

COLORADO FOREST LAND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

COMPONENT LIST AND MEASUREMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The following list contains the Technical Practice Code, Description, Unit of measure, and per unit Maximum Cost-Share Rate for all eligible components of the Colorado Forest Land Enhancement Program. The Maximum Cost- Share Rate represents 50% of the actual cost, not to exceed the amount listed.

FLEP Practice	Code	COMPONENT DESCRIPTION	Measurement Guideline*	Unit	Maximum C/S Rate
1	30-1	Plan Development: (20-120 acres)	1	Acre	\$ 10.00
1	30-2	Plan Development: (121-320 acres)	1	Acre	\$ 7.50
1	30-3	Plan Development: (321 or more acres)	1	Acre	\$ 4.75 up to \$6,000 Max.
2,4-6,10	36	Tree Shelters	4	Each	\$ 1.00
3,6,8,9	314-1	Brush Management (chemical)	2	Acre	\$ 100.00
8	314-2	Brush Management (mechanical)	2	Acre	\$ 200.00
2,3,6,8	338-1	Prescribed Burn	2	Acre	\$ 100.00
9	338-2	Prescribed Burn (interface broadcast burn)	2	Acre	\$ 200.00
10	342-1	Critical Area Planting (seed and broadcast or drilling)	2	Acre	\$ 60.00
10	342-2	Critical Area Planting (contour log felling)	2	Acre	\$ 250.00
10	342-3	Critical Area Planting (straw wattles)	2	Acre	\$ 250.00
10	342-4	Critical Area Planting (gabions, installed)	4	Cu. Yard	\$ 50.00
4	380	Windbreak and Shelterbelt Establishment (includes seedlings, site preparation and planting)	4	Per Tree	\$ 1.00
2-8,10	382-1	Fencing (barbwire)	3	Foot	\$.75
2-8,10	382-2	Fencing (woven)	3	Foot	\$ 1.00
5	391	Riparian Forest Buffer (includes site prep, plants and planting)	4	Per Tree	\$ 2.50
2,3,4,9	394	Firebreak	3	Foot	\$.10
4	441	Irrigation System, Trickle/Drip (from on-site water source)	4	Per Tree	\$ 1.50
2,4,5,6,10	484-1	Mulching (weed barrier fabric or plastic with mulch cover, includes installation).	4	Sq. Foot	\$.05
10	484-2	Mulching (straw on seeded slopes)	2	Acre	\$150.00
2,10	490-1	Forest Site Preparation - Light	2	Acre	\$ 15.00
2,10	490-2	Forest Site Preparation - Medium	2	Acre	\$ 30.00
2,10	490-3	Forest Site Preparation - Heavy	2	Acre	\$ 45.00
5,6,8	550	Range Planting (seed and broadcast or drilling)	2	Acre	\$ 60.00
6	574	Spring Development	4	Each	\$ 750.00

5,6	580-1	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (rock -rip rap)	4	Cu. Yard	\$ 25.00
5,6	580-2	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (gabions, installed)	4	Cu. Yard	\$ 50.00
5	580-3	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (stream crossings)	4	Each	\$ 600.00
5	587	Structure for Water Control (culvert installation)	4	Each	\$ 400.00
2,3,10	595	Pest Management (herbaceous)	2	Acre	\$ 20.00
2,6,10	612-1	Tree/Shrub Establishment (seedling and planting)	4	Per Tree	\$ 1.50
2,10	612-2	Tree/Shrub Establishment (direct seeding)	2	Acre	\$ 50.00
6	648	Wildlife Water Facility (includes potholes)	4	Each	\$ 200.00
4	650	Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (tree removal)	2	Acre	\$ 400.00
3,4,7,9	660	Tree/Shrub Pruning	2	Acre	\$ 75.00
3,7,9	666-1	Forest Stand Improvement - Thinning (includes assessment and marking)	2	Acre	\$ 500.00
3,7,9	666-2	Slash Disposal (burning)	2	Acre	\$ 100.00
3,7,9	666-3	Slash Disposal (chipping)	2	Acre	\$ 300.00
3,7,9	666-4	Slash Disposal (hauling)	2	Acre	\$ 300.00
3,7	666-5	Slash Treatment (chemical/solar)	2	Acre	\$ 200.00
9	666-6	Forest Stand Improvement (fuel break)	2	Acre	\$ 1,200.00
9	666- 6.302	Defensible Space	4	Each	\$ 1,200.00

***Measurement Guidelines**

When measuring treatment area to determine cost-shared units, measure according to the following guidelines:

1. Landowner Forest Stewardship Plan Development (#30) acreage should include only actual acres planned. For a given property this must include all currently forested acreage and acreage planned to be treated by a FLEP practice. Plan acreage can include additional non-forested acreage only if those acres are specifically evaluated on a multiple resource basis and are included in long-term recommendations for the use of woody vegetation to meet landowner objectives.
2. Components that are intended to treat a “block” of land should be measured using standard area calculations based on the perimeter of the “block”.
3. Components intended to be conducted in a “linear” fashion. The linear feet installed or treated should be measured.
4. Components are simply cost-shared on a per unit or project basis.

COLORADO FOREST LAND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

COMPONENT LIST AND MEASUREMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The following list contains the Technical Practice Code, Description, Unit of measure, and per unit Maximum Cost-Share Rate for all eligible components of the Colorado Forest Land Enhancement Program. The Maximum Cost- Share Rate represents 50% of the actual cost, not to exceed the amount listed.

FLEP Practice	Code	COMPONENT DESCRIPTION	Measurement Guideline*	Unit	Maximum C/S Rate
3,4,7,9	660	Tree/Shrub Pruning	2	Acre	\$ 75.00
3,7,9	666-1	Forest Stand Improvement - Thinning (includes assessment and marking)	2	Acre	\$ 500.00
3,7,9	666-2	Slash Disposal (burning)	2	Acre	\$ 100.00
3,7,9	666-3	Slash Disposal (chipping)	2	Acre	\$ 300.00
3,7,9	666-4	Slash Disposal (hauling)	2	Acre	\$ 300.00
3,7	666-5	Slash Treatment (chemical/solar)	2	Acre	\$ 200.00
9	666-6	Forest Stand Improvement (fuel break)	2	Acre	\$ 1,200.00
9	666-6.302	Defensible Space	4	Each	\$ 1,200.00

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 19, 2006

TO: Fort Collins District

FROM: Jan Hackett

RE: 2006 FLEP Allocation

Thank you for submitting your Forest Land Enhancement Program (FLEP) request for 2006 funding. A total of \$292,350 in requests was received. The federal allocation to Colorado was \$67,907. The Fort Collins District received \$5,000. You may accept applications and begin work.



COLORADO'S
FLEP FOREST LAND
ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM
APPLICATION FOR COST-SHARE

PROJECT NUMBER: _____
(For Official Use Only)

NAME: SAMPLE
MAILING ADDRESS: MY HOUSE
City: OUR TOWN State: CO
Zipcode: XXXXX
TELEPHONE NO: 970-123-4567

PROJECT ADDRESS/LEGAL DESCRIPTION: _____

PRACTICES TO BE COMPLETED BY: _____

Practice No. & Component Title	Quantity Requested	Quantity Approved	Maximum C/S Amount	C/S Amount Requested	C/S Amount Approved
FLEP3, 666-1, Thinning	5 acres		2500	2500	

Total: _____

Request for cost-share assistance under this program is to meet the objective stated in the management plan. If cost-sharing is approved for the practice requested, I agree to cover expenses at the time of implementation, knowing I will be receiving cost-share funds not exceeding 50% of actual cost. **I understand that I will not be reimbursed for any expenses incurred prior to approval of my application.** Work must be completed according to approved plan and application, and must meet the standard set for each component. Practices must be maintained for a minimum of 10 years. There are no partial payments.

LANDOWNER SIGNATURE: Ms Sample DATE: X June 2003

CSFS FIELD REVIEW SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____
(Additional USFWS guidelines addressed)

C/S APPROVED: _____ AMOUNT: \$ _____ DATE: _____

Program eligibility is without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, gender, sexual orientation, veteran status or disability. For more information contact your local Colorado State Forest Service District Office.



COLORADO'S
FLEP FOREST LAND
ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM
APPLICATION FOR COST-SHARE

PROJECT NUMBER: _____
(For Official Use Only)

NAME: SAMPLE
MAILING ADDRESS: my House
City: Our Town State: CO
Zipcode: XXXXX
TELEPHONE NO: 970-123-4567

PROJECT ADDRESS/LEGAL DESCRIPTION: SW 1/4, NE 1/4 Sec 1
T8N R21W
PRACTICES TO BE COMPLETED BY: Dec. 15, 2006

Practice No. & Component Title	Quantity Requested	Quantity Approved	Maximum C/S Amount	C/S Amount Requested	C/S Amount Approved
<u>FLEP3, 666-1, Thinning</u>	<u>1 1/2 acres</u>		<u>750</u>	<u>750</u>	

Total: _____

Request for cost-share assistance under this program is to meet the objective stated in the management plan. If cost-sharing is approved for the practice requested, I agree to cover expenses at the time of implementation, knowing I will be receiving cost-share funds not exceeding 50% of actual cost. **I understand that I will not be reimbursed for any expenses incurred prior to approval of my application.** Work must be completed according to approved plan and application, and must meet the standard set for each component. Practices must be maintained for a minimum of 10 years. There are no partial payments.

LANDOWNER SIGNATURE: Mr. Sample DATE: X June 2006

CSFS FIELD REVIEW SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____
(Additional USFWS guidelines addressed)

C/S APPROVED: _____ AMOUNT: \$ _____ DATE: _____

Program eligibility is without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, gender, sexual orientation, veteran status or disability. For more information contact your local Colorado State Forest Service District Office.

SUMMARY OF 2003 FLEP ACCOUNT 536723 AS OF 11/22/04

District	Amount Spent	Amount Available	Status
Alamosa	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	finished
Boulder	\$17,375.89	\$9,224.11	open
Canon City	\$1,000.00	\$10,000.00	obligated \$10,000.00
Durango	\$12,522.96	\$2,477.04	1 project remaining
Fort Collins	\$23,240.00	\$9,260.00	open
Fort Morgan	\$2,845.81	\$2,004.19	open
Franktown	\$14,346.98	\$653.02	finished
Golden	\$23,509.35	\$10,490.65	open
Granby	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	finished
Gunnison	\$942.99	\$14,057.01	open
La Junta	\$15,653.99	\$3,564.01	open
La Veta	\$4,150.00	\$0.00	finished
Montrose	\$0.00	\$5,000.00	open
Salida	\$400.00	\$0.00	finished
Steamboat Springs	\$10,050.00	\$0.00	finished
State Forest	\$2,232.00	\$0.00	finished
Woodland Park	\$0.00	\$15,000.00	open
TOTALS:	\$158,269.97	\$81,730.03	



June 13, 2003

Fort Collins District
Foothills Campus, Building 1052
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-5075
(970) 491-8660
FAX: (970) 491-8645

Dear Forest Landowner

Colorado State Forest Service has received a grant for Forest Land Enhancement of non industrial forest lands. These cost share grants are available to any private individual and group. See attached for definitions. Attached are listings of approved practices, maximum reimbursement amounts and an application. More detailed information is available if needed.

There are limited funds available and not all applicants will be successful. Applications will be evaluated August 1, 2003. Notifications will be made soon after. Those awarded grants will have 12 months to complete projects.

If you are interested in applying for a FLEP grant, please complete the attached application and return to:

Colorado State Forest Service
Fort Collins District
Foothills, CSU
5075 Campus Delivery
Fort Collins, CO 80523-5075

If you need additional information please contact us at 491-8660.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Mike Babler".

Mike Babler
District Forester

Mike Babler

From: Jan Hackett [jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 9:09 AM
To: mbabler@lamar.colostate.edu
Cc: Tom Ostermann
Subject: RE: LAP

Mike,

It's good that you are working with the County. Yes you can use FLEP funds for fuels reduction providing there is funding in the program. Do you have all of your \$34,000 (FLEP) obligated?

Jan

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Babler [mailto:mbabler@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 8:13 AM
To: 'Jan Hackett'
Subject: RE: LAP

Jan, we are going to meet with Larimer County and see how we can work together next year, assuming they get their money via Rich. If they do get it, will the district still have the option to apply for FLEP. FYI, we were in a bit of competition with the county program last year, both awarding \$ and assistance for dspaces. Seems like our approach vs county hit a different audience, or at least we had plenty of takers, so anyway we will be talking to avoid competition!

-----Original Message-----

From: Jan Hackett [mailto:jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2003 2:14 PM
To: chuck.kostecka@colostate.edu
Cc: A. Vince Urbina; Andrew J. Schlosberg; Boyd O. Lebeda; Brenda W. Orth; Brian C. Ayers; Cathy Jauregui; Charles A. Kostecka; Clarence K. Morey; Craig D. Jones; D. Kent Grant; Damon M. Lange; Daniel E. Ochocki; Daniel F Wand; David A. Farmer; David Allen Owen; David H. Root; Denise L. Dulac; Dennis L. Will; Donna H. Davis; Elizabeth L. Anderson; F. Chuck Dennis; Holly M. Asmus; James M. Fischer; Janis R. Meeseey; Jeffrey D. Burns; Jennifer C. Chase; Joel R. Stewart; John Twitchell; John W. Denison; John W. Grieve; Judith M. Simmons; Karyl K. Scarlett; Kathryn Y. Hardgrave; Kathy R. Porter; Kelly J. Rogers; Kristin L. Garrison; L. M. Allen Gallamore; Linda S. Jones; Marcia L. Chesnut; Mark L. Reichel; Melanie L. Moorman; Melinda C. Overstreet; Michael E. Harvey; Michael G. Babler; Michael G. Bahm; Michael M. Hughes; Patrick L. McLaughlin; Paul R. Janzen; Peggy J. Hiller; Peter M. Barth; Robert L. Irvine; Ronald A. Gosnell; Ronald J. Cousineau; Russell W. Lewis; Scott M. Woods; Stephanie L. Scott; Steven L. Hart; Terry L. Wattles; Tim G. Reader; Tom D. Wardle; Vaughn T. Jones
Subject: RE: LAP

Chuck,

The future of FLEP and its funding is an uncertainty. I met with the Stewardship Committee and am receiving input as to distribution of 2004 funds. My hope is that we will see the program process and its funding the same next year (2004) as we have had this year (2003).

Districts, please contact me if you haven't obligated all of your 2003 funds yet (and don't think you will). There are others who could use the funds now.

Thanks,

Jan

-----Original Message-----

From: Chuck Kostecka [mailto:chuck.kostecka@colostate.edu]

Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2003 12:51 PM

To: Jan Hackett

Subject: LAP

Jan,

I have a couple of landowners who might be interested in this program for 04. Could you tell me the status? We have obligated our 03 FLEP to Flying W Ranch. What about 04 \$\$ allocations?

Chuck

Fort Collins District
Foothills Campus, Building 1052
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523
(970) 491-8445
FAX (970) 491-8645



MEMORANDUM

Date: October 1, 2003
TO: FLEP & WUI/D-space grant files
FROM: Dave Farmer *DAF*
Subject: Statistics

FLEP Grant

# Applications Received:	28
Total \$ Amount Requested:	\$121,315
Average Request \$ Amount:	\$4,333
Largest \$ Amount Requested:	\$17,600
Smallest \$ Amount Requested:	\$650
 # Applications Approved:	 20
Total \$ Amount Approved*:	\$34,000
Average Approval \$ Amount:	\$1,700
Total Acres Treated (Planned):	40.5 acres
Estimated Cost/Acre Treated:	\$1679/acre

* Only 1 project received the total amount requested (\$800 project).

WUI Grant

# Applications Received:	10
Total \$ Amount Requested:	\$77,493
Average Request \$ Amount:	\$7,749
Largest \$ Amount Requested:	\$25,350
Smallest \$ Amount Requested:	\$2,400
 # Applications Approved:	 5
Total \$ Amount Approved*:	\$15,000
Average Approval \$ Amount:	\$3,000
Total Acres Treated (Planned):	16.75 acres
Estimated Cost/Acre Treated:	\$1,791/acre

* No project received total amount requested.

Mike Babler

From: Jan Hackett [jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Monday, September 08, 2003 11:21 AM
To: mbabler@lamar.colostate.edu
Subject: RE: Additional funds

Mike,

It just means that the application is signed and that the funds are "obligated" towards that project (so they won't be used elsewhere). It looks like you can do that with the additional \$19,000 without any problem?

I'm in the office this week if you want to discuss this.
Thanks,
Jan

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Babler [mailto:mbabler@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Monday, September 08, 2003 9:00 AM
To: 'Jan Hackett'
Subject: RE: Additional funds

does obligated mean receipts in hand, or signed agreement with the property owners

-----Original Message-----

From: Jan Hackett [mailto:jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2003 4:16 PM
To: Patrick L. McLaughlin; Michael G. Babler
Cc: Duda, Joe; Tom Ostermann
Subject: Additional funds

Mike, Pat,

If you each had an additional \$19,000 could you have it obligated by the 19th? Mike, I know yours is for FLEP 9. Pat, you were getting a couple of plans written, would most of this be used for FLEP 9 also (without looking at your spreadsheet)?

Thanks,
Jan

Jan Hackett
Forest Management Division
Colorado State Forest Service
970-491-6303
jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu

Mike Babler

From: Jan Hackett [jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2003 11:23 AM
To: Michael Hughes
Cc: Michael G. Babler
Subject: RE: Forest Land Enhancement Program

Mike,

Information on FLEP 9 is attached. Before considering using FLEP please be aware that the Fort Collins District was allocated \$15,000 for WUI Incentives. That should be used prior for fuels mitigation prior to using FLEP. Get with Mike B.

Thanks,
Jan

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Hughes [mailto:mhughes@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2003 4:55 PM
To: Jan Hackett
Subject: Fw: Forest Land Enhancement Program

Jan,

Is there any information I can give Wayne regarding FLEP practice 9. Maybe it is in the book but I can't find the book and Mike B is on a fire. Thanks Jan. I hope you are well.
Mike

Michael M. Hughes
Assistant District Forester
Fort Collins District
Colorado State Forest Service
(970) 491-8453

----- Original Message -----

From: Wayne Baasch
To: Mike Hughes
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2003 3:25 PM
Subject: Forest Land Enhancement Program

FLEP code 666-6, FLEP Practice 9 talks to "Forest Stand Improvement (Fuel Break)."

My desire to create a 66 foot wide fuel deficient zone between myself and the forest service seems to fit this designation. Is there any information that I can obtain that gives a more definitive description about what they expect when this code is used?

Wayne Baasch

Mike Babler

From: Jan Hackett [jhackett@lamar.colostate.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2003 12:05 PM

To: Kathryn Hardgrave; Allen Gallamore (E-mail); Allen Owen (E-mail); Andrew J. Schlosberg (E-mail); Boyd O. Lebeda (E-mail); Brenda W. Orth (E-mail); Brian C. Ayers (E-mail); Charles A. Kostecka (E-mail); Christopher Woolley (E-mail); Chuck Dennis (E-mail); Clarence K. Morey (E-mail); Cory Secher (E-mail); Craig D. Jones (E-mail); Crystal Tischler (E-mail); Damon M. Lange (E-mail); Daniel E. Ochocki (E-mail); Daniel F Wand (E-mail); Daniel R. Galecki (E-mail); David A. Farmer (E-mail); David H. Root (E-mail); Denise A. White (E-mail); Denise L. Dulac (E-mail); Dennis L. Will (E-mail); Denton M. Kurtz, II (E-mail); Donna H. Davis (E-mail); Eldon J. Rizzi (E-mail); Elizabeth L. Anderson (E-mail); James M. Fischer (E-mail); Janis R. Meesey (E-mail); Jeffrey D. Burns (E-mail); Joel R. Stewart (E-mail); John Twitchell (E-mail); John W. Denison (E-mail); John W. Grieve (E-mail); Judith M. Simmons (E-mail); Karyl K. Scarlett (E-mail); Kathy R. Porter (E-mail); Kelly J. Rogers (E-mail); Kent Grant (E-mail); Kristin L. Garrison (E-mail); Kristy L. Boscheinen (E-mail); Linda Dodson (E-mail); Linda S. Jones (E-mail); Lindsay M. Towne (E-mail); Mark L. Reichel (E-mail); Melanie L. Moorman (E-mail); Melinda C. Overstreet (E-mail); Michael E. Harvey (E-mail); Michael G. Babler (E-mail); Michael G. Bahm (E-mail); Michael M. Hughes (E-mail); Nathan Jester (E-mail); Norland K. Hall (E-mail); Norma Degenhart (E-mail); Patrick L. McLaughlin (E-mail); Paul R. Janzen (E-mail); Peggy J. Hiller (E-mail); Peter M. Barth (E-mail); Ronald J. Cousineau (E-mail); Russell W. Lewis (E-mail); Samuel B. Parsons (E-mail); Scott Formby (E-mail); Shelly L. VanLandingham (E-mail); Stephanie L. Scott (E-mail); Terry L. Wattles (E-mail); Tom Ostermann (E-mail); Vaughn T. Jones (E-mail)

Cc: Duda, Joe; Phillip H. Schwolert; Tom Ostermann

Subject: RE: FLEP

Kathryn and All Field,

Disregard the August 1st deadline. Until we have a process (from CSU) in place we can't proceed with any FLEP activities. When we can actually approve applications and begin work we'll assess where we (all Districts) are at (obligating funding, highest priority applications, timeframe).

Thanks,
Jan

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathryn Hardgrave [mailto:khargrv@lamar.colostate.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2003 9:36 AM

To: Jan Hackett

Subject: FLEP

Hi Jan,

Hope you're fine.

I have not received any other FLEP applications and do not anticipate anymore before tomorrow. Let me know what you want me to do with the two low priority applications I received.

Thanks!

Kathryn

The Forest Land Enhancement Program

The Forest Land Enhancement Program has been established to promote sustainable forest management practices on nonindustrial private forest (NIPF) lands. It provides financial, technical and educational assistance to NIPF landowners. FLEP replaces both the Stewardship Incentives and Forestry Incentives Programs. The new authority combines the better of the two previous cost-share programs into one and is now funded through mandatory Commodity Credit Corporation money to the tune of \$100 million over the life of the six-year bill (2002-2007).

States may use Program funds to assist landowners in managing their nonindustrial private forest lands and related resources through:

1. Development and implementation of educational programs;
2. Resource management expertise/technical assistance; and
3. Financial assistance/cost-share programs.
4. Funds may also be used to cover administrative costs.

Most funds directed to financial assistance.

Colorado's list of eligible practices:

FLEP 1-Forest Stewardship Plans

FLEP 2-Afforestation/Reforestation

FLEP 3-Forest Stand Improvement

FLEP 4-Agroforestry Implementation

FLEP 5-Water Quality Improvement and Watershed Protection

FLEP 6-Fish and Wildlife Habitat Improvement

FLEP 7-Forest Health and Protection

FLEP 8-Invasive Species Control

FLEP 9-Fire and Catastrophic Risk Reduction

FLEP 10-Fire and Catastrophic Event Rehabilitation

Applications will be ranked according to priority resource issues.

No Program funds shall be used for capital investments, capital improvements, purchase of land or any interest in land, or any interest in an endowment.

Eligibility Requirements:

1. **Non-industrial Private Forest (NIPF) Landowners** qualify for FLEP. *Nonindustrial private forest land* means rural lands with existing tree cover or which are suitable for growing trees and owned by any landowner as defined in this subpart.

Landowner means any private individual, group, association, corporation, Indian tribe or other native group, or other private legal entity, excluding corporations whose stocks are publicly traded or legal entities principally engaged in the production of wood products.

2. A landowner is only eligible to receive cost-share funds under the Program for **treatment of not more than a total of 1,000 acres** of nonindustrial private forest land annually, except where the State Forester, with the concurrence of the Regional Forester, determines that significant public benefits would accrue from approval of a landowner treating up to 5,000 acres annually. Consideration should be given to cost-effective resource management objectives without unduly affecting Program participation of other eligible landowners.

3. A Forest Stewardship Plan is the only plan that may be cost-shared and is exempt from the acreage limitations described in subpart (b). Forest Stewardship plans are not subject to any acreage maximum, and therefore cost-sharing such a plan under the Program is not subject to the 1,000 or 5,000 acre limits.

4. To be eligible to receive cost-share under the Program, a landowner **shall not own less than 2 acres** of non-industrial private forestland (NIPF).

5. To be eligible to receive cost-share funds under the Program, a landowner must agree to conduct treatment according to the forest management plan and to **maintain Program practices for 10 years**, unless otherwise specified by the State Forester.

Management Plan

Eligible landowners shall have an approved management plan. The management plan shall apply to those portions of the landowner's property on which any project or activity funded under the Program shall be carried out, as well as lands affected by the activity or practice. Suggested outline:

1. Have a cover page with signatures lines.
2. Clearly state landowner objectives
3. Address resource elements present
4. Describe treatment and timeline to meet objectives.

FLEP practice #1 will cost-share a comprehensive Forest Stewardship plan.

Program Procedures

- Landowner contact local CSFS district office for application.
- Landowner with CSFS completes application and management plan.
- If approved a landowner must complete each practice within 12 months of approval.
- CSFS certifies practice has been completed in accordance with specifications.
- Landowner can receive payment up to 50% of actual project cost.

A practice may consist of one or more component activities. **A landowner may not receive partial payments.** No money may be received in advance.

Payment to Landowners

1. To be eligible for cost-share reimbursement, a landowner **must complete each practice within 12 months** of approval. However, if factors beyond the control of the landowner delay the practice completion, a **12-month extension** can be granted. Requests for extensions shall be in writing by the landowner. Practices not completed by the end of the contract will be cancelled.
2. Upon certification by the service representative that the practice has been completed in accordance with specifications, the cost-share will be calculated and dispersed to the landowner. The service representatives shall have the right of access to the owner's property to inspect practices for the duration of the practice maintenance period.
3. Maximum Payment. **Aggregate payments to individual landowners shall not exceed \$100,000 through 2007.**
4. Levels of cost-share assistance to be paid to landowners shall be set by the State Forester, but **shall not exceed 50 percent of the actual costs incurred** by a participating landowner. Non-Federal program funds and other donated assistance may be used to supplement cost-share under the program; however, the total of all funds and assistance shall not exceed 100 percent of the actual cost of practice implementation.
5. A practice may consist of one or more component activities. **A landowner may not receive partial payments.** No money may be received in advance.

Wildfire Hazard Ratings For All Subdivisions

What Does the "Total Score" Number Mean? 

Sorted by Subdivision Name - click on header to change

Subdivision Name	Total Score	Rating
ALPINE ACRES	52	3 - High
AMD PLAT LOTS 43-45 POUDRE PARK 2ND ANNX	47	4 - Moderate
ARKINS PARK	35	5 - Low
ASPEN BROOK PUD	53	3 - High
BALDPATE ESTATES	78	2 - Severe
BARTRAM PARK	84	1 - Extreme
BEAVER POINT HEIGHTS	31	5 - Low
BEAVER VIEW ESTATES	35	5 - Low
BECKWITH COUNTY	52	3 - High
BELLVUE HEIGHTS	48	4 - Moderate
BELLVUE TOWN	29	5 - Low
BERTHOUD DALE	56	3 - High
BERTHOUD ESTATES	37	4 - Moderate
BIG BEAR GULCH	56	3 - High
BIG ELK MEADOWS	46	4 - Moderate
BLACK HOLLOW	65	2 - Severe
BLISS	54	3 - High
BLUE MOUNTAIN	29	5 - Low
BLUE SPRUCE VILLAGE CONDOS	35	5 - Low
BLUE SPRUCE VILLAGE PUD	51	3 - High
BONNAVUE	23	5 - Low
BOXER RANCH	56	3 - High
BROADVIEW	50	4 - Moderate
BUCKHORN ESTATES	67	2 - Severe
BUCKHORN GLADE	36	5 - Low
BUCKHORN VALLEY ACRES	37	4 - Moderate
BUCKSKIN HEIGHTS	66	2 - Severe
BURREN KNOLLS	47	4 - Moderate
CARLE	47	4 - Moderate
CARRIAGE HILLS	34	5 - Low
CARTER LAKE HEIGHTS	74	2 - Severe
CARTER LAKE VALLEY	53	3 - High
CARVER	42	4 - Moderate
CEDAR COVE	48	4 - Moderate
CEDAR PARK	54	3 - High
CEDAR PARK/ CEDAR SPRINGS	43	4 - Moderate
CEDAR SPRINGS	45	4 - Moderate
CEDAR SPRINGS ESTATES	38	4 - Moderate
CENTENNIAL HILLS	33	5 - Low
CHALET OF ESTES PARK CONDOS	43	4 - Moderate
CHAMBORD CONDO	47	4 - Moderate
CHA-NEL-BO HEIGHTS	40	4 - Moderate

CHARLES HEIGHTS	44	4 - Moderate
CHEROKEE HILLS	57	3 - High
CHEROKEE MEADOWS	46	4 - Moderate
CHRISMAN	30	5 - Low
COLUMBINE PARK	59	3 - High
CONTINENTAL NORTH	48	4 - Moderate
CONTINENTAL WEST	49	4 - Moderate
COTNER OF SYLVAN DALE	70	2 - Severe
COTTONWOOD GLEN VACATED	40	4 - Moderate
COTTONWOOD TERRACE	36	5 - Low
COVENANT HEIGHTS	68	2 - Severe
CROZIER MOUNTAIN	39	4 - Moderate
CRYSTAL LAKES	53	3 - High
CRYSTAL MOUNTAIN	88	1 - Extreme
CRYSTAL PARK	73	2 - Severe
CUMORRAH	55	3 - High
CUSHMAN ESTATES	39	4 - Moderate
CUSHMANS LAKEVIEW DEVELOPMENT	58	3 - High
DEER MEADOWS	57	3 - High
DEER MOUNTAIN HEIGHTS	67	2 - Severe
DELLS	48	4 - Moderate
DENNIS	34	5 - Low
DEVILS BACKBONE ESTATE	27	5 - Low
DIXIE PARK	46	4 - Moderate
DOVE VIEW TERRACE	52	3 - High
EAGLE ROCK RANCHES	37	4 - Moderate
EAGLE ROCK SCHOOL	55	3 - High
EAST OWASSA	55	3 - High
EL CHAPARELL	40	4 - Moderate
ELK MEADOWS	54	3 - High
ELLISON	46	4 - Moderate
ESTES PARK ESTATES	74	2 - Severe
EVERGREEN POINT	59	3 - High
FALL RIVER CHALET CONDO	27	5 - Low
FALL RIVER ESTATES	36	5 - Low
FALL RIVER ESTATES CONDO	31	5 - Low
FAWN VALLEY CHALET LODGE	28	5 - Low
FAWN VALLEY INN CONDOS	28	5 - Low
FERGUSON	41	4 - Moderate
FERNBROOK MEADOWS	42	4 - Moderate
FOGGY PARK	63	3 - High
FOOTHILLS VISTA	32	5 - Low
FOREST GLADE	57	3 - High
FORKS	40	4 - Moderate
FOX ACRES COUNTRY CLUB	29	5 - Low
FOX RIDGE ESTATES	39	4 - Moderate
GLACIER VIEW	52	3 - High

GLACIER VIEW MEADOWS	53	3 - High
GLADES WEST PUD	49	4 - Moderate
GLEN COMFORT	52	3 - High
GLEN ECHO	48	4 - Moderate
GLEN HAVEN	44	4 - Moderate
GLENALLEN ESTATES	32	5 - Low
GOODWIN PARK	46	4 - Moderate
GREELEY-BOULDER COLONY	63	3 - High
GREEN MOUNTAIN ACRES	41	4 - Moderate
GREEN MOUNTAIN MEADOWS	41	4 - Moderate
GREEN VALLEY ESTATES	42	4 - Moderate
GROUSE HOLLOW	46	4 - Moderate
HAPPY HOLLOW	52	3 - High
HARBOR COVE TOWNHOMES	37	4 - Moderate
HARRIMAN HEIGHTS	53	3 - High
HARRISON SUNNY SLOPE	63	3 - High
HAYDEN	54	3 - High
HERON RESUB	52	3 - High
HEWLETT GULCH	48	4 - Moderate
HIAWATHA HEIGHTS	49	4 - Moderate
HIDDEN VIEW ESTATES	52	3 - High
HIGH COUNTRY HAVEN	53	3 - High
HIGH COUNTRY ESTATES PUD	40	4 - Moderate
HIGH DRIVE HEIGHTS	67	2 - Severe
HIGH PINES	55	3 - High
HILLCREST ESTATES	26	5 - Low
HONDIUS HEIGHTS	53	3 - High
HORSETAIL RANCH ESTATES	39	4 - Moderate
HORSETOOTH HEIGHTS	38	4 - Moderate
HORSETOOTH LAKE ESTATES	64	3 - High
HUSTED HEIGHTS	73	2 - Severe
IDLE WILD	48	4 - Moderate
IMPERIAL ESTATES	28	5 - Low
INDIAN MEADOWS	59	3 - High
INDIAN PRAYER PARK	58	3 - High
INLET KNOLLS PUD	27	5 - Low
JACKSON PLACE	48	4 - Moderate
JENKS	38	4 - Moderate
KILL-CARE	58	3 - High
KINTZLEYS	63	3 - High
KISTLER	59	3 - High
KORAL HEIGHTS	67	2 - Severe
LAKE AREA	77	2 - Severe
LAKEVIEW COTTAGES	66	2 - Severe
LANGSTON POUDRE PARK HEIGHTS	58	3 - High
LARIMER TERMINALS	50	4 - Moderate
LAUGH-A-LOT	38	4 - Moderate

LAZY D	64	3 - High
LETITIA LAKE	53	3 - High
LITTLE VALLEY	51	3 - High
LOHNES	40	4 - Moderate
LOST BROOK	58	3 - High
LOVELAND HEIGHTS	78	2 - Severe
MCCARTHY	54	3 - High
MCCREERY	55	3 - High
MCGRAW RANCH	47	4 - Moderate
MCMURRY RANCH	50	4 - Moderate
MEADOWDALE HILLS	60	3 - High
MEEKER PARK	50	4 - Moderate
MILL CANYON ESTATES	38	4 - Moderate
MILL CREEK	52	3 - High
MILLER CREEK HEIGHTS	50	4 - Moderate
MIRAVILLE	31	5 - Low
MIRAVILLE EAST	44	4 - Moderate
MONTROSE	52	3 - High
MOODY MOUNTAIN	66	2 - Severe
MOORES	37	4 - Moderate
MORRILL	72	2 - Severe
MOUNTAINVIEW ACRES	22	5 - Low
MUMMY RANGE EXEMPTION	76	2 - Severe
MY CAMP	56	3 - High
NAMAQUA HILLS	61	3 - High
NAMAQUA HILLS CENTRAL	46	4 - Moderate
NEWELL LAKE VIEW	50	4 - Moderate
NORTH END RANCHES	53	3 - High
NORTH FORK	40	4 - Moderate
NORTH FORK (CROWN POINT) CONDOS	37	4 - Moderate
NORTH RIM ROAD	59	3 - High
OLYMPUS HEIGHTS	63	3 - High
ORTON-SULLIVAN	46	4 - Moderate
OVERLOOK	47	4 - Moderate
OWASSA LAKE	49	4 - Moderate
PARADISE PARK	64	3 - High
PARK ENTRANCE ESTATES	41	4 - Moderate
PARK HILL	58	3 - High
PEARL CREEK	67	2 - Severe
PENOSA ESTATES	53	3 - High
PINEWOOD ESTATES	71	2 - Severe
PINEWOOD SPRINGS	40	4 - Moderate
PINEY KNOLLS AT RED FEATHER	54	3 - High
PONDEROSA ESTATES	59	3 - High
PONDEROSA HILLS	77	2 - Severe
POUDRE CITY	27	5 - Low
POUDRE PARK	41	4 - Moderate

POUDRE PARK ANNEX	38	4 - Moderate
POUDRE RIVER RANCHES	59	3 - High
POUDRE SPRINGS	66	2 - Severe
PROSPECT MTN DR	44	4 - Moderate
QUILLEN GULCH	47	4 - Moderate
RAMONA HEIGHTS	51	3 - High
RAMS HORN VILLAGE PUD AND CONDOS	33	5 - Low
RANGE VIEW	40	4 - Moderate
RAVENCREST HEIGHTS	79	1 - Extreme
RED FEATHER HIGHLANDS	63	3 - High
RED FEATHER RANCH	57	3 - High
REDSTONE ESTATES	68	2 - Severe
REDSTONE HEIGHTS	63	3 - High
REEDS	36	5 - Low
RESTU	49	4 - Moderate
RETREAT	61	3 - High
RETREAT	64	3 - High
RIM ROCK PASS	48	4 - Moderate
RIVERBEND ESTATES	48	4 - Moderate
ROCKWOOD ESTATES	36	5 - Low
ROCKY MOUNTAIN GATEWAY PUD PHASE I	25	5 - Low
RUSTIC	59	3 - High
SADDLE RIDGE	95	1 - Extreme
SANBORN ACRES	43	4 - Moderate
SAND CREEK	47	4 - Moderate
SENNETS BRICK	29	5 - Low
SEVEN MILE	59	3 - High
SHADY SIDE	40	4 - Moderate
SHORELINE CONDO	44	4 - Moderate
SNOWY VIEW	40	4 - Moderate
SOLDIER CANYON ESTATES	59	3 - High
SOUTH FORK	40	4 - Moderate
SOUTH MOFFETT PARK	41	4 - Moderate
SOUTH NOKOMIS LAKE	57	3 - High
SPANIER	43	4 - Moderate
SPENCER HEIGHTS	46	4 - Moderate
SPRING CANYON HEIGHTS	41	4 - Moderate
SPRING GULCH RANCH ESTATES	35	5 - Low
STANLEY HEIGHTS	34	5 - Low
STATE CACHE LA POUDRE	53	3 - High
STRATTON PARK ESTATES	85	1 - Extreme
STRINGTOWN	68	2 - Severe
SUMMER VILLA	58	3 - High
SUNNY RIDGE	34	5 - Low
SUNSHIP PUD	73	2 - Severe
SWITZER	59	3 - High
THOMPSON WRIGHT	36	5 - Low

THOMPSONS LAKESIDE	45	4 - Moderate
THUNDER MOUNTAIN PARK PUD	44	4 - Moderate
TRAIL CREEK	68	2 - Severe
UPLANDS AT FISH CREEK PUD	38	4 - Moderate
VAN-HAR-LEN	40	4 - Moderate
WALTONIA	46	4 - Moderate
WEAVER RANCH	56	3 - High
WEBSTER BIGHORN PARK	55	3 - High
WEST HIAWATHA HEIGHTS	50	4 - Moderate
WEST RIDGE MANOR	50	4 - Moderate
WESTERN CONFERENCE YMCA	51	3 - High
WESTOVER MANOR	62	3 - High
WESTRIDGE ESTATES PUD	37	4 - Moderate
WHITE	34	5 - Low
WILDERNESS RANCH I	45	4 - Moderate
WILCOXON	33	5 - Low
WILLOWS	31	5 - Low
WINDCLIFF ESTATES	55	3 - High
X BAR 7 RANCH	44	4 - Moderate
YAUGERS	51	3 - High
YODER	56	3 - High
YUCCAS ACRES	33	5 - Low

200 W. Oak Street • Fort Collins, CO 80521 • 970-498-7000 || © 1995-2003, Larimer County

2003 Larimer County Wildfire Safety Program Grant Update

Overview

Larimer County's Wildfire Safety Program was awarded an \$85,000 grant from the Colorado State Forest Service to continue the Slash Disposal Program and provide an assistant to the Wildfire Safety Program.

The grant has a requirement of a 50 percent match, yielding a total project of \$170,000. In-kind services both professional and volunteer will be utilized to meet the required match.

The grant will not only continue the slash disposal program--designed to assist homeowners, homeowner groups and fire departments by providing an economical means of disposal for slash and debris generated while making their property or district more FireWise, but also increase the FireWise education program and create new Fire Wise demonstration plus expand the current sites.

Options - Slash Disposal

Listed below are options that the Wildfire Safety Program proposes to assist homeowners, homeowner groups and fire departments to reduce the threat of wildfire on their properties and districts. Other options are possible, so if you have ideas or suggestions to make the Slash Disposal Program more successful, feasible or more accessible to your constituents, please let me know.

- Develop community collection sites in which the material will be chipped or ground by outside contractors or by County personnel.
- Provide fire departments up to \$1000.00 to burn community collection site.
- Provide citizens up to \$1000.00 for forest management work on their property.

Basic Guidelines

Again in 2003, homeowners, homeowner groups or fire departments participating in this program will be required to sign agreement with the Wildfire Safety Program. These agreements are utilized for tracking projects and allocating money. Homeowners, homeowner groups or fire departments that do not have a contract may not be reimbursed for expenses associated with slash disposal.

Community Collection Site in which material will be chipped or ground.

Fire departments, homeowner groups, organizations, etc. that elect to develop a community collection site will be required to sign an agreement with the Wildfire Safety Program. This agreement will identify the scope and specifics of work required; allow the WSP to track and record activity and will also provide a point of contact.

Fire Departments, homeowner groups, organizations etc. will be required to determine a location, and operational hours of site. This site must be fenced and gated. Contractor or County personnel will be utilized to chip material. The organization that is sponsoring the site will be required to pay up to \$500.00 towards the rehabilitation of collection site. Wildfire Safety Program will pay remaining costs associated with chipping or grinding. All chips and debris generated by chipping will be left on site. Trees or logs too large to chip will be organized and stacked approximately 30 feet from any structure. This will be identified within the signed agreement.

Provide Fire Departments up to \$1000.00 to burn community slash pile

Fire departments that elect to burn community collection sites will be required to sign an agreement with the Wildfire Safety Program. This agreement will identify scope and specifics of work required, allow the WSP to

track and record activity and it will also provide a point of contact.

Fire Departments must comply with all County requirements for conducting a pile burn. Upon completion of pile burn; the fire department can submit an invoice for up to \$1000.00 to the Wildfire Safety Program. Exact amount of reimbursement will be determined by size of pile, time required to burn and complexity.

50/50 Cost share for Forest Management

Homeowners may elect for 50/50 cost share up to \$1000. Homeowner will be required to enter into an agreement with the Wildfire Safety Program that will identify scope and specifics of work required. Homeowner will be responsible for hiring contractor and paying contractor in full. Homeowner will provide Wildfire Safety Program with a copy of the original contractors invoice and an invoice for 1/2 of the contractor invoice up to \$1000. This option requires hard dollars by homeowner. Volunteer or homeowner match can not be used.

Differences from past grants

Due to the success of the Slash Disposal Program, and the number of inquires requesting money; allocating the moneys on a first come first serve basis is no longer possible. Interested homeowners, landowners, homeowner groups and fire departments will now be required to fill out a brief grant application. This application will then be submitted to the Wildfire Safety Program, by a specific date. The WSP will then utilize the Larimer Fire Council members to prioritize projects.

The second major change is with the option of community collection sites. This option will still be available 2003, however due damage of collection site, and chips remaining after being ground, the organization that sponsors a community collection site will be required to assist in the rehabilitation coast of the site. This amount will not exceed \$500.00.

Further Information

For additional information on the Slash Disposal Program, or to participate in the Program, contact Tony Simons at 498-7718, or visit the Wildfire Safety Program's web site www.larimer.org/wildfire.

Grant Application Form

The application form for a 2003 grant is in PDF format and can be printed, filled out and mailed or faxed; or you can fill it out online, then click the E-mail to Larimer County button at the bottom (you may want to print a filled out copy for yourself too).

 **Application Form** [pdf]

Mail to:
Wildfire Safety Program
c/o Tony Simons
P.O. Box 1190
Fort Collins, Co 80522

Fax# 970-498-7667 or 970-498-7711

2003 Larimer County Wildfire Safety Program Grant Application

Applicant Information

Applicant Name:
Contact Person:
Address:
City, State, Zip:
Parcel #:
Phone:
E-Mail:

Community/Landowner Information

Community Name: _____

What organization in the community is providing leadership for the project?

Homeowners Association
Fire Department or Protection District
Private Individual

Project Options

Community Collection site
Community Burn Site
50/50 Cost Share
Other

Project Summary

Project Description:

Project Costs

Anticipated Costs
Amount Requested

\$
\$

Additional Comments



FLEP FOREST LAND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

General Procedures for Application, Approval and Payment

For a landowner requesting financial assistance in the Forest Land Enhancement Program:

Step 1. Landowner contacts CSFS District Office for an application packet for planned FLEP Practice. Included are the application for cost-share, the management plan and list of approved activities (components) with their codes. Complete the application and contact CSFS District Office. Apply for one FLEP practice per application. A practice may consist of one or more component activities.

Step 2. CSFS District determines landowner and practice eligibility. If eligible, CSFS District accepts application, estimates cost-share and ranks application with existing applications. CSFS District will prioritize and rank FLEP applications based on local and state resource issues during "batch periods". Applications are approved and funded based on established (highest to lowest) resource priorities. Practices having the same priority ranking will be approved in order of application date. **Expenses incurred prior to approval of application will not be reimbursed.** Prior to approval eligible landowners shall have an approved management plan. CSFS District will help prepare and make final approval of the plan. Specification requirements of the practice must be contained in the plan and serve as the basis for determining acceptable performance. If the desired practice is FLEP 1 the landowner does not need to fill out the management plan in the packet.

Step 3. The District will track approved applications and obligated funds.

Step 4. The District will notify applicant on whether or not application was approved, along with cost share amount approved, and practice expiration date. Upon approval, landowner will be notified and sent a copy of the Accomplish Report, Cost Documentation Form and a W9 form. The W9 is for tax purposes and should be returned to assure reimbursement.

Step 5. Landowner implements project according to their management plan and practice specifications.

Step 6. Upon completion of practice, landowner notifies local CSFS District. Landowner (with assistance if needed) completes the Accomplishment Report and W9 form. Landowner should have documented all costs and revenues on the Cost Documentation Form. Document all completed activities. Clearly show name, date, activity, hours worked. Submit receipts. Please make sure everything can be easily read and keep copies for files. Examples of items that are considered reimbursable are contractor services, landowner labor, and equipment rental. Items not reimbursable include purchase of chainsaw, tools, or other equipment; travel time or per diem.

Step 7. CSFS District certifies practice completed according to management plan specifications and approved standards. CSFS approves landowner payment (on the Accomplishment Report).

Step 8. CSFS District sends **original**, completed Accomplishment Report, Cost Documentation Form and W9 form to the CSFS State Office (SO).

Step 9. Cost-share payment is issued from SO to the landowner.

Funding not obligated prior to July 1 will be made available state-wide where final ranking and approval will be at the SO.

Coland		8/13/2003	\$1,900.00
Maxwell		8/1/2003	\$2,400.00
Baasch		7/23/2003	\$4,800.00
Bowen		7/14/2003	\$2,000.00
Budge		7/28/2003	\$4,450.00
Cannon		7/21/2003	\$750.00
Collinet		7/31/2003	\$5,950.00
Doyle		7/22/2003	\$1,590.00
Herrmann		7/29/2003	\$3,200.00
Johnson		7/2/2003	\$6,950.00
Kostur		7/29/2003	\$650.00
Larsen		6/27/2003	
Lile		8/1/2003	\$17,600.00
Lucia		6/24/2003	\$6,900.00
Monesson		7/27/2003	\$6,850.00
Moore		7/24/2003	\$3,500.00
Neidt		7/19/2003	\$3,195.00
Nelson		7/31/2003	\$7,175.00
Perry	Christopher	8/1/2003	\$2,400.00
Perry	William & Phyllis	8/1/2003	\$2,400.00
Ramos		7/31/2003	\$4,800.00
Ronca		7/31/2003	\$4,820.00
Snyder	Glen	7/19/2003	\$800.00
Snyder	Tamera & John	6/24/2003	\$12,000.00
Soulen		7/24/2003	\$3,690.00
Sundance Trail Guest Ranch		7/28/2003	\$4,925.00
Trail Creek Landowners Association		7/30/2003	\$5,000.00
Whalen & Dilfer	Harry and Carol	7/28/2003	\$3,360.00

\$124,055.00

HB 8/25/03