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## ABSTRACT OF MHESIS

In order to answer the question, "What budesets should an Illinois Vocational Home Icononics teacher advisedly request?", the buãēets anā expenditures of 370 Illinois Vocational Departments were analyzed. The reports sent in by the vocational home economics teachers to the Hone Economics Division of the Illinois Board for Vocational Eảucation were used to oistain the needed date. These data pertained to the following:

1. The enrollment of the school
2. The anount of money allotted for supplies in the various ohases of work
a. foods
b. clothine
c. home mana m ment
d. equipment
e. laundry
f. Home Economics I
g. Home Economics II
h. Home Economics III
3. The amount of money spent for supplies in the various phases of work
a. foods
b. clotining
c. home nanasement
d. equipment
e. laundry

In adaition a questionneire was
formulated and sent to 365 schools to obtain information which was not given completely in the state records as the followins: the expenditures winen they were not siven completely in the state records; the number of weeks spent teaching the various phases of work in the composite courses; and the number of weeks in the school year, 1940-1941.

The information obtained from the state reports and the questionneires was used in analyzing the buagets and expenditures and computing the cost per student per week.

The budgets and expenditures of all phases of work in the nome economics $\dot{\text { cepartments were }}$ analyzed to fin na out the amount of money the various sizes of schools planned for and spent. Quartiles were used for the comparison. The cost per student per 300-minute week was fic̈ured anu pattern buä̧ets were recommended based on the quertiles.

## Finaings

1. The total oudsets and expenditures increased as the enrollment of the school increased.
2. The cost per student per 300-minute week decreased as the enrollment of the school increased in all phases of Home Economics.
3. Equipment was the only phase in which the expenditures exceeded the budeets.
4. Very few schools included laundry in tine budyets.
5. Seventy per cent of the scnools had budgets.
6. Eighty-three per cent of the schools had recorcis of their expenditures.

Additional findings
The quartiles of the cost per student per 300-minute week were determined, and these ffindings are given in tine chart on the next pase.

On the basis of quartiles per student per 300-minute week a pattern budget was formulated fivine the unit cost per pupil-week for smell, medium, larse, and very large hish schools for the various phases of home economics. Using this pattern budzet a teacher of home economics in Illinois will have a basis for anticipating the approximate cost in her own school.

QUARTILES OF THE COST PER STUDENT PER 300-NINUTE WEEK ${ }^{1}$

| SIZE SCHOOL |  | FOODS | CLOTHING | HOME LIANAGET ENT | LAUNDRY | EQUIPMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small | Q1 | 9.79\% | . 568 | . $85 \%$ | . $45 \%$ | 1.35\% |
| Schools | Q | 17.24d | 1.23d | 2.288 | . $86 \%$ | 4.98\% |
|  | $Q_{3}^{2}$ | 24.75\% | 3.52\% | 4.17\% | 1.46d | 11. $56 \%$ |
| Medium <br> Schools | $Q_{1}$ | $6.43 \%$ | . 39 d | . 380 | . $30 \%$ | . 974 |
|  | $Q_{2}$ | $10.00 d$ | . $73 \%$ | . 948 | . $50 \%$ | $4.28 \%$ |
|  | $Q_{3}^{2}$ | $14.17 \%$ | 1.34 d | 1.718 | . $93 \%$ | 8.28d |
| Large Schools | Q ${ }_{1}$ | $2.19 \%$ | . $26 d$ | . 164 | . 068 | . $56 \%$ |
|  | $Q_{2}$ | 4.38 d | . 51 d | $.63 d$ | . 358 | $2.88 d$ |
|  | $Q_{3}^{2}$ | 5.89d | . $75 \%$ |  | . 69 d | 8.75\% |
| Very <br> Large <br> Schools | $Q_{1}$ | $1.67 \%$ | . Old |  |  | . 23 d |
|  | $Q_{2}^{2}$ | 3.33\% | . 55 d |  |  | . $75 \%$ |
|  | $Q_{3}^{2}$ | 5.00\%, | 1.00 d |  |  | 6.25\% |

1 The quartiles for home management and laundry for the very lerge schools were not included because there were only three schools on which to base these fināings.
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## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

"What budgets may a home economics teacher advisedly request in Illinois vocationally approved schools?", is a question over which many of the Illinois home economics teachers and school superintendents have ponaered. When teachers are asked about the buajet of their home economics departments, they often answer that they a not have a budget or that they have an "unlimited buaget". Wany of them have been told to "keep the expenditures as low as possible". The reason that many of the home econmics departments do not have definive oudjets may be a lack of available authentic material on the subject. The beginning teachers anả superintendents may not know what other departiaents have spent or what should be spent in their department.

In view of this situation, the purpose of this study is to make pattern oudgets which might be recommended for use in Illinois home economics departments of varied enrollments. The findings could be used as a guide for maiking out a department budget or as a basis for evaluating a buajet already in use.

They should help the teachers, to know how much money other schools spend for various phases of work.

Problem
What budgets may a home economics teacher in Illinols advisediy request for her department in a vocationally approved school?

Problem analysis.--The proolem has been divided into the following questions:

1. How much money is budeseted for teaching the various phases of work?
2. How much money is spent for the various phases of work?
3. What pattern buaset is recommended for the home economics departments in schools of different size?

Delimitation.--This report will be a comparative analysis of the budicets ana. of the expenditures of the vocationally approved schools of Illinois for the school year 1940-1941.

The budgets, which will be considered in this stuady, will include only supplies for the department such as the amount of money allotted for foods, clothins, home manazement, equipment, and launāry. No attempt will be made to account for the expense of buildngs, salaries of the teachers, extracurricular activities, or overhead expenses.

## Chapter II

## REVIEN OF LITERATURE

In this chapter, studies made on budsets ana expenditures of the home economics departments, and budgets and expenditures of entire schools will be cited as they indicate methods and results pertinent to this study. The opinions of the authors, methods of gathering data, the number of schools, and the conclusions are varied. Parts of some of these studies have been published in periodicals, bulletins, or books; others are in the form of special reports or theses.

In 1924, Nelson B. Henry (4:58) reported that the home economics aepartment costs more than any other department in the schools with the exception of manual arts, but that it misht be due to local conditions as well as to the aepartments themselves. The reasons for hisi costs cited were as follows: more space required oer pupil; smaller sroups; and in general higher salaried teachers.

Annie Robertson Dyer $(3: 85)$ in 1928, wrote that the per pupil cost per pupil class hour for supplies used in food lessons wais between two or
three cents to 10 cents with a mean of four and onehalf cents. The per puoil class hour cost for other lessons was one-half cent to five cents with a mean of two and one-half cents. These findings were based (3:8) on questionnaires, writings, checis lists, and reports of the city and state supervisors, educational specialists in home economics, and the superintendents of city schools.

In September, 1928, Home Economics Education Organization and Administration was published by the U. S. Federal Board for Vocational Education (13:15). In it were statements as follows: the cost of maintenance was very small in a course of clothing because the students furnished the naterial upon which they worked; the cost of home managenent was small because the stores were willing to lend supplies or to be visited; and the cost of food courses varied with tine sources of supply, size of the classes, the localities, and the means of the disposal of the finished soods.

Margaret Hutchins (7:286) stated, in 1930, that the expenditures for equipment decreased as the expenditures for maintenance and operation increased until a maximum enrollment was reacined. She also stated:

It is a relatively simple matter to sell budgets to principals and school officials if new departments are to be established because in this case the budget is accepted as an important and necessary part of the organization of the homemaking department. . . The need for expert salesmanship arises when it is necessary to sell the idea of the budget plan in schools where homemaking departments have been already established with no i1nancial plan. Each school system must be considered individually and the approach must be psychologioally correct in order to be successful (7:302).

In another article, Margaret Hutchins
( $8: 1021$ ) disclosed that the results of the establishment of the budget systems in New York were the following:

1. Better opportunity for the teachers to have a progressive program.
2. More adequate and better equipment.
3. Recognition of the importance of the homemaking departments.

In 1931, Adah H. Hess, State Supervisor of Home Economics in Illinois, $(5: 77)$ said that the allowance for maintenance should be based on the enrollment of the classes and the expenditures listed in the buaget.

Lydia Weihing (14:83) reported a study in
1933 in which she determined the instructional costs of the home economics departments in Illinois. It was based on reports submitted to the Illinois Board
for Vocational Education by 116 vocational schools In Illinois for the years 1929-1932. She found that the cost of instruction decreased as the number of classes in the department increased and that it was affected by the enrollment, salary of the teacher, and somewhat by the costs of supplies and books. The cost of instruction decreased in schools which had two to four classes of home economics each day during the period in which the study was made.

In 1933, the average cost of operating 13 vocational home economics departments in Nevada (11:6) was .0009 cents per student recitation period, $\$ 5.77$ per student per year for operating the department, and \$3.48 per student per year for food supplies.

A study was conducted by J. D. Hull (6) in 1934, based on an analysis of the reports of 30 high schools in Missouri during the years 1925-1926 and 1931-1932. The schools were classified according to enrollment as follows:
Group I - -49 students
Group II - $50-99$ students
Group III - $100-149$ students
Group IV $-150-299$ students
Group V $-300-499$ students
Group VI - 500 and over students

Two units were used in this study, the cost per pupil enrolled and the cost per pupil per credit unit. Hull reported that vocational home economics was the most expensive subject in 1925-26 and that it was four times more expensive than the social sciences ( $6: 47$ ). It was concluded that the hish costs in home economics were due to heavy programs, small classes, and large salaries. The chief factors causing the variations between the expensive and the inexpensive departments were attributed entirely to the cost of the administrators (6:50)

Lillian Peek (12:92) reported in 1934, that the annurl per capita cost for department maintenance was reduced from $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 1.00$ per year in Texas because of a new emphasis on re-training of teachers and the change of emphasis in the teaching aspects of home economics.

In 1935, Marie M. Cox (2:290) questioned a statement by Adah H. Hess of 193l, "that at least $\$ 1.00$ per month for each pupil enrolled in foods is necessary" because no indication was given of the number of lessons that this was suposed to cover.

In Indiana (9:1), the cost per student per year in the home economics departments reported for the school year 1935-1936 was $\$ .92$ for foods classes and $\$ 1.26$ for all home economics classes. This study
was based on reports from 190 teachers.
In Nebraska (10:15) the cost of food work per pupil was $\$ 1.19$ without fuel and $\$ 1.38$ with fuel. This was based on reports from ol schools for the school year 1935-36.

In 1937, Clayton 玉. Willard (15:113) made a study of the instructional costs in 82 Oregon high schools. The schools varied in size from one to l,081 students. He srouped the schools into four sroups accordines to the size of the enrollment: Group I - 8 - 89 students Group II - 90 - 199 students Group III - 200 - 499 students Group IV - 500 -1081 students

The cost per creait unit of instruction was found for every subject in each school. It was based on the salaries of the teachers. He found that certain subjects such as home economics were economical in larger sciools and not expensive in the s:naller schools. The cineapest units of instmuction were in Group III. The enrollnent of a school was an important factor in determinins the size of the classes anā the unit costs. There was a aeciāed relationship between the size of the classes and the unit costs of instruction.

In Arizona (1), 1939, five advantages of
having plans for money management in home economics were ふiven by the Department of AEsriculture and Home Economics Education as follows:

1. A plan for the use of money in a department is good business policy, which is appreciated by school administrators and boards of education.
2. A personal satisfaction is Bained when a teacher has developed a well thoughtout plan for the use of money in her department.
3. Accurate records of all expenditures of the homemakins departments in Arizona would provide an excellent basis upon which to build future plans in this field of education.
4. Homemakins prozrams woulà srow more rapialy and be run more efficiently if each teacher, when leaving a school, would make sure that adequate financial recorās were in her iiles. This would provide a basis upon which the new teachers might plan their work.
5. Management of departmental
finance can provide real learning situations which will make the proolem of finance in the home real and practical to the students (1:1).

Maude Williamson and Mary S. Lyle (16:390), In 1941, stated that no model buãet can be used by every home economics department. The needs and the financial condition of each school must be considered in makines a budset.

After readind these references, the
writer concluded the following:

1. The writers of the various studies feel
that a buäget is desirable.
2. Home Economics costs more than any other subject with the exception of manual arts.
3. High costs are due largely to small
classes.
4. The factors considered as a basis for determining the per-pupil costs varied in the studies.
5. A comparison of the results of these studies would be difficult because they were given for varied periods of time.

Chapter III
METHODS AND MATERIALS

Permission of the State Supervisor of Vocational Home Economics at Springfield, Illinois, was obtained for this study in order that the reports sent in by the vocational home economics teachers to the Home Economics Division of the Illinois Board for Vocational Education could be analyzed for the material needed. This material when analyzed pertained to the following:

1. Names of vocationally approved schools in Illinois.
2. Enrollment of the vocationally approved schools.
3. Enrollment in the homemaking classes.
4. Amount of money allotted for supplies in the various phases of woris.
a. Poods
b. clothing
c. home management
d. equipment
e. laundry
f. Home Economics I
g. Home Economics II
h. Home Economics III
5. Amount of money spent for supplies in the various phases of work.
a. Poods
b. clothing
c. home management
d. equipment
e. laundry

To secure supplementary data not found in the official records, a questionnaire l/ was formulated. A principal of a high school checked this questionnaire for simplicity of wording and clearness of ideas. The questionnaire was then sent to the teachers of all of the vocational home economics departments in Illinois. It was used to obtain:

1. The expenditure for the various phases of work when it was not given completely in the state records.
2. The number of weeks spent teaching the various phases of work in composite courses.
3. The length of the school year 1940-1941. The 370 vocational home economics departments in Illinols durins the school year 1940-194l were used for this stuày. Questionnaires were sent to 365 schools, 161 were returned, 24 were incomplete, leaving 137 to be used for this study. Of the schools which did not return the questionnaires, 107 had a change of teachers during the summer of 1941. It is possible that the teacher of the school year 1940-1941 did not leave records with sufficient data so that the teacher of 1941-1942 could fill in the questionnaire.
[^0]The data given in the state reports and the data given in the questionnaires were used for the anelysis of buöets and expenaitures añ the determination of the cost per student per week.

The schools were classified into four groups accordins to the size of the enrollment:

1. small 0-199
2. medium 200-499
3. large 500-999
4. very large 1000-

This classification was used in a circular on Books and Libraries issued in April, 1935, by the Supervisory Department of Illinois, Department of Public Instruction, Springfield, Illinois.

The 370 schools, all of which had vocationally approved home economics departments in 1940-1941, were used in this study. They were classified as follows:

| 1. small | $0-199$ | 242 schools |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. medium | $200-499$ | 95 schools |
| 3. large | $500-999$ | 27 schools |
| 4. very large $1000-$ | 6 schools |  |

Some of the schools had oudgets for the composite courses: Home Economics I, Home Economics II, and Home Economics III. These courses were each composed of diversified units in foods, clothins, and
home management. Home management was considered to include the following units: interior decoration; chila care; home plannins; personality; laundry; home finance; family life; and family relationships.

Chapter IV
ANALYSIS OF DATA

The budeets and expenditures of 370 Illinois vocational home economics departments will be analyzed in order to determine the amount of money which should be allowed in the pattern budsets for the expenditures in the various phases of work.

These data will be analyzed in four groups accordins to the enrollment of the sciools. The analysis will be broken down into the following tooics:

1. Total budgets
2. Total expenditures
3. Buagets for
a. foods
b. clothing
c. nome inanagement
d. equipment
e. launảry
f. Home Economics I
E. Home Economics II
h. Home Economics III
4. Expenditures for
a. foods
b. clothing
c. home management
d. equioment
e. laundry
5. Cost per student per week for each phase of home economics

## Wethod of analysis

The total oudigets and the budisets for the various phases of home economics in each type of school will be arranged in intervals according to the anount of money allowed in the budisets. The three quartiles $\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right.$, and $Q_{3}$ ) will be determined from the distribution of money in the various intervals. Q1, or the first quartile, will aesignate a point under which there will be one fourth or 25 per cent of the budgets and over which there will be tinree fourths or 75 per cent of the budgets. Q2, or the second quartile, will designate the point unaer and over which there will be one half or 50 per cent of the budgets. $Q_{3}$, or the third quartile, will designate tne point under which there will be 75 per cent or three fourths of the budgets and over which there will be one fourth or 25 per cent of the buagets. Comparisons will be made on the basis of quartiles.

The total expenditures and the expenditures of the various phases of home economics will be analyzed in the same metiod as the judgets.

The cost per student per 300 -minute week in foods, clothing, and home management will be determined as follows:
(A)

No. of students No. of weeks No. of (B) in 300 -minute $\quad X$ spent teaching $=\underset{\text { weeks }}{\text { the phase of }}$

> the phase of weeks
(C) $\frac{\text { Expenditure in dollars }}{\text { No. of student weeks }}=\begin{gathered}\text { Cost per student per } \\ 300 \text {-minute week }\end{gathered}$

The costs per 300-minute week in each class of schools will be arranged in intervals and quartiles Will be figured from this distribution.

The cost per student per week for laundry and equipment will not be figured on the basis of a 300minute week as the number of minutes would not affect the amount of money spent in these two phases. The cost per week for laundry and equipment will be determined as follows:
(B) Expenditure in dollars $=$ Cost per student per No. of student weeks week

The costs per student per week will be arranged in intervals, and quartiles will be figured from this distribution.

Total budgets
Seventy per cent of the vocational home economics departments in Illinois had buagets in the school year, 1940-1941. The total budgets of the vocational home economics departments increased on the average as the enrollment of the schools increased (Table 1).

Twenty-five per cent of the home economics departments in 187 small schools had total budgets under $\$ 118.20$; 25 per cent had total buagets of $\$ 118.20$ to $\$ 161.67$; 25 per cent had total budgets of $\$ 161.6$ ? to $\$ 226.02$; and 25 per cent had total buagets over \$226.02: There were more schools with oudgets in the interval between $\$ 100.00$ and $\$ 149.00$ than in any other interval. All of the schools except two had budgets under $\$ 550.00$ (Table 1).

Twenty-five per cent of the home economics departments in 68 meàlun schools had total budsets under \$154.17; 25 per cent had total buagets of $\$ 154.17$ to $\$ 218.75 ; 25$ per cent had total buagets of $\$ 218.75$ to $\$ 328.57$; and 25 per cent had total budgets over 3328.57 . There were more medium schools with budgets in the interval between $\$ 200.00$ and $\$ 249.00$ than there were in any other intervel. Two schools had total budgets over \$1,000.00 (Table 1.).

Table l.--TOTAL BUDGETS OF 279 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOLUE ECONOIICS DEPARTRENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-41

| TOTAL ALSOUNT OF BUDGETS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMALL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & \text { (O-199) } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=187 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIEDIUN } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (200-499) \\ & (N=68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (500-999) \\ (N=19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VERY LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\text { over } 1,000) \\ & (\mathbb{N}=5) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over \$1,000 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 950-999 |  |  |  |  |
| 900-949 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 850-899 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 800-849 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| $750-799$ |  |  |  |  |
| 700-749 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 650-699 |  |  |  |  |
| 600-649 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 550-599 |  | 2 |  |  |
| $500-549$ | 4 |  |  |  |
| 450-499 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 400-449 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| 350-399 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 300-349 | 12 | 7 |  | 1 |
| 250-299 | 13 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 200-249 | 22 | 16 | 2 |  |
| 150-199 | 45 | 12 | 3 |  |
| 100-149 | 57 | 11 | 2 |  |
| $50-99$ | 21 | 4 |  |  |
| 1-49 | 5 | 1 |  |  |

QUARTILES Q $\$ 118.20$ \$154.17 \$195.83 \$312.50

| $Q_{2}$ | 161.67 | 218.75 | 357.50 | 825.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{3}$ | 226.20 | 328.57 | 731.25 | 853.75 |

1 There were 91 schools that did not have budgets ( 30 per cent of 370 ): 55 small schools, 27 medium schools, eight large schools, and one very large scinool.

Twenty-five per cent of the nome economics departments in 19 large schools had total buagets under \$195.33; 25 per cent had total buaisets of $\$ 195.83$ to $\$ 389.50 ; 25$ per cent had total budgets of $\$ 389.50$ to $\$ 731.25$; and 25 per cent had total budgets over $\$ 731.25$. There were two intervals which had an equally large number of schools; they were between S150.00 and \$199.00 and jetween $\$ 400.00$ and $\$ 449.00$. Two schools had buagets over $\$ 1,000.00$ (Table l).

In the five very large schools the total buăgets varied from $\$ 300.00$ to over $\$ 1,000.00$. The schools had total ouajets in the intervals as follows: $\$ 300.00$ to $\$ 349.00 ; \$ 400.00$ to $\$ 499.00 ; \$ 800.00$ to $8849.00 ; \$ 850.00$ to $\$ 899.00$; and over $\$ 1,000.00$.

## Total expenditures

Eighty-three per cent of 370 vocational home economics departiments in Illinois gave an account of the total expenditures in reports to the Home Economics Division of the Illinois Board for Vocational Eoucation at Sprinéfielả, Illinois, in 1940-1941.

The total expenditures of the vocational home economics departments which reported increased as the total enrollment increased (Table 2). The total expenditures varied from $\$ 14.00$ to over $\$ 3,400.00$.

One fourth of the home economics departments in the suall schools spent unaer $\$ 80.39$; one fourth

Table 2.--TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF 307 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOIME ECONONIOS DEPARTMENTS FOR SOHOOL YEAR, 1940-41

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL A:OUNT } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { EXPENDITURES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUALL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (0-199) \\ & (N=200) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEDIUII } \\ \text { SOHOOLS } \\ (200-499) \\ (\mathrm{N}=78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (500-999) \\ (\mathrm{N}=24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (\text { over } 1,000) \\ (\mathbb{N}=6) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over ${ }^{\text {W }}$ 1, 000 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 950-999 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 900-949 |  |  |  |  |
| $350-899$ | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| $800-849$ |  |  |  |  |
| 750-799 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 700-749 |  |  |  |  |
| 650-699 |  |  |  |  |
| 600-649 |  |  |  |  |
| $550-599$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 500-549 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| 450-499 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 400-449 | 3 |  |  | 2 |
| 350-399 | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |
| 300-349 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 250-299 | 10 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 200-249 | 16 | 14 | 2 |  |
| 150-199 | 29 | 18 | 7 | 1 |
| 100-149 | 55 | 12 | 4 | 1 |
| 50-99 | 51 | 13 | 1 |  |
| 1-49 | 19 | 3 |  |  |


| QUARTILES | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 80.39$ | $\$ 114.75$ | $\$ 157.17$ | $\$ 162.50$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $Q_{2}$ | 127.27 | 180.55 | 200.00 | 412.50 |
|  | $Q_{3}$ | 197.10 | 243.22 | 375.00 | 443.75 |

163 schools (l7 per cent) of the total 370 schools did not report expenditures for l940-4l. There were 42 snall schools, 17 meájum schools, three large schools, and one very lerse school which aiiá not have reports of expenditures.
spent from $\$ 80.39$ to $\$ 127.27$; one fourth sjent from $\$ 127.27$ to $\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{W}} 197.10$; one fourth soent over $\$ 197.10$. Three schools spent over $\$ 1,000.00$. there were more schools with total expenditures between $\$ 100.00$ and $\$ 149.00$ than in any other interval of $\$ 50.00$ (Table 2).

One fourth of the hone economics departments in the meaium schools spent under $\$ 114.75$; one fourth spent from $\$ 114.75$ to $\$ 180.55$; one fourtin spent from $\$ 180.55$ to $\$ 243.22$; and one fourin spent over $\$ 243.22$. Three schools spent over $\$ 1,000.00$. There were more schools with total expenditures between $\$ 150.00$ and $\$ 199.00$ than in any other interval of $\$ 50.00$ (Table 2).

One fourth of the nome economics departments in the large schools spent under \$157.17; one fourth spent from $\$ 157.17$ to $\$ 200.00$; one fourth spent from $\$ 200.00$ to $\$ 375.00$; and one fourth spent over $\$ 375.00$. Two schools spent over $\$ 1,000.00$. There were more schools with total expenditures between $\$ 150.00$ and $\$ 199.00$ than in any other interval of $\$ 50.00$ (Table 2).

One fourth of the home economics departments
in the very large schools spent under \$l62.50; one fourth spent from $\$ 162.50$ to $\$ 412.50$; one fourth spent from $\$ 412.50$ to $\$ 443.75$; and one fourth spent over $\$ 443.75$. There were more schools with total expenditures between $\$ 400.00$ and $\$ 449.00$ than in any other interval of $\$ 50.00$ (Table 2).

## Unit budgets

In very few schools were budgets itemized so that the teacher knew the amount of money allotted to foods, clothing, home management, laundry, and equipment. In some schools the budgets were itemized according to the amount of money allotted for each composite course as Home Economics I, Home Economics II, and Home Economics III.

Budgets for foods.--In the budgets of the small schools less money was allotted for foods than there was allotted in the budgets of the other groups. In the budgets of some of the medium schools a larger amount of money was allotted to foods than there was allotted in the budgets of the schools in the other groups (Table 3).

There were more small schools which had food budgets in the intervals between $\$ 50.00$ and $\$ 74.00$, and between $\$ 75.00$ and $\$ 99.00$ than there were in any other intervals of $\$ 25.00$. Twenty-five per cent of the 21 small schools had budgets for foods of under $\$ 57.25$; 25 per cent had budgets of $\$ 57.25$ to $\$ 82.25$; 25 per cent had budgets of $\$ 82.25$ to $\$ 131.25$; and 25 per cent had budgets over \$131.25.

The amount of money allotted for foods in the budgets of the 14 medium schools varied from $\$ 75.00$ to over $\$ 500.00$. Twenty-five per cent had budgets of
under $\$ 112.50$; 25 per cent had oudegets of $\$ 112.50$ to $\$ 150.00 ; 25$ per cent had oudgets of $\$ 150.00$ to $\$ 307.50$; and 25 per cent had budsets over $\$ 307.50$.

Table 3.--BUDGETS FOR FOODS IN 46 ILIINOIS VOCATIOTAL HOINE ECONOMICS DEPARTIETTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL ALIOUNT } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { MONEY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHALL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (\mathrm{N}=2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over \$500 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 475-499 |  |  |  |  |
| $450-474$ |  |  |  |  |
| 425-449 |  |  |  |  |
| 400-424 |  |  |  |  |
| 375 - 399 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 350-274 |  | 2 |  |  |
| $325-349$ |  |  |  |  |
| 300-324 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 275-299 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 250-274 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 225-249 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 200-224 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 175-199 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 150-174 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 125-149 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 100-124 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 75-99 | 5 | 3 |  |  |
| $50-74$ | 5 |  |  |  |
| 25-49 | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| 1-24 |  |  |  |  |

QUARTILES Q $\$ \$ 57.25 \quad \$ 307.50 \quad \$ 293.75$

| $Q_{2}$ | 82.25 | 150.00 | 201.88 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{3}$ | 131.25 | 112.50 | 131.25 |

The buagets for food in the 9 large schools varied from $\$ 100.00$ to $\$ 400.00$ (Table 3). Twenty-five per cent of the schools had food oudgets under 4131.25 ; 25 per cent had buajets of $\$ 131.25$ to $\$ 201.88 ; 25$ per cent had budjets of ${ }^{(1 / 201.88}$ to $\$ 293.75$; and 25 per cent had budsets over $\$ 293.75$.

In only two of the very large schools were there food budgets. The budget of one school was in tine interval of $\$ 25.00$ to $\$ 49.00$, and the buaget of the other school was over $\$ 500.00$.

Budgets for clothing.--The bucgets for clotining varied between $\$ 5.00$ and $\$ 75.00$. One of the medium schools had the largest buaset for clothing; it was about $\$ 20.00$ higher than any other buaget. There were four small schools with buagets between $\$ 45.00$ and $\$ 49.00$, and tinis was the greatest numjer of schools which had clothing buagets within any interval (Table 4).

Twenty-five per cent of the snall schools nea clothing budets under $\$ 18.13 ; 25$ per cent had budgets in the interval of $\$ 18.13$ to $\$ 27.50 ; 25$ per cent had buajets in the interval of $\$ 27.50$ to $\$ 47.19$; and 25 per cent had budjets over $\$ 47.19$.

Twenty-five per cent of the medium schools had clothing budzets under ${ }^{\text {iblll }} 11.25$; 25 per cent had budgets of \$11.25 to $\$ 15.00$; 25 per cent had budgets
of $\$ 15.00$ to $\$ 32.50$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 32.50$.

Table 4. --BUDGETS FOR SLOMHING IN 22 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HONE ECONOIIUS DEPARTMENYS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL AMOUNT | SHALL | MEDIUM | LARGE | VERY LARGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS |
| HONEY | $(\mathrm{N}=9)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=6)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=7)$ |  |

Over \$80
75-79
$70-741$
$65-69$
$55-59$
50-54 1
$45-49$
4
$35-39$
30-34 I
25-29
20-24
15-19
1

10-14
5-9
1
1 - 4

QUARTILES
$\$ 18.13$
中11.25
$\$ 14.38$
Q2 27.50
15.00
22.50

Q3
47.19
32.50
28.13

Twenty-five per cent of the lare schools had clothins budgets under $\$ 14.38 ; 25$ per cent had budgets of 14.38 to $\mathbf{3} 22.50 ; 25$ per cent had budgets of $\$ 22.50$ to $\$ 28.13$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 28.13$.

None of the very large schools had clothing budgets.

Budrets for home management. --The amount of money allotted for home management in 20 schools varied from $\$ 5.00$ to $\$ 55.00$ (Table 5). There were four schools with buägets between $\$ 5.00$ and $\$ 9.00$, anã two schools with buagets between $\$ 50.00$ and $\$ 54.00$. Two medium schools had the highest budgets.

TaOle 5. --BUDGETS FOR HONE MANAGEYENT IN 20 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HONE ECONOPICS DEPARTT GNTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL AUOUNT | SWALL | MEDIUM | LARGE | VERY LARGE |
| OF | SCHOOLS | SGHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS |
| MOIVEY | $(N=10)$ | $(N=6)$ | $(N=4)$ |  |

Over \$60
$55-59$
50 - 54 2
45 - 49
2
$40-44$
35-39 1 1
$30-34$
1
25-29
3
1
20-24
15-19
10-14
1
1
$5-9$
3
1
$0-4$

| QUARTILES $Q_{1}$ | $\$ 9.17$ | $\$ 12.50$ | $\$ 15.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Q_{2}$ | 26.67 | 20.00 | 25.00 |
|  | $Q_{3}$ | 37.50 | 51.25 |
|  |  | 30.00 |  |

Twenty-five per cent of the s.alall schools had budrets for home manasement under $\$ 9.17$; 25 per cent had ouãets of $\$ 9.17$ to $\$ 2 \dot{\$} .67$; 25 per cent had budsets of $\$ 26.67$ to $\$ 37.50$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 37.50$.

Twenty-five per cent of the medium schools had buagets for home manasement under $\$ 12.50 ; 25$ per cent had budesets in the interval of $\$ 12.50$ to $\$ 20.00$; 25 per cent had budsets of $\$ 20.00$ to $\$ 51.25$; and 25 per cent had oudgets over $\$ 51.25$.

Twenty-five per cent of the large schools had budgets for home management under $\$ 15.00 ; 25$ per cent had buagets in the interval of $\$ 15.00$ to $\$ 25.00$; 25 per cent had budsets in the interval of $\$ 25.00$ to $\$ 30.00$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 30.00$.

None of the very large schools had buosets for home management.

Budgets for equipment. --The budgets for equipment varied from $\$ 1.00$ to over $\$ 1,000.00$ (Table 6). There were more schools with budets between $\$ 1.00$ and $\$ 49.00$ than in any other interval of $\$ 50.00$.

The buagets for equipment in the siaall schools varied from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 200.00$. Twenty-five per cent of the salall schools had budjets for equipment under $\$ 21.83$; 25 per cent nad budgets in tine interval of $\$ 21.83$ to $\$ 42.67$; 25 per cent had buagets in the interval of $\$ 42.67$ to $\$ 53.75$; and 25 per cent had
budgets over $\$ 53.75$.

Table 6.--BUDGETS FOR EQUIPMENT OF 21 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOMICS DEPARTMENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF |
| MONEY | | SHALL |
| :---: |
| SCHOOLS |
| $(N=10)$ | | MEDIUM |
| :---: |
| SCHOOLS |
| $(N=7)$ | | LARGE |
| :---: |
| SCHOOLS |
| $(N=4)$ | | VERY LARGE |
| :---: |
| SCHOOLS |
| $(N=2)$ |



QUARTILES $Q_{1}$ \$21.83 \$ 44.75 \$ 50.00

| $Q_{2}$ | 42.67 | 212.50 | 125.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{3}$ | 53.75 | 512.50 | 150.00 |

The budgets for equipment in the medium schools varied from $\$ 1.00$ to over $\$ 1,000.00$. Twentyfive per cent had budgets under $\$ 44.75 ; 25$ per cent
had budgets in the interval of $\$ 44.75$ to $\$ 212.50$; 25 per cent had budgets of $\$ 212.50$ to $\$ 512.50$; and 25 per cent had budgets over \$512.50.

The budgets for equipment in the large schools varied from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 350.00$. Twenty-five per cent had budgets under $\$ 50.00$; 25 per cent had budgets in the interval, $\$ 50.00$ to $\$ 125.00 ; 25$ per cent had budgets in the interval, $\$ 125.00$ to $\$ 150.00$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 150.00$.

There were only two large schools which had budgets for equipment. One was in the interval of $\$ 135.00$ to $\$ 400.00$, and the other was in the interval of $\$ 600.00$ to $\$ 650.00$.

Budgets for laundry.--There were only two schools which had budgets for laundry (Table 7). One small school had a budget in the interval of $\$ 40.00$ to $\$ 49.00$, and one medium school had a budget in the interval of $\$ 10.00$ to $\$ 19.00$.

Table 7.--BUDGETS FOR LAUNDRY OF TWO ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL
HOME ECONOMICS DEPARTAENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

```
TOTAL AMOUNT
    OF MONEY
SIALL SCHOOLS
MEDIUM SCHOOLS OF MONEY
\[
(N-1)
\]
\[
(N=1)
\]
```

Over \$50
40-49
1
30-39
20-29
10-19
1
$0-9$

## Budgets for Home Economics I.--Home

Economics I is a composite course in which fooda, clothing, home management, child care, family relationships, related art, and other units are taught for short periods of time. The budgets for Home Economics I varied from \$15.00 to over \$110.00 (Table 8).

Table 8.,-BUDGETS FOR HOME ECONOMICS I OF 17 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOIICS DEPARTUENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL AMOUNT } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { MONEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SMALL } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (N=11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (\mathrm{N}=1) \end{gathered}$ | VERY LARGE SCHOOLS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over \$110 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 105-109 |  |  |  |  |
| 100-104 |  |  |  |  |
| $95-99$ |  |  |  |  |
| $90-94$ | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| $85-90$ |  |  |  |  |
| $80-84$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $75-79$ |  |  |  |  |
| $70-74$ |  |  |  |  |
| 65 - 69 |  | 1 |  |  |
| $60-64$ |  |  |  |  |
| $55-59$ |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ 45 |  |  | 1 |  |
| $45-49$ $40-44$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $35-39$ |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $20-24$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  |
| $5-9$ $0-\quad 4$ |  |  |  |  |

QUARTILES

The very large schools did not have budgets for Home Economics I, and only one large school had a budget for Home Economics $I$.

The budgets for the composite course, Home Economics I, in ll small schools, varied from \$15.00 to $\$ 95.00$. Twenty-five per cent of the small schools had budgets for Home Economics I under $\$ 21.88 ; 25$ per cent had budgets in the interval of $\$ 21.38$ to $\$ 32.50 ; 25$ per cent had budgets between $\$ 32.50$ to $\$ 80.63$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 80.63$.

In the five medium schools reporting the budgets for Home Economics I varied from $\$ 25.00$ to over \$110.00. Two schools had budgets under \$35.00; one school had a budget in the interval between $\$ 65.00$ and $\$ 69.00$; one school had a budget between $\$ 90.00$ and $\$ 94.00$; and one school had a budget of over $\$ 110.00$.

There was only one large school which had a budget for Home Economics I. It was in the interval of $\$ 50.00$ to $\$ 54.00$. None of the very large schools had budgets for Home Economics I.

Budgets for Home Economics II.--Home
Economics II is a composite course in which all of the phases of Home Economics are taught. The budgets for Home Economics II were between $\$ 10.00$ and $\$ 110.00$ (Table 9). None of the large and very large schools had budgets for Home Economics II. The medium schools had larger budgets than the small schools had.

Table 9.--BUDGETS FOR HOME ECOAOITCS II OF 14 ILIINOIS VOCATIONAL HOIIE ECOIOUICS DEPARTMENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL AMOUNT | SLALL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF | SCHOOLS |  |  |  |
| IOONEY | MEDIUM <br> SCHOOLS <br> $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ | LARGE | VCHOOLS | SEY LARGE |

Over \$110
105 - 109 I
$100-10411$
95 - 99
90 - 94
85 - 89
$80-84$
$75-79$ I
$70-74$
65 - 69
60 - 64 I
55 - 59
50 - 54 1
45 - 49
2
40 - 44
$35-39$
30 - 34
25 - 29
20-24 1
15-19
10-14 2
5 - 9
1 - 4

QUARTILES $Q_{1} \$ 21.25 \quad \$ 55.00$

| $Q_{2}$ | 40.50 | 80.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $Q_{3}$ | 76.25 | 105.00 |

The budgets for Home Economics II of the 10 small schools varied from $\$ 10.00$ to $\$ 105.00$. Twentyfive per cent had buāsets unaer \$21.25; 25 per cent
had budgets in the interval from $\$ 21.25$ to $\$ 40.50$; 25 per cent had buagets of $\$ 40.50$ to $\$ 76.25$; and 25 per cent had budgets over $\$ 76.25$.

The budgets for Home Economics II of the four medium schools reporting varied irom $\$ 50.00$ to $\$ 110.00$. One school had a budget of less than $\$ 55.00$ for Home Economics II; one school had a budget of about $\$ 80.00$; one school had a budget of about $\$ 100.00$; and one school had a budget over $\$ 105.00$.

None of the large schools had budgets for Home Economics II. None of the very large schools had budgets for Home Economics II.

## Budgets for Home Economics III.--Home

Economics III is an advanced composite course in which all phases of Home Economics are taught for short periods of time. The budgets for Home Economics III varied from $\$ 10.00$ and over $\$ 70.00$ (Table 10). There were only two medium schools which had budgets for Home Economics III. None of the large and very large schools had budgets for Home Economics III.

The budgets for the Home Economics III course in five small schools varied from $\$ 10.00$ to over $\$ 70.00$. Two schools had budgets under $\$ 20.00$; two schools had budgets between $\$ 40.00$ and $\$ 49.00$; and one school had a budget over $\$ 70.00$.

Table lo. --BUDGETS FOR HOME ECONOICS III OF SEVEN ILLINOIS VOCAMIOINL HOLIE ECONOIUSS DEPARTIIENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL ALMOUNI | SMALL | MPDIUM | LARGE | VERY LARGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF | SCHOOLS | SOHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS |
| HONEY | $(N=5)$ | $(N=2)$ |  |  |

Over $\$ 70$
$65-69$
60-64
$55-59$
$50-54$
$45-49$
$40-44$
1
$35-39$
$30-34$
25-29
20-24
15-19
10 - 14
1
1

5-9
1-4

QUARTILES Q1 \$11.25
Q2 41.25
$Q_{3} \quad 46.25$

Two medium schools had budsets for Home Economics III; the budets were in the intervals of $\$ 25.00$ to $\$ 30.00$, and $\$ 70.00$ and over.

The large and very large schools did not have budgets for Home Economics III.

## Expenditures for foods

The total expenditures for foods increased
as the enrollment of tine schools increased, (Table ll) with the exception that one medium school spent more than any other.

Table ll.--EXPENDITURES FOR FOOD BY 201 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOIIE ECONO:IISS DEPARTME:IS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL AMOUNT } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { MO:EY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S:MALL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (N=128) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (N=49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{IJ}=20) \end{aligned}$ | VERY LARGE SCHOOLS ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over $\$ 600$ |  | 1 |  |  |
| 570-599 |  |  |  |  |
| 540-569 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 510-539 |  |  |  |  |
| 480-509 |  |  |  |  |
| 450-479 |  |  |  |  |
| 420-449 |  |  |  |  |
| 390-419 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 360-389 |  |  |  |  |
| 330-359 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 300-329 |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| $270-299$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 240-269 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 210-239 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 180-209 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 150-179 | 7 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 120-149 | 6 | 9 | 2 |  |
| $90-119$ | 25 | 13 | 2 |  |
| $60-89$ | 42 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| $30-59$ | 32 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 1 - 29 | 10 | 1 |  | 1 |

QUARTILES $Q_{1} \$ 50.63$ \$ 84.75 \$ 90.00 \$ 30.00

| $Q_{2}$ | 75.71 | 114.23 | 160.00 | 90.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Q_{3}$ | 104.50 | 137.50 | 225.00 | 330.00 |

Twenty-five per cent of the home economics departments in 128 small schools nad total expenditures for fooās unãer $\$ 50.63$; 25 per cent had exoenditures of $\$ 50.63$ to $\$ 75.71 ; 25$ oer cent had expenditures of $\$ 75.71$ to $\$ 104.50$; 25 per cent had expenditures over \$104.50. There were more small schools having total expenditures for foods in the interval from $\$ 60.00$ to $\$ 39.00$ than there were in any other interval of 130.00 . All of the small schools excent three had total expenditures for foods under ©209.00.

Twenty-five per cent of the home economics departments in 49 medium schools had total expenditures for foods under $\$ 84.75$; 25 per cent had expenditures of 385.75 to $\$ 114.23$; 25 per cent had expenditures of \$115.23 to \$137.50; and 25 per cent had expenditures over ${ }^{2} 137.50$. There were more medium schools with expenditures for foods in the interval between $\$ 90.00$ and $\$ 119.00$ than tiere were in any otiner interval of $\$ 30.00$. One school had a total expenditure for foods over $\$ 600.00$, which was the hignest amount of money spent by any school included in this study.

Twenty-five per cent of 20 large schools had total expenditures for foods unäer $\$ 90.00 ; 25$ per cent had expenditures from $\$ 90.00$ to $\$ 160.00 ; 25$ per cent had expenditures of $\$ 160.00$ to $\$ 225.00$; and 25 per cent had expenditures of over $\$ 225.00$. The
two modes for this distribution were in the intervals between $\$ 60.00$ and $\$ 89.00$ and between $\$ 150.00$ and \$179.00. The largest expenditure for foods was between $\$ 360.00$ and $\$ 389.00$.

There were only four very large schools for which the total expenditures for foods were given; therefore, this group may not be typical of all very large schools. Each school had total expenditures for foods in a different interval as follows: one school spent between $\$ 1.00$ and $\$ 30.00$; one school spent between $\$ 60.00$ and $\$ 39.00$; another school spent between $\$ 300.00$ and $\$ 329.00$; and the fourth school spend between $\$ 540.00$ and $\$ 569.00$.

## Expenditures for clothing

The expenditures for clothing increased as the enrollment of the schools increased (Table 12) in small, medium, and large schools. The very large schools had total expenditures for clothing which were less on the average than those of the large schools, but the greatest expenditure for clothing in any one school was found in this group.

The quartiles of the total expenditures of clothing in 93 schools were as follows: $Q_{1}, \$ 4.31$; $Q_{2}, \$ 8.61$; and $Q_{3}, \$ 17.16$. There were more small schools with total expenditures between $\$ 1.00$ and \$9.00 than there were in any other interval of \$10.00.

The hishest expenditure in this group was between $\$ 70.00$ and $\$ 79.00$.

Table l2.--EXPENDITURES FOR SLOTHING BY 144 ILLIIOIS VOCATIONAL HOIE ECONOMICS DEPART:ENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL AMOUIVT | SHALL | MEDIUM | LARGE | VERY LARGE |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OF | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS |
| HONEY | $(N=93)$ | $(N=33)$ | $(N=14)$ | $(N=4)$ |

Over \$150
140-149
130-139
120-129
110-119
100 - 109 1
90 - 99
80 - 89
70-79 I
60-69
$50-59$
3
40 - 49
$30-39$
20-29
10 - 19
1 - 9
22
54
10
16
6
5
3
2
1

QUAR"ILES $Q_{1}$
\$ 4.31
\$ $5.17 \$ 11.00$
$\$ 10.00$
$Q_{2}$
8.61
10.50
18.00
15.00
$Q_{3} \quad 17.16$
18.75
28.33
20.00

The quartiles of the total expenditures for clothin5 in 33 medium schools were as follows: $Q_{1}$, $\$ 5.17$; $Q_{2}$, \$10.50; and $Q_{3}$, \$13.75. There were more medium schools with total expenditures for clothing
within the interval ${ }^{4} 1.00$ to $\$ 9.00$, than there were In any other interval. The highest expenditure was between $\$ 100.00$ and $\$ 109.00$.

The quartiles of the total expenditures for clotining in 14 large schools were as follows: $Q_{1}$, $\$ 11.00 ; Q_{2}, \$ 18.00 ;$ and $Q_{3}, \$ 28.33$. There were nore large schools with totel expenditures jetween $\$ 20.00$ and $\$ 29.00$ than there were in any other interval of $\$ 10.00$.

Three of the four very large schools had total expenditures for clothing unaer ${ }_{\$} 20.00$. One school spent jetween \$140.00 and \$149.00.

## Expenditures for home management

The expenaitures for home management increased as the enrollment of the schools increased (Table l3). There were many schools which did not spend any money for home management supplies. The largest anount of money spent by any one school was $\$ 160.00$. There were more schools with total expenditures between $\$ 1.00$ and $\$ 9.00$ than there were in any other interval of $\$ 10.00$.

One fourth of the 79 small schools had total expenditures for home management under $\$ 3.73$; one fourth had expenditures between $\$ 3.73$ and $\$ 7.45$; one fourth had expenditures between $\$ 7.45$ and 813.47 ; and one fourth had total expenditures over $\$ 13.47$. The
largest expenditure, over $\$ 160.00$, for home management was given by a school in this sroup.

Table l3.--EXPETDIMURES FOR HOE NAUAGEHENT OF 126 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOIICS DEPARTMEIUTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL AYOUNT | SYALL | MEDIUIA | LARGE | VERY LARGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS | SCHOOLS |
| MONEY | $(N=79)$ | $(N=22)$ | $(N=12)$ | $(N=3)$ |

Over \$160
1
150-159
1

140 - 149
$130-139$
120-129
110 - 119
100 - 109
$90-99$
80 - 89
$70-79$
60 - 69
50 - 59
40 - 49
$30-39$
20-29
10 - 19
2
5
18
1-9
53
11
1
2

| QUARTILES $Q_{1}$ | $\$ 3.73$ | $\$ 5.00$ | $\$ 6.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Q_{2}$ | 7.45 | 10.00 | 13.30 |
| $Q_{3}$ | 13.47 | 16.88 | 25.00 |

One fourth of the 22 medium schools had total expenditures for home inanagenent unaer $\$ 5.00$; one fourth had expenditures of ${ }^{(1)} 5.00$ to $\$ 10.00$; one fourth had expenditures of $\$ 10.00$ to $\$ 16.88$; and one
fourth had expenditures over $\$ 16.88$.
One fourth of the 12 large schools had total exoenditures for home management under 6.00 ; one fourth had expenditures of $\$ 6.00$ to $\$ 13.33$; one fourth had expenditures of $\$ 13.33$ to $\$ 25.00$; and one fourth had expenditures of over \$25.00. The largest expenaiture for tinis vrouv wes vetween $\$ 150.00$ and ilis9.00.

The quartiles for the very lerge schools were not computed is inere irere only tiree schools which g\&ve the total expenditures for home management. Of the three schools, the inghest expenditure was in the interval of $\$ 10.00$ to $\$ 19.00$. Two schools had expenditures in the interval of $\$ 1.00$ and $\$ 9.00$.

## Expenditures for equipment

The expenditures for equipment increased as the enrollment of the school increased (Table l4). Six schools spent over $\$ 1,000.00$ for equipment. There were more schools in each soup with expenditures ranging from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 49.00$ than there were in any other interval of $\$ 50.00$.

Twenty-five per cent of the 114 small
scinools reporting spent under $\$ 24.75$ for equipment; 25 per cent spent between $\$ 24.75$ and $\$ 48.50 ; 25$ per cent spent between $\$ 48.50$ and $\$ 99.03$; and 25 per cent spent over \$99.03.

Table 14. - EXPENDITURES FOR EQUIPMENT OF 177 ILLINOIS VOCATIONALIY APPROVED HOIEE ECONOMICS DEPARTMENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| TOTAL AMOUNT OF MONEY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMALL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=114) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (N=45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VERY LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=5) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over \$1,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 950-999 |  |  |  |  |
| 900-949 |  |  |  |  |
| 850-899 |  |  |  |  |
| 800-849 |  |  |  |  |
| 750-799 |  |  |  |  |
| 700-749 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 650-699 |  |  |  |  |
| 600-649 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 550-599 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $500-549$ |  |  |  |  |
| 450-499 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 400-449 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 350-399 |  | 2 |  |  |
| $300-349$ | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 250-299 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 200-249 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 150-199 | 9 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 100-149 | 7 | 8 | 2 |  |
| $50-99$ | 26 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 1-49 | 60 | 22 | 4 | 2 |


| QUARTILES $Q_{1}$ | $\$ 24.75$ | $\$ 26.57$ | $\$ 41.63$ | $\$ 32.25$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Q_{2}$ | 48.50 | 54.17 | 112.50 | 75.00 |
| $Q_{3}$ | 99.03 | 134.38 | 191.50 | 437.50 |

Twenty-five per cent of the medium 45
schools reporting spent under $\$ 26.57$ for equipment; 25 per cent spent between $\$ 26.57$ and $\$ 54.17 ; 25$ per cent spent between $\$ 54.17$ and $\$ 134.38$; and 25 per cent
spent over $\$ 134.38$.
Twenty-five per cent of the 13 larse schools reporting sjent unaer 041.63 for equipment; 25 per cent spent between $\$ 41.63$ and $\$ 112.50 ; 25$ per cent soent between $\$ 11$. 50 and $\$ 191.50$; and 25 per cent spent over ${ }^{2} 191.50$.

The expenditures for equipment in the five very laree schools were in the intervals as follows: two schools spent between ${ }^{\text {t }} 1.00$ and $\$ 49.00$; one school spent between $\$ 50.00$ and $\mathbf{~} 99.00$; one school svent between $\$ 400.00$ and $\$ 490.00$; and one school spent between $\$ 600.00$ and \$649.00.

## Expenditures for laundry

There was no definite relationship shown between the amount of money spent on launary and the size of the school (lable 15).

The Breatest number of 71 small, scinools spent between $\$ 9.00$ and $\$ 11.00$ for laundry. Three schools spent over $\$ 30.00$, wille 27 schools dia not spend any money for laundry. Twenty-five per cent of the small schools spent under $\$ 5.20$ for laundry; 25 per cent spent between $\$ 5.20$ and $\$ 9.97 ; 25$ per cent spent between $\$ 9.97$ and $\$ 15.61$; and 25 per cent spent over \$15.61.

Twenty-five per cent of the 25 medium
schools spent unajer \$6.94 for laundry; 25 per cent
spent between $\$ 6.94$ and $\$ 11.63 ; 25$ per cent spent between $\$ 11.63$ and $\$ 19.50$; and 25 per cent spent over \$19.50.

Table 15.--EXPENDITURES FOR LAUNDRY OF 108 IILI:OIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOMICS DEPARTMENTS FOR SCHOCL YEAR, 1940-1941

| ```TOTAL AI:OUNT OF HONEY``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { SHALI } \\ \text { SCHOOIS } \\ (\mathrm{N}=? 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SCHOCLS } \\ & (N=25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (N=2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over ${ }^{\text {W }} 50$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 48-49 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 45-47 |  |  |  |  |
| 42-44 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 39-41 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| 36-38 |  |  |  |  |
| 33-35 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 30-32 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 27-29 |  |  |  |  |
| 24-26 | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| 21-23 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 18-20 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 15-17 | 7 | 4 |  | 1 |
| 12-14 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 9-11 | 17 | 4 | 2 |  |
| 6-8 | 10 | 4 |  |  |
| $3-5$ | 16 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1-2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| QUARTILES Q1 | \$ 5.20 | \$ 6.94 | \$ 4.88 |  |
| $Q_{2}$ | 9.97 | 11.63 | 10.50 |  |
| Q3 | 15.61 | 19.50 | 31.50 |  |

1 There were 43 schools which did not spend any money for launory ( 27 small schools, 12 meảium schools, two larse schools, and two very large schools).

Twenty-five Der cent of the 10 large schools spent under 34.88 for landry; 25 per cent spent between $\$ 4.83$ and $\$ 10.50 ; 25$ per cent soent between $\$ 10.50$ and $\$ 31.50$; and 25 per cent spent over $\$ 31.50$.

There were only two home economics devartments which reported expenditures for launcry. The experaitures were in the intervals of $\$ 48.00$ to $\$ 50.00$, and \$15.00 to \$27.00.

## The cost of foods per student per 300-minute week

The cost per student per 300-ninute week decreased as the enrollment of the school increased. There were more schools with the cost per student per 300 -minute week between $5 d$ and $9 d$ than in any other interval. The cost of foods for all 151 schools varied from a fraction of one cent to $\$ 1.15$ (Table 16).

In 93 snall schools the cost of foods per student per 300-minute week varied from. $1 \%$ to $74 d$. Twenty-five per cent of the small schools spent under 9.79d per student per 300-minute week; 25 per cent spent between $9.79 d$ and $17.24 d ; 25$ per cent spent between $17.24 d$ and $24.75 d$; and 25 per cent soent over $24.75 \%$

The cost of fooủs per student per 300-minute week in 40 medium schools varied from.l to $\$ 1.14$. Twenty-five per cent spent uncer $6.43 \%$ per student

Table 16.--COST PER STUDENT PER 300-WINUTE TEEK FOR FOODS IN 151 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOMICS DEPARTI.ENTS FOR SCHOCL YEAR, 1940-1941

| RANGE IN CENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMALI } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (N=40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LARGE } \\ \text { SCFOOLS } \\ (\mathrm{N}=14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (N=4) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110-114 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 105-109 |  |  |  |  |
| 100-104 |  |  |  |  |
| 95-99 |  |  |  |  |
| 90-94 |  |  |  |  |
| $85-89$ |  |  |  |  |
| 80-84 |  |  |  |  |
| $75-79$ |  |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 |  |  |  |  |
| $55-59$ |  |  |  |  |
| $50-54$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $35-39$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 4 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 13 | 4 |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19 | 3 |  |  |
| 10-14 | 14 | 12 | 1 |  |
| 5-9 | 18 | 14 | 5 | 1 |
| . 1 - 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| QUARTILES Q | 9.79\% | 6.43\% | 2.19\% | 1.67 d |
| $Q_{2}$ | 17.24d | 10.00d | $4.38 ¢$ | 3.33\% |
| $Q_{3}$ | 24.75\% | 14.17\% | 5.89 d | 5.00\% |

per 300 -minute week; 25 per cent spent between 6.43 , and $10.00 d ; 25$ per cent spent jetween 10.00 , and $14.17 d$; and 25 per cent spent over $14.17 \%$.

The cost of Iooás per student per 300-minute week in 14 large schools varied from. Id to $14 d$. Twenty-five per cent soent under $2.19 d$ per student per 300-minute week; 25 per cent soent between 2.19 , and 4.38d; 25 per cent spent jetween $4.38 d$ and $5.89 \%$; and 25 per cent spent over $5.89 \%$.

The cost of foods per student per 300-minute week in 4 very large schools varied from. ld to $9 d$.

## The cost of clothing per student per

## 300-minute week

The cost per student per 300-minute week for clothing in 118 schools varied from. OOl . to over $15 d$. There were more schools with the cost per stuaent in the interval of. I $\%$ to. $9 \%$ than there were in any other interval. The cost per stuadent decreased as the enrollment of the school increased (Table 17).

There were more small schools with the cost of clothins per student per 300 -minute week under $1 d$ than there were in any other interval. The clothing expenditures in this size school varied from. Ol to 15d. One fourth of the 73 smill sciools sjent uner $.56 \%$; one fourth sjent between .557 , and $1.23 \%$; one fourth spent between $1.23 d$ and $3.52 d$; and one fourth spent over $3.52 d$.

In medium schools the cost per student per 300-minute week varied from . Old to 12d. Over onehalf of the 30 medium schools had costs per student
per 300-minute week under l\&. One fourth of the schools spent under. $39 \not \subset$ per student per week for clothing; one fourth spent between. $39 \%$ and .73\%; one fourth spent between .73\% and $1.34 \psi$; one fourth spent over $1.34 d$.

Table 17. --COST PER STUDENT PER 300-MINUTE WEEK FOR CLOTHING IN 118 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOIICS DEPARTMENTS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-41

| $\underset{\text { CENTS }}{\text { RANGE IN }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SWALL } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (\mathrm{N}=73) \end{gathered}$ | MEDIUM <br> SCHOOLS $(N=30)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (N=11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (N=4) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 15 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 14-14.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 13-13.9 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 12 - 12.9 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 - 11.9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 10-10.9 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $9-9.9$ |  |  |  |  |
| $8-8.9$ |  | 1 |  |  |
| $7-7.9$ | 3 |  |  |  |
| $6-6.9$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $5-5.9$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 - 4.9 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $3-3.9$ | 3 |  |  | 1 |
| $2-2.9$ | 10 | 3 |  |  |
| $1-1.9$ | 10 | 4 |  |  |
| . 1 - . 9 | 32 | 20 | 10 | 2 |
| . $01-.09$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $001-.009$ |  |  |  | 1 |
| QUARTILES $Q_{1}$ | . 566 | . 39 ¢ | . $26 \%$ | . 016 |
| Q2 | 1.236 | . 736 | . $51 \%$ | . 55 ¢ |
| $Q_{3}$ | 3.52¢ | 1.34\% | .75d | 1.00¢ |

The cost of clothing in the 11 lerge schools varied from. Old to $2 \phi$ per student per 300 -minute week. One fourth of the 11 large schools spent unaer . 26 d per student per 300-ininute week for ciothins; one fourtin spent between . $26 \%$ and $.51 \%$; one fourth spent between. 5ld anā. $75 d$; one fourth spent over . $75 \%$. The cost of clothing in four very large schools varied from . OOId to $4 \%$ per student per 300_ minute week. Three of the four schools spent less than $1 d$ per student per 300-minute week.

## The cost of nome management per student per 300-minute week

The cost per student per 300-minute week for home management aecreased as the enroilment of the schools increased (Table 18). The cost of home management per student per week in 98 schools varied from. OOl to Il. $0 \%$. There were more schools with costs per student under $I \%$ than there were in any other halffecent interval.

The cost of home manasement in 63 small schools varied from a fraction of a cent to over Ild per student per week. There were more schools with the cost per stuajent per 300 minute week in the range of . $5 \%$ and . $9 \%$ than there were in any other interval. Twenty-five per cent of the siall scnools spent under . $85 \%$ for home management per stuoent per 300-minute

Table 18.--COST PER STUDENT PGR 300-ININUTE WEEK FOR
 ECONOIISS DEPARMEDUS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941

| $\begin{gathered} \text { RANGE IN } \\ \text { CENTS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SlaAL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SGHOOLS } \\ & (I=23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (\mathbb{N}=9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY IARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (N=3) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 11 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 10.5-10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $10.0-10.4$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $9.5-9.9$ |  |  |  |  |
| $9.0-9.4$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $8.5-8.9$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $8.0-3.4$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7.5-7.9$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $7.0-7.4$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $6.5-6.9$ |  |  |  |  |
| $6.0-6.4$ |  |  |  |  |
| $5.5-5.9$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $5.0-5.4$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $4.5-4.9$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $4.0-4.4$ | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| $3.5-3.9$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $3.0-3.4$ | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $2.5-2.9$ | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| $2.0-2.4$ | 9 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $1.5-1.9$ | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| $1.0-1.4$ | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |
| . .5 - .9 | 10 | 4 | 2 |  |
| .1 - . 4 | 8 | 5 | 2 |  |
| . 05 - . 09 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |
| $.01-.04$ |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| $.004-.009$ |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| QUARTILES $Q_{1}$ | . $85 ¢$ | . $38 d$ | . $16 \%$ |  |
| Q2 | 2.28d | . $94 \%$ | . $63 \%$ |  |
| Q3 | $4.17 \%$ | 1.71d | 1.13\% |  |

week; 25 per cent spent between . $85 \%$ and $2.28 \%$; 25 per cent spent between $2.28 \%$ and $4.17 \%$ and 25 per cent spent over 4.17d.

The cost of home manasement in 23 medium schools varied frorn a fraction of a cent to over lld per student per 300-minute week. There were more schools with the cost per student per 300-minute week in the interval of .ld to. $4 d$ then there were in any other interval. There was one school which had per student cost of over lld, and there were 12 schools with per student costs under ld. Twenty-five per cent had per student costs per 300-minute wees under. $38 \%$; 25 per cent had per stucient costs of . 38d to . $94 d$; 25 per cent had costs of $.94 d$ to 1.71 d and 25 per cent had costs of over $2.71 \%$

There were more large schools with costs per student per 300-minute week jelow $1 \%$ than there were over $1 d$. The cost per stuaent varied from a fraction of one cent to $3.5 \%$. Twenty-five per cent of the nine large schools had costs per student oer 300-minute week under. $16 d ; 25$ per cent had costs ranging froa. $16 \%$ to $.63 \%$; 25 per cent had per student costs. $63 \%$ to $1.13 \%$; añ 25 per cent had per stuãent costs over 1.13d.

The cost per stuaent per 300-minute week for home managenent in the three large scnools were between .05d and .1\&.

## The cost of equipment per stuaent per week

The cost of equioment per stuaent per week in 174 schools aecreased as the enrollment of the school increased. There were nore schools with costs per student per week between $1 d$ and $5 d$ tinan in any other interval of five cents. The custs per student per week varied from a fraction of a cent to over $50 d$ (Table 19).

Table 19.--COST PER STUDENT PER TEEK FOR EQUIPIIETT IN 174 ILLINOIS VOGATIONAL HONE ECONOIICS DEPARTIEIVS FOR SCHOOL YEAR, 1940-1941


The cost per student per week for equipment In 113 small schools varied from. Olф to over 50\%. Twenty-five per cent of the small schools had costs per student per week under $1.35 \%$; 25 per cent had per student costs of $1.35 d$ to $4.98 \%$; 25 per cent had per student costs of $4.98 \not \subset$ to $11.56 \notin$; and 25 per cent had per student costs of over 11. 56 .

The cost per student per week for equipment In 43 medium schools varied from. Id to over 50\%. Twenty-five per cent of the medium schools had costs per student per week of under . $97 \%$; 25 per cent had per student costs of $.97 \%$ to $4.28 \%$; 25 per cent had per student costs of $4.28 \phi$ to $8.28 \phi$; and 25 per cent had per student costs over 8.28\&.

The costs per student per week for equipment in 13 large schools varied from. I\& to 49\%. Twentyfive per cent of the schools had costs per student per week under. $56 \phi ; 25$ per cent had per student per week costs of $.56 \notin$ to $2.88 \phi ; 25$ per cent had costs of $2.88 \phi$ to $8.75 \phi$; and 25 per cent had costs over $8.75 \%$.

Three of the five very large schools had costs per student per week for equipment under l\&. All of the schools had costs per student per week under 94. Two schools had costs per student per week in the interval, $5 \notin$ to $9 \%$. There was one school with the cost per student per week for equipment in each of

```
the following intervals: . 5% to .9d; .ld to . 4%;
and.05% to .09%.
The cost of laundry per student per week
```

The cost of launary per student per week in 104 schools varied from . $001 \%$ to over $5 \%$. Over one half of the schools had per student costs for launary under $1 \%$. The cost per student per week decreased as the enrollment of the schools increased. There were more schools which had per student launary costs between . $5 d$ and . $9 d$ than in any other . $5 d$ interval (Table 20).

In 68 small schools the cost per student per week for launary varied from .ld to 5.0 . One fourth of the sinall schools had costs per student per weei under . 45d; one fourth had per student costs of . $45 \%$ to . $86 d$; one fourth had per student costs of $.86 \%$ to $1.46 d$ and one fourth had per student costs over l. 46 d.

The largest amount of money spent for launary was spent by one of the medium schools; it was over 5.00 , per student per week. One fourth of the 24 medium schools had per student costs under. $30 d$ per week; one fourth had per student costs of . $30 d$ to . 50d, per week; one fourth had per student costs of .50 d to .93 d ; and one fourth had per stuadent costs over . 93 d per week.

Table 20.--COST PER STJDENT PER WEEK FOR LAUNDRY IN 104 ILLINOIS VOCATIONAL HOME ECONOLIIUS DEPARTIDNTS FOR SCHOOL VEAR, 1940-1941

| RANGE IN CENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STHALL } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (I T=68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM } \\ & \text { SGHOOLS } \\ & (Y=24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LARGE } \\ & \text { SCHOOLS } \\ & (H=10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VERY LARGE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ (I:=2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 5 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 4.5-4.9 | 2 |  |  |  |
| $4.0-4.4$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3.5-3.9$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| $3.0-3.4$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| $2.5-2.9$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| 2.0-2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| $1.5-1.9$ | 9 |  |  |  |
| $1.0-1.4$ | 12 | 4 |  |  |
| $.5-.9$ | 21 | 7 | 4 |  |
| .1 - . 4 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| . $05-.09$ |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| . $01-.04$ |  | 1 |  |  |
| . $005-.009$ |  |  |  |  |
| . $001-.004$ |  |  | 2 |  |

QUARTILES

| $Q_{1}$ | $.45 d$ | $.30 d$ | $.06 d$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{2}$ | $.36 d$ | $.50 d$ | $.35 \%$ |
| $Q_{3}$ | $1.46 d$ | $.93 d$ | $.69 d$ |

The costs per student per week for laundry in 10 large schools were between. $001 \%$ and . $9 \%$. One fourth of the large schools inad per student costs for laundry under. O6d per week; one fourtin had oer stident costs of $.063 \%$ to $.35 \%$ per week; one fourth had per student costs of $.35 \%$ to $.69 \%$ per week; and one fourth had per student costs over. $69 \%$.

## Chapter V <br> DISCUSSION

In an effort to determine the cost per student per week for each phase of home economics, the budgets and expenditures of 370 Illinois Vocational Home Economics Departments for the school year, 19401941, were analyzed.

The data collected gave evidence that 30 per cent of these schools did not have budgets, and 17 per cent did not have any records of their expenditures. This may have indicated that the teachers or school administrators did not think that the financial planning of the department was important; they did not know what should be spent; or they did not have avallable authentic material on this subject.

The first two questions as stated in the Introduction under the heading Problem Analysis, "How much money is budgeted for teaching the various phases of work?", and "How much money is spent for the varlous phases of work?" are discussed under the one heading, Budgets and Expenditures. The third question, "What pattern budget is recommended for the home economics department in schools of different size?"
is discussed under the heading, Pattern Budgets.

Budgets and expenditures
The total expenditures of the schools were
less than the total budgets in the same schools. This indicated that the schools did not spend as much money as was allowed in their budgets.

The total budgets and expenditures increased as the enrollment of the school increased. This was tp be expected as in the larger schools the departments would be larger, there would be more students taking home econcmics, and a greater amount of equipment and supplies would be required.

The expenditures exceeded the budgets in only one phase of home economics; the expenditures for equipment were greater than the budgets. This would vary from year to yoar as in some schools budgeting for equipment is done over a period of years and the study of one year would not give a complete picture of the budget for equipment. There would be some years when the amount of money spent would be lower or greater than the year of this study. The amount of money not used in some years is often accumulated, and one or more expensive pieces of equipment are bought in one year.

There was a wide variation in the expenditures for foods and for equipment. This variation can
be attributed to the differences in the following: the size of classes; the standaras of the teachers; the local prices; the anount of laboratory work; and the quantity of supplies bousint at one time.

The expenditures for foods were greater than for the other phases of home economics. The schools furnished the supplies for iooás classes while, in clotinirg elssses the students furnished the material upon winich they worsed. In a bulletin published by the U. S. Federal Board for Vocetional Education (13:15), in 1928, it was stated that tine cost of home manasement was low because the stores were willing to lend supplies or to be visited by the classes.

This study revealed a probable lack of planning or regard for laundry since only a few schools had buagets in which money was allotted for launary. The amount of money spent showed little relationship with the enrollment of the school. The expenaitures for launary must have depended upon the standards of the teachers and the smount of laundry done by the school or the class members.

The cost per student for foods, clotining, and home management was fighured on the basis of a 300minute week. The length of time spent on each phase In all of the sciools, no matter whether the school
taught foods for 280 minutes per week or home management for 450 minutes per week, were all converted to the standard 300-minute week. This stendard was used as it would be easy for any teacher or superintendent to change from the cost per 300. minute week to their own minute week.

The cost per student per 300-minute week decreased as the enrollment of the school increased. This gave evidence that it was chaaper to teach one student in a large school than one student in a small school. The reasons for this can be assumed to be as follows: large schools being able to buy their supplies in large quantities obtain them at cheaper prices or at a discount; larger groups use supplies more economically; and there are some over. head costs which in all schools are stable since every home economics department requires about the same varietJ of equipment. In larger schools more students use this same equipment thereby reducing the per pupil cost.

## Pattern budgets

Since any pattern budget is just a guide for those making out budgets, it will be necessary for those formulating the budgets to modify it in accordance with the amount of money available. The amount of money available would depend on the
recognized ádility of the various comnunities to provide educational funās. True pattern buajets for each size group were suggested as a guide; the person makines out a budjet would use the pattern ouajet whicn :1ost closely utilizes tine available money. If the school were in a comunity in which the anount of money oroviaded for education was small, the pattern oudset of the first quartile, $Q_{1}$, should be used as a guide for making out the budset. If the school were in a commuity in which the liberal amount of money were available for eäucation, the partern budet of the third quartile, $Q_{3}$, would ve recommended as a suide.

The following pattern judiets nave been formulatea on the oasis of the cost for one stuänt for one 300 -minute wees. If foocs were taught for six 300-minute weeks to 10 students, the $\operatorname{ou}_{\mathrm{a} g e t}$ shoula be figured by multiplying the cost for foods of one student for one week by 60.

Three pattern juigets are sugsested for each size school based on the quartiles of the cost per student per 300-minute weei for each phase of nome economics. The pattern budgets of the first quartile, Q1, indicate that 25 per cent of the schools may have budeets of less then the anount of money siven, but 75 per cent of the schools may have budgets over that amount. The pattern buagets of tine second

quartile, $Q_{2}$, indicate that one half of the schools have buagets below and above the given anount. The pattern buogets of the third quartile, $Q_{3}$, inaicate thet 75 per cent of the schools may nave budsets below the given amount and 25 per cent above the given amount.

Use of "Pattern Buagets" 1llustrated. --The use of the pattern buagets will be illustrated using as an example an hypothetical school. The school is small; the total enrollment is 86 students. The money available for home economics work is the amount usually available in commonities where school expenditures must de small; therefore, the pattern budget of the first quartile, $Q_{1}$, will be used as a guide in making out this budget. The information supplieả in orajer to aeternine the amount of money to be allotted for the various ohases of work in the budget is as follows:

Total number of home economics students $=25$
Number of stuaents in the foods class $=10$
Number of students in the clothino class $=10$
Number of students in tine home manaement class $=10$

The foods class meets for 10,45 -minute periods per week

The clothing class meets for five, 60-minute periods per week

The home manasement class meets for $10,45-$ minute periods per week

The school year is 36 weeks
The buāget for foods will be determined as
follows:


No. of student Amount of money Amount of weeks
(540) $x$ pattern budeset, allotted for foods
( $9.79 d$ ) (\$52.87)

The amount of money that should be allotted
for foods in the buçet is $\$ 52.87$.
The budget for clothing will be deterained
as follows:
No. of students No. of weeks No. of student
A. in clothins $X$ (36) $=$ weeks
class
(10)

No. of student Amount of money Amount of weeks
(350)

money to be a.llotted for clothing (\$2.92)

The amount of money that should be allotted for clothing in the buaget is \$2.92.

The buāset for home manasenent will be
determined as follows:

No. of stu- No. of periods Length of No. of dents in per periods students A. class week
 in 300min. week
(7.8)

No. of students
B. in 300 -minute No. of weeks $=$ No. student-weeks week
(7.8

X
300 minutes

No. of student Amount of money Amount of
No. of student
$\bigcirc$.
(280.8)
$X$ pattern buãzet, $=\begin{gathered}\text { allotted for home } \\ \text { management }\end{gathered}$
(. $85 \%$ )
(280.8)
(3i)
money to oe
(\$2.39)
The amount of money that should be allotted for howe inanagement is \$2.39.

The buajzet for laundry will de deterialnea
as follows:
Total number ilo. weeks Amount of money Amount of Home Econ- in school allotted per = money to mics students year

X $\qquad$ student in jattern onset, $Q_{1}$
(.45d) be allotted for laundry
(25)
(36)
(\$4.05)
The amount of money to be allotted for laundry is ft.05.

The budget for equipment will se determined
as follows:
Total number 170 . weeks A mount of money Amount of Home Econ- in school mics students year
$X$
(36)

Z

allotted per = money to student in pat- be allottern budget, $Q_{1}$ ted for equipinent (\$22.15)

The amount of money that should be allotted for equipment is $\$ 12.15$.

The total buaget for the school year will be determined by totaling the amount of money to be allotted for each phase of home economics as follows:


The total budset for the school should be \$74.38 when the pattern buabet, $Q_{1}$, is used as a s̊uiae.

## Suggestions for further study

The writer sugsests the following proble:ns for Iurtiner investiocstion:

1. What are the costs per stuadent per meek for the verious units as interior decoration, cilld care, home planning , family life, and personality?

This investigstion would Bive ..ore ciefinite inforinction on winch to oase the juagets.
2. What is tine lengtin of life of the equipment useo in the home economics depertments? How often must this equipment be rejlaced? What is the average cost per jear for equipment?

This information would give the person who might be making out a vuaget for the school a
general knowledge on which to figure out tine ainount of money to allot for equipment.
3. Why are there wide variations in costs of launary? How is the laundry proolem solved in the schools that do not spena any money for laundry?

This would de an interestins problem. The writer was puzzled to find shat some of the schools did not have expenditures for launary. This investigation woula give teachers subgestions for aetting the launāry àone zit no cost, or it would zive better iniormation on which to base the pattern budsets.
4. How does the cost of laboratory work in foods compare with the cost of recitation woric?

This would sive a Dasis for àeteraining the amount of money that should be used in a class that nes only one laboratory period per week as well as the class tinat has five laboratory perioos per week.
5. How do the expenditures of the home economics departments compare with the location of the school? Are the expenditures for sumplies in rural high schools the same as those for sity schools?

This investigation would sive information as to whether the rural schools shoula have the buagets incluae the same amount of money as the city schools.
6. How much money shoulä be incluoed in the buajset of home economics classes for boys?

This information woula be valuable for those schools which are plannins to start classes for boys.
7. How much money is collected from the students as fees for home economics in the schools. For what is this money spent?

The writer found that some of the schools did assess students. The amount and the use of this money was not siven.
8. How much money is spent for books, masazines, and illustrative material in the home economics departments?

This was incluaed in the expenditures for equipment in this stuäy, but it would se jetter to have definite information about these expenditures to use as a giuiae in formulating buagets.

## Chapter VI

## SUM ARY

In order to answer tine question, "What budets should an Illinois Vocational Home Economics teacher advisedly request?", the buảjets and expenditures of 370 Illinois Vocational Departments were analyzed. The reports sent in by the vocational home economics teachers to the Home Economics Division of the Illinols Board for Vocational Eaucation were used to obtain the needed data. These data pertained to tne following:

1. The enrollment of the school
2. The amount of money allotted for supolies in the various phases of work
a. fooảs
b. clothing
c. home inanajement
d. equipiment
e. launóry
f. Home Economics I
3. Home Economics II
h. Home Economics III
4. The amount of money spent for suoplies in the various phases of work
a. foods
b. clothing
c. home .anażement
a. equipment
e. launory

In adoition a questionnaire I/ was
formulated and sent to 365 schools to o'jtain information which was not eiven completely in the stete recoras as the following: the ex venaitures winen they were not siven completely in the state records; the number of weers syent teschin, the various phases of work in the comoosite courses; and the number of weeks in the school year, 1940-1941.

The inforination obtained from the state reports ana the questionnaires was used in analyzing the buajets and expenāitures ana computing the cost per student per week.

The budgets and expenditures of all phases of work in the home economics departments were analyzed to find out the amount of money tine various sizes of schools planned for and spent. Quartiles were used for the comparison. The cost per student per 300-minute week was ficzured and pattern oudets were recomended vased on the quartiles.

## Findings

1. The total budgets and expenditures increased as the enrollment of the school increased.

1/ See appendix for copy of questionnaire
2. The cost per student per 300-minute week decreased as the enrollment of tine sciool increased in ail phases of Home Economics.
3. Equipment was the only phase in which the expenditures exceeded the budgets.
4. Very few schools included launary in the oud.zets.
5. Seventy per cent of tine schools had buagets.
6. Eighty-three per cent of the schools had records of their expenditures.

Additional findings
The quartiles of the cost per student per 300-minute week were determined, and these findings are siven in the chart on the next pase.

On the basis of quartiles per student per 300-minute week a pattern juăet was formulated giving the unit cost per pupil-week for snall, mediun, large, and very large high schools for the various phases of home economics. Usins this patuern juajet a teacher of home economics in Illinois will have $\&$ besis for anticipatins the approximate cost in her own school.


$$
A P P E \text { IT } D I X
$$
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## Appendix A.--QUESTION:FARE

Ela Township High School Lake Zurich, IlIinois 1. $=\cdots$ 6, 1942

Dear
I cin maxing a stiday of the sudzets and expenditures of the Voartional Home Econviacs Dejertinents in Illinois for the school jear, 1940-1941 (last year).

Miss Spariks nas allowed me to use the state records to obtain information, but I have found that some of the records were incomplete. Will you please fill in the following blanis and return this paper to me?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sincerely, } \\
& \text { Dorothy Timm }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Expenditures for:

A. Groceries
B. Clothing supolies
C. Laundry $\qquad$
D. Equipment
E. Supolies for Hone Management - - - $\qquad$
F. Miscellaneous _ _ _ - - - - - - $\qquad$
G. Total amount spent - - - - - - $\qquad$

Appendix A.--QUES'IUNTAIRE--Continued

Numioer of weeks spent on:
A. Foods in Home Ec. I. - - . - - . - - $\qquad$
B. Foods in Home Ec. II $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ $\qquad$
C. Foods in Home Ec. III $\qquad$
D. Slothins in Home Ec. I
E. Clothing in Home Ec. II
F. Clothing in Home Ec. III $\qquad$
G. Home Management in Home Jc. I I - - - - $\qquad$
H. Home Management in Home Ec. II $-\ldots$ - $\qquad$
I. Home Manasement in Home Ec. III $\quad$ - - $\qquad$
Number of weeks in school year 1940-
1941 $\qquad$
Name of school


SIATISTICS RELATIVE TO BUDCETS AND EXPGNDITURLS Of JILIMOIS VOCATHONALLY APPROVED HOME ECONOMICS DEPARTMENTS FOR TME SChool yEAR, 1940-1941


Statistics relative to budgets and expenditures of illinois vocationally approved home economics departaents for the school year,


Statistics relative to bulgets and expenditures of fllinois vocationally approved home economics departments for the school year,



| Senool | 153 | 184 | 158 | 66 | 187 | Ni | 159 | 160 | 16 | 162 | 162 | 16\％ | 165 | 166 | 167 | 168 | 16 | mo |  | 171 | 172 | 179 | 175 | 176 | 177 | 178 | 171 | 180 | 18 | 182 | 183 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL ENAOLLMENT | 12 | 149 | 93 | 147 | 140 | 170 | 14 | 154 | 191 | 94 | 105 | ${ }^{13}$ | $7{ }^{79}$ | 89 | 115 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 70 | 295 |  |  | 182 | 195 | 93 | 71 | $64$ | 110 | N7 | － | ${ }^{518}$ | 112 | 131 | 45 | 17 | 19 | of |
| Truas dunger mam | m－ | mate | $10{ }^{-6}$ | － | Ne | 250 | 100 | 5 | 175 |  | 4， | ${ }^{6}$ | 100 |  |  | an |  |  |  |  |  | － | ${ }^{109}$ | max | $1{ }^{16}$ |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total evecoirmes | دes | 216 s | 08 |  | － | 1018 | 209 | $5{ }^{2}$ | 635ㅡㄴ | 65 |  | 769 ${ }^{4}$ | 4.85 | $300$ | ¢5 | $17 \times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suger fon fools | ＋0 | $4{ }^{4}$ 明 | $\stackrel{10}{ }$ |  | ＝ | － | ${ }^{135}$ | － | 68 | ＝ | I | $45^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4{ }^{20}$ |  |  | － |  |  | 1000 |  |  | － |  | ＝ | - |
| djegt for mumgemen | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 68 | － | － | － |  |  |  | － | － | － | － |  | － |  | － |  |  | $5^{20}$ |  |  |  |  | － |  |
| Wegt fme reo | $0^{82}$ | － | － |  |  | － | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － | － | $3{ }^{*}$ |  |  | － | － |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |
| guget fat liumbay＂ | － |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bugcer macrumews |  | － | － | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exremerrues sex | 10 |  |  | 967 | 110 | ＊ | － |  |  |  | － |  |  | 602 | 542 |  | $70^{60}$ |  |  |  | － | 85 |  |  |  | 114 | － | 758 |  | 53n |  | － | 694 | 112 | － |
| exaturners mat clomuls： | － |  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $22^{4}$ |  |  |  |  | 15 \％ |  |  |  |  | － |  | － | $5{ }^{\circ}$ | ＝ |
| Exemermies for number： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| comenarmers fat soument | Aes | 退 | ＊＊ |  | 上 | $12{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | $2^{2}$ | ＊${ }^{\text {ce }}$ |  | 30 | 4 | 1415 |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＝ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 3 L^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $5{ }^{2 \prime}$ | 56 |
| －rantues fatmenummu＂ | － | $90^{20}$ | － |  | － | 5 |  |  | － |  |  |  | \％ H $^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $21=$ | ＋ | － |  |  |  | 2015 | － | 37ٌ | － |  | － |  | － |
| cutcert ron une ec．I． | － | － | － | － | － |  | 109 | － | － |  | $1{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | as ${ }^{\text {co }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |
|  | ＝ | ＝ | － | － | － | － | $5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 70 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＝ | － |  |
| ＊．Namf sconamics Stum | － | 22 | $2{ }^{\circ}$ | 29 | 49 | 3 | ＊ |  |  |  | 35 | 20 |  | 17 | $4 *$ | 30 |  |  |  |  | 57 | 24 | 19 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 47 | － | 28 | 18 | 36 |
| Ab．WEERS PER YIAR | － | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |  |  |  | 3 | 36 |  | 36 | 36 | ${ }^{36}$ |  |  |  |  | 36 | 36 | 36 |  | 36 |  |  |  |  | 26 | 36 | － | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| cerplonemidmeet（rowpman） |  | 25.80 | H．Mr4 | 2．1244 | ax | ＊．758＊ | － |  |  | － | 2.544 | 6.14 |  | Heat | ． 11394 | \％1804 |  | － |  | － |  |  |  |  | 0.22 .4 |  |  |  | － | 8.494 | 41824 | － | ．4\％4 | ．5314 |  |


| Sellool | 188 | IP1 | no | 191 | 198 | 193 | 199 | 195 | 196 | 117 | 198 | M9 | 200 | 201 | 2.2 | 103 | 204 | 205 | 206 | 207 | 308 | 209 | 210 | 311 | 2／a | 213 | 21 | 2／r | v6 | 217 | 218 |  | As． | as | 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTL L ENAOLMENT | 86 | 13 | 70 | 13 | 100 | 90 | 108 | 98 | 74 | 311 | 287 | 201 | 372 | 271 | 253 | 207 | 818 | 544 | 62.4 | 649 | 1330 | 364 | 382 | 10 | 44 | 140 | 473 |  | 137 | 101 | 75 | 171 | 350 | 38 | 26 |
| moma euger In maver | ＊ | $12{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | noo | 2008 | $150^{\circ}$ | 145 | $155^{\circ}$ | M48 | $150{ }^{\text {Ex }}$ | － | 200 硡 | 150\％ | 283 | $120{ }^{\circ}$ | $125^{*}$ | － | ${ }^{*}$ | 175 | 404 | $345{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {m }}$ | mer | $230{ }^{\text {dr }}$ |  | 135 | 100 | $250{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 250 | 4008 | $100{ }^{\text {＊}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| TTIL EXPE MTHTURES | 43．15 | 1000 | c0\％ | ハ20 | $00^{2}$ | $5{ }^{50}$ | no ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100 | 1468 | 802 | $185{ }^{\text {m }}$ | 108 | $250{ }^{\circ}$ | $118{ }^{\circ}$ | ns | $8{ }^{\text {ct }}$ | 189＊ | － $\mathrm{m}^{\text {ce }}$ | $26{ }^{*}$ |  | － | 943 ${ }^{2}$ | 195＊ |  | $205{ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{Hr}^{21}$ |  |  |  | $125^{\text {² }}$ | $13{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $197{ }^{17}$ | $98^{\text {＊}}$ | $4{ }^{4}$ | － |
| BuAEET for fond | － |  | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | $110^{\circ}$ | $100{ }^{\circ}$ | 20응 | $70^{*}$ | － |  |  |  | － | 48. | 8\％＊${ }^{-1}$ | － | － |  | 362 | 75 ${ }^{4}$ | － | － |
| Buaget for coomita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | $11 *$ | $10{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $20 \leq$ | － | － |  |  | － | － | $40^{6}$ | － |  | － | 45＊ | － | － |  |  |
|  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $5{ }^{5}$ | － | － | － | － |  | 10 \％ | 15 ² | － |  | － |  | － | － | 482： | － |  |  | － |  |  | － |  |
| Deset ex sawpmint |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | $\rightarrow$ | － | － | － | 52\％ | 3080 | － | － | $\operatorname{mox}^{\text {B }}$ | － |  | － | － | － |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | － |  | 50 | 40 |  |
| Quest man humary |  | － | － | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |  | － |  |
| Stater mor mercumbig |  |  | 60릉 | （10） | 56 |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | 108 | 98 | 75 | 908 |  |  | － | － | $22^{28}$ | note | － | － | － |  |  |  | － | － | － |  | － |  |
| eresernics fan foals＂ | $60^{20}$ | － | $60^{\circ}$ | $155^{\circ}$ | 56․ㅡㅇ |  | － | － | 2639 | 36\％ | 603 | － |  | $100^{\circ \prime}$ | $9{ }^{48}$ | $75^{20}$ | 908 | 160 º | 75＊ | ＝ | － |  | $6^{*}$ |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
|  |  | － | － |  |  | ．․ㅗ응 | － | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  | － |  | － |  |  |
| crammest fer fownent． | 310 | 5＊ | － | 100． | － | 29 | － | － | $86^{43}$ | 564 | 135 | $70^{\text {® }}$ | － | $11 \pm$ | － | $10^{\text {는 }}$ | $1200^{\circ}$ |  | 105＊ | 308 | 600\％ | 723： | 9ำ | 14．81 | $90=$ | － |  | － |  | － |  |  | － | － |  |
| griminus for lomity． | \％ |  |  | － | － | － |  | － |  |  | － |  | － | － |  | － | － | 402 | － | － | － | － | － | － | －－ |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
|  |  | 33．2． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.23 |  |  | 30 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 35 2 | － | － | 4＊ |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  | － | 150 를 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  |  | － |  | － | 90\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 640 | － | － | － | － | $55:$ | － | － |  | 4 | － | － | － |  | － | 100 | $45^{20}$ | $90^{20}$ | － | － | － | $\pm 1{ }^{1}$ | 90 |
| Busger fum Mant ic．II＂． |  | － |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ | － |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | 1088 |  | － | 二 | － | － | － | － | － | 5 S | － | $\square$ | － | － |  |  | $45^{\circ}$ | 908 | 10＊ | － | － | $50^{\circ}$ | 6048 |
| Socert an hime citit．＂O． |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2940 | － |  | － |  |  | 70 으 | － |  | $45 \%$ | － |  | $24^{\text {으 }}$ | － |
| wo．nowe ecomones stuments m．wEEKS PER YEAR | $\begin{array}{ll} 53 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | I | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $34$ | $43$ | － | $71$ | 二 | $70$ | $70$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $30$ | $169$ | $287$ | $46$ | $07$ | $36$ | 19 | $28$ | ＝ | － | － | ＝ | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | ＋ 4.154 | －met | － | 46304 | － | ．0027 |  |  | Ha＊14 | 2 HWH | 11.10244 | 4.8182 | － | ．3944 | － | ． 314 | 77． 620 | － | 467＊ | 1.113 | scoen | 42．ent | 4 ．nan 3 | 3．404 | $18 . \mathrm{MeO}$ I |  | － |  |  | － | － | － |  | － | － |
|  | $1.7004$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ．064 | － |  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | ＝ | － | － |















| Seheor | 36) | 362 | 263 | J6v | 365 | 366 | 367 | $36 \%$ | 369 | 370 | avs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emachimowt | $1 \%$ | 72 | 154 | 116 | 171 | 1r* | 64 | 70 | - | 1\%2 | 90 |
| Toul Buprit \% Dbileas |  | - |  | 80 |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Thel Enprofitere in Jhimers | 30 | 488 | $8 \%$ | * 0 |  |  |  | 4. ${ }^{3}$ |  | - |  |
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