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The problem investigated in this study was
"What is the relationship between college life and successful student teaching in homemaking in colorado? In order to solve this problem answers to the following questions have been sought:

1. What is the relationship between living conditions in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
2. What is the relationship between participation in extra-curricular activities in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
(a) What is the relationship between participation in professional clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
(b) What is the relationship between participation in honorary clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
(c) What is the relationship between participation in social clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
3. What is the relationship between scholastic rating in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
4. What is the relationship between working one's way through college and student teaching ability in homemaking?

The names of 114 student teachers in the Home Economics Department from Colorado State College of Agriculture and dechanic Arts were secured from the Teacher Irainer. The living conditions for these student
teachers were rated by the dean of women. Participation in extra-curricular activities and self-support check sheets were filled out by the student teachers. Scholastic ratings were secured from the registrar's files. Check sheets for 92 students were available for use.

In order to study the relationships between certain factors of college life (living conditions, participation in extra-curricular activities, scholastic rating and selI-support) and student teaching ability in homemaking, the statistic chi square was employed. The data were classified, rated and scored in the following manner: the living conditions were rated by the dean of women according to the following classification groups of A (highest social opportunities), B (average social opportunities), C (lowest social opportunities).

The extra-curricular activities were divided into professional, honorary, social and total participation. Ihe participation in extra-curricular activities was secured through check sheets. The point system compiled by the Associated Women Students was used to score the degree of participation. The points then were classified in the following categories:

Participation in professional and honorary extra-curricular activities:
I. 100 points or above
II. 60 to 100 points
III. 20 to 60 points
IV. 20 points or below

Participation in social extra-curricular activities:
I. Above 10 points
II. 10 points or below

Participation in all extra-curricular activities:
I. 150 points or above
II. 90 points or above
III. 30 points to 90 points
IV. 30 points or below

Scholastic ratings were based on credits
and honor points and were classified as follows:
$\mathrm{A}=2.25$ or above
$B=1.25$ to 2.25
$\mathrm{c}=1.25$ or below
Self support in college was classiried in
categories according to the nature of the working time: regular, irregular, and none.

The student teaching grades were based on
the judgment of the Feacher Irainer, and were classified according to two methods, A, B, C and D combined, and $A, B, C, D$ in order to see the relationship in both. ITwo chi square values for each relationship between student teaching ability, and the factors in college life of the student teachers were considered.

In the first analysis, the student teaching grades were classified in three groups, $A, B$, and $C$ and $D$ combined, while in the second analysis, the student teaching grades were classified in four groups, A, B, C and D. These two similar analyses were necessitated by the fact that only three student teachers received a grade of $D$. In the analyses where the $C$ and $D$ grades were considered together, there is a possibility that the effect of these grades has been minimized and in the other analysis where these two grades were considered separately, there is a possibility in some cases that these grades have been given undue weight in the rinal chi square value. The true relationship is probably some where between the two values obtained. Both analyses will be presented in those cases where the interpretation is changed by the grouping of the data.

Tables indicating the distributions of the variables in number and percentage were presented and briefly explained.

Possible association between each factor and student teaching ability were investigated, using the statistical method. The chi square is the statistic used to determine if association exists between the two variables. Chi square is defined
by the following formula $\sum(0-T)^{2} / T$, in which 0 is an observed frequency, $T$ is the corresponding theoretical frequency, based on the assumption that no association exists.
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The interpretation is that if chi square value is found greater than the $P$ value required for . 01 level of significance, there is less than one chance in one hundred that the association is due to chance alone. If it is between the $P$ value required for . 05 level of significance, there are less than five chances in one hundred that the association is due to chance. If the chi square value is smaller than the $P$ value required for .05 level of significance, the smaller is the probability that there is an association of any significance between the two variables.

## Table 16.--ASSOCIATION BEIWEEN FACTORS IN COIIEGE LIEE AND STUDENT TEACHTNG ABILITY

| Phase of <br> College Life | Chi Square | D. F. | Inter- <br> oretation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scholastic Average | 15.2236 | 4 | Very <br> Significant |
| Participation in <br> Curricular Activ- <br> ities | 13.2310 | 6 | Significant |

## Participation in

 Social ExtraCurricular Activities| $1_{10} 10.6502$ | 3 | Significant |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 3.1629 | 2 | Not |
|  |  | Significant |

1 four categories for student teaching rating
2 three categories for student teaching rating

## Summary

Significant chi square values were found for two relationships by both distributions of the student
teaching grades $(A, B, C, D$, and $A, B$, and $C$ and $D$ combined); participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability; and scholastic rating and student teaching ability. A significant inverse association was found between participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching grades when the four categories distribution for student teaching grades was used for computing chi square, while no association was found between participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching grades when the three categories distribution was used for computing chi square. There was no association between student teaching ability and the factors: living conditions, participation in professional and in all extra-curricular activities and self-support.

## Interpretation

So far as the data (secured with the measuring devices available in this study) give evidence, a high scholastic achievement and a high degree of participation in honorary extra-curricular activities may be used as a prognosis for success in student teaching in homemaking, since there is a very significant association between high scholastic achievement and
success in student teaching in homemaking, and a signiIicant association between participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and success in student teaching in homemaking. In that a significant inverse association and no association were found for the relationship between participation in social extracurricular activities and student teaching grades, by both methods of student teaching grade distributions, participation in social extra-curricular activities cannot be used as a factor in predicting student teaching ability in homemaking. The inverse association might indicate that high participation in social extra-curricular activities interferes with student teaching in homemaking. Living conditions in college, type and degree of participation in professional and social extra-curricular activities, and earning way through college have no significant effect on student teaching rating.

No matter what may be said regarding factors such as the "halo effect of personality" and value of extra-curricular participation in college activities, this study brings out the fact that, in so far as the measuring devices were reliable, a thorough knowledge of subject matter, exemplified by scholastic rating is the most reliable of the various factors considered in this study.

## For Further study

The relationship of phases of college life studied and of student teachers' experiences, to actual teaching ability in homemaking is a problem for further study. Surely there must be some types of experiences in college life which are pertinent to success in teaching homemaking, and which therefore can be used as a basis for prognosis in teaching success in homemaking.

## Limitation of Study

The study was limited in the following respects:

The rating devices for living conditions and extra-curricular activities, although the best that could be worked out, are admittedly not as reliable or as valid as desired.
here is a reasonable degree of accuracy in measuring student teaching ability by class room success, but thus far no reliable appraisal of community relations can be made.

The grouping and weighing of extra-curricular activities in any aroitrary pattern as here employed, of necessity entails some injustices.
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## Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

Opportunities in the field of home economics education are increasing with one trend of education pointing toward education for family life. In order to be adequately prepared for teaching phases of home and family life, or homemaking, teachers probably need many experiences other than classroom work in subject matter and professional fields. In other words, scholastic attainment may be only one factor contributing toward preparation for teaching. It is probable that certain phases of personality development may be desirable. What the experiences are which will aid in the personality development needed for teaching success is as yet a matter of research. There is apparent conflict between experimental findings and popular opinion as to the type of data most valuable to the teacher trainer in counseling prospective teachers. This study plans to determine whether the teacher trainer can use as a reliable basis for a prognosis of successful student teaching such data about the prospective student teacher as living conditions in college, participation in extra-curricular
activities in college, scholastic rating in college, and earning one's way through college.

Statement of the Problem
What is the relationship between college life and successful student teaching in homemaking in Colorado?

## Problem Analysis

l. What is the relationship between living conditions in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
2. What is the relationship between participation in extra-curricular activities in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
a. What is the relationship between participation in professional clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
b. What is the relationship between participation in honorary clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
c. What is the relationship between participation in social clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
3. What is the relationship between scholastic rating in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
4. What is the relationship between working one's way through college and student teaching ability in homemaking?

## The Setting of the Problem

Colorado State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts at Fort Collins, Colorado, is a state institution having an enrollment of 1913 in 1939-40 of which 28 per cent were women. The department of home economics education in this school inaugurated a plan during 1937-1938 by which approved home economics departments in different localities in the state are used as teacher-training centers. The first year 13 high schools were used. As the number of student teachers increased, new schools were added. The student teacher lives in the community for six weeks and receives her student teaching experience under the supervision of the regular homemaking teacher. The regular homemaking teacher in turn is supervised by the teacher-trainer from the college.

This system gives a longer period for student teaching and is nearer a true job situation in comparison with the system in which the student teacher taught one
or two classes in a near-by high school while attending college. Therefore better opportunity is given for the use of subject matter, application of social knowledge, and responsibility in extra-curricular activities. This makes it possible to measure more adequately success in student teaching. It is upon this assumption that the present problem is justifiable.

Delimitation of the Problem
This study is limited to the student teachers in the Home Economics Education Department of the Colorado State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts in the years 1937 to 1940 .

## Chapter II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Several studies have been made on the relationship between various factors and student teaching as a basis for prognosis of successful student teaching. Those problems considered are summarized in chronological order.

Esther M. Hahn (6) in 1925, in her study of the relationship between various college ratings and the student teaching grades of 213 students who graduated from the Home Economics Vocational Education Department of Iowa State College, reported that there was a high correlation between teaching abilities and the student teaching grade, and between personal characteristics and the student teaching grade, but that these correlations were questionable, because of the fact that these three factors were graded by the same supervising teacher whose judgment would be alike both in estimating teaching abilities and personal characteristics, and in determining the student teacher's grade. The correlations between the student teaching grade and teaching abilities and between the student teaching grade and personal characteristics would be more valuable if they
represented the combined judgments of several persons. According to the records of Pyle (ll) in 1928, on intelligence and teaching success of an entire class of Detroit Teachers College graduating in June 1925, the correlation of teaching success with the intelligence score is practically zero. This study indicates that neither intelligence beyond that required of college students, of the Teachers College students nor their practice teaching record is of any considerable value in predicting their teaching success as graded by the principals.

While intelligence test scores enable us to predict with some success the academic records of students, they do not enable us to predict success in practice-teaching nor later teaching success in actual service. The training department's estimate of the quality of the practice-teaching is of only slight value in predicting the later estimates made by the teachers' principals. (11:262)

He goes on to say that until we have found an accurate objective method of measuring the quality of teaching we can not expect to predict the future success of a student teacher.

Sorenson (13) in his study conducted in 1929 said that those who favor the intelligence test seem to find cause for alarm in the negligible relationship between the ability measured by intelligence tests and demonstrated teaching ability. While those who are less charitable in their attitude toward measures of mental
ability find in these low relationships evidence against validity of the intelligence tests.

To ascertain if there is a positive correlation between measured intelligence and teaching success one can not limit himself to a very compacted segment of the entire teaching group where homogeneity and limited techniques for the evaluation of teaching success obliterate any relation that might exist. Should one correlate intelligence with success in the teaching profession and employ the entire teaching group or sampling thereof ranging from the teacher with an inertly acquired eighth grade education perfunctorily meeting two or three pupils in a twowindowed rural school situated in a remote community up through the adequately trained teacher of a well supervised school system until the research worker who moulds educational theory and practice is reached, one would probably obtain a correlation coefficient not very remote from unity. (13:606)

Clara M. Brown (3), in 1931, in connection
with her evaluation of the Minnesota Rating Scale for Home Economics teachers, having studied the relationship of the scale ratings to other measures such as intelligence, scholarship and the marks in Special Methods, made the following statement:

One might as well admit that at the present time there is no measure or group of measures that can be used to make an accurate prediction of an individual's success in teaching. (3:14)

Ruth Lois Bradshaw (1), in 1932, reported a study of the relations among the variables, aptitude test scores, personality ratings, of 200 home economics students. Her findings were similar to those of miss Hahn (6) in that personality and student teaching
success has a high correlation ( $r=$.6997) from a statistical viewpoint, but is open to criticism because the personality rating was done by the supervisor of student teaching.

Also, in 1932, Marian B. Johnson (8), reported a study of the relation of personality ratings and aptitude test grades with the student teaching grades of 400 of the students of Home Economics Education, that personality as measured by the personality trait ratings is an important factor contributing to student teaching success and the personality trait ratings which contribute most to success in student teaching are the ratings on the traits judgment and firmness. (8:13)

The opinion of N. E. Haggerty (5) was that the findings of studies conducted in 1932 in relation to predicting teaching success indicate that we are a long way from any adequate technique of foretelling the degree to which a college graduate will succeed in teaching. He explains that probably these studies have failed because they have overlooked an important factor in the teaching situation, the matter of teacher-pupil relationship, which is quite different from the teacher status which has been measured in various ways. Haggerty stated that the lack of reliability in the measures used for evaluating the ability of teaching success is a valid explanation but feels that the serious difficulty lies in that our inquiries have been focused inadequately.

The relational problems involved are the most important factors in the matter of predicting success in teaching.

Shirley Newsom (10), in a study of the re-
lations between various scholastic, personality, and experience factors and success in student teaching in Home Economics in 1933, made the following statement:

The special methods grade shows a fairly high correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=.6015 \pm .0433$ ) with the grade in student teaching and can therefore be used as a basis for predicting success in student teaching in Home Economics at the Colorado Agricultural College. Scholastic ability, as evidenced by the general scholastic average and the average in Home Economics subjects, although showing a relationship to the grade in student teaching, is of no value in predicting what that grade will be. The personality tests are shown by the present study to be of no value in predicting the student teaching grades. The vocational experience check sheets seem to be even less reliable for prediction of success in student teaching than the other measures. (10:28-29)

Oscar E. Hertzberg (7) gave these reasons for a low correlation in a study conducted during 1933 to determine the extent to which the different measures used for selecting students at the State Teachers College at Buffalo predict success in practice teaching. The methods by which practice teachers are graded are unreliable and the degree to which personality should be considered is debatable. He concluded by saying:

It does seem reasonable to suppose that intelligence, scholarship and training do contribute to the making of a successful teacher. (7:634)

The investigation in 1934 of Sam. R. Laycock (9) concluded that the measure of personality tendencies shows promise in predicting teaching success, but that this measurement should be only one aspect among several in the selection of students for teacher-training.

In 1936 George Baxter Smith (12) in his study
on the relation between intelligence and the extracurricular activities selected in high school and college, said:
no relation is shown between college ability and the number of extra-curriculum activities carried on when the coefficient of correlation is used as a basis for judgment. When medians are calculated for students engaging in varying number of activities, it is found that for women in both high school and university there is a hierarchy of ability in direct relation to the number of activities carried. (12:685)

Briggs (2) emphasized, in 1937, the fact that there is a demand for teachers who can direct extracurricular activities by saying:

It is unquestionably the desire of the secondary school principals that opportunity be given students in teacher-training institutions for participating in extra-class activities. (2:693)

From a study in 1937, Stiutt. (14) asserted that the reason for low correlation between scholarship and teaching success is the difficulty in measuring teaching success in an exact manner, in such a short period of time as that in which the student teacher is
exposed in most training centers. He also stated that in the measurement of both scholarship and teaching success variable errors of measurements are introduced.

Probably the nature of experimental techniques employed accounts for apparent lack of relationship between personality traits studied and teaching success. Perfect correlations can not be expected as long as we are forced to work with measuring instruments which are neither highly valid nor reliable. (4:689-690)

He implied that in order successfully to
counsel prospective teachers perhaps relationships of personality traits, scholarship ratings and teaching success are not as necessary as studies of available data concerning these factors.

Mary L. Gillispie (4), in her investigation entitled "Personality of Supervisors of Student Teaching of Home Economics" conducted in 1938, brought out the fact that the home economics teachers may be classed as exhibiting an extrovert personality to a marked degree. She found that the association between the trait of adaptability and success in supervision of student teaching is important. Other traits considered very significant by state supervisors and teacher trainers are judgment, enthusiasm, cooperation, friendliness, leadership and independence.

While these studies all indicate that relationships do exist between certain factors and student teaching ability, there is still a need for more knowledge
regarding an adequate technique for predicting success in teaching.

## Chapter III

## METHOD OF PROCEDURE

The data-gathering procedure used in the study consisted of the following steps:

1. Securing the group of student teachers to be studied.
2. Securing from the dean of women the data on the living conditions in college of student teachers.
3. Securing by check sheet the data concerning participation in extra-curricular activities in college of student teachers.
4. Securing the scholastic rating of student teachers in college from records in the files of the registrar.
5. Securing by check sheet data on student teachers earning way through college.
6. Securing the student teaching grades compiled by rating scales and the judgment of teacher trainers.

Because the study was one based on factors contributing to student teaching success in homemaking in Colorado, student teachers from the Home Economics Education department of the Colorado State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts for the years 1937 to 1940 were used. The names of the student teachers, totaling 114 in number, were obtained from the teacher trainer in this department. Those student teachers whose
records have been used had completed their student teaching in the homemaking department in high schools scattered over Colorado, under the supervision of the regular homemaking teachers who were in turn supervised by the teacher trainers.

The average living conditions for the four years in college of the student teachers were secured Irom and rated by the dean of women. These were rated A, B, C. The highest type of living conditions was one which met the requirements for social opportunities. These were the sorority houses, the girls' dormitory, and certain homes. The middle group, B, were those houses in which fewer opportunities for social and cultural development were assured. Group $C$ then offered the least opportunities in these. The small housekeeping rooms in which the girl "batched" is one example of the $C$ group.

Check sheets I/ consisting of types and amounts of extra-curricular activities were checked by the student teachers. Since the data were gathered in 1939 and 1940, to secure the necessary data on teachers graduated in 1937-38, it was necessary to send these check sheets to the first-year student teachers individually where ever each was located. Along with this

1/ See appendix
check sheet two letters were sent, one from the teacher trainer expressing her interest in the study, and one from the writer, explaining the study briefly and thanking the student teacher for her cooperation.

The check sheets for the student teachers for the years 1938-1940 were sent to the teacher trainer who took the responsibility of checking and returning them. From the 114 check sheets sent out or asked to be checked, 92 were available for use.

In order to determine the kind of participation in extra-curricular activities during the four years of college life, all organizations and activities were grouped first under collegiate and non-collegiate, then broken down into the more specific types in order to get the degree of participation in each. In the collegiate group, there were the professional and social clubs, honoraries and sororities. In the non-collegiate group were the community activities: fraternal organizations, church organizations and clubs. The possible offices for each of the four years in college were listed to be checked.

The points used to measure the amount and extent of participation in these extra-curricular activities, were based on a point system organized by the Associated Women Students at Colorado State College,
in the year 1940. I/ The points for each student teacher were then totaled and grouped into four categories, based on the number of points.

This check sheet was checked for content and clarity by the teacher trainer, by student teachers, and by reference to the college year book.

The scholastic rating in college was the point average for the student teacher's undergraduate work. This was secured through the teacher trainer from the registrar's files. These points were interpreted in this manner: $1=C, 2=B, 3=A$.

A check sheet was used for determining the amount and type of woric done by the student teacher to earn her way through college. 2/ This was clipped to the extra-curricular check sheet, and consisted of two divisions: regular session and summer session. In the regular session, in. Y. A., college, board, room, tutoring, "hashing", stenography or office work, care of children, hotel and other work were included. Opportunity was given for checking the number of hours worked each year in college. But because of the difficulty in the measurement of these hours, the work has been classified according to regular hours, irregular hours, and none.

[^0]The student teaching grades for the year 193738 were based on the judgment of the teacher trainer. The student teaching grades for the years 1938 to 1940 were based on a rating scale for the student teaching in homemaking as worked out under the direction of the teacher trainer and checked by the supervisory homemaking teacher. I/ This evaluation used with the jugment of the teacher trainer formed the basis of the student teaching grade.

The data are analyzed statistically in the following chapter to determine what the relationship is between various factors in college life, namely: living conditions in college, participation in extra-curricular activities in college, scholastic rating in college, and earning one's way in college--and success in student teaching in Colorado.

1/ See appendix.

Chapter IV

## ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF DATA

The data from this study of the college life of student homemaking teachers will be presented under four phases:

1. Living conditions
2. Participation in extra-curricular activities
3. Scholastic rating
4. Self support

Tables indicating the distributions of the variables in number and percentage will be presented and briefly explained. Possible association between each factor and student teaching ability will be investigated, using the statistical method. Chi square is the statistic used to determine if association exists between the two variables. Chi square is defined by the following formula $\sum(0-T)^{2} / T$, in which 0 is an observed frequency, $I$ is the corresponding theoretical frequency, based on the assumption that no association exists.

| DF | P Value ivecessary <br> for .05 Value of <br> Significance | P Value Nec <br> for .01 Val <br> Significanc |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | 5.991 | 9.210 |
| 3 | 7.815 | 11.341 |
| 4 | 9.448 | 13.277 |
| 6 | 12.592 | 16.818 |
| 9 | 16.919 | 21.666 |

The interpretation is that if chi square value is found greater than the $P$ value required for . 01 level of significance, there is less than one chance in one hundred that the association is due to chance alone. If it is between the $P$ value required for . 05 level of significance, and $P$ value required for . Ol level of significance, there are less than five chances in one hundred that the association is due to chance. If the

Chi square value is smaller than the $P$ value required for . 05 level of significance, the smaller is the probability that there is an association of any significance between the two variables.

Chi squares, measuring the degree of association, were found for:

1. The relationship between living conditions and student teaching ability,
2. the relationship between participation in professional extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability,
3. The relationship between participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability,
4. The relationship between participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability,
5. Relationship between participation in all extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability,
6. The relationship between the scholastic rating and student teaching ability,
7. The relationship between self-support in college and student teaching ability.

The letter grades used in rating student teaching ability are those commonly employed in grading:
namely, $A$, superior; $B$, good; $C$, average; and $D$, poor, but passing. These ratings for student teaching ability were estimated by the teacher trainer and were classified according to two methods, A, B, C and D combined and A, B, C, D.

Two Chi square values for each relationship between student teaching ability and the factors in college life of the student teachers were considered. In the first analysis, the student teaching grades were classified in three groups, A, B, and C and D combined, while in the second analysis, the student teaching grades were classified in four groups, A, B, C, and D. These two similar analyses were necessitated by the fact that only three student teachers received a grade of D. In the analyses where the $C$ and $D$ grades were considered together, there is a possibility that the effect of these grades has been minimized and in the other analysis where these two grades were considered separately, there is a possibility in some cases that these grades have been given undue weight in the final chi square value. The true relationship is probably somewhere between the two values obtained. Both analyses will be presented in those cases where the interpretation is changed by the grouping of the data.

Table 1.--STUDENT TEACHING RATING OF 92 SIUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING

| Rating | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23 | 25.0 |
| B | 38 | 41.3 |
|  | 28 | 30.4 |
|  | 3 | 3.3 |

Of the student teachers in homemaking 41.3 per cent received a grade of $B$ in student teaching; 30.4 per cent received a grade of C (Table 1). Practically three-fourths of the student teachers were rated as $B$ and $C$.

Living Conditions and student Teaching
The first phase to be considered is the living conditions of the student teacher in homemaking. The living conditions were classified according to three types, A, B, and C by the dean of women. Type A consisted of houses in which the maximum social opportunities were afforded. Examples of these were the sorority house, the girls' dormitory, and homes giving cultural opportunities. Type $B$ offered fewer advantages in social and cultural opportunities. An example of type C was the light housekeeping rooms, since here opportunities for social contacts were limited.

Table 2.--LIVLING CONDLiIUNS OH 92 STUDENT IEAGHERS IN HOVEIVAK TIVG

| Rating | Ivumber | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A--N-- | 40 | 43.4 |
| B--N- | 27 | 29.3 |
|  | 25 | 27.3 |

rorty-three per cent of the student teachers in homemaking lived in houses of the highest rating, while 27.3 per cent had living conditions offering little social and cultural opportunities (Table 2). The fact that more than 50 per cent of the student teachers in homemaking lived in houses affording only average or no social and cultural opportunities was probably due to their limited finances. Many lived in light housekeeping rooms in order to keep living expenses at the minimum. Others were not able to live in the houses in Class A because of the increased cost of living necessary there. No doubt, an environment encouraging social contacts is a desirable thing, especially for a prospective teacher of homemaking who plans to teach phases of home and family life. Cooperative houses in which girls going to school on minimum amounts of money share expenses, may be the answer to this situation.

Table 3.--ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN LIVING CONDITIONS AND STUDENT TEACHING ABILITY OF 92 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING
[With three categories for ratings of student teaching]

| Rating for Student Teaching | Rating for Living Conditions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B C |  |
| A | $0=11$ $=10$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0=4 & 0=8 \\ T=6.7 & T=6.3\end{array}\right\}$ | 23 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0=15 \\ & T=16.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0=15 & 0 \\ \mathrm{~T}=11.2 & \mathrm{~T}=10.3\end{array}\right)$ | 38 |
| C-D | $\begin{aligned} & 0=14 \\ & T=13.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0=8 & 0=9 \\ T=9.1 & T=8.4\end{array}\right)$ | 28 |
|  | 40 | $27 \quad 25$ | 92 |

Chi square $=3.6555$ D. F. $=4$ Not significant

No association between living conditions and student teaching ability was found in either distributions of student teaching grades, and may be an evidence of the greater effort exerted by these girls, handicapped in finances and social advantages, to make a success of student teaching (Table 3). Advantageous living conditions, on the other hand, pave the way for easier adjustment to the field of teaching homemaking. Cooperative houses, offering a combination of self support and social opportunities, might be a particularly desirable solution.

## Extra-Curricular Activities and Student Teaching

The extra-curricular activities participated
in by the student teachers were organized into the professional clubs, both technical and professional; honorary clubs, both technical honoraries and class honoraries; and social associations, which included major responsibilities in sorority and in church organizations and activities. For determining the degree of participation checked by the student teacher, the point system worked out by the Associated Women Students' organization at Colorado State College in 1940, was used.

Participation in professional and honorary extra-curricular activities was classified into four categories, each ranging in points as follows:

> I. 100 points or above
> II. 60 points to 100 points
> III. 20 points to 60 points
> IV. 20 points or below

These were classified in the above intervals in order to make a more normal distribution of points.

Table 4.--PARTICIPATION IN PROFESSIONAL EXTRA-CURRICUIAR ACTIVITIES BY 92 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING

| Degree of Participation | INumber | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in points |  |  |

Exactly 75 per cent of the student teachers in homemaking, participating in professional activities, were in the two lower groups, namely III and IV, which ranged from 60 points to no points (Table 4).

This might indicate that student teachers in homemaking are not very active in extra-curricular activities. Again, it might suggest that the student teachers are too busy to participate in other than class work.

Table 5.--ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE PARTICIPATION IN PROFESSIONAL EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND STUDENT TEACHING ABILITY OF 92 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING
[With three categories for ratings of student teaching]

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rating for } \\ & \text { student teaching } \end{aligned}$ | Points for Professional extracurricular activities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & 100 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & 60 \text { to } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ 20 \text { to } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \text { 20 or } \\ & \text { below } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0=4 \\ & 1=2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=5 \\ & x=3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=10 \\ & T=11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=4 \\ & T=6 \end{aligned}$ | 23 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0=5 \\ & T=3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=6 \\ & \mathrm{~T}=5.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=16 \\ & T=18.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=11 \\ & T=10.5 \end{aligned}$ | 38 |
| C-1 | $\begin{array}{r} 0=0 \\ T=3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=3 \\ & T=4.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=18 \\ & \mathrm{~T}=14.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=10 \\ & T=8.5 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
| Chi square $=5.1250$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ F_{0}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & \text { Not sigr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \text { nificant } \end{gathered}$ | 92 |

No association between participation in professional extra-curricular activity and student teaching ability was found in either distribution of student
teaching grades (Table 5). This lack of significance may be attributed to the fact that these organizations appeal to many abilities not conducive to making a success of the teaching of homemaking. However, in that the teaching of homemaking involves many types of activities, the participation in a variety of extra-curricular activities should be recommended.

Table 6.--PARTICIPATION IN HONORARY EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES OF 92 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HONEGVAKIVG

Degree of Participation in Points

Number
Percent

| I | (100 or above)------ | 6 | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | (60 to 100)--------- | 7 | 7.6 |
| III | (20 to 60)----------- | 25 | 27.2 |
| IV | (20 or below)------- | 54 | 58.7 |

The majority, 58 per cent, of the student teachers in homemaking did not participate extensively in honorary extra-curricular activities (Table 6). The small percentage participating in the upper groups was to be expected, in that the honorary societies are highly selective groups. Everyone cannot make the grades for entrance or qualification or cannot afford to join.

A high association between participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability was found in both distributions of student

```
teaching grades.
```

Table 7.--ANALYSIS TO DETERVINE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE PARTICIPATION IN HONORARY EXIRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND STUDENT TEACHING ABILITY OF 92 STUDINI IFACHERS IN HOMEMAKING
[With three categories for rating of student teaching]

| Rating for student Teaching | Points for Honorary Extra-نurricular Activities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 100 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & 60 \text { to } \end{aligned}$ $100$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ 20 \text { to } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & 20 \text { or } \\ & \text { below } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0=3 \\ & T=1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=5 \\ & T=1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=6 \\ & T=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=9 \\ & T=13.7 \end{aligned}$ | 23 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0=2 \\ & T=2.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=2 \\ & T=2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=11 \\ & T=9.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=23 \\ & T=22.7 \end{aligned}$ | 38 |
| C-D | $\begin{aligned} & 0=1 \\ & T=2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=0 \\ & T=2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=7 \\ & T=8.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=23 \\ & T=18.6 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
| Chi square $=13.2310$ | 6 | 7 | 24 | 55 | 92 |
|  | 0 | $\mathrm{F}=6$ | Significant |  |  |

This high association is significant because qualifications for membership in honorary extra-curricular activities are based on scholarship; hence this significant association between participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and student teaching success is but one facet of the very significant association between scholastic achievement and student teaching success discussed later (Table 7).

Participation in social extra-curricular
activities was classified in two categories: I, which includes all points above 10 ; and II, which includes 10 points and below.

Table 8.--PARTICIPATION IN SOCIAL EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES OF 92 STUDENI HEACHEKN IN hUVLivakIIVG
 pation in Points

Number
Percent

| $I($ above l0)------------- | 22 | 23.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II (10 or below)------- | 70 | 76.1 |

The greater percentage in the lower classification, (10 points or below) may be due to the fact that in this study participation in social extra-curricular activities was confined to "major responsibilities" in sororities and church organizations and activities (Table 8). No points were given to membership alone, or to minor office holding. Thus, leadership in social extma-curricular activities has been measured rather than mere membership. Practically one-fourth of the student teachers in homemaking have been leaders in the social extra-curricular activities.

Table 9.--ANALYSIS TO DEIERMINE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE PARTICIPATION IN SOCIAL EXTRA-CURRICULAR AGTIVITIES AND STUDENI TEACHING ABILIIY OF 92 SIUDENT TEACHERS IN HONEMAKING
[With distribution of student teaching grades in three categories]

| Kating IorStudent Teaching | Points ior social dxtra-curricu-lar Activities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | above I | II |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0=6 \\ & T=5.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=17 \\ & \mathrm{~T}=17.5 \end{aligned}$ | 23 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0=7 \\ & T=9.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=31 \\ & T=28.9 \end{aligned}$ | 38 |
| C-D | $\begin{aligned} & 0=9 \\ & T=7.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=22 \\ & T=23.6 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
| hi square $=3.162$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ \mathrm{D} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { signif } \end{gathered}$ | $92$ |

[With distribution of student teaching grades in four categories]

ivo association was found in the relationship between success in student teaching and participation in social extra-curricular activities in the distribution of student teaching grades, when combining C and D grades (Table 9). It may be that the measure as here applied, is not accurate, since points were given only for major responsibilities, and the range of social activities is admittedly limited by the discouragement of non-collegiate extra-curricular activities. Possibly, professional clubs afford enough social opportunity, so that further participation in social activities seem unwarranted. However, when classification of the student teaching grades in homemaking was according to $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, $C$, and $D$, an inverse association existed. This means that the student teachers participating in social extracurricular activities received lower grades. Since, as previously pointed out the number of student teachers who received $D$ was small, the final answer as to the degree of relationship existing between these two variables remains for further investigation.

> The participation in all extra-curricular
activities was classified in four categories, each ranging in points as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I - } 150 \text { points or above } \\
& \text { II - } 90 \text { to } 150 \text { points } \\
& \text { III - } 30 \text { to } 90 \text { points } \\
& \text { IV - } 30 \text { points or below }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.--PARTICIPATION IN ALL EXIRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVI'IIES OF 92 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HONEIMAKING

Degree of Participaiion in points
ivumber
Percent

I (150 or above)------ 15
II (90 to I50)----------- 14
III (30 to 90)------------ 37
16.3

IV (30 or below)--------- 26 40.2 28.3

Practically 70 percent of the student teachers in homemaking had little or no participation in extracurricular activities (Table l0). As has been said previously, regarding the participation in professional and social activities this may be due to some extent to lack of time, because a large percentage of the girls was working their way through college.

Table 11.--ANALYSIS TO DETERMTNE ASSOCIATION BEIWEEN PARTICIPATION IN TOTAI EXIRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND STUDENI TEACHING ABILIIY OF 92 STUDEIVI TEACHERS IN HONEINAK LIVG
[With three categories for ratings of student teaching]

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kating for } \\ & \text { Student Teaching } \end{aligned}$ | Points for total extra-curricularactivities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1}{11}$  <br> 150 or 90 to <br> above 150 | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ 30 \text { to } \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & 30 \text { or } \\ & \text { below } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 0=7 & 0=4 \\ T=3.7 & T=3.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=8 \\ & T=9.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=4 \\ & T=6.3 \end{aligned}$ | 23 |
| B | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 0=7 & 0=5 \\ \mathrm{~T}=6.2 & \mathrm{~T}=5.8 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=14 \\ & i=15.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=12 \\ & 1=10.3 \end{aligned}$ | 38 |
| C-D | $\begin{array}{ll} 0=1 & 0=5 \\ T=5.1 & T=4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} 0 & =16 \\ 1 & =12.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=9 \\ & \mathrm{~T}=8.4 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
| Chi square $=9.0995$ | 15 D. F. ${ }^{14}=6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { ivot sig } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { ificant } \end{aligned}$ | 92 |

No significant relationship was found between the participation in all extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability (Table il). Therefore, it is impossible to draw any conclusion regarding such participation in extra-curricular activities and its relation to student teaching ability.

Scholastic Rating and Student Teaching
The scholastic rating for the four years of college of each student teacher in homemaking, was based on credits and honor points. Points are assigned to grades in this manner: $A=3, B=2$, and $\mathrm{C}=1$. The scholastic ratings were classified in three categories: high scholastic achievement, (2.25 or above), average scholastic achievement (1.25 to 2.25) and low scholastic achievement (1.25 or below). Over one-half of the student teachers in homemaking fell somewhat above the scholastic average as one would expect, since student teachers are a select group. The intervals were arbitrarily decided upon in order to compute the ohi square values more significantly.

Scholastic ratings of 90 student teachers were used instead of the 92 used in the other phases of the study. This was due to the fact that transfers from other schools made the computation of average scholastic rating unreliable in two cases.

Table 12.--SCHOLASTIC RATING IN COLLEGE OF 90 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HORMVIAKING

| scholastic Achievement | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| High (2.25 or above)- |  |  |
| Average (1.25 to 2.25) | 14 | 15.5 |
| Low (1.25 or below) | 52 | 57.8 |

Of the student teachers of homemaking 57.8 per cent fell within the wide average scholastic achievement group, with 1.25 or above points (Table l2). This means that three-fourths of the girls being educated for teaching homemaking received an average grade above C .

Table 13.--ANALYSIS TO DELERMLNE ASSOCIATION BEIWEEN SCHOLASTIC ACHIEVEMIENI AND STUDENI LEACHING ABLLIIY UF 90 STUDENT TEACHERS IIV HONGVAKLIVG
[with three categories for rating student teaching]

| Rating Ior Student Teaching | Points for scholastic Rating |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 2.25 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & 1.25 \text { to } \\ & 2.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \text { I. } 25 \text { or } \\ & \text { below } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0=6 \\ & T=4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=18 \\ & T=14.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=2 \\ & T=7.3 \end{aligned}$ | 26 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0=8 \\ & \mathrm{~T}=5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=14 \\ & T=18.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=11 \\ & T=9.2 \end{aligned}$ | 33 |
| C-j | $\begin{aligned} & 0=0 \\ & T=4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=19 \\ & x=17.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=12 \\ & T=8.5 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
| i square $=15.2236$ | $14$ $\text { D. } F \text {. }$ | 51 <br> 4 Very | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \text { ignificant } \end{gathered}$ | 90 |

A very significant association was found between scholastic achievement of student teachers in homemaking and student teaching ability in both distributions of student teaching grades (Table 13). This means that a high scholastic achievement is indicative of success in student teaching.

## Self-Support and Student Treaching

The work done by student teachers to earn their way through college was classified in three categories: regular, irregular, and none. Originally this work was planned to be measured in hours, but because of the different types of work this method of classification seemed unreliable.

Table 14.--EMPLOYNENT OF 92 STUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING

| Nature of Work | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Regular | 27 | 29.3 |
| Irregular | 42 | 45.7 |
| None | 23 | 25 |

over three-fourths of the student teachers in homemaking worked to finance their college education (Table 14).

Table 15.--ANALYSIS TU DELERMINE ASSOCIATIUNV BELWEEN SELFSUPPORI IN COLLEGE AND STUDENI THACHING ABLLIIY OF 92 SILUDENI LEACHERS IN HOVEIVAKIING
[with three categories for rating of student teaching]

| Rating for <br> student reaching | Nature of Work |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regular | Irregular | None |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0=8 \\ & 1=6.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 0 & =13 \\ 1 & =10.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=2 \\ & 1=5.7 \end{aligned}$ | 23 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0=13 \\ & 1=11.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=14 \\ & 1=17.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=11 \\ & 1=9.6 \end{aligned}$ | 38 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=6 \\ & 1=9.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 0 & =15 \\ 1 & =14.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0=10 \\ & 1=7.7 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
| Uhi square $=5.255 \%$ | $27$ <br> D. F. | $42$ <br> Not sign | 23 <br> icant | 92 |

No significant relationship was found between seli-support in college and student teaching ability by both methods of distribution of student teaching grades (Table 15.) Had a check been made on specific types of work, there might have been a relationship between those particular types of work, which could be classified as vocational experience, and success in teaching homemaking.

Table 16.--ASSOCIATION BETWEEN FACTORS IN COLIEGE LTFE AND STUDENT TEACHING ABILITY

student teaching ability. A significant inverse association was found between participation in social extracurricular activities and student teaching grades when the four categories distribution for student teaching grades was used for computing Chi square, while no association was found between participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching grades when the three categories distribution was used for computing Chi square. There was no association between student teaching ability and the factors: living conditions, participation in professional and in all extra-curricular activities and self-support.

## Interpretation

So far as the data (secured with the measuring devices available in this study) give evidence, a high scholastic achievement and a high degree of participation in honorary extra-curricular activities may be used as a prognosis for success in student teaching in homemaking, since there is a very significant association between high scholastic achievement and success in student teaching in homemaking, and a significant association between participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and success in student teaching in homemaking. In that a signifićant inverse association and no association were found for the relationship between
participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching grades, by both methods of student teaching grade distribution, participation in social extra-curricular activities can not be used as a factor in predicting student teaching ability in homemaking. The inverse association might indicate that high participation in social extra-curricular activities interferes with student teaching in homemaking. Living conditions in college, type and degree of participation in professional and social extra-curricular activities, and earning way through college have no significant effect on relationship to student teaching ability. No matter what may be said regarding factors such as the "halo effect of personality" and value of participation in college activities, this study brings out the fact that, in so far as the measuring devices were reliable, a thorough knowledge of subject matter, exemplified by scholastic rating, is the most reliable basis for predicting success in student teaching in homemaking up to the present time.

## For Further Study

The relationship of phases of college life studied and of student teachers' experiences in homemaking is a problem for further study. Surely there must be some types of experiences in college life which are
pertinent to success in teaching homemaking, and which therefore can be used as a basis for prognosis in teaching success in homemaking.

## Limitation of Study

The study was limited in the following respects:
The rating devices for living conditions and extra-curricular activities, although the best that could be worked out, are admittedly not as reliable or as valid as desired.

There is a reasonable degree of accuracy in measuring student teaching ability by class room success, but thus far no reliable appraisal of community relations can be made.

The grouping and weighing of extra-curricular activities in any arbitrary pattern, as here employed, of necessity entails some injustices.

## Chapter V

## SUMMAARY

The problem investigated in this study was What is the relationship between college life and successful student teaching in homemaking in Colorado: In order to solve this problem, answers to the following questions have been sought:

1. What is the relationship between living conditions in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
2. What is the relationship between participation in extra-curricular activities in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
(a) What is the relationship between participation in professional clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking"?
(b) What is the relationship between participation in honorary clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking:
(c) What is the relationship between participation in social clubs in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
3. What is the relationship between scholastic rating in college and student teaching ability in homemaking?
4. What is the relationship between working one's way through college and student teaching ability in homemaking?

The names of 114 student teachers in the Home

Economics Department from Colorado State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts were secured from the Teacher Trainer. The living conditions for these student teachers were rated by the dean of women. Participation in extra-curricular activities and self-support check sheets were filled out by the student teachers. Scholastic ratings were secured from the registraris files. Check sheets for 92 students were available for use. In order to study the relationships between certain factors of college life (living conditions, participation in extra-curricular activities, scholastic rating and self support) and student teaching ability in homemaking, the statistic Chi square was employed. The data were classified, rated and scored in the following manner: the living conditions were rated by the dean of women according to the following classifications:

A (highest social opportunities)
B (average social opportunities)
C (lowest social opportunities)
The extra-curricular activities were divided into professional, honorary, social and total participation. The participation in extra-curricular activities was secured through check sheets. The point system compiled by the Associated Women Students was used to score the degree of participation. The points then were classified in the following categories:

Participation in professional and honorary extra-curricular activities:
I. 100 points or above
II. 60 to 100 points
III. 20 to 60 points
IV. 20 points or below

Participation in social extra-curricular activities:
I. Above 10 points
II. 10 points or below

Participation in all extra-curricular activities:
I. 150 points or above
II. 90 points or above
III. 30 points to 90 points
IV. 30 points or below

Scholastic ratings were based on credits and
honor points and were classified as follows:
$A=2.25$ or above
$B=1.25$ to 2.25
$\mathrm{C}=1.25$ or below
Self support in college was classified in categories according to the nature of the working time: regular, irregular, and none.

The student teaching grades were based on the judgment of the Teacher Trainer, and were classified according to two methods: $A, B, C$ and $D$ combined and A, B, C, D.

Two Chi square values for each relationship between student teaching ability and the factors in college life of the student teachers were considered.

In the first analysis, the student teaching grades were classified in three groups, $A, B$, and $C$ and $D$ combined, while in the second analysis, the student teaching grades were classified in four groups, A, B, C, and D. These two similar analyses were necessitated by the fact that only three student teachers received a grade of D. In the analyses where the $C$ and $D$ grades were considered together, there is a possibility that the effect of these grades has been minimized and in the other analysis where these two grades were considered separately, there is a possibility in some cases that these grades have been given undue weight in the final chi square value. The true relationship is probably some where between the two values obtained. Both analyses will be presented in those cases where the interpretation is changed by the grouping of the data.

## Summary

Significant chi square values were found for two relationships by both distributions of the student teaching grades, ( $A, B, C, D$, and $A, B, C$ and $D$ combined): participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and student teaching ability; and scholastic rating and student teaching ability. A significant inverse association was found between participation in social extracurricular activities and student teaching grades when
the four category distribution for student teaching grades was used for computing chi square, while no association was found between participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching grades when the three category distribution was used for computing chi square. There was no association between student teaching ability and the factors: living conditions, participation in professional and in all extra-curricular activities and self-support.

## Interpretation

So far as the data (secured with the measuring devices available in this study) give evidence, a high scholastic achievement and a high degree of participation in honorary extra-curricular activities may be used as a prognosis for success in student teaching in homemaking, since there is a very significant association between high scholastic achievement and success in student teaching in homemaking, and a significant association between participation in honorary extra-curricular activities and success in student teaching in homemaking. In that a significant inverse association and no association were found for the relationship between participation in social extra-curricular activities and student teaching grades, by both methods of student teaching grade distribution, participation in social
extra-curricular activities can not be used as a factor in predicting student teaching ability in homemaking. The inverse association might indicate that high participation in social extra-curricular activities interferes with teaching in homemaking. Iiving conditions in college, type and degree of participation in professional and social extra-curricular activities, and earning way through college have no significant effect on student teaching rating.

No matter what may be said regarding factors such as the "halo effect of personality" and value of extra-curricular participation in college activities, this study brings out the fact that, in so far as the measuring devices were reliable, a thorough knowledge of subject matter, exemplified by scholastic rating is the most reliable of the various factors considered in this study.

For Further Study
The relationship of phases of college life studied and of student teachers' experiences to actual teaching ability is a problem for further study. Surely there must be some types of experiences in college life which are pertinent to success in teaching homemaking, and which therefore can be used as a basis for prognosis in teaching success in homemaking.

## Limitation of Study

The study was limited in the following respects:
The rating devices for living conditions and extra-curricular activities although the best that could be worked out, are admittedly not as reliable or as valid as desired.

There is a reasonable degree of accuracy in measuring student teaching ability by class room success, but thus far no reliable appraisal of community relations can be made.

The grouping and weighing of extra-curricular activities in any arbitrary pattern as here employed, of necessity entails some injustices.

## APPENDIX

Appendix Page
A ....Check sheets for participation in extra-curricular activities ..... 54
B ....Check sheet for working way through college ..... 58
C ....Point system card composed byAssociated Women students59
D ....Evaluation Record for studentteaching evaluation63
E .... Raw scores concerning variousfactors in college life made bystudent teachers in homemaking.... 71
F ....Bibliography ..... 75

TYPE OF EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITY

In connection with colleges:

CLUBS
Amity Chemistry Cosmopolitan Dramatics Hiker's Home Economics Modern Dance Pistol Psychology Rocky Mountain Collegian Scribblers Silver Spruce Swan

## CLASS OFFICE

HONORARIES
Beta Beta Beta Euclidean
Hesperia
Omicron
Spur
Tio
Student Council
SOCIAL SORORITIES
Live in house
Yes?
No?
How long:
Not in connection with college?

FRATERNAL ORGANIZATIONS Eastern Star Rainbow Girls Others

CHURCH ORGANIZATIONS Choir
Young Peoples Ass. Newman Club Others

CLUBS
4H
Social
Others

AMOUNT OF PARIICIPATION
FRESHIVAN


TYPE OF EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITY

In connection with colleges:

CLUDS
Amity
Chemistry Cosmopolitan Dramatics Hiker's
Home Economics Modern Dance Pistol
Psychology Rocky Mountain Collegian
Scribblers
Silver Spruce Swan

CLASS OFFLCE
HONORARIES
Beta Beta Beta
Euclidean
Hesperia
Omicron
Spur
Tio
Student Council
SOCIAI SORORIIIES
Live in house yes? ivo:
How long:
ivot in connection
with college:
FRATERIVAL ORGAVLLATLUNS basterm star Rainbow Girls Others

CHURUH ORGANIZATIONS Ghoir
young Peoples Ass.
Newman cilub
Others
CLUBS
4H
Social
other


AMOUNT OF PARTICIPATION
SOPHOMORE

I'YPE OF FIIRA UURRIUULAR AULIVLIY

In connection with colleges:

CLUBS
Amity
Chemistry
cosmopolitan
Dramatics
Hiker's
Home Economics wodern Dance Pistol Psychology Rocky Mountain vollegian
Scribblers
Silver Spruce Swan

## CLASS OFFICE

HONORARIES
Beta seta Beta Euclidean
Hesperia
Omicron
Spur
Tio
Student Council
SOCIAL SORORITIES
Live in house yes?
No?
How long:
Not in connection
with
college:
FRATERNAL ORGANIZATIONS
Eastern star
Rainbow Girls
Others
CHURCH ORGAIVIZATIUIVS
Choir
Young Peoples Ass.
Newman Club
others
CLUBS
4H
Social
uther


TYPE OF FXYTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVIIY

In connection with colleges:

## CLUBS

Amity
Chemistry
Cosmopolitan
Dramatics
Hiker's
Home Economics
Modern Dance
Pistol
Psychology
Rocky Mountain Collegian
Scribblers
silver Spruce
Swan
CLASS OHFICE
HONORARIES
Beta Beta Beta
Euclidean
Hesperia
Omicron
Spur
Tio
Student Council
SOCIAL SORORITIES
Live in house Yes? No?
How long?
Not in connection with college:

FRATERINAL ORGAIVIZATIUNS
Eastern Star
Rainbow Girls
Others
CHURCH ORGANIZATIONS
Choir
Young Peoples Ass.
Newman Club
Others

## CLUBS

4H
Social
Other



## POINT SYSTEM



| Date |
| :--- |

Cosmopolitan offices
International Relations offices
Highest Young Peoples church office
Social club offices (to be designated)

Major Membership (7)
Tio
Spur
Hesperia $\qquad$
Technical club honoraries

Minor Membership (5)
A cappella
Dramatic club WAA
International Relations club
Debate - Forensic
Modern Dance club
Swan club

Sub-Minor Membership (3)
Silver Spruce Staff
Collegian reporter
Technical club
Glee club
Househead board
Activity board
Statesman club
Orchestra
Band
Hikers club
Cosmopolitan club
Spruce
Ski club
Grades
Assembly demerit
Totals
$\qquad$

Instructions for Using Evaluation Record for Student

The local supervisor should watch the student teacher carefully and objectively. At the end of the first three weeks she should go over the Evaluation Record level by level and check the phrases which seem to describe the student teacher at that time. At the end of the six weeks' period she should again study the phrases carefully and underline those which seem to describe the student teacher during the last week of her teaching period. The difference between the checks and the underlined phrases will indicate growth.

The final rating is an average derived from the checked and underlined phrases. These phrases are classified under "Very Superior," "Superior," "Average," or "Below Average." They have numerical values of 4, 3, 2, and 1 respectively. The first average is obtained by multiplying by 4 the number of "Very Superior" phrases that were checked, multiplying by 3 the number of "Superior" phrases that were checked, multiplying by 2 the number of "Average" phrases that were checked, multiplying by 1 the number of "Below Average" phrases that were checked, by summing these products, and by dividing by the total number of all phrases that were checked. The second average is determined in like manner
from the underlined phrases. The final rating is an average of these two averages. This final rating may be obtained part by part from the record or it may be obtained from all checks and underlines in the aggregate. The rating scale will be interpreted into grades as follows:


## EVALUATION RECORD



Student Teacher $\qquad$
High School $\qquad$
Supervising Teacher $\qquad$
dtiv ch cha astoth Date $\qquad$
 bas a310120 k015
 HONE ECONOMICS EDUCATION

DEPAPMNENT OF IURRAL AND VOCARIONAL EDUCATION


Colorauo State College<br>Fort Collins, Colorado

At the end of the first three weeks of the teaching period place a check ( $V$ ) beside the phrases which best describe the student. teacher.

During the last day or two of the six weeks' teaching period underline the phrases which best describe the student teacher at that time.

## To Personnel:

1. Works very happily with supervisor.
2. Is self-assured, poised and respectful with adninistrators.
3. Is friendly and respectful with other teachers; makes effort to know then.
4. Works happily with supervisor.
5. Is usually self-assured, poised, and always respectful with administrators.
6. Is frienaly and respectful with other teachers; meets them halfway.

To comrunity:

1. Accepts social life of commity. Makes an active effort, to take part in community affairs and mixes with towns people.
2, Accopts living conaitions happily, evon thoush they are difficult.
2. Conforms to standard of comunity in dress end conduct
3. Adapts easily
to various classus nd social groups in comunity.
4. Accepts social life of commity. Makes sone effort to take part in community affairs and mix with towns people.
5. Accepts living conci ions heppily.
6. Conforns to standard of co:munity in dress and conduct.
7. Adapts quite well
to various classes and social eroups in commenty.

To school program:

1. Learns routine very easily and fits into it without hesitancy.
2. Is prompt and accurate.
3. Uses good judenent and keeps calm in an energency, such as in change of schedule or accident.
4. Complies with all school nolicies whol eheartedly.
5. Accepts student teaching as a real job happily and eagerly.
6. Learns routine quite ensily; fits into it within two or three days.
7. Is prompt and usually accurate.
8. Usually uses good juagment and keeps calu in an emereency.
9. Complies with all school policies pleasantly.
10. Accepts student teaching as a real job happily.

## Average

To personnel:

1. Works smoothly with supervisor.
2. Is respectful to adminisirators; gains in poise ond assurence.
3. Waits for other teachers leticent at first; geins greatly

To comiunity:

1. Accepts the social life of com- 1. May not accept social life of community but takes part in comminity a fairs only when suggestion is made.
2. Accepts her living conditions 2. May complain about living conditions. satisfactorily.
3. Conforms fairly well to stardard 3. May not conform to standards of comof community in dres s and corduct.
4. Adapts herself
with reticence to virious classes and social groups in community.

## Below Average

stroanul got sumpaik

1. Has a tendency toward misunderstanding with supervisor.
2. Is respectful to administrators, but self-conscious, embarrassed, and lacks poise.
3. May be too tinid or too aggressive with teachers; may not "fit in".
munity. Nay be over-dressed or not well-aressed. May be indiscreet or overly discreet in conduct.
4. Adapts herself
very little to various classes and social groups in community; may not be interested.

To school:

1. Tokes several deysto learn routine 1 . Is siom in learning routine and and fit into it. does not always fit into it.
2. Is usualiy prompt. Is not alvays 2. Is often not prompt. Is inaccurate. accurate.
3. Meets emurgencies with h lp of supervisor - such as changes in schedule or accident.
4. Intends to and usuelly does comply with all school policius.
5. Accepts student tuaching as real job and works to the best of hor ability.
6. Is dependent on supervisor in emergencies.
7. Dous not rocosnize importanco and sometimes fails to comply with school policies, or grumbles about thom.
8. Is either not interested in student teaching or fails to approciate its problems.

Discussion lesson:

1. Uses interesting introductions.
2. Uses "real" problons, woll chosen, well stated.
3. Handles iiscussion tactfully and adeptly. Challenges group.
4. Includes all in discussion; keeps to the point; 1"ings out solution of problens.

## Laboratory lesson:

1. Uses good introauctions, pupilplenned; closes lesson with good evaluation period, pupilplanned.
2. Organizes and nanages entire situation well. Fupils quiet, busy with purpose, and through on time.
3. Obtains procucts of high standara.
4. Shows evidence of adequata vocational experience.

Supervised study:

1. Creates a feeling of need.
2. Develops cluar-cut plans for carrying on study without teacher dictation.
3. Secures efficient use of time of pupils.
4. Is very alert to individual needs of group.
I. Usually creates a fecling of need.
5. Aftor a little experience usually develops definite plans for carrying out stuady without teacher dictation.
6. Secures good use of the tine of pupils.
7. Is alert to individual needs of group.

## Avorage

## Below Average

## Discussion lesson:

1. Sometimes omits intraduction or uses inadequate ones. Improves during teaching period.
2. Mries to put discussion on "real" problom basis but lacks background. Problens not well stated. Improves.
3. Hanculus discussion fairly woll. Shows improvement.
4. Ofter I'ails to incluad all of group but makes en effort to do so. Wiay not have very dofinite solutions.

## Laboratory lesson:

1. Uses introductions sonetines which are inadequate; may close lesson withoat s good evaluation puriod.
2. Needs help in organizins and managine. Class works for a purpose most of the time.
3. Turns out somo products that are not up to straderd.
4. Neeas more vocationel experiunce in sone phases.

Superviseả study:

1. Creates a feuling of need part of the tine.
2. Often doos not help the group to achieve cluar cut, definite plans.
3. Secures fair use of the tine of pupils.
4. May be quite alert to individuals in group.
5. Omits introductions or uses onos of no interest.
6. Has discussion on informabion level. Uses poor questions iistuad of "real" problom.
7. Allows discu aions to drag. It may be purposeless.
8. Secures participetion from a fer. May leave solutions in "mid air".
9. Uses indequate introductions. Often closus class without evaluation, or it is teacher-dictatud.
10. Organizes and manages situation poorly; cless may be slow, working with little purpose, not through on time. Class may be nois.v.
11. Turns out inferior products.
12. Lacks vocational experience in many pheses.
13. Does not create a feeling of need. Forcus study upon pupils.
14. Dous not holp the group attain clear and definito plans. Either dictates cl lets the cless lead her.
15. Socures only poor use of time of pupils. Often allows too nuch tine. Group may bo inattentive. There may be visiting.
16. Is not alert to individuals in group.

## Dezonstration:

1. Has lesson very well oreanized; woll managed with materials ready
2. Guides girls to recognize need and purpose from introduction.
3. Uses 800 techniques skillfully.
4. Raisus and secures answers to nan. good questions during denonstration. In follow-up period thore are few questions.
5. Draws pupils out and leads them to sumarize lesson well.

Pupil Participation:

1. Guides plans unobstrusively.
2. Sucures initiative of pupils in plannine and executins their plans with a minimun of suiance
3. Securus pupil ovaluation of their own success and failure.
4. Manages all work lergely upon basis of pupil participation.
5. Has lesson quite well or Eanized and well nanaged. it first, materials may not be all ready.
6. Guides eirls to recognize noed and purpose from introciuction.
7. Uses good techniques.
8. Raises and secures answers to good questions during demonstration. Not many questions in follow;up period.
9. Managus a vory good sumary of lesson.
10. Is able to guide plans very well after a little experience.
11. Secures good pupil planning and good pupil activity as a result of group planning after sone experience.
12. Secures good pupil evaluation after a little exporionce.
13. hanages a.ll work largely upon basis of pupil participation by ond of toaching period.

## Tala inve

## Average

Dewonstration:

1. Has lesson fairly well orgarizud and nanaged. Meteriols sonotines not ready.
2. Dous not sce all possibilities nor make purpose entiroly clear in minds of class in introduction.
3. Uses good techniques with sonc exceptions.
4. Raisus sone questions during demonstration. Some questions in follow-up period.
5. Sumarizes lesson pretty wall but apt to do it horself.

6. Has lesson poorly organized and materials not ready.
7. Lacks purpose hersulf and does not make purpose ciear in minds of ciass through introduction. Class apt to be inattentive.
8. Uses poor techniques.
9. Raisos very few questions in minas of girls curing dumonstration, but there are many questions in followup period.
10. Either sumarizos lesson poorly or has no sumary at all.

Pupil participation: tumod


1. Guides plans woll with holp but with some tendurcy to dictate plans.
2. Secures pupil planning and pupil activity after quite a little expericice.
3. Secures solle pupil evaluation but tends to do a good uurl herself.
4. Manages a great deel of the work upon besis of pupil participation by end of teaching puriod.
5. Dictatus plans or Hay let pupils guide her.
6. Guts pupils to follow hur plens fairly woll, when carefully watched.
7. Evsluatus class work hersclf though she may try to have class do it.
8. Lanages very littlo work upon pupilparticipation basis, even by end of toaching period.

Self:

1. Plans her day with effort welldirected. Has a very good time sense.
2. Shows an exceptional sense of responsibility from the very first.
3. Seeks aduitional responsibilities with good juagment.

Girls:

1. Pre-plans her suidance
2. Organizes, directs, and guides firls easily and efficiently.
3. Is genuinely interested in working with Eirls. Nueds little help.
4. Gains confidence, respect, and honest liking of all sirls quickly, and to a high degree.
5. Continually creates nd naintains keen interest.
6. Stimulates girls to creative efforts.
7. Stimulates firls to high standard of personal conauct.
8. Girls genuinely sorry whon she leaves - show keen regret.

## Materials:

1. Is very resourceful in finaing naterials.
2. Has materials and supplies very well organized before class. Usus then to good adventage.
3. Becomus faniliar with equipnent in department imediately and plans for its use and care.
4. Plans wisely the use and expenaiture of money.
5. Keeps accurate accounts.
6. Usually plans her day with effort woll-directed. Has a good time sense.
7. Has a high sense of responsibility.
8. Seeks out some adätional responsibility; under enthusiasa may undertake too much.
9. Pre-plans her guidance with holp at first.
10. Orgenizes, directs, and guides girls with sone help at first.
11. Has real interost in girls; needs some help in working with them.
12. Gains confiauence, respect, and honest liking of eirls.
13. Creates and maintains high interest most of the time.
14. Stimulatos girls to some creative efforts.
15. Stimulates girls to good standard of personal conduct.
16. Girls sorry when she leaves express resro:
17. Shows considerable resourcefulness in findine naterials.
18. Has materials and supplies quite well organized before class. Inproves with experience and in ability to use them to good advantage.
19. Becomes familiar with equipment in department and plans for its use and care after few days' experience.
20. Plens wisely for the use and exponditure of money with some guidance.
21. Keeps accurate accounts with help.

Avurage
Solf:

1. Tries to plan her day but not alvays to good advantage. Has fair sense of time.
2. Does the things expucted of her whon they are suegestod.
3. Will assune suggested additional responsibility gladly.

## Girls:

1. Pre-plans guidance with holp.
2. Organizes, directs, and guides sirls with some help.
3. Is interested. in girls. May guide one age level more successfully than other.
4. Has confidence, respect, and liking of most of the girls. .
5. Creates Iluctuating interest.
6. Stinulates creative effort part of tine time.
7. Stimulates girls to good standards 7. Sometimes may get negative reaction of persomel conduct most of the time.
8. Girls sory when toacher leaves some expression of regret.
9. Cannot visualize for planning.
10. Cannot orgenize, direct, or guide eirls except with a great doal of help.
11. Nay not bo interested in nelping Eirls.
12. Loses confidence and respect of हirls.
13. Loses interest of the group.
14. Does not stimulate girls to creative effort. in conduct of girls.
15. Girls 10 not care when she leaves some express pleasure.
16. Does not plan day. May waste time. Way lack panctuality in meeting appointrents and in getting work done.
17. Does not carry satisfactorily responsibilities given her. Needs constant suggestion and follow-up.
18. Is not capablo and not interusted in additional responsibilities.

## Materials:

1. Is resourceful in finding materials with help.
2. Usually has materiais and supplies organized but may get some of them at last inute. Uses them to fair advantage.
3. Becomes faniliar with equipnent after several days. Plans for its use and care through suegestion.
4. Plans use and expenaiture of money with help.
5. Keeps fairly accurate accounts, with help.
6. Is not resourceful in finiing materials. Needs much help.
7. Often has supplies and naterials inadequately and poorly organized. Uses them to poor advantage.
8. Is never really faniliar with equipment in dopartment; does not plan for its uso and care.
9. Does not plan for use and expenditure of zoney.
10. Keeps inaccurate or careless accounts

## Very Superior

Superior
Extra Curricular Activities:

1. Snows cruative ability in sponsoring extra curricular duties. Is alert to opportunities. Uses good juagment. Very interested in meny activities.
2. Is an excellent organizer, manager and suide. Delegates responsibility with good results.
3. Possessus a large deeree of social grace.

Standards of Work:

1. Sues disorder without help. Asswnes initiative in keeping department.
2. Fants to keep things orderly and clean anu guides girls to this end.
3. Has high standarals of clothing construction for self. Pupils turn out excellent garnonts without help of supervisor.
4. Turns out excellent products in foods.
5. Encourages and secures high quality of work in all acti ities.
6. Is cloan, well-eroonud, and appropriately dressed with excellent taste.
7. Uses exceptionally good English.
8. Shows a degree of creative ability in sponsoring extra curricular duties

Usually uses good judgrent.
Is interested in several activities.
2. Is a good organizer, manager and guide. Delocetes responsibility. Improves during teaching period.
3. Possesses considerable degree of social grace.

1. Wants things orderly and clean. At first needs help in euiding irls to this end.
2. Becomes conscious of disorder after first fow lays. Shows great improvenent in guiding girls in keeping acpartment.
3. Has gooi standard of clothing construction for herself. Pupils turn out good garnents
4. Turns out sood products in foods.
5. Strives for and secures a good quality of work in all activities.
6. Is well groomed and appropriately dressed for work.
7. Uses good Enejlish.

## Av rage

## Below Average

## Extre Curricular Activities:

1. Shows some ability in sponsoring extra curricular dutios with help for ideas. May not alweys use good judsment. Is interested.
2. Is a fair organizer, manager and guide. May not delegete much responsibility. Shows inprovement.
3. Possesses some social graces.
4. Shows little or no ability in sponsoring extra curricular duties. Has no creative ability and needs much holp in carrying out ideas given hor. Is not interested.
2, May use poor juadment. Is a poor organizer and ranager. Does not know how to delesate responsibility.
5. Possesses very few sociel graces.

Stendards of Work:

1. May want a clean, ordurly depart- 1. Is not particularly conscious of ment, but sometines does not achieve need of clean, orderly dopertment. this through girls' efforts.
2. Not always conscious of aisorder. Shows improvoment auring taaching period in guiding eifl.s to help.
3. Has good standaras of clothing con- 3 struction for horsolf, but nay accopt fail gements from pupils unless helped. May need more vocetional experience.
4. Wants good quality of food products butneeds help toachieve it.
5. Wants good quality of work in all phases but needs help to achieve it.
6. Is usually well groo:nod.
7. Usually uses fairly jook English.
8. Does not sce disorder. Shows little improvement in guiding girls to help.
9. Has poor standards of clothing construction for herself. Accepts poor gernents. Noeds constant supervision. Needs a great doal of vocational experience.
10. Accepts rather poor food products. Is lacking in vocational experience. Needs much assistance from supervisor.
11. Secures only fair quality work. Requires frequent oncouragement fron supervisor. Shows little improvement.
12. Needs suesestions from suporvisor for grooning improvenents.
13. Uses poor English; use of slang noticeable; poor speller.

## Growth

## Very Sug rir

1. Seeks supervision; is able to analyze herself well.
2. Is objective to supervision fron beginning; increases in ability to correct weaknesses.
3. Can lauch at inistakes.
4. Nakes a consistent day to day progress in teaching techniques and lvadership.
5. Does not seek supervision often. Can analyze herself with help.
6. Reacts to supervision objectively after first few conferences. Increases in ability to correct weaknesses when they are pointca out.
7. Usually can laugh at mistakes.
8. Makes ereat prowress in teaching techniques, luaãorship, and ability

PLEASE USE THIS SPACE FOR ADDITIONAL DESCEIPTIVE PHRASES WHICH WAY BE NECESSARY.

## Growth

## Av rase

1. Wants supervision but is afraid of it.
2. Is subjective toward supervision but increases in objectivity toward end. Shows some ability to correct weaknesses with help.
3. Grows to face herself. Centt lauth at nistakes at first. Is somewhat enotional.
4. Makes sone progress in teaching techiques and leadorship. Improvement not steady.

## Below Averace

1. Is much afraia of supervision.
2. Increases only a little in ability to take objective viewpoint and correct weaknesses.
3. Is very discouraged by criticisin. Does not face herself.
4. Shows little progress in teaching techniques and in leadership.

## Q Con



## SUMMARY

To be filled out at the college


> Final Grade

Special Methods $\qquad$
Advanced Methods $\qquad$

RAW SCORES CONGERNING VARIOUS FACTORS IN COLLEGE LIFE MADE BY STUDENT TREACHERS IN HOMEMAKING

| Student <br> Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. T.l } \\ & \text { Grade } \end{aligned}$ | 1937-1938 |  |  | Extra-Curricular Points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scholastic Average | Living Conditions | Work |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{3} \mathrm{~S}^{4}$ Total |  |  |  |
| 1 | C | . 94 | B | I | 18 | 7 | 0 | 25 |
| 2 | B | 2.56 | C | I | 39 | 166 | 30 | 235 |
| 3 | B | 2.32 | A | I | 173 | 36 | 0 | 209 |
| 4 | B | 1.00 | C | R | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5 | B | 2.18 | A | I | 15 | 7 | 0 | 22 |
| 6 | B | 1.61 | A | R | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 7 | C | 1.79 | A | N | 6 | 28 | 0 | 34 |
| 8 | B | . 76 | C | I | 18 | 7 | 0 | 25 |
| 9 | A | 2.09 | C | I | 50 | 141 | 0 | 191 |
| 10 | B | 1.99 | A | I | 44 | 21 | 0 | 65 |
| 11 | C | 1.21 | A | N | 18 | 21 | 30 | 69 |
| 12 | B | 1.09 | A | N | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28. |
| 13 | B | 1.99 | C | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | B | --- | B | R | 61 | 30 | 0 | 91 |
| 15 | B | 1.11 | C | I | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 16 | A | . 97 | B | R | 75 | 113 | 0 | 188 |
| 17 | B | 2.28 | B | R | 57 | 7 | 0 | 64 |
| 18 | B | 2.63 | B | I | 35 | 35 | 0 | 70 |
| 19 | C | 2.13 | B | R | 24 | 7 | 10 | 41 |
|  | 1938-1939 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | C | 1.21 | C | I | 47 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 21 | A | 1.86 | A | I | 159 | 78 | 10 | 247 |
| 22 | B | 1.10 | C | I | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 23 | C | . 81 | B | I | 9 | 17 | 0 | 26 |
| 24 | C | 1.71 | A | I | 95 | 35 | 10 | 140 |
| 25 | A | 1.63 | C | I | 19 | 15 | 33 | 67 |

RAW SCORES CONCERNING VARIOUS FACTORS IN COLIEGE LIFE MADE BY STUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING--Continued

| Student Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. T.l } \\ & \text { Grade } \end{aligned}$ | Scholastic Average | 1938-1939 |  | Extra-Curricular Points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Living Conditions | Work |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{H}^{\text {² }} \mathrm{S}^{\text {4 }}$ Total |  |  |  |
| 26 | c | 1.02 | 0 | I | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 27 | A | 1.65 | A | I | 109 | 49 | 30 | 188 |
| 28 | C | 1.18 | C | I | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 29 | B | 1.08 | B | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | C | 1.08 | C | I | 22 | 30 | 0 | 52 |
| 31 | B | 2.22 | B | R | 12 | 7 | 0 | 19 |
| 32 | B | 1.45 | A | R | 120 | 35 | 0 | 155 |
| 33 | A | 2.20 | B | I | 21 | 7 | 0 | 28 |
| 34 | C | 1.45 | $B$ | I | 21 | 0 | 10 | 31 |
| 35 | B | 1. 55 | A | I | 32 | 28 | 0 | 60 |
| 36 | A | 1.90 | C | IV | 33 | 50 | 30 | 113 |
| 37 | A | 2.34 | C | IV | 61 | 44 | 0 | 105 |
| 38 | D | 1. 54 | A | N | 30 | 21 | 40 | 91 |
| 39 | A | 2.81 | B | I | 21 | 14 | 0 | 35 |
| 40 | A | 2.34 | C | R | 14 | 73 | 0 | 87 |
| 41 | A | 2.28 | C | I | 28 | 17 | 0 | 45 |
| 42 | C | 1.16 | C | I | 33 | 0 | 10 | 43 |
| 43 | B | 1.07 | A | N | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 44 | A | 1.82 | A | I | 21 | 42 | 20 | 83 |
| 45 | A | 2.18 | A | I | 106 | 29 | 0 | 135 |
| 46 | B | 2.37 | B | N | 46 | 48 | 30 | 124 |
| 47 | C | 1.75 | A | N | 70 | 110 | 20 | 200 |
| 48 | B | 1.58 | B | N | 61 | 21 | 20 | 102 |
| 49 | C | 1.70 | C | I | 34 | 14 | 50 | 98 |
| 50 | B | 1.23 | B | I | 71 | 15 | 0 | 86 |

RAW SCORES CONCERNING VARIOUS FACTORS IN COLIEGE LIFE MADE BY STUDENP TEACHERS IN HOMIFMAKING--Continued

| Student Teacher | S. T.lGrade | Scholastic 1938 Living <br> Average Conditions |  | work | Extra-curricular Points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| 51 | C | 1.91 | C |  | N | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 52 | C | 1.35 | C | R | 71 | 30 | 0 | 101 |
| 53 | A | 1.67 | C | R | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 54 | C | 1.41 | B | R | 19 | 10 | 0 | 29 |
|  |  |  | 1939-1940 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | C | 1.29 | B | I | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 56 | C | . 99 | A | I | 23 | 14 | 0 | 37 |
| 57 | B | 2.08 | B | R | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 58 | B | 1.09 | B | R | 77 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 59 | B | 1.33 | A | I | 152 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| 60 | C | 1.20 | A | N | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 61 | A | 1.86 | A | R | 71 | 78 | 0 | 149 |
| 62 | A | 1.07 | A | R | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |


| 63 | A | 2.26 | A | I | 29 | 7 | 0 | 36 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | B | 2.41 | A | I | 167 | 48 | 0 | 215 |
| 65 | B | 2.03 | B | N | 41 | 0 | 20 | 61 |
| 66 | C | 1.08 | B | R | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 67 | A | 1.96 | B | R | 22 | 32 | 20 | 74 |
| 68 | B | 1.58 | B | N | 61 | 101 | 0 | 162 |
| 69 | A | 1.79 | A | I | 81 | 195 | 20 | 296 |
| 70 | B | 1.61 | A | 1.81 | A | N | 27 | 58 |
| 71.55 | C | I | 45 | 0 | 0 | 85 |  |  |
| 72 | C | B | 1.83 | C | N | 35 | 0 | 30 |
| 73 | A | 2.05 | B | R | 6 | 64 | 0 | 75 |
| 74 | D | 1.63 | A | I | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 75 |  | A | I | 36 | 14 | 20 | 70 |  |

RAW SCORES CONCERNING VARIOUS FACTORS IN COLLEGE LIFE MADE BY STUDENT TEACHERS IN HOMEMAKING--Continued

| Student Teacher | 1939-1940 |  |  |  | Extra-Curricular Points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S.T.I <br> Grade | Scholastic Average | Living Conditions | Work |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ | $54$ | Total |
| 76 | B | 1.67 | A | $I$ | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 77 | B | 1.63 | C | R | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 78 | C | 1.43 | A | N | 39 | 14 | 0 | 53 |
| 79 | C | 1.38 | A | N | 41 | 14 | 40 | 95 |
| 80 | B | ---- | A | I | 29 | 72 | 40 | 141 |
| 81 | C | 1.30 | B | R | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| 82 | B | 1.03 | B | N | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 83 | C | 1.47 | A | R | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 84 | B | 2.00 | B | R | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 85 | D | 1.28 | A | I | 18 | 0 | 30 | 48 |
| 86 | c | 2.18 | A | N | 19 | 7 | 0 | 26 |
| 87 | A | 1.74 | A | R | 110 | 60 | 10 | 180 |
| 88 | A | 1.55 | A | R | 90 | 73 | 0 | 163 |
| 89 | B | 2.43 | A | N | 9 | 14 | 20 | 43 |
| 90 | B | 2.30 | A | N | 43 | 14 | 50 | 107 |
| 91 | B | 2.45 | C | N | 123 | 54 | 0 | 177 |
| 92 | C | . 61 | A | I | 30 | 21 | 10 | 61 |

[^1]
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