

Technical Report No. 51
ASSIMILATION RATES OF SMALL MAMMAL HERBIVORES

Prepared by Richard M. Hansen
Barbara R. Cavender
Colorado State University

GRASSLANDS BIOME
U. S. International Biological Program

May 1970

ABSTRACT

This Technical Report was prepared to make it possible for the programmers in the Grasslands Biome to calculate the probable energy demands made by a population of small mammals on the grassland ecosystem.

When evaluating the impact of grazing animals upon the grassland ecosystem, most researchers have largely ignored the effects of the small mammal populations. Although an individual rabbit or rodent may be inconspicuous, their populations often represent a large portion of the vertebrate biomass and can impose as great an impact on the community as the larger species. The food habits of these small mammals may place them in direct competition with large herbivores. It has also been suggested that they are capable of retarding or even preventing the succession of plant communities (Bond 1945, Clements and Clements 1940, Koford 1958, Turner 1969, and Wood 1969).

In view of their potential impact, it is unfortunate that better studies have not been made on small mammal interactions with the vegetation and on the total amount of energy actually removed by them.

Many dietary composition investigations have been done, but these, by themselves, do not give any indication of the total amount of vegetation removed. Studies involving the weight of food consumed can be used to determine caloric intake of the animals if the energy contained in the diets is known. This is one instance where dietary composition studies can be of value. One of the most comprehensive field studies of this type was undertaken by Wood (1969) on 12 species of rodents occupying the desert grasslands of southern New Mexico. From data on population density, plus the weight of food in a full stomach, he estimated the weights of food eaten during one year by six of the rodent populations. His results, however, are of limited use since they do not include the amount of food harvested, but left uneaten (i.e., wasted or stored), nor can it be converted to caloric intake and it is not clear how he calculated daily intake rates.

Similar, but less extensive studies have been done in the laboratory, but here it must be remembered that a laboratory diet is not equivalent to a natural one, nor are captive animals subjected to the same kind of activity patterns or environmental stresses as wild ones.

Laboratory investigations that directly measure caloric intake or that determine metabolic rates have much the same limitations as the other laboratory-based studies with the metabolic studies having the added disadvantage of not considering assimilation efficiencies.

However, by looking at an accumulation of the results of several of these methods and combining them with studies on population densities, it should be possible to estimate the minimum energy demands made by a population of small mammals on its environment.

The following table is compiled from the work of various investigators on small mammal energetics and food habits. All measurements in each category have been converted to standard units. Metabolic rates originally given as milliliters of oxygen have been converted to kilocalories by assuming a respiratory quotient of 0.8 and an equivalent of 4.8 kcal/liter O_2 . Included are members of two orders of mammals, Rodentia and Lagomorpha, both primarily comprised of herbivorous species.

Table 1. The rate of food intake and the mean metabolic rate of some species of small mammals that eat plants and/or plant parts.

SPECIES	LIVE WT. (g)	FOOD INTAKE		AV. DAILY METABOLIC RATE (kcal/g/day)	REFERENCES
		(kcal/g/day)	(g/g/day)		
<i>Reithrodontomys megalotis</i>	9			0.73-0.95	Pearson (1960)
<i>Pitymys subterraneus</i>	14			0.65	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Mus musculus</i>	17			0.40	Pearson (1947)
<i>Meriones unguiculatus</i> (juv)	17-19	1.19-1.45	0.28-0.34		Arrington (1968)
<i>Apodemus agrarius</i>	20			0.58	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Apodemus agrarius</i>	21-22	0.59-0.82	0.15-0.16		Drozdz (1968)
<i>Apodemus agrarius</i>	21			0.60	Grodzinski (1963)
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i>	19			0.44	McNab (1963)
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i>	20			0.35	Pearson (1947)
<i>Clethrionomys glareolus</i>	21			0.42-0.49 (0.25BMR)	Gorecki (1968)
<i>Clethrionomys glareolus</i>	22-23	0.57-1.09	0.08-0.81		Drozdz (1968)
<i>Clethrionomys glareolus</i>				0.58	Grodzinski (1963)
<i>Clethrionomys glareolus</i>	19-21			0.42-0.49	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Clethrionomys glareolus</i>	25	0.70			Kaczmarek (1966)
<i>Clethrionomys glareolus</i>	25	0.58			Morrison & Teitz (1953)
<i>Clethrionomys gapperi</i>	21-26			0.41-0.42	Pearson (1947)
<i>Microtus agrestis</i>	22			0.54	Grodzinski (1963)
<i>Microtus agrestis</i>	22			0.54	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Microtus arvalis</i>	21-23			0.46-0.50	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Microtus arvalis</i>	22-23	0.69-0.72	0.19-1.15		Drozdz (1968)
<i>Zapus hudsonius</i>	22			0.49	Pearson (1947)
<i>Zapus hudsonius</i>	19-30			0.17-0.20 (BMR)	Morrison & Ryser (1962)
<i>Peromyscus leucopus</i>	22			0.42	Pearson (1947)
<i>Pitymys pinetorum</i>	23			0.50	Pearson (1947)
<i>Clethrionomys rutilus</i>	24			0.49	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Apodemus flavicollis</i>	24-29	0.51-0.58	0.07-0.15		Drozdz (1968)
<i>Apodemus flavicollis</i>	27			0.37-0.39	Grodzinski & Gorecki (1967)
<i>Apodemus flavicollis</i>				0.51	Grodzinski (1963)
<i>Apodemus flavicollis</i>				0.37-0.40	Gebczynski (1966)
<i>Apodemus flavicollis</i>		0.61-0.62			Turcek (1956)
<i>Napaeoscopus insignis</i>	26			0.36	Pearson (1947)
<i>Microtus pennsylvanicus</i>	31			0.40	Pearson (1947)
<i>Microtus pennsylvanicus</i>	32			0.37	Morrison (1948)
<i>Onychomys torridus</i>	34		0.36		Wood (1969)
<i>Oryzomys palustris</i>	34-48	0.29-0.38			Sharp (1967)
<i>Peromyscus truei</i>	33			0.30	McNab (1963)
hamster	40-43	0.82	0.21		Arrington (1968)
<i>Dicrastonyx rubricatus</i>	43	0.67			Morrison & Teitz (1953)
<i>Peromyscus californicus</i>	50			0.44	McNab (1963)
<i>Cryptomys hottentotus</i>	50		0.24		Genelly (1965)
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>	51		0.16		Wood (1969)
<i>Glaucus volans</i>	75			0.23	Pearson (1947)
<i>Citellus spilosoma</i>	105		0.20		Wood (1969)
<i>Dipodomys spectabilis</i>	125		0.64		Wood (1969)
<i>Thomomys talpoides</i>	120		0.68		Hansen et al. (1960)
<i>Thomomys talpoides</i>			0.34-0.44		Tietjen et al. (1967)
<i>Citellus richardsoni</i>	133	0.54	0.13		Hansen & Reed (1969)
<i>Neotoma albigula</i>	159		0.13		Wood (1969)
<i>Citellus lateralis</i>	162	0.10-0.14	0.05-0.07		Jameson (1965)
<i>Schizoneura princeps</i>	171	0.30			Johnson & Maxwell (1966)
<i>Sigmodon hispidus</i> (juv)	180		0.07		Albritton (1954)
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i> (juv)	180		0.04		Albritton (1954)
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	70-71		0.19-0.20		Meyer & Nelson (1963)
<i>Neotoma micropus</i>	205		0.11		Wood (1969)
<i>Citellus mohavensis</i>	228-306			0.08-0.12	Bartholomew & Hudson (1960)
<i>Citellus parryi</i>	451	0.15		0.47	Morrison & Teitz (1953)
<i>Citellus osgoodi</i>	683	0.18		0.19	Morrison & Teitz (1953)
<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i>	750		0.14		Koford (1958)
<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i> (juv)	625-1400	0.07-0.21			Cavender (1969)
<i>Lepus americanus</i>	1661-1488	0.21-0.22			Hart et al. (1965)
<i>Lepus americanus</i>	1300-1450			0.08	Irving et al. (1957)
<i>Lepus californicus</i>	2000		0.53		Currie & Goodwin (1966)
<i>Lepus californicus</i>	1840		0.06		Arnold & Reynolds (1943)
<i>Lepus alleni</i>	2620		0.05		Arnold & Reynolds (1943)
<i>Lepus townsendii</i>	2800		0.03		Bear & Hansen (1966)
<i>Castor canadensis</i>	9400-15000	0.08-0.10	0.03		Cowan et al. (1957)

SELECTED REFERENCES AND LITERATURE CITED

- Albritton, C. E. [ed.] 1954. Standard values in nutrition and metabolism. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia. 380 p.
- Arnold, J. F. 1942. Forage consumption and preferences of experimentally fed Arizona and antelope jack rabbits. Univ. Arizona Coll. 98:50-86.
- Arnold, J. F. and H. G. Reynolds. 1943. Droppings of Arizona and antelope jack rabbits and the pellet census. J. Wildlife Manage. 7:322-327.
- Arrington, L. R. 1968. Nutrition of Mongolian gerbils and golden hamsters--an evaluation of two commercially available rodent rations. Lab. Anim. Dig. 4:7-9.
- Bartholomew, G. A. and J. W. Hudson. 1960. Aestivation in the Mohave ground squirrel *Citellus mohavensis*. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 124:193-205.
- Bear, G. D. and R. M. Hansen. 1966. Food habits, growth and reproduction of white-tailed jackrabbits in southern Colorado. Colo. State Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull. No. 90. 59 p.
- Bond, R. M. 1945. Range rodents and plant succession. North Amer. Wildlife Conf., Trans. 10:229-233.
- Buckner, C. H. 1964. Metabolism, food capacity and feeding behavior in four species of shrews. Can. J. Zool. 42:259-279.
- Cavender, B. R. 1969. Autumn weight gain and food intake in juvenile blacktailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). M.S. Thesis (unpub.), Colo. State Univ., Fort Collins.
- Clements, F. D. and E. S. Clements. 1940. The biotic significance of disturbance. In Climate, climax and conservation. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Yearbook 39:174-175.
- Cowan, I. M., A. J. Wood, and W. D. Kitts. 1957. Feed requirements of deer, beaver, bear and mink for growth and maintenance. North Amer. Wildlife Conf., Trans. 179-188 p.

- Currie, P. O. and D. L. Goodwin. 1966. Consumption of forage by black-tailed jackrabbits on salt-desert ranges of Utah. *J. Wildlife Manage.* 30:304-311.
- Drozdz, A. 1967. Food preference, food digestibility and the natural food supply of small rodents, p. 323-330. *In* K. Petruszewicz [ed.] Secondary productivity of terrestrial ecosystems.
- Drozdz, A. 1968. Digestibility and assimilation of natural foods in small rodents. *Acta Theriologica* 13(21):367-389.
- Gebczynski, M. 1966. The daily energy requirement of the yellow-necked field mouse in different seasons. *Acta Theriologica* 17:391-398.
- Genelly, R. E. 1965. Ecology of the common mole-rat (*Cryptomys hottentotus*) in Rhodesia. *J. Mammal.* 46:647-665.
- Golley, F. B. 1961. Energy values of ecological materials. *Ecology* 42:581-584.
- Gorecki, A. 1968. Metabolic rate and energy budget in the bank vole. *Acta Theriologica* 13:341-365.
- Grodzinski, Wladyslaw. 1963. Can food control the numbers of small rodents in the deciduous forest? 16th Int. Congr. Zool., Proc. 1:257.
- Grodzinski, W. and A. Gorecki. 1967. Daily energy budgets of small rodents, 295-314 p. *In* K. Petruszewicz [ed.] Secondary productivity of terrestrial ecosystems.
- Hansen, R. M. et al. 1960. Pocket gophers in Colorado. *Colo. State Univ. Exp. Sta. Bull.* 508S. 26 p.
- Hansen, R. M. and L. D. Reed. 1969. Energy assimilation in Richardson ground squirrels. *Amer. Midland Natur.* 82:290-293.

- Hart, J. S., H. Pohl and J. S. Tener. 1965. Seasonal acclimatization in varying hare (*Lepus americanus*). *Can. J. Zool.* 43:731-744.
- Haskell, H. S. and H. G. Reynolds. 1947. Growth, developmental food requirements, and breeding activity of the California jackrabbit. *J. Mammal.* 28:129-136.
- Irving, L., H. Krog and M. Monson. 1955. The metabolism of some Alaskan animals in winter and summer. *Physiol. Zool.* 28:173-185.
- Irving, L., J. Krog, H. Krog and M. Monson. 1957. Metabolism of varying hare in winter. *J. Mammal.* 38:527-529.
- Jameson, E. W., Jr. 1965. Food consumption of hibernating and non-hibernating *Citellus lateralis*. *J. Mammal.* 46:634-640.
- Johnson, D. R. and M. H. Maxell. 1966. Energy dynamics of Colorado pikas. *Ecology* 47:1059-1061.
- Kaczmarek, Franciszek. 1966. Bioenergetics of pregnancy and lactation in the bank vole. *Acta Theriologica* 11:409-417.
- Koford, C. B. 1958. Prairie dogs, whitefaces, and blue grama. *Wildlife Monogr.* 3. 79 p.
- McNab, B. K. 1963. A model of the energy budget of a wild mouse. *Ecology* 44:521-532.
- Meyer, J. and A. Nelson. 1963. Efficiency of feed utilization by various animal species fed similar rations. *J. Nutrition* 30:343-349.
- Morrison, P. 1948. Oxygen consumption in several small wild animals. *J. Cellular Comp. Physiol.* 31:69.
- Morrison, P. and F. A. Ryser. 1962. Metabolism and body temperature in a small hibernator, the meadow jumping mouse *Zapus hudsonius*. *J. Cellular Comp. Physiol.* 60:169-180.

- Morrison, P. R. and Teitz, W. J. 1953. Observations on food consumption and preference in four Alaskan mammals. *Arctic* 6:52-57.
- Novakowski, N. S. 1967. The winter bioenergetics of a beaver population in northern latitudes. *Can. J. Zool.* 45:1107-1118.
- Pearson, O. P. 1947. The rate of metabolism of some small mammals. *Ecology* 28:127-145.
- Pearson, O. P. 1960. The oxygen consumption and bioenergetics of harvest mice. *Physiol. Zool.* 33:152-160.
- Sharp, H. F., Jr. 1967. Food ecology of the rice rat, *Oryzomys palustris* (Harlan), in a Georgia salt marsh. *J. Mammal.* 48:557-563.
- Tietjen, H. P., C. H. Halvorson, P. L. Hegdal and A. M. Johnson. 1967. 2,4-D herbicide, vegetation, and pocket gopher relationships, Black Mesa, Colorado. *Ecology* 48:634-643.
- Turner, G. T. 1969. Responses of mountain grassland vegetation to gopher control, reduced grazing, and herbicide. *J. Range Manage.* 22:377-383.
- Turcek, F. 1956. Quantitative experiments on the consumption of tree-seeds by mice of the species *Apodemus flavicollis*. *Annu. Zool. Soc. 'Vanamo'* 10:50-59.
- Vorhies, C. T. and W. P. Taylor. 1933. The life histories and ecology of jackrabbits, *Lepus alleni* and *Lepus californicus* spp., in relation to grazing in Arizona. *Arizona Agr. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull.* 49. 117 p.
- Wood, J. E. 1969. Rodent populations and their impact on desert rangelands. *New Mexico State Univ., Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. No. 555.* 1-17 p.