABSTRACT

Characteristics of hail events in northeastern Colorado were studied in connection with a cloud-seeding program for hail suppression in 1959. Although decided differences in these characteristics cannot be associated with the cloud-seeding program, case history analyses indicate that on some occasions there was a decrease in hail intensity and areal extent associated with the seeding operation. A target-control analysis indicates a positive precipitation anomaly associated with the cloud seeding.

HAIL EVENTS IN NORTHEASTERN COLORADO IN 1959, INCLUDING AN EVALUATION OF A HAIL SUPPRESSION PROGRAM

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of hail damage to crops in certain regions is of major concern to the agricultural industry. The amount of damage caused annually by hail is much larger than generally realized. Flora (1)* points out that "More property damage is caused by hail throughout the United States than by tornadoes, and in some years hail damage comes surprisingly close to that of hurricanes . . . . . In many parts of the High Plains between the 100th Meridian and the Rocky Mountains hail destroys, on the average, 8 to 10 per cent of all crops annually." The high rate of hail incidence is reflected in the cost of insuring a crop against hail damage. In many sections of northeastern Colorado the cost of insuring wheat against hail damage is as high as $22.00 for $100.00 of insurance under a standard "10 per cent deductible" policy (2).

In addition to agriculture, the aircraft industry has an interest in hail because of the damage that may be incurred by airplanes when in flight (3,4) or on the ground.

The highest hail occurrence in the nation occurs approximately at the meeting of the Nebraska, Wyoming and Colorado borders. The high crop losses in this region prompted the residents near Scottsbluff, Nebraska, to attempt hail suppression measures as early as 1953 and to continue them through 1958 (5). For the same reasons, a hail suppression operation was

---

* Numbers refer to appended references

organized in northeastern Colorado during the 1958 hail season, and was expanded in 1959. The location of the 3600 square mile area is shown in Fig. 1. The funds necessary to perform the operation were raised by voluntary contributions. The recommended rate was $0.15 per acre for dry land and $0.50 for irrigated land. The contributions averaged about $60.00 per donor. Very few contributions exceeded $100.00 (6). The contributors incorporated as the Northeast Colorado Hail Suppression Association of Sterling, Colorado, and contracted with the Weather Modification Company of San Jose, California in 1959, for conducting the seeding operation. The operation began on 15 May, utilizing 5 aircraft, and approximately 125 ground-based silver iodide generators. Each aircraft was equipped with two silver iodide generators.

A study was made of this operation in 1959 at the request of residents of the suppression area.

II. OBJECTIVE

The objective of the evaluation was two-fold:

1. To study the characteristics of hail events in northeastern Colorado.
2. To utilize such information as would be available from a one-year study to attempt to evaluate the effects of the cloud-seeding program on hail and precipitation.

III. PROCEDURE

Data for the study were collected from two major sources: 1) reports of hail and precipitation by voluntary observers; and 2) hail indicators, which were designed to record impressions of hailstones. Fig. 2 shows the reporting form used by the voluntary observers. Fig. 3 is a schematic drawing of a hail indicator, described in detail elsewhere (7).

Requests for hail reports were mailed to residents of the area living in or near Sections 8 and 18 in each Township in Colorado between Townships 3 and 12N and 42 and 89W inclusive. Cooperators were requested to report hail occurrences by mail, using the forms shown in Fig. 2. A total of 389 such reports were received between 15 May and 15 September 1959.

Approximately 250 hail indicators were located in or near the target area. The routes along which the indicators were located are shown in Fig. 1. Damage to indicators occurred in 358 cases. For these cases the impact energy of the hail (ft-lbs per sq ft) was estimated at the location of the indicators from measurements of the number of dents per square inch and the size of the dents (7).

Other sources of data for the study include:

1. Reports from the Weather Modification Company on locations and times of ground-generator operation and routes and times of seeding by aircraft.
2. Information on the amount and type of hail damage to sugar beets between 1929 and 1959 from the Ovid, Sterling, and Fort Morgan Factory Districts of the Great Western Sugar Company.
3. Reports of precipitation and other weather data from the U. S. Weather Bureau cooperative observers in and near the area.

From these data, a subjective decision was made as to whether or not a particular hail event (reported by cooperators or recorded on an indicator) was considered to have been seeded in time to have possibly affected the hail occurrence. Once made, this decision was not changed in subsequent analyses.

IV. RESULTS

A. PRESENTATION OF BASIC DATA

Summary of events

Fig. 4 gives a summary of pertinent events such as number of days with hail, precipitation, and dates of cloud seeding during the 1959 hail season for northeastern Colorado. Pertinent data for the vicinity of Denver are given for comparison.

Time of hail onset

The time (MST) of hail onset for northeastern Colorado as reported by the cooperative observers is shown in Fig. 5. A comparison with Beckwith's data (8) for Denver is shown in Fig. 6 in terms of accumulative relative frequency.* It can be seen that hail tends to occur later in northeastern Colorado than it does in the Denver area. This observation,

---

* Let n = total number of observations of x

f_i = the number of x's that fall in the i^{th} class of x

$\frac{f_i}{n}$ = the relative frequency with which the observed x's fall into the i^{th} class

Then the accumulated relative frequence in per cent for $x \leq$ the x for the k^{th} class is

$$ARF = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} f_i}{n} \times 100$$

since the target area is farther from front range than Denver, lends support to the hypothesis that the front range of the Rocky Mountains may play a prominent part in the formation of thunderstorm activity which moves from the continental divide eastward across the plains.

Duration of hailfall

Fig. 7 illustrates the frequency distribution of duration of hailfalls in northeastern Colorado for the 1959 season. It will be noted that approximately one-third of the hail events lasted five minutes or less at a given reporting point.

Frequency distribution of number of stones per unit area

The frequency distribution of number of stones per square inch for hailstorms in the region as determined from the hail indicators is shown in Fig. 8. Approximately one-half of all the hailstorms produced fewer than one stone per square inch.

Hail damage paths and cloud-seeding routes

Fig. 9 shows hail damage paths and aircraft seeding paths by months. A hail damage path was arbitrarily defined as hail reported at two or more locations separated in time by thirty minutes or more. The aircraft seeding paths plotted are the mean directions of the zig-zig paths flown by the aircraft when seeding a thunderstorm cell. From the figures it is seen that the general direction of hail paths is from east to west in May, shifting to a generally north-to-south alignment by July.

From Fig. 9, it may be seen that many cells were seeded that did not produce hail, since the relative density of the seeding flights was from five to ten times that of the hail damage paths throughout the season. These seeding flights represent the occurrence and direction of thunderstorm cells considered to be potential hail producers by the meteorologists of the Weather Modification Company.

Hail in relation to 500 mb winds

Fig. 10 illustrates the direction of the 500 mb wind and the deviation of the direction of the hail path from the 500 mb wind on days with hail in northeastern Colorado for 15 May - 15 September 1959.

The 500 mb wind shown in Fig. 10 is the average of Denver and Goodland. The mean direction of this average 500 mb wind for days with hail in northeastern Colorado was 290 degrees. The mean 500 mb wind given by Beckwith (8) for the Denver area was 240 degrees for the period 1949-55. The direction of the hail damage paths follow closely that of the 500 mb wind directions; 65 per cent of the paths are included in a $\pm$ 30 degree deviation from the 500 mb wind direction.

Frequency distribution of hail impact energy values

Accumulated relative frequency of hail impact energy values for non-seeded hail cases for the study region is shown on Fig. 11. Impact energy values were estimated from measurements of the number and sizes of dents produced by the hail on the indicator. The estimates were based on laboratory calibrations (7). The figure shows that 50 per cent of the energy values were less than about 10-15 ft-lbs per square foot. Field experience by the author indicates that for most field crops grown in the area, such as wheat, corn, and sugar beets, damage becomes noticeable for an impact energy value of about 10 ft-lbs per square foot and is usually severe or complete for energy values greater than about 100 ft-lbs sq ft. It has been shown by Schleusener (9) that if cloud seeding were to reduce the diameter of hailstones, then the impact energy resulting from the vertical fall of hail would be reduced if there were no change in the total quantity of precipitation that occurred as hail. However, it is possible that any such beneficial effect could be offset by an increase in the total quantity of precipitation if precipitation were increased by seeding and the proportion that falls as hail remains constant (9).

Precipitation anomalies

Precipitation anomalies for a target area and adjacent areas are shown in Fig. 12.

Hail - Precipitation relations

A rank correlation test (11) was performed to test for a relationship between the impact energy estimated from hail occurrences and the total precipitation concurrent with the hailstorm. The results of the tests are in Table I. Impact energy values were approximated from reports of numbers and sizes of stones and attendant wind received from volunteer observers.

The test indicates that there is a high probability of a positive relationship existing between these two variables. This is consistent with the findings of Beckwith (8) of a relation between summer precipitation and number of hail days. No such correlation could be found by Schleusener (9) between seasonal precipitation and hail damage to sugar beets.

TABLE 1. Results of rank correlation test between hail impact energy (ft-lb/ft^2) and concurrent precipitation (inches)

	Seeded		Non-Seeded	
Month	Number in Sample N	Rank Correlation Coefficient r	Number in Sample N	Rank Correlation Coefficient r
May	85	0.183*	93	0.205*
June	34	-0.129	63	0.219*
July	47	0.383**	38	0.127*
Aug.	5	0.90*		

* Significant at the 95 per cent level
** Significant at the 99 per cent level

B. COMPARISONS MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE CLOUD-SEEDING PROGRAM

Target - Control analysis of precipitation anomalies

A target-control analysis was applied to attempt to detect precipitation anomalies associated with the seeding program. The technique employed was the same as that described by Thom (10), except that all storm periods were used.

The results are shown in Fig. 13. Eleven storms occurred in 1959 between 15 May and 15 September. No single storm in 1959 departed from regression by more than two standard errors; hence no single storm would be considered to depart significantly from what could be expected by chance.

It may be noted from Fig. 13 that of the 11 storms in the 1959 season, one storm fell on the regression line; two fell below, and eight were above the regression lines. The probability of occurrence of eight cases or more out of ten indicating a positive anomaly might be compared to the likelihood of tossing an unbiased coin ten times and getting an 8-2, 9-1, or 10-0 distribution.

Using this type of analysis, the probability of getting 8 or more positive anomalies out of 10 by chance from an unbiased population is .0547.

Frequency distribution of maximum hailstone size

Fig. 14 shows a comparison of the frequency of various maximum sizes of hailstones through the hail season for hailstorms occurring inside and outside the target area of hail suppression operations. (See Fig. 1)

Frequency distribution of most common hailstone size

Fig. 15 shows a similar comparison for the most common stone sizes. Marked differences in stone sizes between seeded and unseeded cases are not evident from Figs. 14 and 15.

Comparison of hail impact energy values for seeded vs non-seeded areas

Fig. 16 shows a comparison of accumulated relative frequency of occurrence of hail impact energy for seeded and non-seeded hail events. Fig. 16 indicates an apparent favorable effect from seeding for the month of May, an apparent unfavorable effect for June and July, and an apparent favorable effect for the season. The following table summarizes the results of the Kruskal and Wallis (12) test that was applied to attempt to detect differences between the seeded and non-seeded cases.

TABLE 2. Summary of Kruskal and Wallis "H" test for differences in the populations of hail impact energy values (E) represented by the samples shown in Fig. 16.

	May	June	July
Seeded median E	4	20	75
Non-seeded median E	20	7	5
N, number in sample	231	49	64
H, adjusted	10.502	1.847	11.864
Probability of exceeding H	.0012**	.17	.0006**

The characteristics of the ranking test are described by Kruskal and Wallis as follows:

"The calculations are simplified ... only very general assumptions are made about the kind of distributions from which the observations come. The only assumptions underlying the use of ranks ... are that the observations are all independent, that all those within a given sample come from a single population, and that the (two) populations are of approximately the same form ... " (12:585).

The results of this ranking test indicate statistically significant differences in the populations of hail impact energy values - but opposite in effect - for May and July. This could be interpreted as evidence for a favorable effect (decrease in hail intensity) for May, but an unfavorable effect (increase in hail intensity) for July.

Comparisons based on case histories

This conflicting evidence of the effects of cloud seeding on hail from the statistical tests leads to a detailed examination of case histories to attempt to find differences connected with the seeding operation. In making such comparisons several approaches are possible. For example, it would be possible to credit the seeding operation with success on those days on which seeding took place and no hail was reported. Such a procedure, however, would be biased in favor of the seeding operation since not all thunderstorms produce hail at the ground. The statistical analysis used above may suffer from an opposite bias since comparisons were made only for those cases in which hail did reach the ground for seeded cases. This approach does not give any credit for a possible effect of complete suppression of hail.

The approach followed in making case history studies was as follows: Cases were examined in which it was possible to make comparisons of changes in hail (in terms of impact energy values, and areal extent), either between seeded and unseeded storms, or before and after seeding of a single storm. When such comparisons were possible, evidence was sought to test three possible hypotheses regarding the effect of seeding operations on hail. The hypotheses and their implications are:

1. Seeding operations produce an increase in hail.
    a. From a storm producing hail, an increase in hail accompanies seeding treatment.
    b. When meteorological conditions are similar, a seeded hailstorm is more severe than a non-seeded one.

2. Seeding operations produce no effect on hail.

   a. From a storm producing hail, no significant change in hail accompanies seeding treatment.

   b. When meteorological conditions are similar, seeded and unseeded hailstorms are similar.

3. Seeding operations produce a decrease in hail.

   a. From a cloud producing hail, a decrease in hail accompanies seeding treatment.

   b. When meteorological conditions are similar, a seeded hailstorm is less severe than a non-seeded one.

The first hypothesis is refuted by incidents of seeding and no hail increase. The second is refuted by cases of seeding and a marked change in hail intensity, and the third hypothesis is refuted by incidents of seeding and no decrease in hail intensity.

In addition to examining evidence related to these three hypotheses, the occurrence of "days with parallel storm paths" was noted. A "day with a parallel storm path" was defined as a day in which there were one or more seeded storm paths that did not produce hail that were parallel to a hail path. The significance of such a day is that the occurrence of a hail path indicates that meteorological conditions were such that hail was possible (because it was observed at the ground). In addition, the existence of such parallel paths that were seeded indicate that a complete suppression of hail might have occurred.

Examples and a discussion of three case histories are given in the appendix. A summary of case history analyses for the 1959 season is given below.

hypothesis. Cases of this type included those in which hail occurred in unseeded areas alone or seeded areas alone, and cases in which seeding was present and no hail occurred. Cases for which records were relatively incomplete tended to support the second hypotheses.

As indicated in Fig. 4 there were a total of 24 days on which there existed a seeded storm path that did not produce hail that was parallel to another storm path that did produce hail.

These case-history comparisons tend to support the hypothesis that seeding operations produced a decrease in hail. The days with "parallel paths" indicate occasions in which there could have been a complete hail suppression effect.

Complicating factors

Attempts to determine the effects of seeding on hail intensity during 1959 in the study are complicated by two additional factors. The area included in the target has a higher crop-hail insurance rate than the adjacent area that was used in comparing hail events,* indicating that the former area probably has a higher natural hail hazard. In addition, parts of the target area received a greater amount of precipitation in 1959 than adjacent areas used for comparison purposes. The target-control analysis mentioned previously suggests that this anomaly may have been associated with the seeding operation, but it is not possible to determine if the proportion of precipitation that fell as hail was more or less than would have occurred in the absence of the seeding operation.

---

* Average rate inside the area was $17.75, and the average rate outside was $15.30. Median rates were $18.00 and $15.00 per hundred dollars, respectively.

Summary of Comparisons

Table 4 summarizes the comparisons that were made in attempts to find differences associated with the seeding program.

TABLE 4. Summary of comparisons used to attempt to find differences associated with the cloud-seeding operation

Phenomenon Compared	Comparisons Between	Reference	Indications
1. Precipitation amounts	Target vs control	Fig. 13	Probable precipitation increase
2. Frequency distribution of maximum hailstone size	Inside vs outside	Fig. 14	Inconclusive
3. Frequency distribution of most common hailstone size	Inside vs outside	Fig. 15	Inconclusive
4. Hail impact energy	Seeded vs non-seeded	Fig. 16 and Table 2	Conflicting: Favorable for May; unfavorable for July
5. Case histories study a. Changes in hail intensity	Seeded vs non-seeded storms	Table 3	Favorable effect
b. Changes in hail intensity	Before and after seeding a single storm	Table 3	Favorable effect
c. Days with "Parallel Paths"	Seeded vs non-seeded storms	Fig. 4	Favorable effect

The apparently unfavorable indication from July for hail impact energy (item 4 in Table 4) merits further attention, since this comparison is the primary evidence for a possible unfavorable effect from the seeding operation. There are several possible explanations:

(1) The effect may be real.

(2) The effect may be caused by a lack of independence in the observations in July. The spacing of the hail indicators on the routes shown in Fig. 1 averaged about 5 miles on east-west lines and about 1 mile on north-south lines. Since the general direction of movement of the storms changed from west-to-east in May to north-to-south in July (Fig. 9) the observations in July may not meet the requirement for independence.

(3) In July the observational program was somewhat curtailed, particularly outside the target area. This factor could tend to produce the apparent unfavorable effect noted in July.

Summary of Evaluation of the Seeding Operation

The results of this study are based on limited observations made during an operational program and are not based on complete observations taken during a designed experiment. For this reason the results cannot be considered as conclusive, but rather of a preliminary nature. The evidence at hand suggests the following preliminary evaluation of the effects of cloud seeding on hail and precipitation:

1. Cloud seeding probably was associated with decreases in hail intensity and areal extent in some cases during the summer of 1959 in northeastern Colorado.

2. In other cases no changes could be detected in hail intensity and areal extent associated with cloud seeding.

3. A few cases suggest that there might have been an increase in hail intensity associated with the cloud seeding.

4. A comparison of hail events from 15 May - 15 September indicates a reduction in hail impact energy (considered to be related to crop damage) associated with the seeding (Fig. 16). However, the differences observed are small, and are not considered statistically significant.

5. A target-control analysis of precipitation indicates a positive precipitation anomaly for the area included in the cloud-seeding program.

As is true with evaluation of precipitation increases, the determination of what hail would have been without seeding is most difficult. Analyses of data from a carefully designed experiment offers the promise of providing more positive and complete information in a minimum of time.

V. SUMMARY

Hailstorms in northeastern Colorado exhibit characteristics comparable to those of storms in the vicinity of Denver.

Comparisons of hailstone sizes for seeded and non-seeded hailstorms do not provide conclusive evidence for effects of cloud seeding.

Comparisons of hailstorms on a case-history basis seem to provide the strongest evidence for a decrease in hail associated with cloud seeding. This apparently favorable effect is also suggested for the season by comparing hail impact energy values for seeded and non-seeded cases. In a target-control analysis of precipitation, 8 out of 10 storms in 1959 indicated a positive precipitation anomaly associated with the seeding program. The likelihood of getting 8 or more positive anomalies out of 10 cases by chance from an unbiased population is .0547.

The study was based on observations made during an operational program of cloud seeding and was not a designed experiment. Conclusions reached regarding the probable effect of cloud seeding are tentative. Further study is essential for greater confidence in the results.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges the assistance of the residents of the study area who supplied reports, and the cooperation of the Weather Modification Company for providing information on the cloud-seeding operation.

Support for this study was provided by a grant from the National Science Foundation. Mr. Gerald D. Berndt supplied valuable assistance in connection with statistical analyses.

REFERENCES

1. Flora, S. D., 1956: Hailstorms of the United States, University of Oklahoma Press.

2. Crop-hail Insurance Acturial Association. Rates and rules for crop-hail insurance, Colorado. 1959.

3. Beckwith, W. B., 1956: Hail observations in the Denver area. United Airlines Meteorology Circular No. 40.

4. Souter, R. K., and J. B. Emerson. 1952: Summary of available hail literature and the effect of hail on aircraft in flight. National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Technical Note 2734.

5. Frank, S. R. Survey and history of hail-suppression operation in the United States. Final Report of the Advisory Committee on Weather Control, Volume II, 1957. p 264.

6. Rudel, A. K. Personal communication. (Mr. Rudel was president of the Association sponsoring the hail-suppression program).

7. Schleusener, R. A., and P. C. Jennings. An energy method of estimating hail intensity, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. (Scheduled for publication in April or May 1960).

8. Beckwith, W. B. Characteristics of Denver Hailstorms, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 38, No. 1. January, 1957. pp 21-30.

9. Schleusener, Richard A. Hailstorm damage to crops in northeastern Colorado and an analysis of precipitation anomalies associated with a cloud-seeding program in 1959. A paper presented at the American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists in Salt Lake City, Utah, 2 February 1960. Submitted for publication in the Journal of the American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists.

10. Thom, H. C. S. 1957. A statistical method of evaluating augmentation of precipitation by cloud seeding. Final report of the Advisory Committee on Weather Control. Vol. II. U. S. Gov't. Printing Office. pp 10-13.

11. Dixon, W. J., and F. J. Massey, Jr., 1957. Introduction to statistical analysis. p 294-5.

12. Kruskal, William H., and W. Allen Wallis. Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. Journal of the American Statistical Association 47(260):583-621, December 1952.

LIST OF FIGURES

13. Target - control analysis for precipitation anomalies. Values given are the normalized transformed precipitation totals for control (τ_x) and target (τ_y) stations.

14. Relative frequency of occurrence of various maximum hailstone sizes for hailstorms occurring inside and outside the target area of hail-suppression operations for the period 15 May - 15 September 1959.

15. Comparison of relative frequency of occurrence of various sizes of hailstones that were most common for hailstorms inside and outside the target area of hail-suppression operations for the period 15 May - 15 September 1959.

16. Comparison of accumulated relative frequencies of hail impact energy values (ft-lbs per sq. ft) for seeded and non-seeded hail cases, based on measurements from 344 hail indicators in northeastern Colorado in 1959.

17. Case history of 19 May 1959. Circles show location of hail reports and include the time of hail occurrence (MST), the estimated hail impact energy in ft-lbs per sq. ft, and the diameter of the maximum hailstone reported. Uncircled figures indicate locations and times of ground generator operation. Arrows show the general routes and times of cloud seeding by aircraft. The lower figure shows the amount of precipitation received (in inches).

18. Case history of 30 May 1959. Symbols are the same as for Fig. 17.

19. Case history of 12 July 1959. Symbols are the same as for Fig. 17.

APPENDIX

CASE HISTORY STUDIES FOR 19 MAY, 30 MAY AND 12 JULY

Storm of 19 May 1959

Synoptic situation: Between 1100 and 2300 MST a cold front moved from southeastern Wyoming through the target area to a position between Omaha and Dodge City. Winds at 500 mb were from the southwest at 35-45 knots in advance of a trough line located between Salt Lake City and southern California.

Areas of hail damage reports: Fig. 17 shows that most of the reports of hail came from south of the target area.

Special observations: Personal observation by the author from a point about 20 miles east of Sterling indicated distinct differences in cloud forms apparently associated with the seeding operation. Surface and upper air winds were from the south prior to passage of the line of thunderstorms. It is therefore reasonable to believe that thunderstorms that were north of the southern border of the target area were seeded, and that those that were south of this line were not. Differences in cloud form were estimated to correspond approximately to this dividing line. North of this line clouds had a decided ice crystal appearance, but to the south were distinctly water-droplet type clouds.

Comparisons: This day was one in which comparisons could be made between seeded storms (inside the target area) and unseeded storms (south of the southern border of the target area). The reports received indicate less hail in the seeded region.

Comparison of rainfall amounts indicates that considerably more precipitation occurred in the seeded region inside of the target area than in the non-seeded region immediately south of the southern border of the target area.

Conclusion: Analysis of this case history indicates good evidence for a favorable effect from the seeding operation in that it was apparently associated with a reduction in hail damage and an increase in precipitation.

Storm of 30 May 1959

Synoptic situation: A wave moved from western Colorado into central Kansas on this date, giving widespread precipitation and hail damage in northeastern Colorado. Winds at 500 mb were from WSW at about 40 knots in advance of a trough in western Montana, Idaho and northern Nevada.

Areas of hail damage reports: Fig. 18 shows that hail fell in much of northeastern Colorado. The most severe damage path began near Fort Morgan and moved eastward to the Colorado-Nebraska border. (The last half of this damage path is not shown on Fig. 18.)

Special observations: Reconnaissance of the area along the western border of the target area showed a region where hail intensity and areal extent decreased concurrently with the beginning of seeding. However, as the storms moved eastward, hail increased in the vicinity of Sterling, then again decreased to zero as the storms moved toward Holyoke. In contrast, the storm that began near Fort Morgan continued eastward without significant change in intensity until it reached the vicinity of the Colorado-Nebraska border.

Comparisons: On this day, both types of comparisons can be made. A comparison on the basis of before and after seeding an individual storm can be made on the storm that moved through Sterling. A comparison between seeded and unseeded storms can be made for the storm paths that passed through Fort Morgan and Sterling.

On this date, maximum precipitation and maximum hail coincided.

Conclusion: This case history gives conflicting evidence, since west of Sterling, seeding was concurrent with an increase in hail intensity. The most striking feature of this case history is the contrast between the continuous hail damage path from Fort Morgan to Wray and the hail damage path that diminished between Sterling and Holyoke.

Storm of 12 July 1959

Synoptic situation: No fronts affected the target area on this date as a weak high pressure cell moved from eastern Nebraska into southern Illinois. Strong southerly winds at the surface combined with 500 mb winds of 30 knots from the northwest brought increasing instability to northeastern Colorado. Individual thunderstorm cells of great severity moved from north to south.

Areas of hail damage reports: Fig. 19 shows that the most severe damage came from a cell which developed west of Sidney, Nebraska and moved through the entire target area to more than 50 miles south of the southern border of the target area. A second system developed later in the day east of Sidney, Nebraska, but did not produce hail beyond the center of the target area.

Special observations: Hail damage decreased after seeding began as the first cell moved into the target area. However, the cell intensified again north of Sterling. Hail damage was lighter from south of Sterling to the southern border of the target, then became severe following termination of seeding; and continued to give severe damage for at least 50 additional miles. Inside the target area, areas of precipitation and hail damage coincided.

Comparisons: Comparisons could be made before and after seeding individual storms.

Conclusion: Evidence from this case history suggests that hail had decreased following treatment, and increased following termination of seeding. However, this case is listed as giving conflicting evidence, since some intensification of hail took place north of Sterling concurrent with seeding.


Kimball
Sidney
Ogallala
R59W
T12N
NEBRASKA
COLORADO
R41W
T12N
Target Area
Sterling—Base of Operations
Holyoke
Imperial
Inside Area
Border Area
Seeding Project Boundary
Fort Morgan
Otis
T2N
R59W
T2N
R43W
-----Hail Indicator Routes

Fig. 1


COVER
LIGHT GAGE
ALUMINUM FOIL
HEAVY GAGE
ALUMINUM FOIL
STYROFOAM
STAND
FENCE POST

FIG 3

Hail Days At Sterling Airport

1.0

INCHES 0.5

Daily Precipitation At Sterling

Thunderstorm Days At Sterling

Hail Days At Denver

1.0

INCHES 0.5

0

Daily Precipitation At Denver

Hail Days In UAL Hail Network

Hail Days Inside Target Area

Hail Days Outside Target Area

Days With Cloud Seeding By Ground Generators

Days With Cloud Seeding By Aircraft

Days With "Parallel Paths"


May
June
July
August
September
Season Total
4
7.30
25
1
4.19
8
25
25
42
47
24

Fig 4


May 15-31
204 Reports
Time of Hail Onset
June
88 Reports
Percent of Hail Reports
Time of Hail Onset
July
101 Reports
Percent of Hail Reports
Time of Hail Onset
Season
15 May – 15 September
393 Reports
Percent of Hail Reports
Time of Hail Onset

Fig. 5



Accumulative Relative Frequency
Time Of Hail Onset
Northeast Colorado, 1959
Denver - Beckwith's Data
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
900
1100
1300
1500
1700
1900
2100
2300

Fig. 6


35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Percent of Hail Reports
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
Hail Duration Time in Minutes

Fig. 7


200
160
120
80
40
0
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
Number Of Observations
Number Of Stones Per Square Inch

Fig. 8


SIDNEY
AIRCRAFT SEEDING ROUTES - MAY
STERLING
HOLYOKE
WRAY
MAY 1959


HAIL DAMAGE PATHS - MAY
SIDNEY
STERLING
HOLYOKE
WRAY
MAY 1959

Fig. 9a


SIDNEY
AIRCRAFT SEEDING ROUTES JUNE
STERLING
HOLYOKE
WRAY
JUNE 1959


SIDNEY
HAIL DAMAGE PATHS - JUNE
STERLING
HOLYOKE
WRAY
JUNE 1959

Fig. 9b


SIDNEY
AIRCRAFT SEEDING ROUTES JULY
STERLING
HOLYOKE
WRAY
JULY 1959


SIDNEY
HAIL DAMAGE PATHS JULY
STERLING
HOLYOKE
WRAY
JULY 1959

Fig. 9c


MEAN DIRECTION 290°
500 MB WIND ON HAIL DAYS
5-20 KNOTS
21-30 KNOTS
> 30 KNOTS
ONE EVENT
NUMBER OF CASES
10
8
6
4
2
COUNTERCLOCKWISE
CLOCKWISE
120°
90°
60°
30°
0°
30°
60°
90°
120°
DEPARTURE FROM 500 MB WIND DIRECTION OF HAIL DAMAGE PATH

Fig. 10


100
80
60
40
20
0
Accumulated Relative Frequency
0
40
80
120
160
200
240
280
Energy Value Foot Pounds Per Square Foot

Fig. 11

INCHES DEPARTURE FROM NORMAL PRECIPITATION, MAY - AUGUST 1959



PERCENTAGE OF NORMAL PRECIPITATION, MAY — AUGUST 1959



Fig. 12


PERCENT OF MONTHLY REPORTS
MAY
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100%
NO. OF REPORTS
INSIDE
88
OUTSIDE
75
JUNE
INSIDE
46
OUTSIDE
37
JULY
INSIDE
28
OUTSIDE
31
SEASON
INSIDE
162
OUTSIDE
143
SHOT < 1/4"
CURRANT 1/4"
PEA 1/2"
GRAPE 3/4"
WALNUT 1"-1 1/4"
GOLFBALL 1 3/4"-2"
MAXIMUM HAIL SIZE

Fig. 14



Percent Of Monthly Reports
May
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100%
No. of Reports
Inside
85
Outside
72
June
Inside
24
Outside
38
July
Inside
43
Outside
31
Season
Inside
152
Outside
141
Shot < 1/4"
Currant 1/4"
Pea 1/2"
Grape 3/4"
Walnut 1"-1 1/4"
Golf Ball 1 3/4"-2"
Most Common Hail Size

Fig. 15


June
21 Cases Seeded
28 Cases Unseeded
Season
150 Cases Seeded
194 Cases Unseeded
May 15-31
106 Cases Seeded
125 Cases Unseeded
July
23 Cases Seeded
41 Cases Unseeded
Energy Value
Accumulated Relative Frequency

Fig. 16



19 MAY 1959
Sterling
Fort Morgan
Julesburg
Holyoke
Sidney
Kimball
Ogallala
Imperial
Wray
Otis
Yuma
Wyoming
Nebraska
Colorado
Kansas
19 MAY 1959

Fig. 17


Kimball
Sidney
Wyoming
Nebraska
Colorado
Julesburg
Sterling
Fort Morgan
Wray

Fig. 18


Wyoming
Colorado
Nebraska
Colorado
Kimball
Sidney
Julesburg
Holyoke
Sterling
Fort Morgan
Wray
Colorado

Fig. 19