Letter
Concerning The
ZUNI MINING PROPERTIES
SAN JUAN COUNTY 1908--1956.
BULLION KING MINING CO.
Chicago Office: Silverton, Colorado, Sept. 29th 08.

Mr. E. E. Blatchley.
Denver, Col.:

Dear Sir:-

As per request of Mr. Stimpson and yourself, accompanied by Mr. Fattor, I visited the Zuni property Sept. 28th and made examination as best I could under existing conditions, on entering lower tunnel, or level, I found tunnel had recently been timbered for a distance of at least fifty feet and there still remains approximately on hundred feet to be mached out and timbered before tunnel will be accessible and safe.

Upon entering about four hundred feet I found the air to be very bad, in fact to the extent my candle went out but on proceeding found the bad air in spots only, on reaching first drift and stoppe on right side, air was extremely bad, consequently was unable to give thorough examination, however succeeded in getting sample of ore which you will note in assay No.1, this was taken from small and shows mineral exists and no doubt considerable was taken from this point-proceeding to the raise I went up about forty feet to a drift on the left, the air being bad in this drift did not deem it advisable to remain long, however on a hasty examination found some ore on floor of drift and believe ore has been taken from this ground, as very sorry the air would not permit further investigation at this point, the ladder being weakened condition for man of my weight did not go higher.

In all the small stopes and winzes a thorough examination was impossible owing to bad air, I was at loss to account for this, as my understanding was connection was made through the raise with second tunnel, or level, but on further examination found that level No. 2 was badly caved up with only small opening for air to pass through being inaccessible could not investigate No 2. yet there was good ore on the dump.

There being evidence of ore having been taken from several places in the lower workings, I am convinced that with systematic development, valuable bodies of ore lie close proximity to the work already done will be opened up.

Regardless of buildings it will cost from $500.00 to $800.00 to put tunnels 1. and 2. in condition for active work. No. 2. will have to be cleaned out and retimbered. this is necessary for good work.

I failed to find any evidence of any ore having been removed by Mr. Fattor or his employees- I talked for a considerable time with Mr. Fattor regarding continuation of lease, or for any kind of proposition he wished to submit, but he declined to go further. He did say however, if the Company decided to do any work, he would consider a contract.

E.R.B. §2

I regret I am unable to give a more detailed report, but under conditions would not permit assay worked No. 2. is from sample taken on dump No. 2., level.

Respectfully,

A.J. Aurand
Bill as follows:
Examination & Report, $10.00
Horse, 2.00
Two Assays, 2.00
$14.00

Julian T. Pierson,
Assayer and Chemist.

This Certifies that the following samples assayed for
A. J. Aurand, galvanized on assay, per ton 2,000 pounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Gold (ounces)</th>
<th>Silver (ounces)</th>
<th>Wet Copper (percent)</th>
<th>Zinc (percent)</th>
<th>Iron (percent)</th>
<th>Silica (percent)</th>
<th>Value per ton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample No. 1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charges $2.00

J. T. Pierson
Assayer