T-1376

ADSORPTION OF GASES ON
HETEROGENEOUS SURFACE

by

Karan S. Gaur
A Thesis submitted to the Faculty and the Board of Trustees of the Colorado School of Mines in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Chemical and Petroleum Refining Engineering.

Signed: Karan S. Gaur

Golden, Colorado
Date: may 10, 1971

Approved: Thesis Advisor

Golden, Colorado
Date: may 19, 1971
A static system was used to measure the pure component isotherms of freon-21(CHFCl₂) and ethyl chloride. The measurements were made at 323°K and at pressure range from 0 to 695 mm of mercury. Binary mixture measurements were also made at the same temperature and at the system pressure of 560 mm of mercury. The simplified method of Kidnay and Myers was used to predict mixture isotherms using only the pure component isotherms.
Affectionately

to

my mother
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE OF CONTENTS</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATION</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTENTS OF APPENDIX</td>
<td>vii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</td>
<td>ix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEORY OF ADSORPTION</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorbent</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorbates</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Component Measurements</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of the Dead Volume of the system</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactivation of the Adsorbent</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of the Pure Gas Adsorption</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture Measurements</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactivation of the Adsorbent</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of the Gas Mixtures</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatographic Analysis of the Gas-Phase at Equilibrium</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Gases Using Gas Chromotography</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Sampling</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chromotograph Column................................................. 18
Column Conditioning.................................................... 19
Chromotograph detector............................................... 20
RESULTS.......................................................................... 22
Pure Component Adsorption Isotherms.............................. 22
Binary Mixture Adsorption Isotherms............................... 25
SUMMARY.......................................................................... 27
NOTATION.......................................................................... 28
REFERENCES...................................................................... 30
APPENDIX.......................................................................... 33
# CONTENTS OF THE APPENDIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.  PURE COMPONENT DATA</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dead Volume data</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead Volume in Air data</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Ethyl Chloride data</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Freon-21 data</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. CALCULATION OF PURE COMPONENT MEASUREMENTS</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample calculation for Total Dead Volume of the System</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Calculation for Pure Gas(Freon-21)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. BINARY MIXTURE ADSORPTION DATA</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. CALCULATION OF MIXTURE MEASUREMENTS</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.  PREDICTION OF MIXTURE ISOTHERMS</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. ERROR ESTIMATION</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

vii
## LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Flow diagram for pure component measurements..</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Flow diagram for mixture measurements ..........</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Temperature-sensing bridge........................</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Adsorption isotherms for pure gases .............</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Binary mixture isotherms .......................</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation for the guidance he received from Dr. A.J. Kidnay during the course of this investigation.

Thanks are also due to professors J.O. Golden and A.J. Boes for their participation on the thesis committee.
INTRODUCTION

Adsorption has been an important method of commercial and laboratory separations. Solid adsorbents are commonly employed in industrial catalytic reactors. The surfaces of these adsorbents are heterogeneous with respect to their adsorption potential; that is the interaction energy between an adsorbed molecule and its surface site is not uniform over the surface. Homogeneous surfaces are of interest mainly for fundamental research and for theoretical purposes. These surfaces are of no commercial interest. Heterogeneity is of major importance in the monolayer region of adsorption, since it is in this region that molecules come in direct contact with the adsorbing surface.

Many studies have been made of physical adsorption of pure gases on solid surfaces, and attempts to extend such studies to gaseous mixtures have also received much attention. Only relatively few papers are to be found in literature which discuss mixture adsorption equilibria.
During the past, mixed gas adsorption calculations were mainly made by deriving a mixture adsorption isotherm by combining the constants from the isotherms for the pure components. This treatment is, of course, analogous to the method used in developing equations of state for gas mixtures. This approach to mixture adsorption, however, has been based on many untenable assumptions and on empirical arguments.

Recently, two major advances have been made in the theory of gas mixture adsorption; the first is due to Myers and Prausnitz (1) and the second is due to Hoory and Prausnitz (2). Myers and Prausnitz (1) present a thermodynamic analysis for gas mixture adsorption that is similar to that used for vapor-liquid equilibria. They define an ideal adsorbed solution, and develop equations for calculating the activity coefficients of the adsorbed phase. Attempts have been made by Kidnay and Myers (3) to modify the equations of Myers and Prausnitz (1). Henson and Kabel (4) further extended the development of Myers and Prausnitz (1) to include adsorption from a saturated vapor. Hoory and Prasnit (2) generalize the equations developed by Ross and Oliver (5) for pure gases to the adsorption of gas mixtures. The development of Hoory and Prausnitz (2) differs from that of Myers and Prausnitz (1) in two respects: first they relate nonideality of mixing in the monolayer to constants in a two-dimensional equation of state, and second they introduce surface heterogeneity in an explicit manner through the use of distribution function.
The objective of this research was to make a series of measurements on the adsorption of selected pure gases and their binary mixtures on heterogeneous surfaces. The adsorbent selected was Linde Molecular Sieves 13 x, a highly porous material. The gases selected were freon-21 and ethyl chloride. The experimental data obtained provide a good comparision with the predicted mixed gas adsorption values.
AdSORPTION OF GAS ON A SOLID PARTICLE CAN BE DESCRIBED BY A THREE-STEP MECHANISM:

1) Diffusion of the component from the mainstream of the gas to the external surface of the adsorbent particle (external diffusion).
2) Diffusion into the particle through pores (intraparticle diffusion), and
3) Adsorption on the interface of the pore.

The customary method of correlating adsorption equilibria involves isothermal treatment of the data. Many adsorption isotherms for single-component systems have been proposed over the years. Among the first was the Freundlich isotherm:

\[ N = K P^{1/n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In recent years this form has been derived on theoretical grounds (6). The Langmuir isotherm (7) in its simplest form:

\[ N = \frac{aP}{1 + bP} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
has been widely used to fit isothermal adsorption data and was originally derived from thermodynamic principles assuming adsorption in a single molecular layer. It has been rederived from kinetic(8) and statistical (9) considerations as well. The equation has also been derived by Schay (10) without resorting to the limitation of no molecular interaction present in the original derivations. If the adsorption is multilayer, the more general isotherm of Brunauer, Emmet and Teller (11) is a good starting point. More sophisticated approaches seem necessary when dealing with systems where Knudsen flow and internal molecular adsorption exist.

Adsorption of multicomponent mixtures has received less attention than pure-component adsorption; although industrial applications commonly deal with mixtures. Markham and Benton (12) extended Langmuirs isotherm to mixtures by deriving results in two equations which assume no interaction between the adsorbed components. Schay (13) has developed a similar equation which considers interaction of the components and gives a better prediction of adsorption from mixtures especially at higher partial pressures. In the model these interaction coefficients, $\beta_i$, are a complex function of the two-dimensional residual volumes of the components of the mixture, the maximum capacity of the adsorbent, and the adsorbent area. The resulting equation for multicomponent adsorption becomes

$$N_i = \frac{A_i P_i / \beta_i}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (b_j P_j / \beta_j)}$$

(3)
The potential theory of adsorption was first advanced and developed by Polanyi (14,15,16,17) and used by others, such as Lewis (8,18) and Dubinin (19). The theory, based on thermodynamic principles, states that enough work must be supplied to compress the gaseous molecules into their adsorbed state such that

\[ E_1 = \int_{u_0}^{u} V_dP \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( E_1 \) is the work required to compress molecules from the gas phase to adsorbate in the \( i \)th layer. This work or adsorption potential varies from zero for the outer layer to some maximum at the solid-adsorbate interface. The adsorption potential \( E_1 \) is a characteristic function of the amount of adsorbed material. A characteristic curve for a given adsorbate-adsorbent system may be established:

\[ E = \varphi(v) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Once it has been determined for a temperature the curve will yield adsorption isotherms at any other temperature. This theory has been used to correlate adsorption data, but no critical test has been possible because the adsorbed phase density has never been evaluated or predicted successfully.
MATERIALS

Adsorbent:

The adsorbent selected for this study was Linde Molecular Sieves 13x. The sieve used was in the form of 1/16" in. pellets.

The Linde sieve 13x is a Gaussian adsorbent, whose heterogeniety is described by a Gaussian distribution of adsorptive potentials. It is a synthetic Zeolite (Calcium substituted alumina silicate) based on the faujasite structural framework. It possesses high porosity, with pores (in reality, lattice vacancies) of uniform size and essentially molecular dimensions. It adsorbs small molecules only, is selective on molecular shape, and have a particular affinity for unsaturated and polar molecules. The opening into the cavity was about 5Å in diameter.

Molecular sieves are used primarily in gas treatment but also are effective for drying organic liquids.

Adsorbates:

The gases selected for this investigation were freon-21
and ethyl chloride. The gases were of research grade, with purities of 99.95%. Freon-21 is colorless, non-flammable, non-toxic, and is mainly used as a refrigerent and as a solvent. Ethyl chloride is colorless, highly flammable and toxic. It is mainly used as refrigerent and solvent. Both the gases have approximately the same thermal conductivity.
EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE

In the first phase of the investigation, pure component measurements were made. The flow-diagram for the apparatus is shown in Figure 1. In the second phase of the investigation, the equipment was modified to allow mixture measurements to be made. The flow diagram for the mixture adsorption is shown in Figure 2. The pure gas data are tabulated in appendix 1 and the mixture data are tabulated in appendix 3.

Pure Component Measurements

The pure component measurements were made in three steps:

Measurement of the dead volume of the system,*
Reactivation of the adsorbent,
Measurement of the pure gas adsorption.

Measurement of the dead volume of the system: The step-wise procedure is as follows:

a. Keep valve 4 and 5 closed and start vacuum pump 1

* The adsorption system includes everything right of valve 1 to the reference point on the left leg of the manometer.
DESCRIPTION OF SYMBOLS
USED IN FLOW SHEET

1. Pure gas cylinder
2. Helium gas cylinder
3. 100 cc gas burette
4. Constant temperature water bath
5. Adsorbent cell
6. Constant volume mercury manometer with 10 mm ID bore tubing.
7. Mercury trap
8. Molecular sieve column for pressure drops
9. Mercury trap
10. Molecular sieve column for pressure drops
    Valves 1 and 6 are 1/8" OD Whitey
    Valves 2 and 5 are 1/4" OD Whitey
    Valves I and IV are two way glass stopper
    Valves II and III are three way glass stopper
    Vacuum pump 1 and 2 are two vacuum pumps
Figure 1  Flow diagram for pure component measurement
b. Open valves 4 and 5 simultaneously so that mercury in reservoir under the manometer does not rise in the legs of manometer. Open valves 6 and I to pull a vacuum on the back line and gas-burette. Keep valves II and IV closed.

c. After about two hours, close valve 6 and open valve 2. Allow helium to flow in the burette by opening valve I.

d. Open valve IV and bring the gas-burette to atmospheric pressure with helium. Adjust the mercury in both arms of the burette to the same level by opening valve II to vacuum line or the pressure line. This is the initial reading of the burette.

e. Raise mercury in the manometer to isolate the adsorption system by opening valve III to the pressure line. Initially under good vacuum, the mercury in the manometer legs will be at the same level. Allow some helium to flow in the system by opening valve I to the system. Again bring the mercury in both arms of the burette to the same level. This will be the final burette reading.

f. Bring the mercury in the manometer to the reference point by adjusting valve III to the pressure line or the vacuum line. The mercury level at the reference point keeps the system volume constant. Read the system pressure i.e. difference in mercury-level in both legs
of manometer.

g. Note the following things:
Atmospheric pressure and temperature, initial and final burette readings, system pressure and room temperature. See appendix 2 for the calculation of the dead volume of the system.

h. Add more helium to increase the system pressure differentially to obtain some more readings.

Reactivation of Adsorbent: Repeat steps a and b of procedure I for about 24 hours while heating the adsorbent cell to about 380°F in a wax-bath and keeping valve 2 closed. The wax used for heating was petroleum wax with melting point range 112-124°F and flash point range 410-430°F. The wax was melted in a 500 ml beaker. The temperature was controlled by using a powerstat.

Measurement of the pure gas adsorption: The step-wise procedure is as follows:

a. Close valves I and 6. Allow one of the gases to flow in the gas-burette by opening valves I and 1. Open valve IV to allow the gas in the burette to come to atmospheric pressure. Bring the mercury to the same level in both arms of the burette. This is the initial burette reading.

b. Remove the wax bath from around the adsorbent cell and replace it with a water bath adjusted to maintain a temperature of 50°C.
c. Repeat steps e through g as in the case of dead volume measurements.

Repeat the steps above for another gas. See appendix 1 for tabulation of the pure gas measurements.
DESCRIPTION OF SYMBOLS
USED IN FLOW SHEET

1. Pure gas cylinder
2. Helium gas cylinder
3. 100 cc gas burette
4. Constant temperature water bath
5. Adsorbent cell
6. Constant volume mercury manometer with 10 mm ID bore tubing.
7. Mercury trap
8. Gas chromatograph
9. Mercury trap
10. Molecular sieve column for pressure drop
    Valves 1, 6 and 8 through 10 are 1/8" OD Whitey
    Valves 2 through 5 and 7 are 1/4" OD Whitey
    Valves I and IV are two way glass stopper
    Valves II and III are three way glass stopper
    V.P. 1 and 2 are two Vacuum pump
    C.P. circulation pump.
Procedure for Mixture Isotherm

Measurements for binary mixtures were made in 3 steps:

Reactivation of the adsorbent

Introduction of the gas mixtures

Chromatographic analysis of the gas-phase at equilibrium

Reactivation of adsorbent: First fill the system between valves 8, 9 and 10 with helium. Close the valves 8, 9 and 10 and reactivate the adsorbent as in step II of the procedure for pure component measurements. The reason for isolating part of the system is that the chromatograph sample valve is not entirely leak-proof. The rest of the system is leak-tight so at atmospheric system pressure we can make mixture measurements. After about 24 hours, remove the wax bath.

Introduction of the gas mixtures: The step-wise procedure is as follows:

a. Close valve 6. Open valve 1 to let one of the pure gas into the burette. Close valve I and measure the volume of gas in the burette by opening valve IV and bringing the mercury to the same level in both arms of the burette. Note the reading. The volume of gas is the burette reading plus the uncalibrated volume of burette.

b. Change the pure gas cylinder and evacuate the line from the gas-cylinder to valve 6 by opening valve 6. After half an hour, close valve 6 and open valve 1. Slowly open valve I and let the gas into the burette. Close
valves I and 1. Bring the mercury to the same level and note the reading. The volume of gas introduced in this step is the difference between the two burette readings. These two volume gives the composition of the binary mixtures. Raise and lower mercury in burette few time by means of valve II to mix the gases.

c. Raise the mercury in manometer legs by opening valve III to the pressure line, and open valve I to the adsorption system to let the gas mixture in the burette flow in. At the same time put the constant temperature water bath under the adsorbent and adjust it to maintain the bath temperature at 50°C. Note the volume of the mixture introduced.

d. The system pressure does not reach atmospheric pressure and so another mixture of about the same composition is prepared and introduced into the system to bring the system pressure to about atmospheric pressure.

e. Open valves 8 and 10. Keep valves 9 closed and switch on the circulation pump to circulate the mixture around the system. After about 26 to 30 hours switch-off the circulation pump and run the chromatographic analysis of the gas mixture in the sample loop. At this time read and note atmospheric pressure and temperature, the temperature of the water bath and the system pressure.

Chromatographic analysis of the gas-phase at equilibrium: The
step-wise procedure is as follows:

a. Set the helium carrier gas flow through the chrom to-
    graph to about 10 psig. Switch on the recorder and
    thermal conductivity circuit and allow few minutes to
    stabilize the recorder.

b. During the introduction of gas mixture, the sample
    valve remains in position 1 so that it is connected to
    the system. The sample valve is now switched to
    position two so that the equilibrium gas mixture in the
    loop will be carried to the chromatograph. Measure
    and record the peak heights. Since the thermal
    conductivities of the two gases are about same, the
    peak heights were used to get the compositions.

Repeat the above steps at various compositions at a
fixed pressure and temperature for the system.
Analysis of Gases using Gas Chromatography:

Gas chromatographic technique was used in this study to determine the equilibrium composition of gases in a binary mixture.

Chromatography is a physical method of separation in which the components to be separated are distributed between two phases, one of which is a stationary bed of large area and the other, a fluid that percolates through the stationary bed.

The gas chromatograph utilized in this investigation was manufactured by Podbielniak Inc. It consists of a carrier gas system, gas and liquid injection system, column and detection system.

Gas Sampling:

Gas samples are usually injected either by a gas-tight syringe or a gas-sampling valve.

The gas-sampling valve used in this investigation was the Perkin-Elmer precision type. It is mainly a rotating stainless steel body and Teflon valve rotor. In one position a sample loop of known volume is filled at a known pressure and then swept into the column when the valve is rotated to the second position. Valves should permit reproducible injection to within 1/2 percent.

Chromatograph Column:

The preparation of a packed gas chromatograph column may be divided into five separate steps. The solid support must be obtained and subjected to particle-size grading. It
may also be treated with various chemicals to modify it in way. The stationary phase must be obtained or made. This is the material which is responsible for the separation, and may be a silicone fluid, a polyester or any number of relatively low-vapor-pressure materials; the stationary phase must be coated on the support. The next step is to pack the column with the coated packing. Finally the column must be conditioned prior to use.

The gas chromatograph column was manufactured by Beckman Corporation. Following are the data on this column:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type:</th>
<th>GC-2A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material:</td>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions:</td>
<td>length - 12 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OD - 1/4&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition liquid:</td>
<td>Dow Corning 200-500 (20% weight ratio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert Support:</td>
<td>Chrom P (42/60 mesh size)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Recommended Temperature:</td>
<td>250°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Usable Temperature:</td>
<td>275°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column Conditioning:

There are three reasons for conditioning columns prior to use:

1. To reduce background due to column bleed.
2. To ensure column stability at the operating temperature.
3. To avoid contamination of detectors, feed-throughs, etc.
   by partition liquid light ends.

   The time and temperature for conditioning will vary with
   the type of partition liquid and the percentage coating, the
   sensitivity required for the analysis, whether temperature
   programming is to be used and to some extent on the column
   length, diameter and flow rate. The column outlet should be
   disconnected during the conditioning period to avoid accumulation
   of stationary phase or its decomposition products in the
   detector.

   **Chromotograph Detector:**

   The detector used in this instrument is of thermal
   conductivity type. The thermal conductivity unit has the
   advantage of being moderate in cost, and satisfactorily sensitive
   to any sample component having different conductivity than
   the carrier gas. This sensitivity arises from the fact that
   a thermal conductivity detector is basically a wheatstone
   bridge, which is among the most sensitive of the simple
   electrical measuring circuits.

   The characteristic of thermal conductivity detectors
   which makes them responsive to changes in effluent gas composition
   also makes them responsive to a very small temperature changes
   due to other factors. An easy calculation, based on the
   equations in Fig. 3, will show that a temperature differential
   between the sensing resistance filaments of only 0.0005°C will
\[ E_{\text{out}} = \frac{\Delta R I}{2} \]

\[ (\Delta R = R_S - R_R) \]

\[ I_{\text{out}} = \frac{E_{\text{out}}}{R_R + R_S + R_{\text{INS}} - R + R_{\text{LEADS}}} \]

**Figure 3. TEMPERATURE - SENSING BRIDGE**
produce an output of 5 v, or 0.5% full scale pen movement in a 1-mv recorder, with a detector current of 300 ma. With thermistor-sensing elements the same 5 v output will be caused by 0.00015°C change in one element of a detector at 200°C. Only a 0.00007°C change would cause a 5 v output from a detector at 25°C.

Such small temperature changes can be caused by variations in carrier gas flow, drafts on the instrument, line voltage variations on the heating element.

Other characteristics of test samples, such as specific heat and density as well as operational parameters of temperature, gas turbulence in the filament zones, and radiant and conductive heat exchange between detector body and filament also measurably influence the detector response to various sample vapors.
RESULTS

The results are discussed in two parts:
Pure component adsorption isotherms
Binary mixture adsorption isotherms

Pure Component Adsorption Isotherms

The isotherms of pure freon-21 and ethyl chloride are presented in figure 4, and the results are tabulated in Table 1. The results are reported as volume adsorbed at STP per gram of adsorbent as a function of equilibrium system pressure in mm of mercury.

Patel (32) has studied the adsorption of freon-21 and ethyl chloride on sterling FT-D5 carbon black (Homogeneous surface). The results are given in Table 2.

Comparing the results obtained by him with the results of this study, it seems that the amount of the gases adsorbed at STP per gram of adsorbent is considerably higher in the case of molecular sieve. The probable reason for the higher adsorption in case of molecular sieve may be its high
Figure 4. Adsorption of Freon-21 and Ethyl chloride on Linde Molecular Sieve 13X at 323K.

Vol. adsorbed (STP) ml/gm of adsorbent
Table 1

Pure Component Isotherms (Linde Molecular Sieve 13X)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (mm of Hg)</th>
<th>Amount adsorbed (cc(STP)/gm.)</th>
<th>Pressure (mm of Hg)</th>
<th>Amount adsorbed (cc(STP)/gm.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>54.25</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>57.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.0</td>
<td>64.60</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>62.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>63.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407.0</td>
<td>70.76</td>
<td>333.0</td>
<td>66.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493.0</td>
<td>76.05</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>67.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556.0</td>
<td>77.51</td>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>70.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.0</td>
<td>80.03</td>
<td>570.0</td>
<td>72.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>695.0</td>
<td>75.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Table 2
Pure Component Isotherms (Sterling FT-D5 Carbon Black)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freon-21, 323°K</th>
<th>Ethyl chloride, 323°K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (mm of Hg)</td>
<td>Amount adsorbed (cc (STP)/gram)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262.6</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377.9</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466.0</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573.1</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data taken from Patel(32) thesis
porosity and its molecular structure.

**Binary Adsorption Isotherms**

The isotherms for mixtures of freon-21 and ethyl chloride are shown in figure 5 and are tabulated in Table 3.

There are a number of techniques in the literature for predicting mixture adsorption isotherms using pure component isotherms and most of these are summarized by Young and Crowell(22). The simplified method of Kidnay and Myers (3) was used in this study.

A comparison of calculated and experimental values are shown in figure 5. Details of the calculations are in given in Appendix 5.

The results presented in Table 3 shows that the total amount adsorbed per gram of adsorbent is independent of the composition. The results obtained by Patel (32) for the adsorption of the mixture of freon-21 and ethyl chloride on carbon black (Sterling FT-D5) were in good agreement with the results by Kidnay and Myers simplified method. However, in the present study the results for the adsorption of the same gases on Linde Molecular Sieve 13X were in poor agreement and deviated considerably from those predicted by Kidnay and Myers simplified method. The disagreement between experimental and predicted results in this study may be mainly due to the non-ideality that exists in the adsorbed phase. It is quite likely also because of contamination of gas streams and the molecular sieve bed.
Figure 5

△ Ethyl chloride
○ Freon-21
--- Experimental
--- Predicted by Kidnay and Myers
  simplified method
Figure 5. Freon-21 Ethyl chloride adsorption on Linde Molecular Sieve 13X, at 323°K
Table 3

Mixture Adsorption Isotherms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Pressure mm of Hg</th>
<th>Amount Adsorbed cc(STP)/gm</th>
<th>Gas-phase Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>Ethyl Chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Freon-21 Ethyl Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>48.02</td>
<td>28.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>22.88</td>
<td>52.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>50.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>59.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>63.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>34.84</td>
<td>41.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Pressure = 560 mm of mercury
The pure component isotherms of freon-21 and ethyl chloride were measured at 323°K and in the pressure range of 0 to 695 mm of mercury. Binary adsorption isotherms of mixtures at various compositions were measured at 323°K and at 560 mm of mercury. The adsorbent used was Linde Molecular Sieve 13x pellets. The experimental values are in poor agreement with the mixture values predicted by Kidnay and Myers method.

It is hoped that the results of this study may help to the basic understanding of the adsorption process and thereby aid in the development of quantitative design for industrial use.
NOTATION

a  Langmuir constant, mg. moles/atm. gm
A  constant, mg. moles/gm atm.
b  Langmuir constant, 1/atm
E  work of compression, adsorption
    potential; calories/gm mole
K  Freundlich constant, mg. moles
n  Freundlich exponential constant
N  mg. moles adsorbed/gm adsorbent
P  pressure, atm
R  gas constant
T  temperature, °K
T_bath  temperature of water bath
T_atm  atmospheric temperature
T_mano  temperature surrounding manometer
v  volume adsorbate, cc.
V  Volume cc.
Y mole fraction in gas phase

Greek letters

\[ \beta \] Schay's interaction constant, dimensionless
\[ \sigma \] density, mg. moles/cc.
\[ \omega \] function of

Subscripts

a adsorbate state
.g gaseous state
i \( i \)th layer
j \( j \)th layer

superscript \( o \) refers to temperature of 0°C
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Table 1

Total dead volume measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_{atm}$ mm of Hg</th>
<th>$T_{atm}$ deg C</th>
<th>$V_{initial}$ ml</th>
<th>$V_{final}$ ml</th>
<th>$P_{system}$ mm of Hg</th>
<th>$T_{manometer}$ deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>383.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>501.0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>47.90</td>
<td>574.0</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>47.90</td>
<td>41.50</td>
<td>658.0</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Dead volume measurements of the system that remains at ambient conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_{\text{atm}}$ mm of Hg</th>
<th>$T_{\text{atm}}$ deg C</th>
<th>$V_{\text{initial}}$ ml</th>
<th>$V_{\text{final}}$ ml</th>
<th>$P_{\text{system}}$ mm of Hg</th>
<th>$T_{\text{manometer}}$ deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>615.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>83.90</td>
<td>83.20</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>83.20</td>
<td>76.00</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>76.00</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>405.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>560.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>41.20</td>
<td>636.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Pure ethyl chloride measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patm mm of Hg</th>
<th>Tatm deg C</th>
<th>Vinitial ml</th>
<th>Vfinal ml</th>
<th>Psystem mm of Hg</th>
<th>T\text{bath} deg C</th>
<th>T\text{manometer} deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>613.1</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>91.50</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612.9</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>24.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614.9</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>91.80</td>
<td>78.90</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>60.90</td>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>61.50</td>
<td>51.40</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>50.90</td>
<td>43.60</td>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620.8</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>43.50</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>570.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>695.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4

Pure Freon-21 measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_{\text{atm}}$ mm of Hg</th>
<th>$T_{\text{atm}}$ deg C</th>
<th>$V_{\text{initial}}$ ml</th>
<th>$V_{\text{final}}$ ml</th>
<th>$P_{\text{system}}$ mm of Hg</th>
<th>$T_{\text{bath}}$ deg C</th>
<th>$T_{\text{manometer}}$ deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>610.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>99.10</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>91.80</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>98.60</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td>220.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616.9</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>80.90</td>
<td>69.90</td>
<td>330.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>69.60</td>
<td>59.60</td>
<td>407.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>493.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>47.40</td>
<td>40.90</td>
<td>556.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>40.70</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>615.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**APPENDIX II**

Table 1

Pure component calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dead volume of whole system</th>
<th>Dead volume of system at ambient condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{system}}$ (mm of Hg)</td>
<td>$V_{\text{Volume}}$ (ml.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>47.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383.5</td>
<td>47.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501.0</td>
<td>47.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>574.0</td>
<td>47.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>658.0</td>
<td>47.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pure ethyl chloride</th>
<th>Pure freon-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{system}}$ mm of Hg.</td>
<td>Vol. ad(STP)/gm ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>57.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>62.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>63.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.0</td>
<td>66.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433.0</td>
<td>67.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>70.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570.0</td>
<td>72.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>695.0</td>
<td>75.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample calculation for total dead volume of the system:

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 622.0 \text{ mm of Hg at } 24.8^\circ \text{C read from barometer.} \]

The above pressure reading is corrected to 0°C by taking manometric corrections from the Hand book of Chemistry by Lange, 10th edition p. 1696-7.

For the above pressure reading at 23.2°C, the correction is 2.5 mm of Hg.

Therefore \( P_{\text{atm}} \) at 0°C = 619.5 mm of Hg.

The system pressure is read from the manometer and is corrected for the temperature effect.

\[ P_{\text{system at T manometer}} = 162.5 \text{ mm of Hg at 23.3°C} \]

Since area of leg of manometer is same then the differential height read at T°C should be corrected to 0°C as follows

\[ \rho_{\text{Hg at T°C}} \times A \times h_{\text{at T°C}} = \rho_{\text{Hg at 0°C}} \times A \times h_{\text{at 0°C}} \]

Therefore

\[ h_{\text{at 0°C}} = h_0 = P_{\text{system}} = \frac{h_{\text{at T°C}} \times \rho_{\text{Hg at T°C}}}{\rho_{\text{Hg at 0°C}} = \frac{P_{\text{system}} \times \rho_T}{\rho_0}} \]
where $\rho_T = \text{density of mercury at } T^\circ C$
and $\rho_o = \text{density of mercury at } 0^\circ C$

From Lange's handbook of chemistry (p.1119)

at $23.3^\circ C \quad \rho_{\text{Hg}} = 13.538 \text{ gm/ml}$
and at $0^\circ C \quad \rho_{\text{Hg}} = 13.596 \text{ gm/ml}$

Therefore

$$P_{\text{system at } 0^\circ C} = \frac{13.538}{13.596} \times 162.5 \text{ mm of Hg}$$

$$= 161.5 \text{ mm of Hg.}$$

Now the volume of Helium introduced into the system is equal to $91.60 - 79.30 = 12.30 \text{ ml}$. The dead volume of the system is therefore

$$V_d = \text{dead volume} = V_{\text{intro}} \times \frac{P_{\text{atm}}}{P_{\text{system}}}$$

$$= \frac{12.30 \text{ ml} \times 619.5 \text{ mm of Hg}}{161.5 \text{ mm of Hg}}$$

$$= 47.20 \text{ ml}$$

The same procedure is followed in the case of the dead volume of the system at ambient condition.

**Sample calculation for pure gas (freon-21)**

Barometric pressure corrected to $0^\circ C$

$P_{\text{atm}} = 610.4 \text{ mm of Hg at } 25.0^\circ C$

Barometric correction is $2.5 \text{ mm of Hg}$

Therefore $P_{\text{atm}}^o = 610.4 - 2.5$

$$= 607.9 \text{ mm of Hg.}$$

System pressure correction

$P_{\text{system}} = 40.2 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{mano}} = 22.9^\circ C$

$\rho_{\text{Hg at Tmano}} = \rho_T = 13.539$
\[ \rho_{\text{Hg}} \text{ at } 0^\circ \text{C} = \rho_0 = 13.596 \]

\[ P_{\text{system at } 0^\circ \text{C}} = P_0 \text{system} = P_{\text{system}} \times \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_0} \]

\[ = \frac{40.2 \times 13.539}{13.596} \]

\[ = 40.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \]

Volume of gas introduced at atmospheric condition is corrected to 760.0 mm of Hg and 0°C (STP) using ideal gas equation.

Therefore,

\[ \text{Volume introduced (STP)} = \frac{273.15}{T_{\text{atm}}} \times \frac{P_{\text{atm}}}{760.0} \times V_{\text{intro}} \]

Therefore,

\[ \text{Volume introduced (STP)} = \frac{273.15 \times 607.90}{298.15 \times 760.0} \times 77.10 \]

\[ = 56.50 \text{ ml} \]

A part of adsorption system remains in air and part of the system remains in the constant temperature water bath.

dead volume of system = dead volume of system + dead volume in the air of system in water bath.

47.20 ml = 46.00 ml + 1.20 ml

So for the system pressure of 40.0 mm of Hg 46.00 ml pure gas is at \( P_0^{\text{sys}} \text{ and } T_{\text{mano}} \text{ and } 1.20 \text{ ml} \) pure gas is at \( P_0^{\text{sys}} \text{ and } T_{\text{bath}} \).

Therefore,

\[ \text{Volume of gas(} \text{STP)} \text{ in the system} = \frac{46.0 \times 273.15 \times 40.0}{298.15 \times 760.0} \]

\[ = 2.20 \text{ ml} \]

and volume of gas(\text{STP)} in the system that remains in water bath. = 0.0534 ml
Therefore,

\[
\text{Volume of freon-21 adsorbed (STP) = Volume of gas introduced (STP) - Volume of gas present = 56.50 - (2.200 + 0.0534)}
\]

\[
= 54.25 \text{ ml}
\]

Therefore

\[
\frac{\text{Volume of freon-21 adsorbed (STP)}}{\text{gm. of adsorbent}} = \frac{54.25}{1.002 \text{ gm of adsorbent}}
\]

\[
= 54.249 \text{ gm/ml.}
\]

The same procedure is applied in case of pure ethyl chloride.
APPENDIX III

Binary Mixture Adsorption Data

Run 1

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.70 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.0^\circ \text{C, } T_{\text{mano}} = 22.0^\circ \text{C} \]

Mixture I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>29.40 + 6.75* = 36.15</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>47.50 - 29.40 = 18.10</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 54.25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 54.25 ml.

Mixture II

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.70 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.3^\circ \text{C, } T_{\text{mano}} = 23.4^\circ \text{C} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>48.90 - 11.20 = 37.70</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>11.20 + 6.75 = 17.95</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 55.65</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 55.65 ml

* 6.75 is volume of uncalibrated part of burette.
## Mixture III

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.4 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.5^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.9^\circ\text{C} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>29.40 + 6.75</td>
<td>36.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Chloride</td>
<td>47.00 - 29.40</td>
<td>17.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced = 6.70 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.4 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.5^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.9^\circ\text{C} \]

Amount introduced = 4.20 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 615.8 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.0^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 22.1^\circ\text{C} \]

Amount introduced = 9.10 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 615.3 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.7^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 20.5^\circ\text{C} \]

Amount introduced = 5.40 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 612.1 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.0^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 20.4^\circ\text{C} \]

Amount introduced = 3.80 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 611.1 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.10^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 21.0^\circ\text{C} \]

Amount introduced = 11.70 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 610.1 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 23.8^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 20.4^\circ\text{C} \]

**Chromatographic Analysis**:

Helium flow at 10 psig,

Attenuation = 2.0

\[ T_{\text{atm}} = 24.3^\circ\text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 21.4^\circ\text{C} \]
\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 610.2 \text{ mm of Hg} \]
\[ P_{\text{sys}} = 637.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \]
\[ T_{\text{bath}} = 50.0^\circ \text{C} \]

Freon-21 peak height = 25.5/32.0"

Ethyl chloride peak height = 7/32"
Run 2

P\textsubscript{atm} = 623.60 mm of Hg, T\textsubscript{atm} = 24.0^\circ\text{C}, T\textsubscript{mano} = 21.4\%

### Mixture I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>48.10 - 30.20 = 17.90</td>
<td>32.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>30.20 + 6.75 = 36.95</td>
<td>67.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 54.85</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 54.85 ml

### Mixture II

P\textsubscript{atm} = 623.70 mm of Hg, T\textsubscript{atm} = 23.9^\circ\text{C}, T\textsubscript{mano} = 21.0\%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>11.60 + 6.75 = 18.35</td>
<td>32.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>49.10 - 11.60= 37.50</td>
<td>67.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 55.85</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 55.85 ml

### Mixture III

P\textsubscript{atm} = 623.6 mm of Hg, T\textsubscript{atm} = 23.9^\circ\text{C}, T\textsubscript{mano} = 22.0\%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>47.50 - 29.60 = 17.90</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>29.60 + 6.75 = 36.35</td>
<td>67.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 54.25</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced = 5.80 ml

P\textsubscript{atm} = 623.6 mm of Hg, T\textsubscript{atm} = 23.9^\circ\text{C}, T\textsubscript{mano} = 22.2\%
Amount introduced = 1.80 ml
$P_{atm} = 622.9 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.7 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 22.2 \degree C$

Amount introduced = 2.60 ml
$P_{atm} = 622.5 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.8 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 23.1 \degree C$

Amount introduced = 3.0 ml
$P_{atm} = 622.5 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.1 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 21.1 \degree C$

Amount introduced = 8.50 ml
$P_{atm} = 622.0 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.2 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 20.6 \degree C$

Amount introduced = 6.70 ml
$P_{atm} = 620 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.0 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 20.8 \degree C$

Amount introduced = 8.70 ml
$P_{atm} = 618.5 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.4 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 19.6 \degree C$

Amount introduced = 3.20 ml
$P_{atm} = 618.5 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{atm} = 23.6 \degree C, \ T_{mano} = 20.1 \degree C$

**Chromotographic Analysis:**

Helium flow at 10 psig $T_{atm} = 24.0 \degree C$

Attenuation = 2.0 $T_{mano} = 24.3 \degree C$

$P_{atm} = 616.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \quad T_{bath} = 50.0 \degree C$

$P_{sys} = 650.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \quad T_{mano} = 24.3 \degree C$

Freon-21 peak height = 19/32"

Ethyl chloride peak height = 28/32"
Run 3

Mixture I

\[ P_{atm} = 611.40 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.4^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 23.5^\circ C \]

Gas 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>15.30 + 6.75 = 22.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>48.40 - 15.30 = 33.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 55.15 100.0

Amount introduced in the system = 55.15 ml

Mixture II

\[ P_{atm} = 611.30 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.5^\circ C \]

Gas 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>50.80 - 26.70 = 24.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>26.70 + 6.75 = 33.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 57.55 100.00

Amount introduced in the system = 55.80 ml

Mixture III

\[ P_{atm} = 611.10 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.6^\circ C \]

Gas 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>14.00 + 6.75 = 20.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>49.60 - 14.00 = 35.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 56.35 100.00

Amount added = 7.50 ml

\[ P_{atm} = 611.1 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.8^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 23.7^\circ C \]

Amount added = 6.30 ml

\[ P_{atm} = 612.2 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.9^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 23.2^\circ C \]
Amount added = 8.50 ml
\( P_{atm} = 614.7 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 24.1^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 22.4^\circ C \)

Amount added = 8.70 ml
\( P_{atm} = 617.8 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 24.0^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 21.8^\circ C \)

Amount added = 9.00 ml
\( P_{atm} = 619.4 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.7^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 21.1^\circ C \)

Amount added = 1.40 ml
\( P_{atm} = 621.9 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 23.0^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 21.0^\circ C \)

**Chromotographic Analysis:**

Helium flow at 10.0 psig \( T_{atm} = 23.5^\circ C \)

Attenuation = 2.0 \( T_{mano} = 22.8^\circ C \)

\( P_{atm} = 623.0 \text{ mm of Hg } T_{bath} = 50.5^\circ C \)

\( P_{sys} = 639.0 \text{ mm of Hg } \)

Freon-21 peak height = \( 21/32'' \)

Ethyl chloride peak height = \( 22/32'' \)
Run 4

Mixture I

\[ P_{atm} = 614.0 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.4^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 22.7^\circ C \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>12.20 + 6.75 = 18.95</td>
<td>24.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>69.80 - 12.20 = 57.60</td>
<td>75.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 76.55</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 76.55 ml

Mixture II

\[ P_{atm} = 613.80 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.0^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 22.0^\circ C \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>56.90 - 40.20 = 16.70</td>
<td>26.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>40.20 + 6.75 = 46.95</td>
<td>73.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 63.65</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 41.90 ml

Mixture III

\[ P_{atm} = 613.8 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.1^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 23.0^\circ C \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>9.20 + 6.75 = 15.95</td>
<td>23.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>59.90 - 9.20 = 50.70</td>
<td>76.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 66.65</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced = 6.30 ml

\[ P_{atm} = 615.1 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.0^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 24.2^\circ C \]

Amount introduced = 3.80 ml

\[ P_{atm} = 615.8 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.4^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 23.6^\circ C \]
Amount introduced = 7.00 ml
\( P_{atm} = 616.4 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 24.3^\circ C, \ T_{mano} = 22.9^\circ C \)

Amount introduced = 5.10 ml
\( P_{atm} = 616.4 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 24.5^\circ C, \ T_{mano} = 22.4^\circ C \)

Amount introduced = 8.30 ml
\( P_{atm} = 617.7 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{atm} = 24.1^\circ C, \ T_{mano} = 20.1^\circ C \)

Chromotographic Analysis:

Helium flow at 10 psig
\( T_{atm} = 24.5^\circ C \)

Attenuation = 2.0
\( T_{mano} = 24.2^\circ C \)

\( P_{atm} = 618.30 \text{ mm of Hg } T_{bath} = 50.0^\circ C \)

\( P_{sys} = 659.0 \text{ mm of Hg } \)

Freon-21 peak height = 13.5/32"

Ethyl chloride peak height = 29/32"
Run 5

Mixture I

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 615.90 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.2^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 22.6^\circ \text{C} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>47.40 - 36.80 = 10.60</td>
<td>19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>36.80 + 6.75 = 43.55</td>
<td>80.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 54.15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 54.15 ml

Mixture II

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.0 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.3^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 22.8^\circ \text{C} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>4.85 + 6.75 = 11.60</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>51.10 - 4.85 = 46.25</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 57.85</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 45.30 ml.

Mixture III

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.0 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.5^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.2^\circ \text{C} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>8.60 + 6.75 = 15.35</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>70.00 - 8.60 = 61.40</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>= 76.75</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount added = 17.30 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.0 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.5^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.2^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 1.90 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 615.3 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 24.3^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.1^\circ \text{C} \]
Amount added = 4.40 ml
$P_{atm} = 615.5\text{ mm of Hg}$,
$T_{atm} = 24.1^\circ C$, $T_{mano} = 23.8^\circ C$

Amount added = 3.40 ml
$P_{atm} = 617.0\text{ mm of Hg}$,
$T_{atm} = 24.0^\circ C$, $T_{mano} = 23.8^\circ C$

Amount added = 6.60 ml
$P_{atm} = 617.9\text{ mm of Hg}$,
$T_{atm} = 23.0^\circ C$, $T_{mano} = 23.5^\circ C$

Amount added = 2.40 ml
$P_{atm} = 616.9\text{ mm of Hg}$,
$T_{atm} = 24.0^\circ C$, $T_{mano} = 24.3^\circ C$

Amount added = 11.80 ml
$P_{atm} = 616.5\text{ mm of Hg}$,
$T_{atm} = 23.7^\circ C$, $T_{mano} = 24.4^\circ C$

Amount added = 9.00 ml
$P_{atm} = 616.7\text{ mm of Hg}$,
$T_{atm} = 24.0^\circ C$, $T_{mano} = 24.4^\circ C$

Chromotographic Analysis:

Helium flow at 10 psig
Attenuation = 2.0
$P_{atm} = 616.6\text{ mm of Hg}$
$P_{sys} = 639.0\text{ mm of Hg}$
Freon-21 peak height = 5/32"  \hfill T_{atm} = 23.8^\circ C$
Ethyl chloride peak height = 11/32"  \hfill T_{mano} = 24.5^\circ C$
T_{bath} = 50.1^\circ C
Run 6

**Mixture I**

\( P_{atm} = 615.0 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.6^\circ C, \quad T_{mano} = 24.4^\circ C \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>31.65</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>31.70</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63.35</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 63.35 ml

**Mixture II**

\( P_{atm} = 615.1 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.8^\circ C \quad T_{mano} = 24.2^\circ C \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>27.05</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54.05</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount introduced in the system = 47.25 ml

**Mixture III**

\( P_{atm} = 615.2 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.8^\circ C \quad T_{mano} = 24.8^\circ C \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume, ml</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62.45</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount added = 11.10 ml

\( P_{atm} = 615.2 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.8^\circ C \quad T_{mano} = 24.1^\circ C \)

Amount added = 3.70 ml

\( P_{atm} = 615.8 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{atm} = 24.5^\circ C \quad T_{mano} = 23.1^\circ C \)
Amount added = 8.00 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.4 \text{ mm Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.2^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 22.4^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 4.30 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 618.6 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.6^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 22.3^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 4.10 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 615.9 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.5^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.8^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 2.50 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 616.20 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.8^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 23.2^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 3.40 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 618.5 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 24.8^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 24.0^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 1.50 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 621.4 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 23.5^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 24.3^\circ \text{C} \]

Amount added = 1.50 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 621.8 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 23.6^\circ \text{C}, \quad T_{\text{mano}} = 24.3^\circ \text{C} \]

**Chromotographic Analysis:**

Helium flow at 10 psig

\[ T_{\text{atm}} = 24.0^\circ \text{C} \]

Attenuation = 2.0

\[ T_{\text{mano}} = 24.0^\circ \text{C} \]

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 621.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \]

\[ T_{\text{bath}} = 50.0^\circ \text{C} \]

\[ P_{\text{sys}} = 618.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \]

Freon-21 peak height = 11/32"

Ethyl chloride peak height = 6.5/32"
Binary Mixture Sample Calculation for Run No. 2:
The following steps are involved in the calculation

1) Calculate the exact composition of feed and the volume of each gas introduced at STP

2) Calculate the equilibrium composition of the gaseous mixture in the system.

3) Calculate the volume of each gas adsorbed at STP.

**Step 1**
In the calculation of the feed composition, the volume of the uncalibrated part of gas-burette is needed and was determined in the previous investigation (32) as

Volume of uncalibrated part = 6.75 ml

**For mixture I:**
- Freon-21 Volume = 17.90 ml
- Ethyl chloride volume = 36.95 ml
- Total gas volume = 54.85 ml
Therefore

Percent freon-21 in the mixture = \( \frac{17.90 \times 100}{54.85} \)

= 32.6 %

Similarly ethyl chloride = 67.4 %

Similarly for mixture II

Percent freon-21 = 32.90 %

and Percent ethyl chloride = 67.10 %

and for mixture III

Percent freon-21 = 33.0 %

and % ethyl chloride = 67.0 %

Therefore

\[
\text{average percent of ethyl chloride in the system} = \frac{67.40 + 67.10 + 67.00}{3} = 67.17\%
\]

and average percent of freon-21 in the system = 32.83%

for mixture I

amount introduced = 54.85 ml

\[P_{\text{atm}} = 623.60 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{\text{atm}} = 24.0^\circ\text{C} \]

Barometer reading correction to 0°C = 2.40 mm of Hg.

Therefore \[P_{\text{atm}}^0 = 623.60 - 2.40 = 621.20 \text{ mm of Hg}. \]

so volume introduced at STP

\[= \frac{54.85 \times 273.15 \times 621.20}{297.15 \times 760.0} \]

\[= 41.20 \text{ ml}. \]

for mixture II

amount introduced = 55.85 ml

\[P_{\text{atm}} = 623.7 \text{ mm of Hg}, \ T_{\text{atm}} = 23.9^\circ\text{C} \]
Barometer reading correction = 2.40 mm of Hg.

Therefore \( P_{\text{atm}}^0 = 623.70 - 2.40 \)
\[ = 621.40 \text{ mm of Hg} \]

So volume introduced at STP
\[ = \frac{55.85 \times 273.15 \times 621.30}{297.05 \times 760.0} \]
\[ = 41.90 \text{ ml} \]

**for mixture III**

(i) amount introduced = 5.8 ml

\( P_{\text{atm}} = 623.6 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 23.9^\circ \text{C} \)

Barometer reading correction = 2.40 mm of Hg

Therefore \( P_{\text{atm}}^0 = 623.60 - 2.40 \)
\[ = 621.20 \text{ mm of Hg} \]

So volume introduced at STP
\[ = \frac{5.8 \times 273.15 \times 621.20}{297.05 \times 760.0} \]
\[ = 4.36 \text{ ml} \]

(ii) amount added = 1.80 ml

\( P_{\text{atm}} = 622.9 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 23.7^\circ \text{C} \)

Barometer reading correction = 2.40 mm of Hg

Therefore \( P_{\text{atm}}^0 = 620.5 \text{ mm of Hg} \)

So volume introduced at STP
\[ = \frac{1.80 \times 273.15 \times 620.50}{296.85 \times 760.0} \]
\[ = 1.35 \text{ ml} \]

(iii) volume introduced = 2.60 ml

\( P_{\text{atm}} = 622.50 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 23.8^\circ \text{C} \)

Barometer reading correction = 2.42 mm of Hg

Therefore \( P_{\text{atm}}^0 = 622.5 - 2.42 = 620.08 \text{ mm of Hg} \)

So volume introduced at STP
(iv) Volume introduced = 3.0 ml

\[
\text{P}_{\text{atm}} = 622.50 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 23.1^\circ \text{C}
\]

Barometer reading correction = 2.25 mm of Hg

Therefore \( P^0_{\text{atm}} = 622.50 - 2.25 \)

\( = 620.25 \text{ mm of Hg.} \)

So volume introduced at STP

\[
\frac{3.0 \times 273.15 \times 620.25}{296.25 \times 760.0} = 2.26 \text{ ml.}
\]

(v) Volume introduced = 8.50 ml

\[
\text{P}_{\text{atm}} = 622.0 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 23.2^\circ \text{C}
\]

Barometer reading correction = 2.30 mm of Hg.

Therefore \( P^0_{\text{atm}} = 622.0 - 2.30 \)

\( = 619.70 \text{ mm of Hg.} \)

So volume introduced at STP

\[
\frac{8.50 \times 273.15 \times 619.70}{296.35 \times 760.0} = 6.38 \text{ ml}
\]

(vi) Volume introduced = 6.70 ml

\[
\text{P}_{\text{atm}} = 620.6 \text{ mm of Hg, } T_{\text{atm}} = 23.0^\circ \text{C}
\]

Barometer reading correction = 2.30 mm of Hg.

Therefore \( P^0_{\text{atm}} = 620.60 - 2.30 \)

\( = 618.30 \text{ mm of Hg.} \)

So volume introduced at STP

\[
\frac{6.70 \times 273.15 \times 618.30}{296.15 \times 760.0} = 5.25 \text{ ml}
\]
(vii) Volume introduced = 8.70 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 618.50 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 23.4^\circ \text{C} \]

Barometer reading correction = 2.35 mm of Hg.

Therefore \[ P^0_{\text{atm}} = 618.50 - 2.35 \]

\[ = 616.15 \text{ mm of Hg}. \]

So volume introduced at STP

\[ = \frac{8.70 \times 273.15 \times 616.15}{296.45 \times 760.0} \]

\[ = 6.49\text{ml} \]

(viii) Volume introduced = 3.20 ml

\[ P_{\text{atm}} = 618.50 \text{ mm of Hg}, \quad T_{\text{atm}} = 23.6^\circ \text{C} \]

Barometer reading correction = 2.35 mm of Hg.

Therefore \[ P^0_{\text{atm}} = 618.50 - 2.35 \]

\[ = 616.15 \text{ mm of Hg}. \]

So volume introduced at STP

\[ = \frac{3.20 \times 273.15 \times 616.15}{296.75 \times 760.0} \]

\[ = 2.39 \text{ ml} \]

So Total volume introduced at STP

\[ = 111.60 \text{ ml}. \]

Therefore volume of freon-21 introduced (STP)

\[ = 111.60 \times 0.3283 \]

\[ = 36.60 \text{ ml} \]

and volume of ethyl chloride introduced (STP)

\[ = 75.00 \text{ ml}. \]

Step 2

Calculation of equilibrium composition of gases

Since there was very little separation of peaks and it
was difficult to obtain calibration curves for the pure gases due to leak in sample valve on the chromatograph, a peak ratio was the means used to get the composition. This approximation is valid since there is not much difference in thermal conductivity of both the gases.

Freon-21 peak height = 19/32
Ethyl chloride peak height = 28/32

Therefore

\[
\% \text{ of Freon-21} = \frac{(19/32)}{(19 + 28/32)} \times 100
\]

\[
= 40\%
\]

and percent of Ethyl chloride = 60.0%

**Step 3**

The sample valve on chromatograph is included in the system and since it is not leakproof, the sample loop was first filled with helium at atmospheric pressure, and so that much helium is mixed with the feed. The volume of that loop is 5.05 ml determined as follows:

1/8" OD Cu-tubing, 37.64 in long with volume = 2.03 ml
1/4" OD Cu-tubing, 6.50 in long with volume = 3.02 ml

Therefore

Total volume = 5.05 ml.

Also due to a mixing problem in the system, part of the system will be at the feed composition.

This volume is as follows:

left leg of manometer, 38.25 in long with 0.3 cm ID
Volume = 6.83 ml

Burette's top valve to tee near circulation pump
3.50 in. Cu-tubing, with 0.4 cm ID, Vol. = 1.12 ml.
1.75 in Cu-tubing, with 1.00 cm ID, Vol. = 3.40 ml
12.00 in Cu-tubing, with 0.065 in ID, Vol = 0.65 ml
Therefore
Total volume at feed composition = 12.10 ml
Thus we have for the system
12.10 ml at feed composition, $P_{sys}$ and $T_{mano}$
38.95 ml at equilibrium composition, $P_{sys}$ and $T_{mano}$
and 1.20 ml at equilibrium composition, $P_{sys}$ and $T_{bath}$.

The effective system pressure will be the system pressure less the partial pressure of the helium which is mixed with the gases.

Therefore

$$P_{sys}^{(effective)} = P_{sys} - P_{sys} \times \text{vol \% helium}$$

$$= 6.50 \text{ mm of Hg} - 650.0 \text{ mm of Hg} \times \frac{5.05}{40.15}$$

$$= 650.0 - 80.9$$

$$= 569.10 \text{ mm of Hg.}$$

$$P_{sys}^{(effective)} = 569.10 \text{ mm of Hg} \times \frac{\text{Hg at } T_{mano}}{\text{Hg at } 0^\circ C}$$

$$= 569.10 \text{ mm of Hg} \times \frac{13.5362}{13.5955}$$

$$= 566.0 \text{ mm of Hg.}$$

The volume of gas mixture present at STP will be

$$\frac{12.10 \times 566.0 \times 273.15}{760.0 \times 297.15}$$

$$= 8.30 \text{ ml at feed composition}$$

$$\frac{38.95 \times 566.0 \times 273.15}{760.0 \times 297.15}$$

$$= 26.70 \text{ ml at equilibrium composition}$$
\[
\text{and } \frac{1.20 \times 566.0 \times 273.15}{760.0 \times 323.15} = 0.757 \text{ ml at equilibrium composition}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume of mixture present ml (STP)</th>
<th>Freon-21</th>
<th>Ethyl chloride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.300</td>
<td>32.83%</td>
<td>67.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.700</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13.723</td>
<td>22.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Volume into (STP) ml</th>
<th>Volume present (STP) ml</th>
<th>Volume adsorbed per gram ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freon-21</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>13.723</td>
<td>22.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>22.034</td>
<td>52.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>111.60</td>
<td>35.757</td>
<td>75.843</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prediction of mixture isotherm

The procedure for calculating mixture adsorption from the pure component isotherms is as follows:

1. Select the value of $P^o_s$ (on pure gas isotherms), 560 mm of Hg.

2. Select a value $n_t$ lying between $n_1(P^o_s)$ and $n_2(P^o_s)$, where subscript 1 refers to freon-21 and 2 refers to ethyl chloride.

3. From the pure gas isotherms obtain $P^o_{sy1}(n_t)$ and $P^o_{sy2}(n_t)$.

4. Calculate $x_1$ using

$$P^o_{sy} = P^o_{sy1} \cdot x_1 + P^o_{sy2} \cdot x_2$$

$$x_2 = 1 - x_1$$
5. Calculate $y_1$ using

$$y_1 = \frac{p^0_{s y_1} \cdot x_1}{p^0_{s y}}$$

$$y_2 = 1 - y_1$$

6. Calculate volume (STP) adsorbed/gm of adsorbent using

$$n_1 = x_1 \cdot n_t$$

$$n_2 = (1 - x_1) \cdot n_t$$

$$n_t = n_1 + n_2$$

$y_1$ and $y_2$ = Gas-phase equilibrium composition for component 1 and 2

$x_1$ and $x_2$ = Adsorbed-phase composition for component 1 and 2.
Table

\[ P_{sy}^0 = 560 \text{ mm of Hg} \]
\[ n_1(P_{sy}^0) = 78.00 \text{ ml} \]
\[ n_2(P_{sy}^0) = 72.00 \text{ ml} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n_t )</th>
<th>( P_{sy1}^0(n_t) )</th>
<th>( P_{sy2}^0(n_t) )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( y_1 )</th>
<th>( y_2 )</th>
<th>( n_1 )</th>
<th>( n_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>420.0</td>
<td>560.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>585.0</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>60.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>465.0</td>
<td>610.0</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>48.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>485.0</td>
<td>650.0</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>40.80</td>
<td>34.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.00</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>670.0</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>535.0</td>
<td>730.0</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>560.0</td>
<td>800.0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX VI.

ERROR ESTIMATION

Error in the results may be caused by errors made in various measurements during the experimental investigation. Following are the possible sources of error in measurements.

1. **Burette:**
   - Volume of the calibrated part = 100.0 cc.
   - Magnitude of smallest division = 0.2 cc.
   - Possible error in reading the volume = ±0.1 cc

2. **Mercury Manometer:**
   - Scale is from 0 mm of Hg to 990 mm of Hg.
   - Magnitude of smallest division = 1 mm.
   - Possible error in reading the pressure = ±0.5 mm of Hg.

3. **Peak Heights on the Chromotograph Chart:**
   - Peak heights were measured by scale 1:32
   - Possible error in measuring the peak height = ±1/64 in
4. **Gas Sampling Valve**: Possible error could be caused by the difference in sample volume of different samples introduced to the chromatograph. But, as the sensitivity of the detector depends on the concentration of the gases in the sample mixture rather than the volume of the sample, it is less likely to be the source of error in the results.

5. **Flow of the carrier gas in the chromatograph**: The constant flow of the helium gas is maintained in each run indirectly by maintaining the constant 10 psig pressure of helium in the chromatograph.

6. **Dead Volume Measurement**: The accuracy of the data may be dependent on the accuracy of the dead volume measurement of the system.