

NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCES OF THE  
ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE  
AND THEIR CONSERVATION

PHASE II: THE BUFFER ZONE

FINAL REPORT, FINAL REV.

Prepared by:

K. D. Essington, S. M. Kettler, S. E. Simonson,  
C. A. Pague, J. S. Sanderson, P. M. Pineda, and A. R. Ellingson  
Colorado Natural Heritage Program  
254 General Services Building  
College of Natural Resources  
Colorado State University  
Ft. Collins, CO 80523

February 21, 1996

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	ii
Executive Summary	iii
Introduction	1
Methods	3
Rocky Flats Conservation Site Description	10
Walnut Creek Conservation Site Description	22
Rocky Flats Macrosite Description	25
Report Recommendations	28
References	34
Appendix I: The Colorado Natural Heritage Program	40
Appendix II: What is Biological Diversity?	41
Appendix III: Phase I Report	43
TABLES	
Table 1: Potential Natural Elements in the Study Area	4
Table 2: Known Natural Elements in the Rocky Flats Conservation Site	16
Table 3: Known Natural Elements in the Walnut Creek Conservation Site	23
Table 4: Definition of Natural Heritage Ranks	40
Table 5: Definition of Biodiversity Ranks	41
FIGURES	
Figure 1: Conservation Sites and Macrosite	9
Figure 2: Xeric Tallgrass Prairie	30
Figure 3: Ottoe skipper	31
Figure 4: Hops blue	32
Figure 5: Arogos skipper	33

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Colorado Natural Heritage Program would like to thank the Environmental Policy and Environmental Remediation staffs at the U.S. Department of Energy's Rocky Flats Field Office for making this report possible. In particular, Dr. John Wegrzyn, SAIC, deserves special thanks, for without his vision, experience, and commitment, this important project would not have been possible. Gail Hill and Annette Marquez, DOE, were instrumental in taking the lead on the project and ensuring the necessary funding and contracting were in place to initiate work. Dr. Fred Harrington, Dr. Mark Bakeman, and Alison Deans of the Pawnee Natural History Society provided invaluable insight into the Preble's meadow jumping mouse and other important aspects of area ecology. Greg Litus, BAI, provided critical information on the study area's hydrology and geology. Marcia Murdock, RMRS, was very helpful in providing bird information. Jody Nelson, RMRS, provided important background information on the *Carex oreocharis* occurrence. Tom Ryon, RMRS, helped clear up information on the 1995 trapping effort for the Preble's meadow jumping mouse. For their general support of the project, we would also like to thank Tricia Powell, Shirley Olinger, Dave Brockman, Howard Rose, DOE, and Laura Johnston, BAI.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Colorado Natural Heritage Program has completed its inventory and ranking of the natural heritage resources found at the U.S. Department of Energy's Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS). The exclusion of the general public over the last 20 to 40 years has preserved some native habitats and conditions. Several rare and imperiled species and natural communities are documented in the following report. These include the globally imperiled xeric tallgrass prairie, Preble's meadow jumping mouse, and hops blue. Two declining bird species, loggerhead shrike and grasshopper sparrow, breed at RFETS. Some previously unclassified vegetation communities also exist there.

CNHP has identified two conservation sites at RFETS: the Rocky Flats Conservation Site and the Walnut Creek Conservation Site. Conservation sites are designed to include known rare species and communities from an area as well as the ecological processes needed for their continued existence. The Rocky Flats Conservation Site encompasses the western third of RFETS, plus some state land and private land. It supports important components of the total biological diversity of the nation, particularly xeric tallgrass prairie and a large Preble's meadow jumping mouse population. Rare invertebrates and populations of regionally declining breeding birds also make this Conservation Site a conservation unit for state and regional biological diversity.

The Walnut Creek Conservation Site supports the Preble's meadow jumping mouse which is well documented within its borders. This Conservation Site consists of the Walnut Creek stream channel and a 1/4 mile buffer zone on each side. Human impacts to the area and the unnatural surface water flow regime make it a less representative feature of regional, state, and national biodiversity.

Furthermore, these two Conservation Sites are part of a larger Rocky Flats Macrosite. Macrosites are intended to provide boundaries for large, landscape level conservation planning and management efforts. This Macrosite stretches from the Tracy Collins parcel of Boulder County Open Space in the north, to the intersection of Highways 93 and 72 in the south, to the Quarter Circle area in the west, to Indiana Street in the east. The Rocky Flats Macrosite is mostly undeveloped except for the RFETS industrial area and surface mining operations. It includes a large tract of land that could potentially support xeric tallgrass prairie but is as yet unstudied.

The Colorado Natural Heritage Program feels that the Rocky Flats Conservation Site is gravely imperiled. Expansion of area surface mines and possible annexation and development by local municipalities could irreparably fragment or even eliminate the rare natural heritage resources bound by the Conservation Site. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program recommends that the U.S. Department of Energy, the State of Colorado, and Jefferson County act to protect the Rocky Flats Conservation Site within one year or risk damaging the associated rare or imperiled natural values. The Walnut Creek Conservation Site is less imperiled because regulatory restraints imply continued Department of Energy ownership and management for some decades. The Rocky Flats Macrosite is moderately imperiled.

Some changes in the management regimes in the Rocky Flats Conservation Site and Walnut Creek Conservation Site are suggested. Weed control, fire management, water management, and road closures should be reviewed for their impacts on area ecology. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program would like to offer its services to the U.S. Department of Energy in developing natural resource management strategies for the facility and the area. Furthermore, we recommend the Department of Energy, 1) establish a roundtable of area landowners and managers to discuss scientific parameters of natural resource management issues; 2) continue to work with local landowners regarding routine management activities; 3) develop an integrated natural resource strategy; 4) continue to monitor ecological processes at RFETS, and; 5) designate RFETS as a National Environmental Research Park under the guidelines of this farsighted Department of Energy program.

## INTRODUCTION

### Purpose of Study:

In July, 1994, the Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) was contracted by the U.S. Department of Energy's Rocky Flats Field Office to inventory and rank the natural heritage resources at its Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS) (Purchase Order Number DE-AP34-94RF00900). The project was conducted in two phases: one to study the Rock Creek drainage and the second to study the rest of the facility's open space belt, or Buffer Zone. The project is intended to provide an independent assessment of the ecological values on plant site for consideration in future land-use planning and compliance documents under numerous environmental statutes. Specifically, DOE requested CNHP to aggregate existing biological data into its Conservation Data Center, and apply an established and scientifically sound methodology to prioritize state-wide and globally significant species, populations and functional ecological communities associated with RFETS.

### Study Area Overview:

The Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS), formerly the Rocky Flats Plant, is located in northern Jefferson County, bordering Boulder County. It is located 25 miles north west of downtown Denver, 14 miles north of Golden, and 8 miles south of Boulder. The suburban communities of Arvada, Westminster, and Broomfield lie 5 miles to the east. RFETS sits high on the Colorado Piedmont, just 2 miles east of the Rocky Mountain foothills, and 15 miles east of the Continental Divide.

The RFETS is part of the U.S. Department of Energy nuclear weapons manufacturing complex, formerly responsible for producing high-grade metallurgical products, plutonium solution, and plutonium "triggers" or "pits" that initiate detonation sequences in the weapons (U.S. Department of Energy 1980). RFETS' current mission is decommissioning, decontamination, environmental restoration, and economic conversion to other, civilian, uses. RFETS is currently regulated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, and other Federal and State of Colorado statutes. The facility employs 4,500 people (U.S. Department of Energy 1994a).

RFETS was part of the Lindsay Ranch livestock operation until the U.S. Government purchased the surface rights to 2,000 acres in 1953. The rest of the current Buffer Zone was transferred to DOE in 1973. Today, RFETS encompasses 6550 acres, although the Industrial Area, not evaluated in this study, totals 300 acres, reducing the effective study area in the Buffer Zone to 6250 acres. Elevation at the facility ranges from approximately 5300' at the eastern boundary at Indiana Street, to over 6120' at the western boundary, three miles distant, near State Highway 93. The topography consists of mesa-like highlands, deeply cut by stream drainages running roughly west to east or northeast, and outwashed, flatter terrain that descends gradually to the east. The three major drainages found at the Site are, from north to south, Rock Creek, Walnut Creek, and Woman Creek.

Flora: The vegetative component of RFETS is representative of the High Plains bioregion, with extensive grasslands bisected by riparian shrublands and occasional wet meadows (Vestal 1919; Mutel and Emerick 1992). However, because of RFETS' unique location in the transition, or

ecotone, between mountains and plains, many species indicative of montane ecosystems are also found in the study site. These montane representatives are mostly woody species, but herbaceous species typical of higher elevations are also present. The cessation of livestock production at RFETS has probably contributed heavily to the vegetative species mixture and total biomass present today. Furthermore, many exotic species are present, some completely dominating some areas.

Fauna: The faunal community of RFETS has been impacted by regional urban and rural development and its associated habitat loss. Extirpations of upper-trophic mammals such as wolves (*Canis lupus*), grizzly-bears (*Ursus arctos horribilus*), black-footed ferrets (*Mustela nigripes*), and mid-level trophic mammals such as American bison (*Bison bison*) from the area are well documented (Armstrong 1972; Fitzgerald et al. 1995). Other mammals, such as pronghorn antelope (*Antilocarpa americana*), historically used the area but have since been restricted to more remote areas. Some mammals are currently well represented at the Site, particularly coyotes (*Canis latrans*) and mule-deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*), as well as smaller mammals such as muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), eastern cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), and porcupine (*Erethizon dorsatum*) (U.S. Department of Energy 1995c).

Bird species have been less severely impacted, but the sharp-tailed grouse (*Tympanuchus phasianellus* ssp. *jamesii*) is locally extirpated and a candidate for Colorado Division of Wildlife reintroduction to the area (Braun 1992; Weber 1994). Many bird species from sparrows to hawks breed at RFETS. Eagles and falcons use the area for hunting and migration (U.S. Department of Energy 1994a).

Climate: The RFETS' climate is generally dominated by continental air masses, with local events generated by orographic effects to the west. RFETS receives approximately 15 inches of precipitation annually, most (70%) in the form of rainfall in the late spring and summer (U.S. Department of Energy 1992). The mean temperature in January is 31°F and 72°F in July, the coolest and warmest months, respectively. Winds at RFETS are moderate, but due to its elevated and exposed nature and its close proximity to the foothills, winds can approach destructive levels of roughly 80 mi/hr (U.S. Department of Energy 1980). This occurs particularly in the winter when steep pressure gradients accompany the passage of deep low-pressure systems well to the north in Montana or Manitoba (Hansen 1978).

Soils: Soils at RFETS are typically well-drained clay and cobble loams of variable, but generally moderate, permeability. Upland soils are some of the oldest in the southern Rocky Mountains, estimated to be almost 1 million years old (U.S. Department of Interior 1994a). They are within the Flatirons-Veldkamp association on the pediments and the Denver-Kutch association below the terraces (U.S. Department of Agriculture 1980).

Geology: Surficial geology at RFETS is characterized by the Rocky Flats Alluvium, a remnant of fluvial Quaternary debris flows. These deposits consist of coarse gravel, coarse sand, and gravelly clay, with impermeable clay lenses distributed throughout. The alluvium is up to 100 ft. thick in places (particularly just east of the hogback that runs beneath the west side of the Buffer Zone) although it averages 30 ft. throughout most of the study area (U.S. Department of Energy

1992). Valley fill colluvium dominates the lower areas. The clay lenses in the colluvium are responsible for frequent slumping and sloughing along terrace sides.

Bedrock geology is dominated by Cretaceous sandstones, the Arapahoe, Laramie, and Fox Hills Formations, in descending order. It is believed that the contact point between the upper alluvium, and the lower, less permeable sandstones is at least partially responsible for the numerous seeps and springs in the study area (U.S. Department of Energy 1992).

Hydrology: The hydrographic profile of RFETS is very important in determining the potential natural elements that exist there. Groundwater consists mostly of an upper aquifer in the Rocky Flats Alluvium, and occurs in unconfined conditions. Groundwater flow tends to begin in the west and move towards lower elevations in the east (Hurr 1976). Recharge comes during winter and spring precipitation. While most precipitation occurs during the spring and summer, these events are usually too large and too brief to be properly absorbed by surficial material and contribute instead to surface water flow. Deeper aquifers are confined to bedrock formations, probably recharged from outcropped areas to the west, and do not significantly contribute to RFETS' ecology (EG&G Rocky Flats 1993a).

Most surface water flow is anthropogenically managed for water transfer to downstream users and for facility operations. Only Rock Creek, the northern drainage, and the upper reaches of Woman Creek maintain a natural flow regime. Walnut and Woman Creeks contain 12 surface water management ponds designed for containment of non-point runoff, wastewater treatment plant effluent, and emergency spill containment. Flows are unnaturally managed (i.e. monthly pulses instead of annual pulses) which may be impacting stream ecological processes. The quality of RFETS surface water is well documented and an unlikely factor in determining RFETS' ecological significance (U.S. Department of Energy 1994d).

## **METHODS**

Due to previous CNHP projects in Boulder County and Jefferson County, much of the information required for solid conservation planning is already available. This allowed CNHP staff to conduct the RFETS survey on a more discrete level and more efficiently. The methods for this project are outlined below.

### Identify Significant Natural Elements:

The CNHP tracks rare and imperiled natural elements across the state and ranks them based on viability, size, and rarity (Colorado Natural Heritage Program 1995). An element can be a plant, animal, or natural community. The elements that potentially occur in the study area are listed in Table 1. Information explaining the Heritage ranking system is provided in Appendix A. This list of potential elements was derived by consulting local museums, herbaria, literature, technical experts, and the CNHP's Biological Conservation Database.

Some of the highest priority elements CNHP was interested in identifying were the Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*), Ottoo skipper (*Hesperia ottoe*), regal fritillary (*Speyeria idalia*), Ute's ladies' tresses (*Spiranthes diluvialis*), Colorado butterfly weed (*Gaura neomexicana ssp. coloradensis*), and the natural communities of great plains mixed grass

prairies (*Stipa comata*- east) and xeric tallgrass prairies (*Andropogon gerardii*-*Schizachyrium scoparium*).

**Table 1: Potential Natural Elements in the Study Area**

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL <sup>1</sup> STATUS	STATE <sup>2</sup> STATUS
<b>Birds</b>					
BUTEO REGALIS	FERRUGINOUS HAWK	G4	S3B, S5N		
NYCTANASA VIOLACEA	YELLOW-CROWNED NIGHT-HERON	G5	S1B, SZN		
CATOPTROPHORUS SEMIPALMATUS	WILLET	G5	S1B, SZN		
SAYORNIS PHEOBE	EASTERN PHEOBE	G5	S1B, SZN		
COCCYZUS ERYTHROPHthalmus	BLACK-BILLED CUCKOO	G5	S2B		
SIALIA SIALIS	EASTERN BLUEBIRD	G5	S2		
LANIUS LUDOVICIANUS	LOGGERHEAD SHRIKE	G5	S3	C2	
IXOBRYCHUS EXILIS	LEAST BITTERN	G5	3B,S ZN		
BUTORIDES STRIATUS	GREEN-BACKED HERON	G5	S3B, SZN		
NYCTICORAX NYCTICORAX	BLACK-CROWNED NIGHT-HERON	G5	S3B, SZN		
COCCYZUS AMERICANUS AMERICANUS	EASTERN YELLOW-BILLED CUCKOO	G5TU	S3B		
BOMBYCILLA CEDRORUM	CEDAR WAXWING	G5	S3B, S5N		
DOLICHONYX ORYZIVORUS	BOBOLINK	G5	S3B, SZN		
<b>Fish</b>					
none					
<b>Mammals</b>					
ZAPUS HUDSONIUS PREBLEI	PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE	G5T2	S2	C2	SC
MYOTIS CALIFORNICUS	CALIFORNIA MYOTIS	G5	S2		
SOREX MERRIAMI	MERRIAM'S SHREW	G5	S3		

**Reptiles**

TROPIDOCOLONION LINEATUM	LINED SNAKE	G5	S3	U
--------------------------	-------------	----	----	---

**Insects**

SPEYERIA IDALIA	REGAL FRITILLARY	G3	S1	C2
HESPERIA OTTOE	OTTOE SKIPPER	G3?	S2	
CELASTRINA NEGLECTAMAJOR	APPALACHIAN BLUE	G4	S1?	
ATRYTONE AROGOS	AROGOS SKIPPER	G4	S2	
ERYNNIS MARTIALIS	MOTTLED DUSKY WING	G4	S2S3	
INCISALIA MOSSI	MOSS'S ELFIN	G4	S3	
DOA AMPLA	A MOTH	G?	S1	
GRAMMIA SP. 1	A MOTH	G?	S?	
AESHNA EREMITA	LAKE DARNER	G5	S1?	
AESHNA VERTICALIS	GREEN-STRIPED DARNER	G5	S?	
CORDULIA SHURTLEFFI	AMERICAN EMERALD	G5	S1?	
CALOPTERYX AEQUABILIS	RIVER JEWELWING	G5	SH	
ARGIA SEDULA	BLUE-RINGED DANCER	G5	S2	
ARCHILESTES GRANDIS	GREAT SPREADWING	G5	S3	

**Mollusks**

PROMENETUS EXACUOUS	SHARP SPRITE	G?	S2	
PROMENETUS UMBILICATELLUS	UMBILICATE SPRITE	G?	S3	

**Vascular plants**

SPIRANTHES DILUVIALIS	UTE LADIES' TRESSES	G2	S1	LT	1
GAURA NEOMEXICANA SSP COLORADENSIS	COLORADO BUTTERFLY WEED	G5T1	S1	C1	1
CAREX OREOCHARIS	MONTANE SEDGE	G3	S1?		
MALAXIS BRACHYPODA	WHITE ADDER'S-MOUTH	G4	S1	C2	2
CAREX TORREYI	TORREY SEDGE	G4	S?	3	

RIBES AMERICANUM	AMERICAN CURRANT	G5	S1	2	
CRATAEGUS CHRYSOCARPA	YELLOW HAWTHORN	G5?	S1S2	2	
VIOLA PEDATIFIDA	PRAIRIE VIOLET	G5	S2	3	
EUSTOMA RUSSELLIANUM	SHOWY PRAIRIE GENTIAN	G5	S3	C2	2
ROOTALA RAMOSIOR	TOOTH CUP	G5	S?	3	
ARISTIDA BASIRAMEA	FORK TIP THREE-AWN	G5	S?	3	

**Natural communities**

STIPA COMATA - EAST	GREAT PLAINS MIXED GRASS PRAIRIES	G2	S2		
ANDROPOGON GERARDII- SCHIZACHYRIUM SCOPARIUM	XERIC TALLGRASS PRAIRIES	G2	S2		
ANDROPOGON GERARDII -SORGHASTRUM NUTANS	WET PRAIRIES	G3	S1		
CAREX NEBRASCENSIS WETLAND	GREAT PLAINS WET MEADOWS	G4	S?		
POPULUS DELTOIDES-SALIX AMYGDELOIDES/SALIX EXIGUA	GREAT PLAINS RIPARIAN	G2	S2		

1 Abbreviations are as follows:

C2 = Category 2 Candidate

LE = Listed Endangered

LT = Listed Threatened

2 Abbreviations are as follows:

1 = federal threatened or endangered that are rare throughout their range

2 = plant species which are rare in Colorado but relatively common elsewhere within their range

3 = species which appear to be rare but for which conclusive information is lacking;

### Conduct Field Surveys:

DOE and its prime contractor Kaiser-Hill have ecological surveys and monitoring programs in place that record the presence, absence, and viability of potential natural elements at the RFETS. These include a detailed research program on the Preble's meadow jumping mouse (by Dr. F.A. Harrington) and three years of surveys for the Ute's ladies tresses (by Dr. D. Buckner) (EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. 1993b). Also, the *Biological Characterization of the Rocky Flats Plant* (U.S. Department of Energy 1992), the Ecological Monitoring Program, and the Natural Resource Protection and Compliance Program have or continue to catalogue and monitor the Site's biota. This work helped focus CNHP field efforts. CNHP agreed to avoid duplicating ongoing or recent research at RFETS by not pursuing these areas. DOE has provided CNHP with all the pertinent data from said research for integration into this report. The high quality research efforts of EG&G Rocky Flats *cum* Kaiser-Hill and its sub-contractors were critical to an accurate assessment of the conservation priorities of the study area.

CNHP conducted field surveys for potential natural elements during the 1994 and 1995 field seasons. The surveys considered the Rock Creek drainage first, generating the Phase I report on the area (see Appendix C). Phase II field work covered the remainder of RFETS, particularly the Buffer Zone where Heritage Program scientists pursued the confirmation of rare and imperiled butterflies and significant natural communities. This work completed the ecological picture needed to develop accurate conservation priorities.

### Site Boundary Determination:

Conservation Sites are developed through a rigorous screening process that considers not only the occurrence and viability of a rare ecological element(s), but also the management and protection urgencies associated with the area and the element occurrence(s). They are based on leading principles of conservation biology and the latest scientific understanding of the elements' life-cycle requirements.

Conservation Sites are intended to provide planning units to protect and properly manage the suite of valuable natural elements that occur within the study area. **They are not legal designations of any sort but should be considered in future decision-making regarding land use and management.** The Conservation Sites are described in a standard site report. The sections of this report are outlined and explained below.

**SIZE:** The approximate acreage included within the conservation planning boundary for the Conservation Site.

**BIODIVERSITY RANK:** The overall significance of the Conservation Site in terms of rarity of the natural heritage resources and the quality and condition (health, abundance, etc.) of their occurrences. As discussed in Appendix A, these ranks range from B1 (Outstanding Significance) to B5 (General Biodiversity Significance).

**PROTECTION URGENCY RANK:** The time frame in which conservation protection must occur. In most cases, this rank refers to the need for a major change of protective status (e.g.

agency special area designations or ownership). The ranks range from P1 (immediate urgency; within a one-year time frame) to P5 (no known urgency).

**MANAGEMENT URGENCY RANK:** The time frame in which a change in management of the element or Conservation Site must occur. Using best scientific estimates, this rank refers to the need for management in contrast to protection (e.g. increased fire frequency, decreased herbivory, weed control, etc.). The ranks range from M1 (immediate urgency; within one year) to M5 (no known urgency).

**LOCATION:** The USGS 7.5' (1:24,000) topographic quadrangles that include the Conservation Site. The Natural Heritage Program code for the quadrangle is noted in parentheses.


**GENERAL DESCRIPTION:** A brief narrative picture of the topography, vegetation, and current use of the Conservation Site. Common names are used along with the scientific names.

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE:** A synopsis of the rare species and significant natural communities that occur on the Conservation Site.

**CURRENT STATUS:** A summary of the ownership, degree of protection currently afforded the Conservation Site, and threats to the site or natural heritage resources as determined to date.

**BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION:** The preliminary conservation planning boundary delineated in this report includes all known occurrences of natural heritage resources and the adjacent lands required for their protection.

**PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS:** A summary of the major issues and factors that are known or likely to affect the protection and management of the Conservation Site.



## **ROCKY FLATS CONSERVATION SITE**

**SIZE:** approx. 4000 acres

**BIODIVERSITY RANK:** B2

**PROTECTION URGENCY:** P1

**MANAGEMENT URGENCY:** M2

**LOCATION:** Louisville Quadrangle (3910582)  
 Eldorado Springs Quadrangle (3910583)  
 Golden Quadrangle (3910572)  
 Ralston Buttes Quadrangle (3910573)  
 T2S, R70W, Sections 2,3,4,9,10,14,15,16,17,20,21

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION:** The Rocky Flats Conservation Site occurs on the south and west portions of the Rocky Flats alluvial fan and, to some extent, down into the colluvial valleys that dissect it. Most of the Conservation Site is located on the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS), a former nuclear weapons manufacturing facility overseen by the U.S. Department of Energy. RFETS is listed on the National Priorities List under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). The flora is similar to other alluvial fans in the region, although many of these natural communities are increasingly threatened by urban development. The fauna of the Conservation Site has been more highly impacted by regional extirpations of some high trophic level mammals, but still retains many common animals and some rarer ones.

The Rocky Flats Conservation Site is bounded by Highway 128 on the north, Coal Creek to the west, and the RFETS boundary to the south. The eastern boundary follows a rough line that follows the eastern extent of the Rock Creek watershed, curves around to the west of the facility's industrial area, and runs southeast to include the wetland complexes of upper Woman Creek. Much of this Conservation Site includes a previous Site, "Rock Creek," identified in Phase I, but includes new element occurrences identified in Phase II, warranting a revision of the previous Site boundary.

Additionally, this Conservation Site is part of the larger Rocky Flats Macrosite, a landscape level boundary that includes a reach of Coal Creek below Coal Creek Canyon, the Quarter Circle area below Coal Creek Peak, the previous Rock Creek boundary, and the Walnut Creek site. This information is based on previous surveys conducted by CNHP (Pague et al. 1993).

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE:** This Conservation Site has retained much of its native character due to the general exclusion of the public that occurred during the Cold War. Although RFETS operations and activities have impacted some of the targeted natural elements, particularly on the facility's eastern half, much of the study area remains in relatively natural condition and only moderately fragmented. These areas are included in the Conservation Site.

As with the Rock Creek Conservation Site, the predominant element occurrences are xeric tallgrass prairie, Great Plains riparian community, the Preble's meadow jumping mouse, and

unusual shrubland communities. However, CNHP field work this year also discovered the occurrences of two rare and imperiled butterflies, Arogos skipper and hops blue.

The dominant upland vegetative type in this Conservation Site is xeric tallgrass prairie. It is likely this association is a Pleistocene relict community that was once connected to tallgrass prairie hundreds of miles to the east (Livingston 1952). Due to climate change, it was restricted to the length of the Colorado Piedmont when European settlement arrived.

Xeric tallgrass prairie is dominated by big bluestem and little bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii-Schizachyrium scoparium*). Additional species found in this occurrence were Canada bluegrass (*Poa compressa*), a low-aggression alien species, needle-and-thread grass (*Stipa comata*), and mountain muhly (*Muhlenbergia montanum*) a native species typical of montane environments, but found here at the lower part of its range (U.S. Department of Energy 1994b; U.S. Department of Energy 1995a). The soils are Flatirons very sandy cobbly loam, 0-3 percent slope (U.S. Department of Agriculture 1980).

In the last one hundred years, xeric tallgrass prairie has been highly impacted throughout its range by urban and rural development. Furthermore, aggressive alien species, such as cheat grass (*Bromus tectorum*), Japanese brome (*Bromus japonicus*), and diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*) have invaded and degraded the viability of many examples of this community throughout the west. CNHP believes it exists in less than 20 places globally, therefore it is imperiled globally and in the state and ranked G2/S2 (Bourgeron and Engelking 1994). (See Appendix I for detailed explanation of Natural Heritage ranks.)

The original occurrence boundary discussed in Phase I has been revised. Field surveys and monitoring data indicate that the xeric tallgrass prairie community exists on the mesa tops in the southwest corner (section 15) of the RFETS Buffer Zone and is included in the occurrence. The remainder of section 16 not previously included in the occurrence boundary is also now included. Similar grasslands appear to extend beyond the study area, west of Highway 93, indicating that this community occurrence is part of a larger, even more viable system (Western Aggregates 1994). Therefore, CNHP has included this extended occurrence in the Conservation Site. With the use of a Series 30 Lasico planimeter, CNHP has determined that, with these additions to the previous occurrence, the community is at least 2500 acres.

Discussions with other Natural Heritage Programs throughout the western states indicate that no similarly large occurrence of this community occurs outside Colorado (Cooper pers. comm. 1995). CNHP believes this is **the largest example of a xeric tallgrass prairie remaining in Colorado, and perhaps in North America**. Considering that most occurrences of this community range from 5-100 acres, adequate steps to protect and manage this community are critically important. Further study of this occurrence is warranted and should be afforded a very high priority.

It should be noted that detailed studies have indicated slight differences in species composition between sections 3 and 4, section 15, and section 16 (U.S. Department of Energy 1994a; U.S. Department of Energy 1995a; Western Aggregates Inc. 1994). In particular, big bluestem seems to be best represented on section 16, while sections 3 and 4 have retained a better distribution of

forbs, such as blazing star (*Liatris punctata*), Porter's aster (*Aster porteri*), and golden aster (*Chrysopsis villosa*). This difference is probably due to the grazing regimen on section 16 and the complete absence of grazing in sections 3 and 4. More interesting is the dominance of needle-and-thread grass in parts of section 15. CNHP feels that the species composition in section 15 still warrants its classification as xeric tallgrass prairie, but it may be going through successional changes due to historical impacts unknown to CNHP, or growing in different unknown environmental conditions.

The greatest current impact to this occurrence appears to be fragmentation by roads, utility lines, ditches, and gravel pits. It is unclear what impact this has had on genetic viability of the occurrence but models exist to examine the possible effects of community fragmentation and should be considered (Usher 1987). Also, the exotic species mentioned above have impacted the margins of the community, particularly in conjunction with fragmentation and disturbance sites.

The Great Plains riparian community occurs in the Conservation Site. It is characterized by a diverse mixture of plains cottonwood, peach-leaved willow, and coyote willow (*Populus deltoides/Salix amygdaloides-Salix exigua*) with an understory of various low shrubs such as leadplant (*Amorpha fruticosa*) and snowberry (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*). This community is rare and declining in its native conditions throughout the high plains of Colorado, Nebraska, and Kansas. Threats to this community type are primarily water development, use and management, but exotic species such as leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) and purple loosestrife (*Lythrum elata*) are also problems. Due to these threats it is ranked G2G3/S2S3, indicating that it exists in only 20-50 sites across its historically large range (Bourgeron and Engelking 1994). It is similarly very rare to rare in Colorado. Although some examples of this community are becoming more common along the South Platte river, this may be due to human induced water management and the elimination of the natural flood cycle, not natural processes (Knopf and Scott 1993).

The only significant occurrence of Great Plains riparian community in the Conservation Site is in the Rock Creek drainage. This is probably due to the relatively natural surface water flow regime in the creek (Knopf et al. 1988). This occurrence is considered poor, however, because of the high number of exotic species in the understory. Phase II surveys of Rock Creek riparian vegetation indicate that the intrusion of exotics witnessed during Phase I has not qualitatively declined. Primary invaders are Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), musk thistle (*Carduus nutans*), smooth brome (*Bromopsis inermis*), and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) (U.S. Department of Energy 1995).

In Walnut Creek, it is unclear how water management affects the plant communities found there, but the flood control systems in this drainage are major human modifications to the natural cycle. Below the Mower Ditch diversion in Woman Creek, for example, the occurrence is severely impacted. This community could be restored simply by returning natural flows to the lower portions of the creek. Most of the riparian community in Walnut Creek has been fragmented by roads and is dominated in the understory by exotic species.

Despite the generally xeric nature of the Conservation Site several wetlands occur, mostly in the upper Woman Creek drainage but also on north aspect slopes in Rock Creek. The most

prominent wetland plant communities present in these wetlands are narrow-leaved cattail (*Typha latifolia*) plant association, Baltic rush (*Juncus balticus*) plant association, and Nebraska sedge (*Carex nebrascensis*) plant association (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1995). These communities are all ranked G5/S4 by CNHP. A watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*) community, a small but highly productive association, grows at seep discharge sites with copious surface water flow. This association, however, is unranked by CNHP because watercress is considered an introduced species from Europe (Weber pers. comm. 1995).

The low rarity ranks of these plant associations (and the exotic nature of the *Nasturtium* association) indicate that they are demonstrably secure on a global scale and apparently secure in Colorado. These wetland occurrences are also not among the best examples of common associations in the state due to their relatively restricted size. They don't rank as high priorities for their Natural Heritage values with respect to plant associations. This view is bolstered by recognition that the seep sites in upper Woman Creek may be enhanced by anthropogenic water impoundments (e.g. Rocky Flats Lake) to the west (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1995).

The wetlands in the Conservation Site do, however, potentially serve other important functions and values, as do wetlands everywhere. Perhaps most importantly, we do not yet understand how wetland mosaics present in the Conservation Site support local populations of Preble's meadow jumping mouse. These wetlands may also retain nutrients, sediment, and metals in the water, provide food chain support both within the basin and downstream, and provide forage, cover, and nesting habitat for wildlife (Mitch and Gosselink 1994).

As discussed in Phase I, the hillside seeps in Rock Creek support a unique tall shrubland complex (Kettler et al. 1994). Dominated by hawthorn (*Crataegus erythropoda*), chokecherry (*Prunus virginiana*), and some western snowberry (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*), CNHP has tentatively classified it as hawthorn-chokecherry-snowberry plant association, ranked GU/SU to indicate its poorly known status. The association is known in the vicinity of the study area, primarily where the Laramie/Fox Hills formation outcrops from the Rocky Flats Alluvium as a hogback, but these occurrences are limited in size and number. Historical records have identified the community type along the mountain front in Boulder County, but fire suppression and succession may have led to its decline (McHenry 1929; Roach 1948). Although a similar community exists in Montana, its dominant species is succulent hawthorn (*Crataegus succulenta*) likely making it a different community type (Hansen et al. 1991). Further study of this community is warranted.

An additional unusual shrub community occurs within Rock Creek, and to some extent in Woman Creek. It is dominated by leadplant (*Amorpha fruticosa*) and is also classified as GU/SU. It occurs in floodplains of the stream channels, adjacent to the Great Plains riparian community. Like the Great Plains riparian community, it is believed that this shrubland has been highly impacted by water management and exotic species intrusion, but historical records and trends are lacking, leading to the "unknown" ranking by CNHP.

An historic record exists from 1973 of the rare sedge, *Carex oreocharis*, near the mesic community study site (TR02) in the northwest corner of the Conservation Site (U.S. Department of Energy 1995a; Nelson pers. comm. 1995). Regionally, this plant species is found in

undisturbed xeric outwashed mesas, from the montane to subalpine biomes (Weber 1990). It is a globally uncommon plant, and its status in Colorado is extremely rare but uncertain, giving it a G3/S1? rank by CNHP. It has been recorded in only three other locations in the state: in Teller, Gilpin, and Conejos counties. CNHP believes that its occurrence in the Conservation Site is further indication of the rare and sensitive nature of undisturbed areas. Also, most occurrences of this species have been on granitic soil, so it is of additional interest that this occurrence is found on Cretaceous derived material. Further study of this species' distribution and ecology in the Conservation Site is critical to a better understanding of the its status in Colorado.

The Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*) is well documented in the Conservation Site (EG&G Rocky Flats 1992; Compton and Hugie 1993; U.S. Department of Energy 1993; U.S. Department of Energy 1994a; U.S. Department of Interior 1994; U.S. Department of Energy 1995a). It was previously ranked extremely rare by CNHP, or G5T1?/S1?, because of its relatively unstudied nature and the perception that it occurred in less than 5 populations, globally. However CNHP has re-ranked the sub-species as G5T2/S2 based on field surveys conducted range-wide during 1995 that indicate that it is now found in over 5 populations along the Colorado Piedmont.

The Rock Creek population was previously thought to be the last within the subspecies' range containing sufficient numbers and in adequate habitat to be considered a viable population (Kettler et al. 1994). But additional surveys, particularly in City of Boulder of Open Space and at the U.S. Air Force Academy, have identified other viable populations (Miller pers. comm. 1995; Corn et al. 1995). Because of the natural flow regime and relatively unfragmented habitat, the Preble's meadow jumping mouse occurrence in Rock Creek is still considered very good by CNHP. It is noteworthy that the Rock Creek population may represent an extreme habitat in the range of variability exhibited by the subspecies. The Woman Creek occurrence is considered average to poor due to its smaller population.

Although CNHP did not conduct live trapping for small mammals, Merriam's shrew (*Sorex merriami*) is recorded from previous RFETS studies (U.S. Department of Energy 1992). This insectivore prefers sandy, shaly, broken cover particularly in uplands. Its habitat is often typified by rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus nauseosus*) and sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) (Fitzgerald et al. 1995). It is considered rare in Colorado, justifying a G5/S3 rank by CNHP. Information provided to CNHP claims that the capture of Merriam's shrew occurred within the Rocky Flats Conservation Site (Harrington pers. comm. 1995).

Avian species within the Rocky Flats Conservation Site are mainly typical of the high plains biome with a large number of migratory occurrences. Most breeding birds within the Conservation Site, such as song sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*) and red winged blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) are generally common and not tracked by the CNHP ranking system. Exceptions to this are loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) and grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*).

The loggerhead shrike is ranked G4/S3B by CNHP. It is widespread globally, but rarely breeds in Colorado. Breeding status in the Conservation Site for loggerhead shrike is considered probable, as four to six individuals have been observed in the area throughout the year (Murdock

pers. comm. 1994). They have been recorded in riparian, shrubland, and grassland habitats (U.S. Department of Energy 1994a). This population is suspected to breed in the tall shrubland complex of Rock Creek (Murdock pers. comm. 1995).

Because CNHP believes breeding grasshopper sparrows occur in just over 100 locations statewide, the species is ranked G5/S3BS4B. It is known to breed in the xeric tallgrass prairie occurrence in the Conservation Site. EG&G estimated breeding population density for grasshopper sparrows in the prairie community (as it occurs on RFETS) to be 0.65 birds/hectare, or roughly 120 birds (U.S. Department of Energy 1995c). This species' occurrence is a further indicator of the special nature not only of the Conservation Site in general, but the xeric tallgrass prairie in particular.

CNHP documented the presence of two rare or imperiled butterflies during field surveys. These are the Arogos skipper (*Atrytone arogos*) and hops blue (*Celestrina sp.*). Both are considered rare in the state. Also, the Ottoo skipper (*Hesperia ottoe*) has been documented just south of RFETS in historic records. This species may exist in this area but was not documented through this survey.

The Arogos skipper is ranked G3G4/S2 because it is relatively common globally but found in less than twenty places in Colorado and is associated with xeric tallgrass habitats which are themselves threatened. This rarity is probably due to its reliance on certain grassland plant species as hosts, most of which have been impacted throughout their range. It was found in the xeric tallgrass prairie in section 15, in the upper reaches of the Smart Ditch drainage, south of Woman Creek. The specimen was observed resting on a milkweed plant (*Asclepias speciosa*), although many ruderals, such as Canada thistle and Dalmatian toadflax (*Linaria dalmatica*), were present. This observance helps confirm the overall quality of the xeric tallgrass prairie occurrence and indicates that significant components of this community exist.

Hops blue is currently G2Q/S2 because while it is believed to be very rare globally, taxonomic questions exist regarding the species. CNHP field staff captured 2 individuals and observed several others in the upper Rock Creek drainage. The species' host plant, common hops (*Humulus lupulus*), grows in the understory of the seep shrubland community. Although common hops is abundant in upper Rock Creek, it is apparently not widespread in the Conservation Site and may be restricted to this community association. Like the Arogos skipper, CNHP believes the hops blue occurrence provides further evidence of the biodiversity qualities of the Conservation Site in general, but also of Rock Creek in particular.

**Table 2: Known Natural Elements in the Rocky Flats Conservation Site**

ELEMENT	COMMON NAME	OCCUR RANK	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS
ZAPUS HUDSONIUS PREBLEI	PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE	B	G5T2	S2	C2	

SOREX MERRIAMII	MERRIAM'S SHREW	?	G5	S3	
LANIUS LUDOVICIANUS	LOGGERHEAD SHRIKE	?	G4	S3B	C2
AMMODRAMMUS SAVANNARUM	GRASSHOPPER SPARROW	?	G5	S3B/S4B	
CELESTRINA SP.1	HOPS BLUE	C	G2Q	S2	
ATRYTONE AROGOS	AROGOS SKIPPER	C	G4	S2	
CAREX OREOCHARIS	MONTANE SEDGE	?	G3	S1	
ANDROPOGON GERARDII- SCHIZACHYRIUM SCOPARIUM	XERIC TALLGRASS PRAIRIE	B/C	G2	S2	
POPULUS DELTOIDES-SALIX AMYGDELOIDES/ SALIX EXIGUA	PLAINS COTTONWOOD RIPARIAN WOODLAND	D	G2G3	S2S3	
AMORPHA FRUTICOSA	RIPARIAN SHRUBLAND	?	GU	SU	
CRATAEGUS ERYTHROPODA - PRUNUS VIRGINIANA- SYMPHORICARPOS OCCIDENTALIS	SEEP SHRUBLAND	?	GU	SU	

**OTHER BIODIVERSITY VALUES:** The Colorado Bird Observatory (CBO), in conjunction with Partners in Flight, has developed a bird prioritization system that considers species status on wintering grounds as well as breeding grounds. Emphasis is also placed on trend data (Colorado Bird Observatory 1995). The CBO ranking scheme recognizes several high priority species that use the Conservation Site. These species include lark bunting (*Calamospiza melanocorys*), ferruginous hawk (*Buteo regalis*), MacGillivray's warbler (*Opornis tolmiei*), Brewer's sparrow (*Spizella brewerii*), and several others (U.S. Department of Energy 1995a). Although many observations of these species at the Conservation Site appear to be casual, it should not be overlooked that the area could provide essential migratory stopover habitat for these and more common species.

Furthermore, as part of a larger, landscape-level, open space contingent, it is likely that the Conservation Site is an important contributor to healthy predator-prey relationships. The size and relatively high quality of the area supports potentially viable populations of numerous species that are typical of the natural communities at RFETS. This supports biodiversity at the landscape level by preventing biogeographic (or island) effects prevalent in many natural areas

(Macarthur and Wilson 1967). This is likely to be important to some common species, but particularly so for more motile and rare species.

**CURRENT STATUS:** Approximately 1/2 of the Rocky Flats Conservation Site occurs on the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. The western 1/2 lies on private property and State Land Board property. As stated in the Phase I report, **no protection exists for this Conservation Site** (Kettler et al. 1994). Although CNHP recommended immediate (within one year) actions to ensure the area's preservation due to its natural heritage, neither DOE nor any other agency has stepped forward to do so (although DOE's newly established policies to protect the Preble's meadow jumping mouse and to minimize personnel in Rock Creek have demonstrated initiative). CNHP understands that a proposal to formally designate RFETS as a National Environmental Research Park (NERP) was developed, but apparently no further action has taken place (Johnston pers. comm. 1995).

The remainder of the Conservation Site on DOE property has an unsure future. The Future Site Use Working Group (FSUWG) is a stakeholder involvement group convened by DOE to provide input on future use options. The FSUWG has submitted a Site-Wide recommendation to DOE that includes the Conservation Site (Future Site Use Working Group 1995). Most of the area was identified as Open Space and Environmental Research, but remediation activities in upper Woman Creek are possible, and private gravel mining is recommended. Also, the FSUWG was split on the concept of a regional transportation corridor through the Rock Creek area. Overall, the recommendation is not binding and it is not clear to what extent, if any, DOE will implement the recommendation.

The Jefferson County Planning Commission has conditionally permitted the expansion of a sand and gravel mining operation into the northwest portion of the Conservation Site, in sections 3 and 4 (Jefferson County Planning Commission 1995a). Although the conditional permit requires intensive monitoring of impacts to groundwater, and its contribution to the unique shrublands and riparian area in Rock Creek, there is no discussion of the protection or rehabilitation of the xeric tallgrass prairie found within the permit area.

In section 16, in the south central portion of the Conservation Site, the Jefferson County Planning Commission has conditionally permitted sand and gravel mining (Jefferson County Planning Commission 1995b). Unlike the section 3 and 4 permit, however, the county has indicated that the xeric tallgrass found there is worthy of protection and has limited the spatial scope of the requested operating area. The area is also grazed by livestock.

Private land on the western portion of the Conservation Site continues as rangeland for cattle. The county is considering the area as a possible open space parcel but proposals also exist to annex and develop the west side of Highway 93. Some of the land between RFETS and the highway is zoned and for sale for industrial use (Hellner pers. comm. 1995).

Threats from invasion of non-native plant species was mentioned earlier in the report. CNHP considers this continuing pressure on the xeric and riparian vegetation communities to be quite serious, especially considering their rarity. Also, fragmentation of habitats by access and fire break roads, utility poles, ditches, and general Site management activities is generating

additional threats to the viability of native plant communities. Due to these increasing or impending threats, CNHP believes the Rocky Flats Conservation Site to be seriously imperiled.

**SITE BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION:** The Conservation Site boundaries for the Rocky Flats Conservation Site include the documented boundaries of xeric tallgrass prairie, the Great Plains riparian community in Rock Creek, the Preble's meadow jumping mouse occurrences in Rock Creek and upper Woman Creek, and the invertebrate occurrences.

The potential extent of xeric tallgrass prairie is documented by Western Aggregates, Inc. (1995) and, while fragmented by roads and gravel pits, is considered one occurrence by CNHP. It stretches from the northwest corner of the Conservation Site south through section 16 and west for an uncertain distance across Highway 93. Although it is unclear what size of prairie constitutes a viable community, CNHP feels that the boundary, particularly to the west, accurately captures the known area of the occurrence.

The boundary is also considered a "buffer area" for the rare invertebrates recorded in the study area. It is difficult to monitor the range of these animals but this "buffer area" should sufficiently protect their perceived needs by including adequate habitat size.

It should be noted that the Rock Creek and Woman Creek watersheds are joined into one Conservation Site. This is an atypical boundary determination by CNHP and is due to two factors. First, the xeric tallgrass prairie occurrence equally covers both watersheds. Second, hydrologic inputs to Woman Creek are probably from shallow groundwater recharge in the pediments of sections 16 and 15, east of the sandstone hogback that runs north-south through the area (U.S. Department of Energy 1992; U.S. Department of Energy 1994d). Although the Woman Creek channel has been historically used for water conveyance to downstream users, and thereby contributing to flow patterns and possibly augmenting Preble's meadow jumping mouse habitat, this practice will not continue due to construction of the Kinnear Pipeline (Hill pers. comm. 1995). It is critical that, in order to ensure natural surface water flow and continued viability of the Preble's meadow jumping mouse occurrence in Woman Creek, the groundwater recharge area be included and recognized within the Conservation Site.

**PROTECTION RECOMMENDATIONS:** The Conservation Site, as noted earlier, is afforded no level of protection, aside from exclusion of the general public. Due to the Conservation Site's ecological significance, and the numerous threats to its viability, CNHP has reissued its Rock Creek Protection Urgency Rank of P1 to this larger, Rocky Flats Conservation Site (Kettler et al. 1994). This indicates that management agency(ies) involved should take steps to ensure its protection within one year or risk losing this valuable natural heritage. This will involve coordination between the U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Colorado Department of Health and the Environment, Jefferson County, and private landowners, including surface rights owners, mineral rights owners, and water rights holders. Private land trust organizations might be helpful in securing the protection of some or all of this Conservation Site.

Designating RFETS (and subsequently the Conservation Site) as a NERP should be a primary protection objective for the Department of Energy. When compared to other NERPs around the

country, such as those at the Savannah River Site and the Hanford Site, the Rocky Flats Conservation Site surely ranks as an area of equal ecological interest, especially considering its unique physiogeographic attributes. This site would provide many beneficial opportunities for research and education, as required by NERP guidelines (U.S. Department of Energy 1994e).

Furthermore, it is unclear what the final actions under CERCLA (or Superfund) might be. Operable Unit 11 (the West Spray Field) lies in the central part of the Conservation Site but there will be no further action in that area. However, there are some Individual Hazardous Substance Sites within Operable Unit 5 (Woman Creek), in the eastern portion of the Conservation Site, that are still under study. CNHP believes that remediation actions will be done with care, but because they are unresolved at this point they are of some concern.

**MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:** The Rocky Flats Conservation Site needs an improved management regime. CNHP feels that this should occur within five years or risk serious degradation of biodiversity attributes. Therefore, the Conservation Site is ranked M2. The reasons for this rank include weed infestation, fragmentation by roads, and unnatural fire and water management. Specific recommendations are outlined below.

**Xeric tallgrass prairie management recommendations:** This occurrence has utmost management import. The fragmentation of the xeric tallgrass prairie must be addressed soon by the appropriate management agencies. Further stresses of this nature may be irreparable (Kindscher 1995). Most of the fragmentation has come from roads and sand, gravel, and clay mining.

The *Watershed Management Plan* outlines a plan for road closures that CNHP endorses (U.S. Department of Energy 1993). By closing and restoring 0.5-1.0 miles of Buffer Zone roads annually, much of the current fragmentation trend could be reversed. The remaining fragmentation, however, is more difficult to remedy. Previous and current sand, clay, and gravel operations are often deleterious impacts upon the natural community due to their size and propensity for generating exotic vegetation (Kettler personal observation). They will continue to operate and grow for some time, making management difficult.

As discussed earlier, specific areas of the Buffer Zone have been severely impacted by aggressive, alien vegetation. CNHP feels that these species present a very grave threat to the viability of the Site's native plant communities, particularly the xeric tallgrass prairie. The exotic species threatening the occurrence include diffuse knapweed, cheatgrass, Japanese brome, musk thistle, Dalmatian toadflax, and alyssum (*Alyssum minus*). Of these species, musk thistle, toadflax, alyssum, and knapweed present the greatest threat of increasing invasion of the grassland. Cheatgrass and Japanese brome have formed dense mats in disturbed areas but do not seem to spread aggressively beyond that.

The Site *Watershed Management Plan* (U.S. Department of Energy 1993) outlines a specific program intended to develop effective weed management protocols for the Buffer Zone. After two field seasons of surveys, however, CNHP believes adhering to this Plan may no longer be enough. With each passing year the ability to effectively manage invasive weeds may be compromised. Due to the rapid increase of non-natives since the inception of the *Plan*, it is

timely to reconsider new, more accelerated approaches to containing the spread of these serious threats. These tools include not only traditional methods, such as herbicide application and mowing, but also the use of fire.

Fires are an integral part of grassland community evolution and it is believed that, under natural conditions, wild grassland fires occurred every 10-15 years (Brewer 1992). Fire has been well documented to not only to help control the spread of exotic species, but also increase overall species diversity (both floral and faunal) within the burned area, especially if conducted in conjunction with a managed grazing regime (Anderson 1982; Collins 1985; Hatch 1990; Hosten 1992). CNHP urges the Department of Energy to conduct a controlled fire feasibility study immediately and to research the effects of fire on exotic vegetation.

**Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse Recommendations:** Because this Conservation Site contains one of the best known occurrences of the Preble's meadow jumping mouse, management for its continued population health is highly critical for the subspecies' range-wide success. Should further study indicate the Preble's meadow jumping mouse is averse to exotic vegetation, weed control should be accelerated in the riparian zone. This will require labor intensive efforts (such as hand pulling and cutting) because herbicide application is not recommended for riparian areas.

**Great Plains Riparian Community Recommendations:** In places, exotic species heavily dominate the understory in the mosaic of plant associations that make up this community and have degraded the occurrence in Rock Creek. It is suspected that heavy dominance of exotic species in the understory can result in drastic reduction in diversity of some animal groups (Bock and Bock 1988). As mentioned earlier, the most common and problematic species include Canada thistle, Kentucky bluegrass, and smooth brome. Early season grazing, burning or mowing may be effective management tools to control these exotic plants. Biological control already implemented in the Buffer Zone appears to be only somewhat effective in controlling Canada thistle but quantitative data is lacking. Total elimination of exotic species is impossible but reducing the vigor and dominance of these species may allow native species to increase.

CNHP encourages RFETS to simulate a more natural surface water flow regime in lower Woman Creek. This may help restore the vegetation in the area to more closely resemble a native community. Although CNHP understands that there are several factors determining water management in the watershed, including downstream demands and CERCLA related activities, RFETS should consult with those dictating water use and educate them on the need for natural, cyclic events.

**Seep Community Management Recommendations:** These communities include both the shrubland and wet meadows complexes. The tall shrubland community has been invaded by non-native vegetation in the understory. Smooth brome and Kentucky bluegrass are the most apparent. As with the riparian areas, an early season grazing regime should be considered to control these aggressive propagators from expanding further. An experimental burning program might also be of merit.

***Carex Oreocharis* Management Recommendations:** The undocumented nature of this species in Colorado suggests to CNHP that its occurrence in the Conservation Site should be protected and studied further. A wider search designed to confirm or deny other occurrences throughout its range may be in order. It may be desirable to enlist the aid of a masters or doctorate student at one of the local universities to assist in this endeavor.

**Invertebrate Management Recommendations:** Studies have shown that the Colorado Piedmont is one of the country's four most important ecoregions for the conservation of the diversity of butterflies (Opler 1994). Butterflies can be easily monitored and may be good indicators of environmental changes. This is especially true for imperiled species or those associated with rare habitats. To this end, CNHP encourages the Department of Energy to conduct additional studies of the species identified in this report and for other rare species known from the general area that were not confirmed in the Conservation Site. These unconfirmed elements include the rare Ottoe skipper, a G3/S2 species recorded in xeric tallgrass prairie 3 miles southwest of the study area. CNHP also suggests restricting any broad-leaf herbicide application in the vicinity of the known occurrences in order to ensure the protection of the host plants these species require for their survival. We cannot overemphasize that the continued presence of these species is intimately related to the presence and condition of the natural communities identified in this report.

## WALNUT CREEK CONSERVATION SITE

**SIZE:** approx. 500 acres

**BIODIVERSITY RANK: B4**

**PROTECTION URGENCY: P4**

**MANAGEMENT URGENCY: M3**

**LOCATION:** Louisville Quadrangle (3910582)  
T2S, R70W, Sects: 1,2,11,12

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION:** The Walnut Creek Conservation Site lies on the eastern side of the U.S. Department of Energy's Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS). The topography slopes from west to east, with moderately steep drainages cut into Quaternary formed alluvial mesas. The Conservation Site ranges in elevation from 5300' to 5900'. The upland flora has been degraded by impacts from routine RFETS operations. Some native riparian vegetation remains. Most of the native fauna have been extirpated from the area except for small mammals, generalist avian species, and some native ungulates.

The Walnut Creek Site is bounded by the RFETS Industrial Area to the west, the mesa tops to the north and south, and Indiana Street to the east. Numerous roads, surface water management ponds, ditches, utility poles, fences, and borrow pits are in or adjacent to the Conservation Site. Much of the Conservation Site is regulated by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA).

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE:** The Conservation Site contains a sizable population of Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*) (U.S. Department of Energy 1994d; Harrington pers. comm. 1995). A Pleistocene relict animal, its historical range extended along the Colorado piedmont from roughly El Paso county into central Wyoming (Whittaker 1972). The genus generally prefers mesic to hydric environments typical of riparian systems (Quimby 1951; Krutzsch 1954). Although the subspecies has probably never been common, it has been severely restricted throughout its historical range due to water development, livestock grazing, and urban development (Compton and Hugie 1993). Because there are less than 20 populations of this small mammal and its habitat is highly threatened, CNHP ranks it G5T2/S2.

In the Conservation Site, the subspecies has been captured throughout the length of the stream reach. It has been trapped in and around the surface water management ponds, specifically by Ponds A-1, A-2, and B-3. It has been found below the terminal pond, A-4, in the stream channel. Coyote willow (*Salix exigua*), plains cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), and snowberry (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*) make up most of the vegetative cover in the capture areas, although it has also been found in the grassy margins of these areas. Exotic vegetation is prevalent in much of the capture areas. These are mostly Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), smooth brome (*Bromopsis inermis*), and Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*). It is unclear if these exotics impact the subspecies' population.

Because it is downgradient of the RFETS Industrial Area, surface water flow in the capture areas is anthropogenically managed and highly complex. Although the quality of the water is probably adequate to support the population, it is unclear what impacts water quantity in the system is having on the population (Advanced Sciences Inc. 1990; U.S. Department of Energy 1994d). Total output under current conditions is over 100 million gallons per year. Roughly 50% of this flow (57 million gallons) is wastewater treatment plant effluent, while 25% is stormwater runoff from the Industrial Area. Only ten percent is considered natural, or baseflow (U.S. Department of Energy 1994d). The timing of these flows is highly unnatural, consisting of monthly releases due to RFETS' batch discharge regime, and floods are strictly controlled due to health and safety reasons.

**Table 3. Known Natural Elements in the Walnut Creek Conservation Site.**

ELEMENT	COMMON NAME	OCCUR RANK	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS
ZAPUS HUDSONIUS PREBLEI	PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE	C	G5T2	S2	C2	

**OTHER BIODIVERSITY VALUES:** This Conservation Site helps support the RFETS population of mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionoides*) and coyotes (*Canis latrans*).

**CURRENT STATUS:** The Walnut Creek Conservation Site is part of Operable Unit 6, under CERCLA (U.S. Department of Energy 1994b). As such, there are several Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSS) in the Conservation Site that may require remediation activity. Many of these IHSS' are pond sediments but some (including IHSS 142.3 and 142.8) include stream channels that appear to contain some Preble's meadow jumping mouse habitat.

**BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION:** The Walnut Creek Conservation Site includes the known captures of the Preble's meadow jumping mouse and a "buffer area" of 1/4 mile on each side of the stream channel. CNHP believes this area captures the known habitat requirements of the population.

**PROTECTION RECOMMENDATIONS:** CNHP believes that there is no known threat to the element occurrence in the Conservation Site. Because the area is regulated by CERCLA, the Department of Energy will retain ownership responsibilities for many years. While remediation activities may take place in the Conservation Site, and CNHP has some concern over their unresolved nature, CNHP trusts that they will be taken with care not to impact the Preble's meadow jumping mouse occurrence. Also, the Future Site Use Working Group's recommendation for the area is to preserve it as open space. Therefore, CNHP ranks the Conservation Site as P4, indicating no threat for the foreseeable future.

**MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:** The intrusion of non-native plant species and the unnatural hydrologic regime in the Conservation Site are of some concern to CNHP. Although CNHP does not believe that the existence of the occurrence is threatened, the quality of the

occurrence may be at risk. Because of the rarity of the Preble's meadow jumping mouse, CNHP believes that implementation of alternative natural resource management strategies within 5 years may be necessary to protect the quality of the occurrence. CNHP ranks the management urgency of this Conservation Site as M3.

Should further studies indicate that Preble's meadow jumping mice have an aversion to exotic vegetation, an accelerated weed control program in the riparian area should be considered. Use of herbicides is discouraged because of the hydric nature of the application zone. An aggressive mechanical campaign, emphasizing manual labor, and a controlled burn program are approaches worthy of consideration.

Returning a natural flow regime to the Conservation Site should be the Department of Energy's first objective in reconsidering water management in the drainage. Management requirements imposed by regulatory agencies dictate how and when water is released from the terminal ponds. Cooperation from all parties will be critical to moving away from the current "batch discharge" system towards a "flow through" system, as recommended in the *Pond Water Management Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Decision Document* (U.S. Department of Energy 1994d). This may support a more natural belt of acceptable habitat for the Preble's meadow jumping mouse in the riparian zone.

Further studies on the Preble's meadow jumping mouse, as currently under way in the watershed and throughout RFETS, are critical to understanding the needs of the subspecies and how best to manage for it. CNHP considers these efforts the most critical step in retaining the quality of the occurrence in the Conservation Site.

## ROCKY FLATS MACROSITE

**SIZE:** approx. 10,000 acres

**BIODIVERSITY RANK: B3**  
**PROTECTION URGENCY: P2**  
**MANAGEMENT URGENCY: M3**

**LOCATION:** Louisville Quadrangle (3910582)  
 Eldorado Springs Quadrangle (3910583)  
 Golden Quadrangle (3910572)  
 Ralston Buttes Quadrangle (3910573)  
 T2S, R70W, Sects: 1-20

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION:** The Rocky Flats Macrosite encompasses most of the Rocky Flats alluvial area, adjacent to the foothills of the Rocky Mountains. It contains four identified Conservation Sites: Quarter Circle, Coal Creek, Rocky Flats, and Walnut Creek. It ranges from 7000' in the west to 5700' at the eastern boundary. Most of it lies upon fluvial outwash (Rocky Flats Alluvium) but it also includes quaternary stream channels that have incised deeply into the highlands. The Macrosite typifies ecotonal areas along the mountain front, with flora and fauna ordinarily found only in the mountains or the plains existing sympatrically. The Macrosite is dissected by a busy two lane highway (Colorado Highway 93), dirt roads, ditches, gravel pits, some structures, utility lines, and a pipeline.

Property ownership in the Macrosite is diverse. Much of it is owned by the U.S. Department of Energy, managed both by the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS) and the National Renewable Energy Lab's Wind Test Site. The State of Colorado owns section 16 as School Land Board property. The Coal Creek Conservation Site is owned by Boulder County Open Space. The western half of the Macrosite is privately owned.

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE:** The Rocky Flats Macrosite contains several high priority heritage resources. The xeric tallgrass prairie occurrence is believed to be one of less than 20 globally and is the largest and best quality occurrence known. It has been qualitatively documented both on the west side of RFETS and section 16 (Western Aggregates 1994; U.S. Department of Energy 1994c; U.S. Department of Energy 1995a). Quantitative information indicates that this occurrence may extend beyond the current boundary into private land west of Highway 93 (Pague et al. 1993; Western Aggregates 1994). This element is ranked G2/S2 by CNHP.

The mixed prairie community (*Schizocyrium scoparium-Sporobolus heterolepsis*) was recorded by CNHP at the Quarter Circle Conservation Site (Pague et al. 1993). This is an excellent example of a possibly rare community, which is ranked GU/SU due to its uncertain status.

The rare Preble's meadow jumping mouse is known to exist in all four creek drainages in the Macrosite: Coal Creek, Rock Creek, Walnut Creek, and Woman Creek (EG&G Rocky Flats 1992; U.S. Department of Energy 1994c; U.S. Department of Energy 1995a; Miller pers. comm. 1995; Harrington pers. comm. 1995). This subspecies has been extensively impacted across its

historical range by urban development, water diversion, and impacts from livestock (Compton and Hugie 1993) and is ranked G5T2/S2 by CNHP. The Rock Creek drainage (in the Rocky Flats Conservation Site), with its natural surface water regime and relatively unfragmented habitat, is considered the best occurrence in the Macrosite, and one of the best throughout the subspecies' range.

Rock Creek also contains an occurrence of Great Plains riparian community (*Populus angustifolia*- *Salix amygdaloides*/*Salix exigua*), a declining element throughout its historical range. This community is found in less than twenty places globally and is ranked G2G3/S2S3 by CNHP.

The rare sedge, *Carex oreocharis*, is documented from the Rocky Flats Conservation Site (U.S. Department of Energy 1995a). This species is more typical of montane environments but is found on outwashed areas, perhaps explaining its occurrence on the colluvial material below the Rocky Flats Alluvium. Because it has been documented in only three other locations in Colorado, it is ranked G3/S1 by CNHP.

In addition to Preble's meadow jumping mouse, faunal occurrences in the Macrosite include rare invertebrates such as Arogos skipper (*Atrytone arogos*) and hops blue (*Celestrina sp.*), found in the xeric tallgrass prairie community and seep shrubland community, respectively. The Arogos skipper is somewhat common globally but rare in Colorado, and hops blue is believed to be very rare globally but taxonomic questions remain about the species. They are ranked G3G4/S2 and G2Q/S2, respectively.

Loggerhead shrike is ranked G4/S3B and is probably breeding in tall shrublands in the Rocky Flats Conservation Site (U.S. Department of Energy 1995a). Merriam's shrew (*Sorex merriami*), known from both the Rocky Flats Conservation Site and the Walnut Creek Conservation Site, is ranked G4/S3 (U.S. Department of Energy 1992).

**OTHER BIODIVERSITY VALUES:** The area supports a wide array of avian species, particularly during their migration and wintering periods (U.S. Department of Energy 1994a).

**CURRENT STATUS:** There is no protection afforded any parcel of this Macrosite, save for the Coal Creek Conservation Site which is owned and managed by Boulder County Open Space. Grazing is moderate to heavy throughout the western half of the Macrosite. Special use designations are pending for sand and gravel mining in sections 3, 4, and 16 (Jefferson County 1995a; Jefferson County 1995b). Some of the Macrosite, as it occurs on RFETS property, is currently regulated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.

**BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION:** The Macrosite boundary was developed to capture the significant natural elements found within the associated Conservation Sites and aggregate them on a landscape level. Buffer zones and migration corridors have been integrated. This Macrosite effectively captures the area's abiotic parameters as well.

**PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:** Because the Macrosite has not been afforded any formal protection designation, and because CNHP believes it may be threatened by anthropogenic impacts within five years, CNHP ranks this Macrosite P2. The threats may come in the form of urban development or aggregate mining.

CNHP feels that, within 5 years, new management actions may be necessary to maintain the current quality of listed element occurrences. Therefore, the management rank for the Macrosite is M3. Weed control, reclamation, water management, and fire control should be reevaluated in order to ensure the continuing biodiversity significance of the Macrosite.

## **REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS:**

### **1. Establish a Rocky Flats Natural Resource Management Roundtable.**

Because of the demands on the future use of the Rocky Flats area and the documented natural heritage values associated with it, CNHP suggests that the U.S. Department of Energy initiate a roundtable forum to discuss natural resource scientific and management issues. Of paramount import is an understanding of the rarity and restoration potential of the xeric tallgrass prairie. This forum should include noted experts in the field of grassland ecology, weed management, and mine reclamation. Managers affiliated with all pertinent landowners should also be in attendance. CNHP offers its services to convene and chair this forum. Other future issues could include water management or protection strategies.

### **2. Cooperate with Local Landowners Regarding Routine Management Activities.**

Per the Secretary of Energy's Ecosystem Management Initiative, CNHP recommends that RFETS work more closely with local landowners in managing its natural resources (U.S. Department of Energy 1995b). Information indicates that a cooperative weed control effort was organized for the 1994 and 1995 field seasons. CNHP lauds this approach. Several other landowners in the area, together with RFETS, comprise a larger, landscape-level, system that should be managed in concert. Because RFETS is the largest, most central part of this landscape, it behooves the Department of Energy to coordinate activities such as controlled fire and weed management in order to ensure it achieves its natural resource management objectives.

### **3. Develop an Integrated Natural Resource Management Strategy.**

It is critical that U.S. Department of Energy document its goals and objectives regarding its natural resource management responsibilities. CNHP feels that natural resources are being managed at RFETS without a common objective. This strategy should integrate the information included in this report, as well as data generated by Kaiser-Hill and its subcontractors. Cooperative agreements with other natural resource management agencies and university faculty would help produce a more widely reviewed and effective document.

### **4. Continue to Monitor Ecological Processes and Elements at RFETS.**

It is of utmost import that RFETS continue to monitor Site ecology. CNHP is very concerned about the prospect that the Ecological Monitoring Program and the Natural Resources Protection and Compliance Program might be eliminated in the coming Fiscal Year. These programs are vital to understanding the nature of biological processes along mountain front environments. No other federal facility is as well placed to continue the excellent work started by EG&G Rocky Flats and continued by Kaiser-Hill's ecology staff. CNHP would like to offer its services in any way needed to study ways to retain an effective monitoring program.

## **5. Designate the Site as a National Environmental Research Park.**

The National Environmental Research Park (NERP) program is designed to protect natural areas in order to study them and the effects man's activities have on them. CNHP feels that RFETS is an excellent candidate for NERP designation due to the rare natural elements found there and the level of stresses placed upon them by man. This would not only highlight the significance of RFETS natural areas but demonstrate the U.S. Department of Energy's commitment to proper stewardship of its natural resources. It would also afford local students an opportunity to study their natural heritage in a controlled environment. CNHP encourages the U.S. Department of Energy to vigorously pursue NERP designation for RFETS.

**Figure 2: Xeric Tallgrass Prairie (*Andropogon gerardii*-*Schizachyrium scoparium*), G2/S2**  
Photo by R. Rondeau



**Figure 3: Ottoe Skipper (*Hesperia ottoe*), G3/S2**  
Photo by Dr. P. Opler

see  
<http://www.butterfliesandmoths.org/>  
for image

**Figure 4: Hops blue (*Celestrina sp.1*), G2Q/S2**  
Photo by Dr. P. Opler

see  
<http://www.butterfliesandmoths.org/>  
for image

**Figure 5: Arogos skipper (*Atrytone arogos*), G4/S2**  
Photo by Dr. P. Opler

see  
<http://www.butterfliesandmoths.org/>  
for image

## REFERENCES

- Advanced Sciences, Inc. 1990. Water Yield and Water Quality Study of Walnut Creek and Woman Creek Watersheds, Rocky Flats Plant Site. Lakewood, Colorado.
- Anderson, R.C. 1982. An evolutionary model summarizing theories of fire, climate, and grazing animals in the origins and maintenance of grasslands: an end paper. In: J.R. Estes, R.J. Tylr and J.N.Brunken (eds.). Grasses and Grasslands: Systematics and Ecology. Norman, Oklahoma. 312pp.
- Armstrong, D.A. 1972. Distribution of mammals in Colorado. Monograph of the Mus. of Natural Hist., No. 3. University of Kansas. Lawrence, Kansas. 415 pp.
- Bock, C.E. and J.H. Bock. 1988. Grassland birds in southeastern Arizona: impacts of fire, grazing, and alien vegetation. pp 43-58. In: Ecology and Conservation of Grassland Birds. P.D. Goriup, ed. International Council for Bird Preservation Technical Publication No. 7.
- Bourgeron, P.S. and L.D. Engelking, eds. 1994. A preliminary vegetation classification of the Western United States. Unpublished report prepared by the Western Heritage Task Force for The Nature Conservancy. Boulder, Colorado.
- Braun, C.E., R.B. Davies, J.R. Dennis, K.A. Green, and J.L. Sheppard. 1992. Plains sharp-tailed grouse recovery plan. Colorado Division of Wildlife. Denver, Colorado. 31 pp.
- Brewer, R. 1994. The Science of Ecology. Harcourt Brace Publishers. Orlando, Florida. 791 pp.
- Collins, S.L., and S.C. Barber. 1985. Effects of disturbance on diversity in mixed-grass prairie. Vegetatio. 64(2): 87-94.
- Colorado Bird Observatory. 1995. Setting bird conservation priorities for the State of Colorado. Brighton, Colorado. 30 pp.
- Colorado Natural Heritage Program. 1995. Colorado's Natural Heritage: Rare and Imperiled Animals, Plants, and Natural Communities. Ft. Collins, Colorado. 63pp.
- Compton, S.A., and R.D. Hugie. 1993. Status report on *Zapus hudsonius preblei*, a candidate endangered subspecies. Status report prepared by Pioneer Environmental Consulting Services Inc., Logan, Utah. Under contract to U.S. fish and Wildlife Service. 31 pp.
- Cooper, S. 1995. Personal communication to S. Kettler.

- Corn, J.G., C.A. Pague, A.R. Ellingson, M. Sherman, T. Zwięjacz, G. Kittel, and C. Fleming. 1995. Final Report on Geographic Extent of the Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse Population on the United States Air Force Academy. Ft. Collins, Colorado. 45pp.
- EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. 1993a. Draft Well Evaluation Report. Prepared for U.S. Department of Energy, Golden, Colorado.
- EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. 1993b. Report of Findings: Ute Ladies' Tresses and Colorado Butterfly Weed Surveys. Prepared by ESCO Associates, Inc., Boulder, Colorado.
- EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. 1992. Report of Findings: Survey for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse. Prepared by Stoeker Ecological Consultants for ESCO Associates, Inc. Boulder, Colorado.
- Future Site Use Working Group. 1995. Site-wide Recommendation to the DOE Rocky Flats Field Office on Future Use.
- Fitzgerald, J.P., C.A. Meaney, and D.A. Armstrong. 1995. Mammals of Colorado. Colorado Associated University Press. Boulder, Colorado. 467pp.
- Hatch, D., J.W. Bartolome, D. Hilyard. 1990. Testing of a management strategy for restoration of California's native grasslands. In: Proceedings from 17th Annual Natural Areas Conference. 668pp.
- Hansen, P., K. Boggs, R. Pfister, and J. Joy. 1991. Classification and Management of Riparian and Wetland Sites in Montana. Montana Riparian Association. Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, School of Forestry, University of Montana. Missoula, Montana. 478 pp.
- Hansen, W.R. 1978. Climatography of the Front Range Urban Corridor and Vicinity, Colorado. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1019. 59 pp.
- Hanson, H.C. 1955. Characteristics of the *Stipa comata-Bouteloua gracilis-Bouteloua Curtipendula* association in northern Colorado. Ecology. 36(2): 269-280
- Harrington, F. 1995. Personal communication to K. Essington.
- Hellner, K. 1995. Personal communication to K. Essington.
- Hosten, P.E. 1992. Cheatgrass dynamics following wildfire on a sagebrush semidesert site in Central Utah. In: Proceedings-Ecology and Management of Annual Rangelands. U.S. Department of Agriculture General Technical Report INT-GTR-313. Ogden, Utah. 416pp.
- Hill, G. 1995. Personal communication to K. Essington.

- Hurr, W. 1976. Hydrology of a Nuclear Processing Plant, Rocky Flats, Jefferson County, Colorado. U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 76-268
- Kettler, S.M., S.E. Simonson, C.A. Pague, and A.R. Ellingson. 1994. Significant Natural Heritage Resources of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site and Their Conservation. Phase I: the Rock Creek Drainage. Ft. Collins, Colorado. 29 pp.
- Kindscher, K. 1995. Kansas landscape patterns and biodiversity. *The Land Report*. 53: 16-19
- Knopf, F.L., R.R. Johnson, T. Rich, F.B. Samson, and R.C. Szaro. 1988. Conservation of riparian ecosystems in the United States. *Wilson Bulletin*. 100(2): 272-284
- Knopf, F.L., and M.L. Scott. 1993. Altered flows and created landscapes in the Platte River headwaters, 1840-1990. In: *Proceedings of Fifth Annual Colorado Riparian Association Conference*. 80 pp.
- Krutzsch, P.H. 1954. North American jumping mice (Genus *Zapus*). *Univ. Kansas Publ. Mus. Natural History*. 7:349-472.
- Livingston, R.B. 1952. Relict true prairie communities in central Colorado. *Ecology*. 33: 72-86
- Jefferson County Planning Commission. 1995a. Resolution Z94-53 to allow a sand and gravel mining operation. Golden, Colorado.
- Jefferson County Planning Commission. 1995b. Resolution SU94-5 to allow a sand and gravel mining operation. Golden, Colorado.
- Johnston, L. 1995. Personal communication to K. Essington.
- McHenry, D.E. 1929. The vegetation of Gregory Canyon, Colorado. Unpublished thesis. University of Colorado at Boulder. 39 pp.
- Macarthur, R.H. and E.O. Wilson. 1967. *The Theory of Island Biogeography*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey. 220pp.
- Miller, C. 1995. Personal communication to A. Ellingson.
- Mitch, W.J., and J.G. Gosselink. 1994. *Wetlands*. Van Nostrand Reinhold. New York, New York. 719pp.
- Murdock, M. 1994. Personal communication to S. Simonson.
- Murdock, M. 1995. Personal communication to K. Essington.

- Mutel, C.F. and J.C. Emerick. 1992. From Grassland to Glacier: The Natural History of Colorado and the Surrounding Region. Johnson Books. Boulder, Colorado. 290 pp.
- Nelson, J. 1995. Personal communication to K. Essington.
- Opler, P.A. 1993. Conservation and Management of Butterfly Diversity in North America. Office of Information Transfer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Ft. Collins, Colorado.
- Pague, C.A., R. Rondeau, and M. Duff. 1993. Natural Heritage Inventory of Jefferson County, Colorado. Prepared for Jefferson County Open Space by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. Boulder, Colorado. 120 pp.
- Quimby, D.C. 1951. The life history and ecology of the jumping mouse, *Zapus hudsonius*. Ecological Monographs. 21:61-95
- Roach, A.W. 1948. The ecology of vegetation change in the foothills ecotone near Boulder, Colorado. Unpublished thesis. University of Colorado at Boulder. 81 pp.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1995. Rocky Flats Plant Wetland Mapping and Resource Study. Prepared for U.S. Department of Energy, Golden, Colorado by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1980. Soil Survey of Golden Area, Colorado. Soil Conservation Service in Cooperation with Jefferson County and the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. Parts of Denver, Douglas, Jefferson, and Park Counties.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1995a. Ecological Monitoring Program 1995 Annual Report. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1995b. Secretary of Energy's Ecosystem Management Initiative. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1995c. FY94 Annual Wildlife Survey Report: Natural Resource Protection and Compliance Program. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1994a. FY93 Annual Wildlife Survey Report: Resource Protection Program. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1994b. Land Use Technical Manual. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Golden, Colorado.

- U.S. Department of Energy. 1994c. Annual Report: Ecological Monitoring Program. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1994d. Final Draft Pond Water Management Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Decision Document. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1994e. National Environmental Research Parks. DOE/ER-0615. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1993. Watershed Management Plan for Rocky Flats. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1992. Phase II Geologic Characterization, Data Acquisition. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Energy. 1980. Environmental Impact Statement. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U.S. Department of Interior. 1994a. Correspondence dated 9/8/94, from M.D. Snyder to B. Brainard-Jordan regarding National Natural Landmark status at Rocky Flats.
- U.S. Department of Interior. 1994b. Correspondence dated 9/29/94, from L.R. Carlson to M. Silverman regarding legal status of Preble's meadow jumping mouse.
- Usher, M.B. 1987. Effects of fragmentation on communities and populations: a review with applications to wildlife conservation. In: D.A Saunders, G.W. Arnold, and A.A. Burbridge, eds. Nature Conservation: The Role of Remnants of Native Vegetation. 410pp.
- Vestal, A.G. 1919. Phytogeography of the eastern mountain-front in Colorado. Botanical Gazette. 68(3): 153-193
- Weber, D. 1994. Correspondence dated 12/01/94 to Colorado Division of Minerals and Geology. Denver, Colorado.
- Weber, W.A. 1995. Personal communication to J. Sanderson.
- Weber, W.A. 1990. Colorado Flora: Eastern Slope. University Press of Colorado. Boulder, Colorado. 396pp.
- Western Aggregates, Inc. 1994. Report of findings: Nature and Distribution of Warm Season Grassland. Prepared by ESCO Associates, Inc., Boulder, Colorado.
- Whittaker, J.O. 1972. *Zapus hudsonius*. Mammalian Species. 11:1-7

## APPENDIX A: The Colorado Natural Heritage Program

To place this report in context it is useful to understand the history and functions of the Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP). CNHP has been extant in Colorado for 16 years. CNHP was relocated from the Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation to the University of Colorado Museum in the spring of 1992, and more recently to the College of Natural Resources at Colorado State University. This multi-disciplinary team of scientists and information managers gather information and incorporate it into a continually updated database. CNHP is part of an international network of conservation data centers that use the Biological and Conservation Database (BCD) developed by The Nature Conservancy. In addition, CNHP has effective relationships with the Colorado Natural Areas Program, the Colorado Division of Wildlife, and pertinent federal agencies.

### Table 4. Definition of Natural Heritage State Rarity Ranks.

Global rarity ranks refer to a species' rarity throughout its range. State and Global ranks are denoted, respectively, with an "S" or a "G" followed by a character. These ranks should not be interpreted as legal designations.

---

S1	Extremely rare: usually 5 or fewer occurrences in the state; or may be a few remaining individuals; often especially vulnerable to extirpation.
S2	Very rare; usually between 5 and 20 occurrences; or with many individuals in fewer occurrences; often susceptible to becoming endangered.
S3	Rare to uncommon; usually between 20 and 100 occurrences; may have fewer occurrences, but with a large number of individuals in some populations; may be susceptible to large-scale disturbances.
S4	Common; usually > 100 occurrences, but may be fewer with many large populations; may be restricted to only a portion of the state; usually not susceptible to immediate threats.
S5	Very common; demonstrably secure under present conditions.
SA	Accidental in the state.
SH	Historically known from the state, but not verified for an extended period, usually > 15 years; this rank is used primarily when inventory has been attempted recently.
SU	Status uncertain, often because of low search effort or cryptic nature of the element.
SX	Apparently extirpated from the state.
S#B	Same rank as the numbered S-series, but refers to the breeding season rarity of migrants.
S#N	Same rank as the numbered S-series, but refers to the non-breeding season rarity of migrants; where no consistent location can be discerned for migrants or non-breeding populations, a rank of SZN is used.
S#T#	Same rank as the numbered S-series, but refers to the rarity of an associated sub-species.
S#Q	Same rank as the numbered S-series, but indicates taxonomic uncertainty about the species.

---

CNHP gathers information on rare species and natural communities, or **elements**. Each element is assigned a rank that indicates its relative rarity on a five-point scale (1 = extremely rare/imperiled; 5 = abundant/secure; Table 4). The primary criterion for ranking elements is the number of **element occurrences**, i.e. the number of known distinct localities or populations of the species or natural community. Also of great importance is the number of individuals at each locality or, for highly mobile organisms, the total number of individuals. Other considerations include the condition of the occurrences, the number of protected occurrences, population trends,

and threats. However, the emphasis remains on the number of occurrences so that the ranks remain an index of known biological rarity. These ranks are assigned both in terms of the element's rarity within Colorado (its State or S-rank) and the element's rarity over its entire range (its Global or G-rank). Taken together, these two ranks give an instant picture of the rarity of the element. Although most species protected under state or federal endangered species laws are extremely rare, not all rare species are listed as Endangered or Threatened. **Natural Heritage rarity ranks should not be interpreted as legal designations.**

In addition to ranking each element in terms of rarity, Natural Heritage staff scientists rank each element occurrence so that protection efforts can be aimed not only at the rarest elements, but at the best examples of each. Element occurrences are ranked in terms of the **quality** (size, vigor, etc.) of the population or community, the **condition** or naturalness of the habitat, the long-term **viability** of the population or community, and the **defensibility** (ease or difficulty of protecting) of the occurrence. Given the intimate relationship between a natural community and its environment, community occurrences are largely ranked in terms of their quality and condition.

One of the most significant ways that the Colorado Natural Heritage Program uses these element and element occurrence ranks is to design **conservation sites** which include one or many element occurrences and the ecological processes necessary for the elements' continued existence. Based on these ranks, CNHP assesses each site with a **biodiversity** (or B-) **rank** (see Table 5). Furthermore, CNHP ranks the **protection** and **management urgency** of each site on a scale of 1 to 5 based on threats or trends.

**Table 5. Definition of Biodiversity Ranks.**

- B1 Outstanding Significance: only site known for an element or an excellent occurrence of a G1 species.
- B2 Very High Significance: one of the best examples of a community type, good occurrence of a G1 species, or excellent occurrence of a G2 or G3 species.
- B3 High Significance: excellent example of any community type, good occurrence of a G3 species, or a large concentration of good occurrences of state rare species.
- B4 Moderate Significance: good example of a community type, excellent or good occurrence of state-rare species.
- B5 General Biodiversity Significance: good or marginal occurrence of a community type, S1, or S2 species.

**APPENDIX B: What is Biological Diversity?**

Biological diversity has recently become an important management issue for many natural resource professionals. In the most simple terms, biological diversity, or simply biodiversity, is the full variety of plant and animal life in an area **AND** the ecological processes of which they are a part. This concept includes all living organisms from bacteria and fungi, invertebrate animals, mosses and lichens, and the "higher life forms" of plants and animals.

The biological diversity of an area can be described at four levels:

1. Genetic Diversity -- the genetic variation within a population and among populations of a plant or animal species. The genetic makeup of a species is variable between populations of a species within its geographic range. Loss of a species' population results in a loss of genetic diversity for that species and a reduction of total biological diversity for the region.
2. Species Diversity -- the total number and abundance of plant and animal species in an area.
3. Community Diversity -- the variety of natural communities or ecosystems within that area. These communities may be diagnostic or even endemic to an area. It is within these ecosystems that all life dwells.
4. Landscape Diversity -- the type, condition, pattern, and connectedness of natural communities or ecosystems within a landscape. Fragmentation of forested landscapes, loss of connections and migratory corridors, and loss of natural communities all result in a loss of biological diversity for a region. Humans and the results of their activities are integral parts of most landscapes.

### **Relating this Report to Managing Biological Diversity at the Landscape Level.**

The management of Biological Diversity must consider more than species specific management criteria and consider the elements of human-use in the area. The conservation sites typically identified in this type of study may be considered as core areas for the protection of the full range of biological diversity. Some of these areas are best considered as candidates for special area designations, others as sites within a landscape that should be managed to include the maintenance of the site's integrity.

A basic premise in the landscape management approach starts with the delineation of core protected areas that can be represented by special designations. Where possible, these should be connected through corridors and appropriately buffered. Buffer areas should include the ecological processes supporting the diversity of the core area. Such is the basis of the development of preliminary conservation planning boundaries.

**APPENDIX III: PHASE I REPORT**

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCES OF THE  
ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE  
AND THEIR CONSERVATION**

**PHASE I: ROCK CREEK**

**FINAL REPORT**

Prepared by:

S. M. Kettler, C. A. Pague, S. A. Simonson,  
S. M. Spackman, and A. R. Ellingson  
Colorado Natural Heritage Program  
110 Natural Resources Building  
College of Natural Resources  
Colorado State University  
Ft. Collins, CO 80523

December 9, 1994

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1993, The Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) was contracted by the Department of Energy to assess the ecological values of the Rock Creek drainage at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS). The goal of the project was to accumulate and examine existing biological data from the site, incorporate appropriate portions into the CNHP's Biological Conservation Database, and with appropriate field verification, identify significant natural heritage resources. We were also asked to make recommendations on actions that would be necessary to protect these resources.

The Natural Heritage Inventory was conducted in four steps:

1. Accumulate existing information concerning significant elements of biological diversity from existing data at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.
2. Perform ground surveys to rank occurrences of elements in terms of quality, condition, viability, and defensibility, and to identify conservation boundaries for each element.
3. Assign natural heritage Biodiversity Ranks (B-ranks) to determine significance of each occurrence.
4. Assess conservation data relative to the conservation priorities of the International Network of Natural Heritage Programs and present in a final report.

The Rock Creek drainage was determined to contain significant natural heritage resources (those species or communities determined by CNHP to be rare, threatened or endangered or of high significance) and was denoted as a "natural heritage conservation site." The Natural Heritage Program developed a preliminary conservation planning boundary for the Rock Creek drainage. In developing this boundary, a number of factors were considered including: habitat for rare species, protection of water quality, buffers from potentially detrimental land uses, and the maintenance of ecological processes necessary for the perpetuation of the significant elements in the area.

The delineation of a conservation planning boundary in this report does not confer any regulatory protection on recommended areas. These boundaries are intended to be used to support wise planning and decision-making for the conservation of these significant areas. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program encourages the Department of Energy (DOE) to take actions that will protect this site, particularly since in the Heritage Program methodology it ranks as a site of national significance. CNHP offers its assistance in working with DOE to ensure protection of these areas.

The report includes seven recommendations for the Rock Creek Site:

- 1. Develop an implementation plan for designation and protection of the Rock Creek Site.**
- 2.**
- 3. Incorporate the information included in this report in the review of activities in or near areas identified as significant.**
- 4. Increase public awareness of the benefits of protecting areas determined to be significant to Colorado and the Nation's natural diversity.**
- 5. Promote cooperation among pertinent organizations in the protection of natural diversity.**
- 6. Properly manage significant elements of natural diversity within the Rock Creek drainage of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.**
- 7. Conduct further inventory and study to assess other natural heritage resources.**
- 8. Continue monitoring and life history investigations of the globally imperilled Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*).**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
Overview of the Study Area.....	1
Colorado's Natural Heritage Program.....	2
What is Biological Diversity? .....	5
Relating this Report to Managing Biological Diversity at the Landscape Level.....	6
 METHODS .....	 7
 RESULTS .....	 10
<b>Conservation Site Profile</b> .....	10
 PROTECTION OF SIGNIFICANT BIODIVERSITY AREAS.....	 21
Protection Tools.....	22
 REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS .....	 22
 LITERATURE CITED .....	 26
 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	 29

## TABLES

Table 1. Definition of Natural Heritage state rarity ranks.....	4
Table 2. Rare species and significant natural communities known from Jefferson and Boulder Counties which have potential to occur* at the RFETS. ....	8
Table 3. Significant elements known from the Rock Creek Drainage .....	17

## INTRODUCTION

In 1993, The Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) was contracted by the Department of Energy (DOE), Rocky Flats Field Office to assess the ecological values of the Rock Creek drainage at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS). The goal of the project was to accumulate existing data from the site and with some field verification, identify natural heritage resources and actions to protect these resources. Natural heritage resources are defined as rare, threatened, endangered, or sensitive species and significant natural communities that are monitored by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. In short, we were to identify those sites supporting unique or exemplary natural communities, rare plants and rare animals, and other significant natural features.

Phase I, the evaluation of the Rock Creek drainage portion of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, has been completed and the results of it are presented herein. A brief overview of the natural condition of the study area is presented first. This is followed by an outline of the mission and methodology of the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. The results of the inventory are briefly discussed. Finally, the area of national biodiversity significance identified during this study is described and future actions, including protection options, are introduced.

### Overview of the Study Area

The Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site is located in northern Jefferson County, Colorado. The entire plant site, including the buffer zone, consists of about 6550 acres. The Rock Creek drainage comprises about 1500 acres roughly located at the northwest end of the site. Elevations range from approximately 5760' to over 6160' within the drainage. Vegetation communities are characterized by grasslands, shrublands and scattered coniferous and deciduous trees and are typical of lower foothills, mesas, and western Great Plains ecosystems (Weber 1976, Mutel and Emerick 1992, Gregg 1963). The Rock Creek drainage was at one time part of a livestock ranch. Extant fauna is dominated by a Great Plains component and enhanced by a foothills element due to the proximity of these two biogeographical units. Animal communities are generally characterized by species of the Great Plains (Mutel and Emerick 1992, Armstrong 1972, Andrews and Righter 1992, Hammerson, 1982).

The relatively recent and extensive use of the area in and around the area now occupied by the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site has greatly impacted the flora and fauna. Extirpations have been largely restricted to large mammals. Grizzly bears and gray wolves once roamed throughout the state and black-footed ferret were not uncommon in large prairie dog towns (Armstrong 1972). All are no longer resident within the state. Although extinctions of bird species are not recorded, the plains sharp-tailed grouse has been extirpated from the vicinity (Braun et al. 1992). Fortunately, most species have not suffered

so extensively, but many have been reduced in numbers -- some significantly. Many plant species not native to this area have become established and in some cases dominant, especially in areas that historically or currently are to some degree disturbed by human activity (including livestock grazing, alteration of natural processes such as fire or flooding, gravel mining, agricultural activity, road building or other development). It is within the purpose of this effort to identify the conservation sites which will protect the most sensitive element of natural diversity.

Climate. The climate of the area is strongly influenced by the mountains and is continental in character. Sudden and extreme changes in atmospheric conditions may occur from hour to hour and day to day at any season of the year. The average wind velocity is moderate, although strong gusts (occasionally over 80 mph) are not infrequent (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1980). Winters are generally cool and dry and summers warm. The mean first date of frost is October 4 and the mean last day of frost is May 9. Average yearly precipitation for the period from 1953-1976 is approximately 15 inches (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1992). Approximately 70% of the moisture falls during the growing season, mostly in late spring and early summer (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1992, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture 1980).

Soils. Soils are of two major types. Soils on terraces or piedmonts are a stony or skeletal type developed on glacial outwash (Rocky Flats Alluvium). Fine textured soils developed from shales and mudstones are common in the small drainages associated with Rock Creek (U. S. Dept. of Agriculture 1980).

Geology. Geology is discussed in detail in several papers or reports from the area (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1992, Branson et al. 1965, Vestal 1919) and is an important factor influencing the distribution of plant communities.

## **Colorado's Natural Heritage Program**

To place this report in context it is useful to understand the history and functions of the Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP). CNHP has been extant in Colorado for 16 years. CNHP was relocated from the Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation into the University of Colorado Museum in the spring of 1992, and more recently to the College of Natural Resources at Colorado State University. With an increased staff, the Program is revitalized and updating comprehensive information on the rare, threatened, and endangered species and significant natural communities in Colorado. The multi-disciplinary team of scientists and information managers gather information and incorporate it into continually updated databases. CNHP is part of an international network of conservation data centers that use the Biological and Conservation Databases (developed by The Nature Conservancy). In addition, CNHP has effective relationships with the Colorado Natural Areas Program, Colorado Department of Natural Resources, the Colorado Division of Wildlife, and the numerous federal agencies. Concentrating on site-specific data for each

element of natural diversity, the accurate status of each element becomes known. The data presented here illustrate a site that is important to the conservation of Colorado's, and indeed the nation's natural biological diversity. By using the element ranks and the quality of each occurrence, priorities can be established for the protection of the most sensitive or imperilled sites. It is by having an updated locational database and priority-setting system that CNHP can provide its most effective, proactive land-planning tools.

Information is gathered by CNHP on species, natural communities, and ecosystems. Each of these significant natural features (species and community types) is an **element of natural diversity**, or simply an **element**. Each element is assigned a rank that indicates its relative rarity on a five-point scale (1 = extremely rare/imperilled; 5 = abundant/secure; **Table 1**).

The primary criterion for ranking elements is the number of occurrences, i.e. the number of known distinct localities or populations. Also of great importance is the number of individuals at each locality or, for highly mobile organisms, the total number of individuals. Other considerations include the condition of the occurrences, the number of protected occurrences, population trends, and threats. However, the emphasis remains on the number of occurrences, such that ranks are an index of known biological rarity. These ranks are assigned both in terms of the element's rarity within Colorado (its State or S-rank) and the element's rarity over its entire range (its Global or G-rank). Taken together, these two ranks give an instant picture of the rarity of the element. Although most species protected under state or federal endangered species laws are extremely rare, not all rare species are listed as Endangered or Threatened and **Natural Heritage rarity ranks should not be interpreted as legal designations.**

**Table 1. Definition of Natural Heritage state rarity ranks. Global rarity ranks are similar, but refer to a species' rarity throughout its range. State and Global ranks are denoted, respectively, with an "S" or a "G" followed by a character. Note that GA and G#N are not used and GX means extinct. These ranks should not be interpreted as legal designations.**

---

S1	Extremely rare: usually 5 or fewer occurrences in the state; or may be a few remaining individuals; often especially vulnerable to extirpation.
S2	Very rare; usually between 5 and 20 occurrences; or with many individuals in fewer occurrences; often susceptible to becoming endangered.
S3	Rare to uncommon; usually between 20 and 100 occurrences; may have fewer occurrences, but with a large number of individuals in some populations; may be susceptible to large-scale disturbances.
S4	Common; usually > 100 occurrences, but may be fewer with many large populations; may be restricted to only a portion of the state; usually not susceptible to immediate threats.
S5	Very common; demonstrably secure under present conditions.
SA	Accidental in the state.
SH	Historically known from the state, but not verified for an extended period, usually > 15 years; this rank is used primarily when inventory has been attempted recently.
S#B	Same rank as the numbered S-series, but refers to the breeding season rarity of migrants.
S#N	Same rank as the numbered S-series, but refers to the non-breeding season rarity of migrants; where no consistent location can be discerned for migrants or non-breeding populations, a rank of SZN is used.
SU	Status uncertain, often because of low search effort or cryptic nature of the element.
SX	Apparently extirpated from the state.

---

The spot on the landscape that supports a particular population of a specific species or a specific stand of a given community type is an **element occurrence**. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program has mapped over 4,000 element occurrences in Colorado. Information on the location and quality of these element occurrences is also entered into the computerized Biological and Conservation Databases (BCD). This computer system, developed by The Nature Conservancy, is utilized by the international network of heritage programs and conservation data centers. All centers utilize the same methodology, allowing a unique, direct comparison of information throughout the area covered.

In addition to ranking each element in terms of rarity, Natural Heritage staff scientists rank each element occurrence so that protection efforts can be aimed not only at the rarest elements, but at the best examples of each. Element occurrences are ranked in terms of the **quality** (size, vigor, etc.) of the population or community, the **condition** or naturalness of the habitat, the long-term **viability** of the population or community, and the **defensibility** (ease or difficulty of protecting) of the occurrence. Given the intimate relationship between a natural community and its environment, community occurrences are largely ranked in terms of their quality and condition.

One of the strongest ways that the Colorado Natural Heritage Program uses these element and element occurrence ranks is to assess the overall significance of a site, which may include one or many element occurrences. Based on these ranks, each site is assigned a **biodiversity (or B-) rank**:

B1 Outstanding Significance: only site known for an element or an excellent occurrence of a G1 species.

B2 Very High Significance: one of the best examples of a community type, good occurrence of a G1 species, or excellent occurrence of a G2 or G3 species.

B3 High Significance: excellent example of any community type, good occurrence of a G3 species, or a large concentration of good occurrences of state rare species.

B4 Moderate Significance: good example of a community type, excellent or good occurrence of state-rare species.

B5 General Biodiversity Significance: good or marginal occurrence of a community type, S1, or S2 species.

## **What is Biological Diversity?**

Biological diversity has recently become an important management issue for many natural resource professionals. Biological diversity at its most basic level includes the full range of species on Earth, from species such as bacteria, viruses, and protists, through multicellular kingdoms of plants, animals and fungi. At finer levels of organization,

biological diversity includes the genetic variation within species, both among geographically separated populations and among individuals within single populations. On a wider scale, biological diversity includes variations in the biological communities in which species live, the ecosystems in which communities exist, and the interactions among these levels. All levels are necessary for the continued survival of species and natural communities, and all are important for the well-being of humans (Temple 1991).

The biological diversity of an area can be described at four levels:

1. Genetic Diversity -- the genetic variation within a population and among populations of a plant or animal species. The genetic makeup of a species is variable between populations of a species within its geographic range. Loss of a population results in a loss of genetic diversity for that species and a reduction of total biological diversity for the region.
2. Species Diversity -- the total number and abundance of plant and animal species in an area.
3. Community Diversity -- the variety of natural communities or ecosystems within that area. These communities may be diagnostic or even endemic to an area. It is within these ecosystems that all life dwells.
4. Landscape Diversity -- the type, condition, pattern, and connectedness of natural communities or ecosystems within a landscape. Fragmentation of forested landscapes, loss of connections and migratory corridors, and loss of natural communities all result in a loss of biological diversity for a region. Humans and the results of their activities are integral parts of most landscapes.

The Rock Creek Site (Site) presented in this report supports important components of the total biological diversity of Site, the region, the State, and the Nation. This site, if protected, will represent protection for genetic, species, community, and landscape diversity.

## **Relating this Report to Managing Biological Diversity at the Landscape Level**

The management of Biological Diversity must consider more than species specific management criteria and consider the elements of human-use in the area. The conservation sites typically identified in this type of study may be considered as core areas for the protection of the full range of biological diversity. Some of these areas are best considered as candidates for special area designations, others as sites within a landscape that should be managed to include the maintenance of the site's integrity.

A basic premise in the landscape management approach starts with the delineation of core protected areas that can be represented by special designations. Where possible, these should be connected through corridors and appropriately buffered. Buffer areas should include the ecological processes supporting the diversity of the core area. Such is the basis of the development of preliminary conservation planning boundaries.

## METHODS

The Natural Heritage staff conducted a natural heritage inventory in four stages:

1. Gather existing information. Information was accumulated from a variety of sources at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site and through previously published and unpublished information. This included the gathering of maps, reviewing the BCD and manual Natural Heritage data (see table 2), and consulting experts including Rocky Flats personnel. The high quality research results of EG&G and their subcontractors were critical to being able to accurately assess the conservation priorities of the area.
2. Perform field verification and determine preliminary conservation boundaries. Ground surveys were conducted to rank occurrences of elements in terms of quality, condition, viability, and defensibility to put into perspective the state and range wide significance of the element. Preliminary conservation boundaries were identified for each natural heritage resource.
3. Assign Biodiversity Ranks (B-ranks). The site is assigned a B-rank based on the rarity, occurrence rank, and management and protection urgency of each element as it relates to the protection of biological diversity.
4. Compile the results and prepare a final report. As work was completed, Natural Heritage staff scientists reviewed the information gathered. Based on a review of all natural heritage resources present, the staff prioritized the elements in terms of their significance and the threats facing them, developed and mapped preliminary conservation planning boundaries, and drafted protection and management recommendations.

Table 2. Rare species and significant natural communities known from Jefferson and Boulder Counties which have potential to occur\* at the RFETS.

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL <sup>1</sup> STATUS	STATE <sup>2</sup> STATUS
<b>Birds</b>					
CHARADRIUS MONTANUS	MOUNTAIN PLOVER	G3	S2B,SZN	C2	SC
FALCO PEREGRINUS ANATUM	AMERICAN PEREGRINE FALCON	G3	S2B,SZN	LT	T
HALIAEETUS LEUCOCEPHALUS	BALD EAGLE	G4	S1B,S3N	LT	T
BUTEO REGALIS	FERRUGINOUS HAWK	G4	S3B,S5N		
NYCTANASSA VIOLACEA	YELLOW-CROWNED NIGHT-HERON	G5	S1B, SZN		
CATOPTROPHORUS SEMIPALMATUS	WILLET	G5	S1B,SZN		
SAYORNIS PHEOBE	EASTERN PHEOBE	G5	S1B,SZN		
COCCYZUS ERYTHROPTALMUS	BLACK-BILLED CUCKOO	G5	S2B		
NUMENIUS AMERICANUS	LONG-BILLED CURLEW	G5	S2B,SZN	C2	SC
SIALIA SIALIS	EASTERN BLUEBIRD	G5	S2		
LANIUS LUDOVICIANUS	LOGGERHEAD SHRIKE	G4	S3B,SZN	C2	SC
IXOBRYCHUS EXILIS	LEAST BITTERN	G5	S3B,SZN		
BUTORIDES STRIATUS	GREEN-BACKED HERON	G5	S3B,SZN		
NYCTICORAX NYCTICORAX	BLACK-CROWNED NIGHT-HERON	G5	S3B,SZN		
COCCYZUS AMERICANUS	AMERICANUS EASTERN YELLOW-BILLED CUCKOO	G5TU	S3B		
BOMBYCILLA CEDRORUM	CEDAR WAXWING	G5	S3B,S5N		
DOLICHONYX ORYZIVORUS	BOBOLINK	G5	S3B,SZN		
<b>Fish</b>					
FUNDULUS SCIADICUS	PLAINS TOPMINNOW	G4	S2	C2	
NOTURUS FLAVUS	STONECAT	G5	S1	SC	
NOTROPIS CORNUTUS	COMMON SHINER	G5	S2	SC	
<b>Mammals</b>					
ZAPUS HUDSONIUS PREBLEI	PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE	G5T1?	S1?	C2	SC
VULPES VELOX VELOX	SWIFT FOX	G4T4	S3?	C2	U
MYOTIS CALIFORNICUS	CALIFORNIA MYOTIS	G5	S2		
SOREX MERRIAM	MERRIAM'S SHREW	G5	S3		
<b>Reptiles</b>					
TROPIDOCOLONION LINEATUM	LINED SNAKE	G5	S3		U
<b>Insects</b>					
SPEYERIA IDALIA	REGAL FRITILLARY	G3	S1	C2	
HESPERIA OTTOE	OTTOE SKIPPER	G3?	S2		
CELASTRINA NEGLECTAMAJOR	APPALACHIAN BLUE	G4	S1?		
ATRYTONE AROGOS	AROGOS SKIPPER	G4	S2		
ERYNNIS MARTIALIS	MOTTLED DUSKY WING	G4	S2S3		
INCISALIA MOSSI	MOSS'S ELFIN	G4	S3		
DOA AMPLA	A MOTH	G?	S1		
GRAMMIA SP. 1	A MOTH	G?	S?		
AESHNA EREMITA	LAKE DARNER	G5	S1?		
AESHNA VERTICALIS	GREEN-STRIPED DARNER	G5	S?		
CORDULIA SHURTLEFFI	AMERICAN EMERALD	G5	S1?		

CALOPTERYX AEQUABILIS	RIVER JEWELWING	G5	SH
ARGIA SEDULA	BLUE-RINGED DANCER	G5	S2
ARCHILESTES GRANDIS	GREAT SPREADWING	G5	S3

**Mollusks**

PROMENETUS EXACUOUS	SHARP SPRITE	G?	S2
PROMENETUS UMBILICATELLUS	UMBILICATE SPRITE	G?	S3

**Vascular plants**

SPIRANTHES DILUVIALIS	UTE LADIES' TRESSES	G2	S1	LT	1
GAURA NEOMEXICANA SSP COLORADENSIS	COLORADO BUTTERFLY WEED	G5T1	S1	C1	1
MALAXIS BRACHYPODA	WHITE ADDER'S-MOUTH	G4	S1	C2	2
CAREX TORREYI	TORREY SEDGE	G4	S?		3
RIBES AMERICANUM	AMERICAN CURRANT	G5	S1		2
CRATAEGUS CHRYSOCARPA	YELLOW HAWTHORN	G5?	S1S2		2
VIOLA PEDATIFIDA	PRAIRIE VIOLET	G5	S2		3
EUSTOMA RUSSELLIANUM	SHOWY PRAIRIE GENTIAN	G5	S3	C2	2
RODALA RAMOSIOR	TOOTH CUP	G5	S?		3
ARISTIDA BASIRAMEA	FORK TIP THREE-AWN	G5	S?		3

**Natural communities**

STIPA COMATA - EAST	GREAT PLAINS MIXED GRASS PRAIRIES	G2	S2
ANDROPOGON GERARDII- SCHIZACHYRIUM SCOPARIUM	XERIC TALLGRASS PRAIRIES	G2	S2
ANDROPOGON GERARDII- SORGHASTRUM NUTANS	WET PRAIRIES	G3	S1
CAREX NEBRASCENSIS WETLAND	GREAT PLAINS WET MEADOWS	G4	S?

1 Abbreviations are as follows:

C2 = Category 2 Candidate

LE = Listed Endangered

2 Abbreviations are as follows:

1 = federal threatened or endangered that are rare throughout their range

2 = plant species which are rare in Colorado but relatively common elsewhere within their range

3 = species which appear to be rare but for which conclusive information is lacking;

\* Occurrences for bird species are only those with probable or confirmed breeding status, or significant concentration areas (wintering or migrating).

## RESULTS

Significant natural heritage resources were already known from the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site as a result of information gained through various research programs at the site and in the surrounding area in past years. By incorporating the previously collected information and conducting additional studies, CNHP was able to develop preliminary conservation planning boundaries that are necessary to protect the suite of natural heritage resources at the site. The elements occurring in the Rock Creek area were assessed in terms of their contribution to maintaining natural biological diversity. The following site, Rock Creek, is presented to DOE as a significant conservation site for the protection of the Nation's natural biological diversity.

### Conservation Site Profile

The conservation site is described in a standard site report. The sections of this report and the contents are outlined and explained below.

**SIZE:** The approximate acreage included within the conservation planning boundary for the conservation site.

**BIODIVERSITY RANK:** The overall significance of the conservation site in terms of rarity of the natural heritage resources and the quality (health, abundance, etc.) of their occurrences. As discussed on page 5, these ranks range from B1 (Outstanding Significance) to B5 (General Biodiversity Significance).

**PROTECTION URGENCY RANK:** The time frame in which conservation protection must occur. In most cases, this rank refers to the need for a major change of protective status (e.g. agency special area designations or ownership). The ranks range from P1 (immediate urgency; within a one-year time frame) to P5 (no known urgency).

**MANAGEMENT URGENCY:** The time frame in which a change in management of the element or site must occur. Using best scientific estimates, this rank refers to the need for management in contrast to protection (e.g. increased fire frequency, decreased herbivory, weed control, etc.). The ranks range from M1 (immediate urgency, within one year) to M5 (no known urgency).

**LOCATION:** The USGS 7.5' quadrangles that include the Conservation Site. The Natural Heritage Program code for the quadrangle is noted in parentheses.

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION:** A brief narrative picture of the topography, vegetation, and current use of the conservation site. Common names are used along with the scientific names.

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE:** A synopsis of the rare species and significant natural communities that occur on the conservation site.

**OTHER BIODIVERSITY VALUES:** Other items of general biodiversity interest or concern.

**CURRENT STATUS:** A summary of the ownership, degree of protection currently afforded the conservation site, and threats to the site or natural heritage resources as determined to date.

**BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION:** The preliminary conservation planning boundary delineated in this report includes all known occurrences of natural heritage resources and the adjacent lands required for their protection. A discussion of the major factors that were considered is on pages 10-11.

**PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS:** A summary of the major issues and factors that are known or likely to affect the protection and management of the conservation site.

## ROCK CREEK

**SIZE:** approx. 1500 acres within  
**BIODIVERSITY RANK: B3**  
 the RFET  
**PROTECTION URGENCY: P1**  
**MANAGEMENT URGENCY: M2**

**LOCATION:** Louisville Quadrangle (3910582)  
 T2S, R69W, Sects: 2,3,4,9,10

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION:** The Rock Creek Site occurs on the northern edge of the Rocky Flats alluvial mesa. Near the line separating Boulder and Jefferson counties, the site is approximately 2-3 miles east of the foothills and on the far western edge of the Great Plains. The flora is the typical natural flora of the surrounding mesas and grasslands. Most of the site was part of a livestock ranch (The Lindsay Ranch) before the property was purchased by DOE in 1974. The fauna is greatly changed from prehistoric periods with the losses or reduced populations of most of the large herbivores (e.g. bison, bighorn sheep, pronghorn and elk) and losses of the major carnivores (wolves) and omnivores (grizzly and black bears).

The Rock Creek Site is bounded on the north by State Road 128, on the west by State Road 93 and on the south and east by other portions of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Numerous roads, diversion ditches, and gravel mines are found within or adjacent to the site. Boulder City Open Space adjoins the site to the north of State Road 128.

**NATURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE:** When the first pioneers came to the region, grasslands extended eastward from the base of the mountains for hundreds of miles (Mutel and Emerick 1984). Herds of pronghorn and bison were hunted by gray wolves and Indians. Today much of the natural vegetation has been replaced by croplands, cattle pastures, and human developments. Along the Front Range, extensive urbanization has dramatically changed the character of the grasslands. Due to the great loss of grasslands throughout the United States we feel special effort should be made to maintain any remaining significant grasslands. The Rock Creek area was found to have remnants of good quality grasslands. However, signs of disturbance and potential threats abound and the integrity of the area is considered highly threatened.

The Rock Creek Site contains a good example of a xeric tallgrass prairie community with a rich grass flora, a Great Plains riparian ecosystem supporting a population (or subpopulation) of Preble's meadow jumping mouse and several unusual plant communities associated with seeps.

The dominant species on the xeric grassland (xeric tallgrass prairie - *Andropogon gerardii*-*Schizachyrium scoparium* [*Andropogon scoparius*], Bourgeron and Engelking 1994) are big and little bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii* and *A. scoparius*), but other common graminoids include a sedge (*Carex eleocharis*), Canada bluegrass (*Poa compressa*), and mountain muhly (*Muhlenbergia montana*) (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1994a). This plant association is ranked G2/S2 by the Natural Heritage Program network. The rank G2 indicates that good examples of this

community are very rare, occurring in fewer than about 20 places worldwide. In Colorado, we rank this plant association as S2, reflecting its very rare nature. We believe this community to be imperilled in Colorado and rare globally. Xeric tallgrass prairies have become extremely rare, due to building, mining, and grazing (Howe 1994). These types of grasslands once occupied expansive areas on the Great Plains but have been reduced to tiny remnants. The Rock Creek xeric tallgrass prairie occupies a large area and is in good condition in places. Exotic plant species are common in patches throughout the community especially along roads or areas of disturbance. We recommend that it be included in a conservation site due to its size, fairly good condition and rarity.

The xeric tallgrass prairie ecosystem is now fractured into remnants. The placement of several to many remnants such that genetic exchange of the associated organisms is facilitated can aid in the long term persistence of the community. This may happen even though all of the individual components are insufficient. In this light, the setting aside of the Rocky Flats Site would fill a major gap between the City of Boulder Open Space prairies (the nearest at the junction of Hwy 128 and 93) and the smaller patches near White Ranch in Jefferson County. The next closest patches are in the vicinity of Ken Caryl Ranch in southern Jefferson County. The true significance of this site is best viewed from the perspective of the remaining patches of this and associated grasslands in Jefferson County and southern Boulder County. Portions of this landscape are reported in Pague et al. (1993). Associated occurrences of the grassland communities are known from the adjacent areas. It should be considered that these fragments are by themselves insufficient conservation units; however, perhaps with restored linkages, we believe that they could provide community persistence.

The Great Plains riparian community is characterized by a diverse mixture of trees, shrubs, graminoids, and forbs. Common species include plains cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), coyote willow (*Salix exigua*), leadplant (*Amorpha fruticosa*), baltic rush (*Juncus balticus*), and various sedges (*Carex* species) and grasses.

The vegetation along Rock Creek is dominated by a mosaic of several plant associations: Two of which are the *Populus deltoides*-*Salix amygdaloides*/*S. exigua* (Plains cottonwood riparian woodland) and the *Amorpha fruticosa* shrubland. The first is considered of global significance by the Natural Heritage Network, G2G3/S2S3 (Globally very rare to rare and the same status in Colorado). This plant association is range-restricted and heavily impacted. The occurrence in the Rock Creek drainage is impacted, but potentially restorable. The *Amorpha fruticosa* shrubland is ranked GU/SU by the Natural Heritage Network, indicating its poorly known status. The GU/SU rank indicates that ranking has not been attempted for this plant association and more information is needed to document its status. Taking a conservative approach, we will assume (because of the scarcity of information) that it is somewhat rare. This will allow us to prioritize information collection regarding this community. We suspect that this, as with other foothills and western Great Plains communities, is highly impacted throughout its range.

Vegetation communities associated with seeps are in some cases similar to other wetlands at the site supporting sedges, rushes, and cattails (U. S. Army Corps of Engineers 1994), and in some

cases very different, supporting an unusual mixture of shrubs including hawthorn (*Crataegus erythropoda*), chokecherry (*Prunus virginiana*), and snowberry (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*). This shrub community is unusual and may be restricted to the local area.

A unique shrubland community associated with the seeps at the Site is tentatively classified as *Crataegus erythropoda-Prunus virginiana-Prunus americana* seep shrubland. This plant association is ranked GU/SU by the Natural Heritage Network indicating its poorly known status. This plant association is similar to one described in Montana but *Crataegus erythropoda* is the dominant species in the Colorado community and *Crataegus succulenta* is the dominant species in the Montana community (Hansen et al. 1991). These small patches of shrublands are scattered throughout the Rock Creek drainage where seeps form at the contact of the relatively permeable Rocky Flats Alluvium and the less permeable Arapahoe Formation. This community is not well documented in the literature. Special effort should be undertaken to protect and to better understand the biodiversity significance of this community.

Other portions of the Rock Creek Site are occupied by the shortgrass prairie plant association, *Agropyron smithii-Bouteloua gracilis* (U. S. Department of Energy 1994a). This plant association is believed to remain common, but is also highly impacted throughout its range. The season of study for CNHP was not appropriate to assess the ecological status of this plant association. Follow-up work will occur in the field season of 1995.

The Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*) occurs only in Colorado and Wyoming. The mouse is known from Colorado on the basis of fewer than 50 specimens from Larimer, Weld, Boulder, Jefferson, Denver, Adams, and Arapahoe counties (Armstrong 1972). Judging from its limited ecological and geographic distribution in Colorado, the mouse probably is a Pleistocene relict, perhaps once widespread in a tallgrass prairie across the eastern plains, but now restricted to scattered localities on the Colorado Piedmont (Fitzgerald et al. in press).

Preble's meadow jumping mouse may be one of North America's rarest mammals. This subspecies is isolated from its nearest relatives and was naturally rare (relatively) due to its restricted habitat. The Preble's meadow jumping mouse habitat appears to be restricted to relatively short distances from the riparian vegetation (Fred Harrington - personal communication; C. A. Pague - personal observation).

The status of extant populations of Preble's meadow jumping mouse is poorly known in Colorado, and unknown in Wyoming. Extant populations are known from the Rocky Flats Environmental Site, the Fort St. Vrain Nuclear Generating Station, the City of Boulder Open Space (Tracy Collins parcel and the Van Vleet parcel) (Compton and Hugie 1993). An intriguing report of the species comes from near Woodburn, El Paso County, Colorado (Jones and Jones 1985), as cited in Compton and Hugie (1993). The exact location of Woodburn is unknown at this time (personal communication with David Armstrong 1994), and no recent live trapping effort has been conducted in this area (Compton and Hugie 1993).

The first Preble's meadow jumping mouse recorded at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site was captured in 1991 (Ebasco Biologists 1992, U. S. Department of Energy 1994c). Intensive trapping efforts have been conducted since 1992 (U. S. Department of Energy 1994c, 1994a, personal communication with Kevin Essington 1994; EG&G 1993a, 1992). The population at RFETS has been under study for several years and is the best known population in the state (and in the world) (Fred Harrington - personal communication; David Armstrong - personal communication). The Rock Creek population (or subpopulation) is the only known site containing sufficient numbers and habitat to be considered potentially viable. For this reason, the Rock Creek Site is considered by CNHP to be of high biodiversity significance.

The Natural Heritage Network ranks this subspecies as G5T1?/S1? indicating that the species (*Zapus hudsonius*) is globally common. The subspecies, noted by the T1? rank, is extremely rare and imperilled globally. Finally, Preble's meadow jumping mouse is extremely rare in Colorado, indicated by the rank of S1?. The "?" after the ranks indicate a certain level of uncertainty due to insufficient surveys over the potential range. In any case, it is the consensus of experts that this subspecies, even if more widespread, will always be considered rare (David Armstrong - personal communication).

Other mammals known from the Rock Creek site are not considered rare, threatened or endangered. The rare Merriam's shrew (*Sorex merriami*) is known from the Woman Creek drainage, but has not been verified from the Rock Creek drainage.

Although several special concern bird species have been observed at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, most cannot be considered occurrences of conservation significance. Tracked occurrences for bird species are only those with probable or confirmed breeding status, as per Colorado Bird Atlas guidelines (Kingery 1990), or significant concentration areas (migrating or wintering). Based on existing information, birds of special concern that probably breed within the Rock Creek Site include the loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) and the black-crowned night-heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*).

Breeding status for loggerhead shrikes is considered probable, as four to six individuals have been observed at the Site throughout the year. Loggerhead shrikes have been observed in all three drainages at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site in all of the major habitat types including grassland, disturbed areas, shrubland, woodland, and marshland. More specifically, they have been recorded in cottonwoods, chokecherry, xeric grassland areas, and habitats with some Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) (Department of Energy 1994b; Marcia Murdock - personal communication).

The loggerhead shrike is ranked G4/S3 by the Natural Heritage Network. This rank indicates a widespread distribution globally while at the same time, rare in Colorado. The loggerhead shrike is not uncommon in the shrubby portions of Colorado, but is known to be declining seriously in most of the species' range. The species is recognized by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service as a Category 2 species, meaning that evidence exists suggesting that the loggerhead shrike may qualify for listing as a threatened or endangered species.

Black-crowned night-herons have been observed frequently during the breeding season at the Lindsay agricultural pond in the Rock Creek Drainage. This rank (G5/S3B) indicates that the species is globally common, but that breeding status in the state is rare to uncommon. Probable breeding is suggested as a pair was observed throughout the breeding season in suitable nesting habitat. Adults have been observed in disturbed habitats, shrubs, marsh, and woodland habitats. Two young were seen at the Lindsay agricultural pond later in the season (Department of Energy 1994b; Marcia Murdock - personal communication).

Table 3. Significant elements known from the Rock Creek Drainage.

Element	Common Name	Occur.Global Rank	State Rank	Federal Rank	State Status
<i>Zapus hudsonius preblei</i> mouse	Preble's meadow jumping mouse	BG5T1?S1?C2SC			
<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>	loggerhead shrike	CG4S3B,SZNC2SC			
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i> heron	black-crowned night-heron	DG5S3B			
<i>Andropogon gerardii</i> <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	Xeric tallgrass prairie	B,C	G2	S2	
<i>Populus deltoides</i> <i>Salix amygdaloides</i> <i>S. exigua</i>	Plains cottonwood riparian woodland	CG2G3S2S3			
<i>Amorpha fruticosa</i>	Riparian shrubland	CGUSU			
<i>Crataegus erythropoda</i> <i>Prunus virginiana</i> <i>P. americana</i>	Seep shrubland	CGUSU			
<i>Agropyron smithii</i> <i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	Shortgrass prairie?	*G5S4			

\* Field verification necessary to determine occurrence rank.

**OTHER BIODIVERSITY VALUES:** Data provided by EG&G, Kevin Essington, David Armstrong, and personal observations by CNHP staff demonstrated that the shrubby habitats along the riparian zone of Rock Creek and on slopes (particularly on seeps) supported numerous and diverse migratory birds. The abundance of cherries, hawthorne and sumac provide food as well as high quality cover for the birds. Birds observed included neotropical migratory birds as well as species that moved shorter distances.

**CURRENT STATUS:** The Rock Creek Site occurs largely on the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, but also includes some private property at the western edge. Further investigations may indicate the need to expand the site downstream onto other ownerships. **No protective status is currently provided to the site.** In addition, the Department of Energy is considering potential future uses of the site. Designations other than a conservation designation may prove to be a serious threat to the integrity of the natural heritage resources. Gravel mining operations on the private property west, including recent proposals for extensive expansion, may

pose serious threats to the hydrology of the Rock Creek Site, including riparian vegetation, seep vegetation, and therefore, the Preble's meadow jumping mouse.

The xeric tallgrass prairie occurs within the buffer zone and on adjacent private land to the west on the Rocky Flats Alluvium on relatively flat pediments. Gravel mining operations have occurred between the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site portion and the occurrence immediately east of highway 93 on private land. The RFETS portion of the xeric tallgrass prairie appears to have been relatively undisturbed since the Department of Energy acquired the land in 1974, except for numerous access and fire break (gravel and two track) roads which are maintained in the buffer zone. Invasion by exotic plant species, especially knapweed (*Centaurea* spp.), cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and Japanese brome (*Bromus japonicus*), is the greatest threat to the prairie.

A small patch of xeric tallgrass prairie in the southeast quarter of section 4 has a dense mat of lichen (*Cladonia* sp.) covering the soil between plants (S. Kettler - personal observation). The dense nature of the crustose soil indicates that this may be an area that has had little disturbance for some time. This seems somewhat unusual and deserves further study. This area could be a good baseline monitoring site as some lichens are known to be good indicators of air quality.

The Plains cottonwood riparian woodland plant association (*Populus deltoides*-*Salix amygdaloides*/*Salix exigua*) occurs in small scattered patches along the lower tributaries and the main stem of Rock Creek. The community was probably somewhat disturbed in the past by livestock grazing and other factors. One result of this disturbance is likely the introduction or spreading of exotic plant species such as Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), and Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), which now dominate the understory of the community (U. S. Army Corps of Engineers 1994). Knapweed has also invaded portions of the riparian habitat but may have done so by means of natural disturbances (spring flooding).

The seep shrubland community has also been somewhat by the introduction of exotic species which are now common in the understory (S. Kettler - personal observation). This community, which is somewhat degraded by the invasion of exotic species, is not well known or described in the literature and may be rare. Additional information is needed to more accurately assess the status of this community. This community should be of special concern when considering protection of biodiversity.

In summary, immediate threats include gravel mining operations, small population size of the Preble's meadow jumping mouse, potential alternate land use, and the invasion by aggressive weeds. We consider the Rock Creek Site to be seriously imperilled.

**BOUNDARY JUSTIFICATION:** The conservation site boundaries for Rock Creek (attached map) include all examples of xeric tallgrass prairies found on DOE land and adjacent private land, the riparian areas known to support Preble's meadow jumping mouse, and the mosaic of plant communities associated with seeps at the contact of the Rocky Flats Alluvium and the Arapahoe formation. An ecological buffer area is delineated but various human activities have

encroached into this area. We also note that the buffer included in this boundary is already invaded by numerous weeds. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program is highly concerned about the small size of native prairie remnants and considers it of importance to retain (and potentially restore) the existing remnants.

**PROTECTION RECOMMENDATIONS:** The significance of the site warrants that the Department of Energy immediately designate the Rock Creek Site as a priority ecological site. The Protection Urgency Rank of P1 indicates that the Site may be threatened by forces that could result in the loss of the element(s) within one year. Such a designation should be formal and be included in any site management plans. This protective status should also be such that it continues in effect with any transfer of the pertinent lands to other ownership or management (unless other more current biological information suggests otherwise). We also recommend that this protection status include no additional road development or other means of fragmentation of the existing site. Proposals to conduct mineral excavation should incorporate these same principles. We note that the ecological integrity of much of this site is dependent on a protected hydrological regime. Finally, since this conservation site extends beyond Rocky Flats Environmental Site boundaries, CNHP recommends that the Department of Energy work in partnership with pertinent federal, state, and local agencies as well as private conservation organizations that could assist in the protection and management of the entire conservation site.

#### **MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**Preble's meadow jumping mouse management recommendations:** This site contains the largest known and best studied population of Preble's meadow jumping mouse (Fred Harrington - personal communication, U. S. Department of Energy 1994c). Captures at this site have been reported from a variety of habitat types in and adjacent to the riparian zone of Rock Creek. Long term protection will require the maintenance of these habitats in natural condition (natural ecological functions). This includes the maintenance of supportive ecological processes. Fragmentation of the area by roads, and possibly trails, should be avoided. Road closures should be considered (perhaps through re-routing). We do not consider the existing research to be other than a positive management practice. The information thus far gained from EG&G's excellent research program is the best available for the subspecies.

CNHP is greatly concerned about the extent of weedy invasion in the Rock Creek drainage. The effects of many of these species on the Preble's meadow jumping mouse are not precisely known. Ecological theory and observation of CNHP staff suggest that serious degradation of the mouse habitat quality will occur with additional expansion of weeds, particularly knapweed species. **Exotic plants that threaten to change the structure of the habitats of the Rock Creek Site should be kept in check.**

Off-site land use may pose the greatest threat to this occurrence. Habitat destruction and alteration of the surrounding land may isolate this population, decreasing its viability. Furthermore alteration of hydrologic regimes, possibly due to factors beyond the control of DOE, may lead to associated changes in vegetation throughout the drainage, potentially

degrading Preble's meadow jumping mouse habitat on the site. Any proposed activities on this site that would significantly alter the existing hydrology should be considered a serious threat to the survival of Preble's meadow jumping mouse.

**Xeric tallgrass prairie management recommendations:** The existence of this increasingly rare xeric tallgrass prairie as a natural area could be a valuable education tool while contributing to conservation. The area should be managed as a tallgrass prairie site. The greatest threat to this community on the buffer zone is invasion by exotic plant species. Further increase of exotic species may decrease the biodiversity significance of the site by altering the native floral and faunal species composition (Bock and Bock 1988, West 1993).

Several exotic species occur in the community in various quantities. These species include cheatgrass, Japanese brome, musk thistle (*Carduus nutans*), Kentucky bluegrass, toadflax (*Linaria dalmatica*), alyssum (*Alyssum minus*), and knapweed. Of these species, musk thistle, toadflax, alyssum, and knapweed present the greatest threat of increasing invasion of the grassland. Other species mentioned above appear to be present in small disturbed patches but do not seem to spread into undisturbed areas. Musk thistle, toadflax, and alyssum are common in areas with little recent disturbance. Knapweed is common to dense along gravel access roads throughout the area and has spread from the roads a short distance into the relatively undisturbed prairie (S. Kettler - personal observation). It is not known if this species will spread further into the prairie over the course of time. Knapweed seems to be aggressively expanding in the area around the Front Range of Colorado (CNHP unpublished data). We observed that significant sources for several weeds are on the adjacent mining sites as well as within the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. To adequately protect this ecosystem will require continued partnerships with the adjacent landowners and managers, particularly to manage weeds and restore gravel mining sites and other significant areas. Aggressive management (herbicide application, manual cutting, etc.) may be necessary to control these species. Early season grazing, burning, or mowing may be effective management tools to control many of the cool season exotic plants and favor warm season dominant native plants. Ecological Monitoring Program site TR01 (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1994a) would be considered a good baseline monitoring site because of its location in a relatively pristine part of the xeric tallgrass prairie. More species-specific management techniques are not presented in this report since relevant information already exists in the Weed Control Program described in the Watershed Management Plan for Rocky Flats (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1993).

**Great Plains Riparian Woodland and Shrubland Management Recommendations:** Exotic species heavily dominate the understory in the mosaic of plant associations that make up this community and have severely degraded the community. It is suspected that heavy dominance by exotic species can result in drastic reduction in diversity of some animal groups (Bock and Bock 1988). The most common and problematic species include Canada thistle, Kentucky bluegrass, and smooth brome. Early season grazing, burning, or mowing may be effective management tools to control these exotic plants. Biological control already implemented at the site appears to be somewhat effective in controlling Canada thistle. Total elimination of exotic species is

impossible but reducing the vigor and dominance of these species may allow native species to increase.

**Seep Community Management Recommendations:** Exotic plant species are common in the understory within and surrounding the seep shrublands (S. Kettler - personal observation). The problem species are essentially the same species listed above in the Great Plains Riparian Community. Again, these species may be controlled with early season grazing, burning, or mowing.

**Shortgrass Prairie Management Recommendations:** Due to the late season of our investigations, this occurrence was not ranked or field checked. Quantitative data from site TR02 (U. S. Dept. of Energy 1994a) suggests that at least some part of this occurrence is relatively free of exotic species, suggesting that it may be of some biodiversity significance and be useful as a baseline restoration monitoring site. We intend to further evaluate this site in the 1995 field season.

A Management Urgency Rank of M2 was assigned to the Site. This indicates that new or modified management activities may be needed within the next 5 years to insure the survival of the element(s).

## **PROTECTION OF SIGNIFICANT BIODIVERSITY AREAS**

This site is recommended to DOE as an area in need of special protection. The ranking system used merely ranks sites for protection relative to the rarity and quality of known significant features. Therefore, the site identified herein comprises the highest priority elements, based on known information, for the conservation of the study area's natural diversity.

Once a Conservation Site has been identified, the first step in protecting the sensitive species or communities is to delineate a preliminary conservation planning boundary. In developing these boundaries, Natural Heritage Program staff considered a number of factors. These included, but were not limited to:

- the extent of current and potential habitat for natural heritage resources, considering the ecological processes necessary to maintain or improve existing conditions;
- species movement and migration corridors;
- maintenance of surface water quality within the site and the surrounding watershed;
- maintenance of the hydrologic integrity of the groundwater, e.g. by protecting recharge zones;
- land intended to buffer the site against future changes in the use of surrounding lands;
- exclusion or control of invasive exotic species; and

- land necessary for management or monitoring activities.

As the label "conservation planning" indicates, the boundaries presented here are for planning purposes. They delineate ecologically sensitive areas where land-use practices should be carefully planned and managed to ensure that they are compatible with protection goals for natural heritage resources and sensitive species. All land within the conservation planning boundary should be considered an integral part of a complex economic, social, and ecological landscape that requires wise land-use planning at all levels.

## **Protection Tools**

Intensive land use in Colorado and multiple demands for many areas contribute to the continual degradation of many natural communities, endangered species habitats, and other types of natural areas. Best management practices can help protect critical buffers, but may not be adequate in the protection of sensitive species and sites. The first and most significant and proactive tool for protection is the continued identification of locations of rare species, natural communities, and the ecosystems that support them. Only with this information can informed decision-making occur.

This document provides base-level information to begin a planned protection effort of the significant biodiversity features within the Rock Creek drainage at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. By using careful planning, and a monitoring program, the significant elements of natural diversity identified herein will be adequately conserved.

## **REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **1. Develop an implementation plan for designation of the Rock Creek Site.**

This work has documented the existence of several elements deemed to be significant for the protection of Colorado's natural diversity. These elements have been incorporated into one conservation site for the Rock Creek drainage. This site is ranked B3 by CNHP and is considered of state, regional, and national significance. Designation of the Rocky Flats Environmental Site portion of this site should occur rapidly to respond to the urgency of threats. Designation of the Rock Creek Site as a National Environmental Research Park (NERP) is warranted. We also encourage DOE to recognize the area as a Research Natural Area (RNA). The significance of ongoing research activities combined with known ecological values would be formally recognized for their national importance.

**2. Incorporate the information included in this report in the review of activities in or near areas identified as significant.**

The area identified in this study is known to support unique or exemplary natural communities and rare species. As proposed activities within the RFETS are considered, they may be compared to the map presented herein. Should any proposed project potentially impact the Rock Creek Site, DOE can decide if it is desirable to contact persons, organizations, or agencies with expertise. The Colorado Division of Wildlife, Colorado Natural Areas Program, and Colorado Natural Heritage Program routinely conduct environmental reviews statewide and should be considered available resources.

**3. Increase public awareness of the benefits of protecting areas determined to be significant to Colorado's natural diversity.**

Natural lands are becoming ever more scarce especially in near proximity to densely populated metropolitan areas. Rare species will continue to decline if not given appropriate protective measures. Increasing the public's knowledge of the remaining significant areas will build support for the programmatic initiatives necessary to protect them. Such activities could be done through interpretive facilities, conferences or meetings to stimulate public involvement, and information pamphlets. Finally, it would be desirable for DOE to promote any protective designations to the public and scientific community to build awareness of DOE's commitment to the protection of sites of national ecological significance.

**4. Promote cooperation among pertinent organizations in the protection of natural diversity.**

The long-term protection of natural diversity at the site will be facilitated with the cooperation of many organizations. Personnel at the site have played a leadership role in attempting to incorporate diverse opinions in the planning process. Efforts to this end should continue, providing stronger ties among federal, state, and local and private interests involved in the protection or management of natural lands.

**5. Properly manage significant elements of natural diversity within the Rock Creek drainage of Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.**

The first step in accomplishing this recommendation would be the appropriate designation of the identified conservation site. In doing so, the development of management plans would be a necessary component of the site designation. Several organizations and agencies are available for consultation in the development of Management Plans for significant natural lands (e.g. Colorado Natural Areas Program, Jefferson County Open Space, the City of Boulder, The Nature Conservancy, and the CNHP). We would also encourage the development of partnerships that could research and develop techniques for

maintaining or restoring conservation sites to aid in the preservation of rare, threatened, or endangered species or significant natural communities (e.g. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Colorado Native Plant Society, The Nature Conservancy, and various academic institutions). Because some of the most serious threats to the Rock Creek ecosystem are off-site (altered hydrology, residential encroachment, exotic species invasion), these partnerships become essential to the long term protection of the area.

#### **6. Conduct further inventory efforts to assess other natural heritage resources.**

The seep shrublands need to be quantitatively evaluated. The similarity to other plant communities, the range, distribution, and naturalness of this community need to be evaluated. In addition, the area of xeric tallgrass prairie covered with lichen should be documented in more detail.

Several rare plants may potentially occur in the area. Field surveys for special concern plants should be conducted in the appropriate season (especially those not already searched for during the Baseline Biological Characterization (Department of Energy 1992) and by EG&G contractors (1993b).

There are several butterflies and moths of special concern known from the region with suitable habitat at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Preliminary butterfly and diurnal moth surveys were conducted at RFETS during the 1991 field season. Results were included in the 1992 Baseline Biological Characterization Report. Several moths and 54 butterflies were recorded, none of which are known to be rare. In 1994, 190 butterfly species are known from Boulder County, 181 butterfly species are known from Jefferson County, and 103 species are known from Denver County (Stanford 1994). Although these numbers reflect the diverse vegetation types and topography occurring in these counties, more than 54 species can be expected from the vicinity of RFETS.

Targeted searches for rare butterflies and moths using life history information should be conducted. At least one globally rare butterfly (*Hesperia ottoe*, G3<sup>2</sup>/S2) is known to occur very near the Site on habitat very similar to the Xeric tallgrass communities identified above. Two state rare butterflies, *Atrytone arogos* and *Polites origenes*, use Xeric tallgrass prairie species as larval host plants.

Other invertebrates possibly occurring at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, including aquatic species, may also be significant. Many terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates were collected and analyzed for the 1992 Baseline Biological Characterization Report. However, most have not been identified to the species level. Species level information is necessary in assessing conservation priorities.

Several special concern bird species have been recorded at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, although most cannot be considered occurrences of conservation significance. Field surveys designed to evaluate breeding status of special concern

species at RFETS may reveal new occurrences which will guide conservation and management decisions. Significant foraging grounds for peregrine falcons and bald eagles, and migratory concentration areas are also of interest.

Further surveys for natural heritage elements identified elsewhere on the plant site should be conducted to determine the extent and quality of these occurrences. Natural heritage element occurrences reported from the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, but not known from Rock Creek, include Merriam's shrew (*Sorex merriami*, G5/S3) and Fork-tipped three-awn (*Aristida basiramea*, G5/S?).

#### **7. Continue monitoring and life history investigation of Preble's meadow jumping mouse.**

Information from the studies being conducted at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site will be very valuable in determining proper conservation actions to protect this species. It is critical that this information is shared with other organizations and agencies so that they may fine tune their inventory efforts.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Andrews, R., and R. Righter. 1992. Colorado Birds. Denver Museum of Natural History, Denver. 442 pp.
- Armstrong, D. A. 1994. Personal communication to C. Pague.
- Armstrong, D. A. 1972. Distribution of mammals in Colorado. Monograph of the Mus. of Natural Hist., The University of Kansas. No. 3. 415 pp.
- Bock, C. E., and J. H. Bock. 1988. Grassland birds in southeastern Arizona: impacts of fire, grazing, and alien vegetation. pp. 43-58 IN: Ecology and Conservation of Grassland Birds (P. D. Goriup, ed). International Council for Bird Preservation Technical Publication No. 7.
- Bourgeron, P. S. and L. D. Engelking. eds. 1994. A preliminary vegetation classification of the Western United States. Unpublished report prepared by the Western Heritage Task Force for The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, CO.
- Branson, F. A., R. F. Miller, and I. S. McQueen. 1965. Plant communities and soil moisture relationships near Denver, Colorado. Ecology 46(3): 311-319.
- Braun, C. E., R. B. Davies, J. R. Dennis, K. A. Green, and J. L. Sheppard. 1992. Plains sharp-tailed grouse recovery plan. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver. 31 pp.
- Colorado Natural Heritage Program. 1994. Biological and Conservation Database. Colorado State University. Ft. Collins, Colorado.
- Compton, S. A. and R. D. Hugie. 1993. Status report on *Zapus hudsonius preblei*, a candidate endangered subspecies. Status report prepared by Pioneer Environmental Consulting Services Inc., Logan, Utah. Under contract to U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 31pp.
- Ebasco Biologists. 1992. Information on Preble's meadow jumping mouse at Rocky Flats Plant. Informal report to the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Golden, CO.
- EG&G. 1993a. Report of findings: 2nd Year Survey for Preble's Jumping Mouse. Prepared by Stoeker Ecological Consultants for ESCO Associates, Inc., Boulder, CO.
- EG&G. 1993b. Report of findings: Ute Ladies' Tresses and Colorado Butterfly Weed Surveys. Prepared by ESCO Associates, Inc., Boulder, CO.
- EG&G. 1992. Report of Findings: Survey for Preble's Jumping Mouse. Prepared by Stoeker Ecological Consultants for ESCO Associates, Inc., Boulder, CO.

- Fitzgerald, J. P., C. A. Meaney, and D. A. Armstrong. In press. Mammals of Colorado. To be published by the Colorado Associated University Press, Boulder, CO.
- Gregg, R. E. 1963. The Ants of Colorado. University of Colorado Press, Boulder. 792pp.
- Hammerson, G. A. 1982. Amphibians and Reptiles in Colorado. Colorado Division of Wildlife. State Publication Code: DOW-M-I-27-82. Denver. 131 pp.
- Harrington, Fred. 1994. Personal communication to C. Pague.
- Hansen, P., K. Boggs, R. Pfister, and J. Joy. 1991. Classification and Management of Riparian and Wetland Sites in Montana. Montana Riparian Association. Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, School of Forestry, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana. 478 pp.
- Howe, H. F. 1994. Managing species diversity in tallgrass prairie: Assumptions and implications. *Conservation Biology* 8(3): 691-704.
- Kettler, S. 1994. Personal observation. Field notes of Aug. 5, Aug. 31, and Sept. 1 on file at the Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Ft. Collins, CO.
- Kingery, H. E. 1990. Colorado Bird Atlas. Field Worker's Handbook. 19 pp.
- Murdock, M. 1994. Personal communication to S. Simonson.
- Mutel, C. F. and J. C. Emerick. 1992. From Grassland to Glacier: The Natural History of Colorado and the Surrounding Region. Johnson Books, Boulder. 290 pp.
- Pague, C. A., R. Rondeau, and M. Duff. 1993. Natural Heritage Inventory of Jefferson County, Colorado. Prepared for Jefferson County Open Space by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. 120 pp.
- Stanford, R. E. 1994. Butterfly Species, known and projected, Colorado Counties. 28th revision. Denver, CO.
- Terborgh, J. 1988. Where Have All the Birds Gone? Princeton Univ. Press.
- Temple, S. A. 1991. Conservation biology: New goals and new partners for managers of biological resources. As cited in Primack, R. B. 1993. Essentials of conservation biology. Sinauer Associates Inc. Sunderland, Massachusetts. 564pp.
- U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1994. Rocky Flats Plant: Draft Wetland Mapping and Resource Study. Prepared for U. S. Department of Energy, Golden, Colorado by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District.

- U. S. Department of Agriculture. 1980. Soil Survey of Golden Area, Colorado. Soil Conservation Service in Cooperation with Jefferson County and the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. Parts of Denver, Douglas, Jefferson, and Park Counties.
- U. S. Department of Energy. 1994a. Annual Report: Ecological Monitoring Program. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U. S. Department of Energy. 1994b. FY93 Annual Wildlife Survey Report: Resource Protection Program. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U. S. Department of Energy. 1994c. Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*) Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Habitat Tour. August 23, 1994. Golden, CO.
- U. S. Department of Energy. 1993. Watershed Management Plan for Rocky Flats. Rocky Flats Office. Golden, Colorado.
- U. S. Department of Energy. 1992. Final Report: Baseline biological characterization of the terrestrial and aquatic habitats at the Rocky Flats Plant. Rocky Flats Plant. Golden, Colorado.
- U. S. Department of Energy. 1980. Final Environmental Impact Statement: Rocky Flats Plant Site, Golden, Jefferson County, Colorado. Volumes 1, 2, and 3. U. S. Department of Energy Report, Washington, D.C. DOE/EIS-0064.
- Vestal, A. G. 1919. Phytogeography of the eastern mountain-front in Colorado. Botanical Gazette 68(3): 153-193.
- Weber, W. A. 1976. Rocky Mountain Flora. Colorado Associated University Press, Boulder. 479 pp.
- West, N. E. 1993. Biodiversity of rangelands. Journal of Range Management 46(1):2-13.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our special thanks to Kevin Essington for his invaluable help in gathering the existing data and helping coordinate with CNHP on the project. Various personnel with DOE, EG&G, and their contractors provided vital data from the site. We would like to thank John Wegrzyn, Fred Harrington, Marcia Murdock, Gail Hill, Mark Bateman, and Frank Vertucci for their information and input. We also would like to thank Bernardo Garza of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service for information on Preble's meadow jumping mouse.