Reflection high-energy electron diffraction patterns of carbide-contaminated silicon surfaces
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Carbon contamination of silicon surfaces is a longstanding concern for growers of thin films who utilize silicon wafer substrates. This contamination often takes the form of epitaxial \( \beta \)-SiC particles which grow after the decomposition of adsorbed carbon-bearing molecules, and the subsequent reaction of the freed carbon with the silicon substrate. Positive identification of such SiC contamination is possible via reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED). To provide a complete demonstration and analysis of the relevant RHEED patterns, we prepared within a “silicon molecular beam epitaxy” system carbide-contaminated silicon surfaces using procedures intended to foster such contamination. With conventional RHEED instrumentation, we obtained transmission electron diffraction patterns which resulted from the passage of the RHEED electron beam laterally through the SiC particles. Comparison with theoretically predicted patterns positively identifies the \( \beta \)-SiC phase and shows that the particles are epitaxially aligned, with their cubic axes parallel to those of the substrate. (This finding is in agreement with the widely accepted model for the behavior of carbon on silicon surfaces [Henderson et al., J. Appl. Phys. 42, 1208 (1971)].) More typically during in-situ silicon substrate preparation for thin film growth, RHEED patterns indicating such contamination contain SiC spots which are mere vestiges of the complete transmission diffraction patterns presented in this work.

I. INTRODUCTION

The reproducible growth of the highest quality epitaxial thin films demands atomically clean substrate surfaces and, thus, the detection and elimination of surface contamination become essential to the experimentalist. With silicon substrates, the challenge is to remove native silicon dioxide, and carbon-bearing gas molecules which adsorb on the silicon surface as a result of exposure to the atmosphere, and to do so at minimal cost in time, effort, and materials.\(^1\) If the carbonaceous species decompose on the surface, instead of desorbing intact, the resulting free carbon atoms may bond to the silicon surface particularly strongly in forming silicon carbide.\(^2,3\)

A subsidiary challenge is simply the detection and identification of these surface contaminants, or conversely, the verification of an adequately clean silicon surface. The purpose of this article is to address part of this second challenge, the detection and positive identification of silicon carbide contamination as revealed with conventional reflection high-energy electron (RHEED) equipment, which has become a standard component for “Si molecular beam epitaxy (MBE)”\(^4\) deposition systems.

Figure 1 shows a RHEED pattern taken with the incident beam along one principal azimuth (the \( (110) \)) of a Si(111) surface; it is typical of patterns encountered by film growers which reveal SiC contamination. The specific indication is the presence of faint and broad spots positioned to the outside of the primary silicon streaks. (Other indications that at least some sort of surface contamination is present include the diffuse quality of the primary silicon streaks and the absence of higher order \( 7 \times 7 \) surface reconstruction streaks.) Henderson et al. first interpreted such a pattern in this way, in 1970.\(^4\) This picture of carbon contamination has been the prevailing one\(^5\) for over 20 years; probable images of such SiC particles have been obtained recently with the scanning tunneling microscope.\(^6\)

At the outset this interpretation is not at all obvious. One might reason that since the lattice parameter of face-centered-cubic \( \beta \)-SiC is about 20% smaller than that of silicon, the spacing of the carbide’s reciprocal lattice rods should be enlarged by this amount (in rough agreement with what is seen in Fig. 1); however, we have not found in the literature to date any complete and convincing analysis of RHEED patterns like that of Fig. 1. (The most complete analysis is perhaps that of Menadue, published in 1972.\(^7\) By presenting in this paper more complete experimental diffraction patterns, and comparing them to theoretical patterns, we will show definitively that Fig. 1 is evidence of particles of \( \beta \)-SiC epitaxially aligned with the silicon substrate. This analysis will be performed for two principal azimuthal orientations of both 1-0-0 and 1-1-1 wafers.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The silicon substrates were 3 in. diam Monsanto Prime Grade wafers (1-0-0, n-type, 1-50 \( \Omega \) cm, and 1-1-1, n-type, 1.6-2.4 \( \Omega \) cm). The wafers were removed from the box, immersed in a commercially available semiconductor industry buffered HF solution [10:1 by volume solution of ammonium fluoride (40%) and hydrofluoric acid (49%)] for approximately 30 s and loaded immediately into the introduction chamber of our Si MBE growth system (base pressure in the \( 10^{-11} \) Torr range). This “HF-dip” is performed in order to remove native oxide which has accumulated during months’ or even years’ exposure to the atmosphere. This is the normal \textit{ex situ} part of our substrate preparation procedure; it yields silicon substrate surfaces (both 1-0-0 and
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III. EXPERIMENTAL RHEED PATTERNS

Figures 2 and 3 show experimental RHEED patterns taken along the two principal azimuthal orientations of
Si(001) and (111) surfaces, respectively. The 1−0−0 wafer
was taken to 900 °C and the 1−1−1, to 1000 °C in order to
obtain these RHEED patterns.
These patterns exhibit a two-dimensional array of diffrac­tion spots rather than the typical RHEED streaks or spots
lying along circular arcs. Such a RHEED pattern is character­istic of a rough surface and is due to transmission diffra­tion through surface asperities.6 We adopt the term “trans­mission RHEED” for this phenomenon of observing transmission electron diffraction patterns with conventional
RHEED instrumentation, which by contrast is normally em­ployed for obtaining reflection electron diffraction patterns. These patterns will be shown below to be experimental ex­amples of transmission diffraction patterns of epitaxial {3-SiC
particles.

IV. THE EXPECTED TRANSMISSION RHEED PATTERNS OF {3-SIC

The cubic form of silicon carbide is the zincblende crystal
{3-SiC, with a lattice constant of approximately 4.36 Å. The
space group is F43m (No. 216). The conditions limiting pos­
sible reflections for this space group as listed in the Inter­national Tables for X-ray Crystallography7 are

\[ hkl: \quad h + k, \quad k + 1, \quad (l + h) = 2n. \]
Using the above conditions and the general relation for cubic lattices specifying which reciprocal lattice points are contained in the plane section perpendicular to the incident beam of direction \([uvw]\), namely, \(hu + kv + lw = 0\), theoretical transmission electron diffraction patterns for \(\beta\)-SiC were generated. Figure 4 shows three such spot patterns along different beam directions. These patterns were used to construct the possible transmission RHEED views displayed in Figs. 5 and 6, since transmission RHEED patterns were obtained experimentally in Figs. 2 and 3. The following heteroepitaxial relationships were assumed:

\[
\beta\text{-SiC}(001)/\text{Si}(001) \quad \text{with} \quad \beta\text{-SiC}[110]||\text{Si}(110)
\]

and

\[
\beta\text{-SiC}(111)/\text{Si}(111) \quad \text{with} \quad \beta\text{-SiC}[\overline{1}10]||\text{Si}(110).
\]

The real-space dimensions in Figs. 5 and 6 were determined with the camera equation, using an electron acceleration potential of 10 kV and a point of incidence-to-screen distance of 31 cm.\(^{12}\) Primary silicon streak positions are superposed onto the \(\beta\)-SiC transmission RHEED patterns for reference. The calculated lateral positions of the primary streaks are in agreement with experiment to within ~0.2 mm.

**V. POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION OF \(\beta\)-SiC CONTAMINATION**

First, we will consider the transmission RHEED patterns which were presented in Figs. 2 and 3. There is an excellent correspondence in both form and size between the predicted views of \(\beta\)-SiC diffraction patterns presented in Figs. 5 and 6 and the arrays of diffraction spots seen in the experimental transmission RHEED patterns. This correspondence, in considering the deliberately contaminating procedures we used, the evidence of evolution of carbon-bearing molecules in the RGA spectra, the auxiliary chemical analysis afforded by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and the extreme repeatability with which \(\beta\)-SiC has been found to occur over
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Fig. 4. Theoretical transmission electron diffraction patterns of $\beta$-SiC with incident beam along (a) $\beta$-SiC[100], (b) $\beta$-SiC[110], and (c) $\beta$-SiC[112].

the years, allows us to attach a high degree of confidence to this $\beta$-SiC phase identification via the transmission RHEED patterns.

There is one experimental case [Fig. 3(b)] where a composite ($\beta$-SiC plus Si) pattern is seen. On the left-hand side of this photograph there is the remnant of one silicon streak which rests amid the $\beta$-SiC spot pattern. This silicon feature can be easily distinguished from those of $\beta$-SiC due to the almost 20% difference in reciprocal lattice parameters, as portrayed in the theoretical composite pattern of Fig. 6(b).

We return now to the more typical experimental RHEED pattern of a $\beta$-SiC-contaminated surface presented in Fig. 1. The dominant features are three fundamental streaks of the Si(111) surface. Carbide contamination is revealed by the fuzzy auxiliary spots to the outside of the silicon streaks. These fuzzy spots are remnants of two predicted $\beta$-SiC spots in Fig. 6(a). We would suggest that they are relatively dim and broad because the $\beta$-SiC particles are not, in some sense, as well-formed on this sample. Nevertheless, the complete analysis presented above shows that patterns such as Fig. 1 are indicative of carbon contamination in the form of epitaxial $\beta$-SiC.

Clearly, there are differences among our samples in the degree of substrate surface coverage by carbide and in the structural quality of the epitaxial $\beta$-SiC particles themselves. Figure 1 is dominated by silicon primary streaks and contains only two fuzzy $\beta$-SiC spots which are but vestiges of the complete transmission diffraction pattern. This sample was heated to only 800 °C. Figures 2 and 3 were obtained from surfaces which had been annealed at either 900 or 1000 °C for the express purpose of enhancing the size, structural perfection, and epitaxial alignment of the $\beta$-SiC crystallites. The quality of the diffraction patterns suggests that some sort of enhancement indeed occurred, especially in Fig.
FIG. 6. Expected transmission RHEED patterns of epitaxial $\beta$-SiC particles on Si(111) along (a) Si(110) and Si(112) or, equivalently, along (a) $\beta$-SiC(110) and (b) $\beta$-SiC(112).

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this article is to present complete experimental and theoretical RHEED analysis of a widely observed form of carbon contamination of Si(001) and (111) surfaces: epitaxial $\beta$-SiC particles whose cubic axes are parallel to those of the silicon substrate. While this has been the traditional expectation for and interpretation of carbon contamination of these surfaces for over 20 years, a complete RHEED analysis has been lacking in the reference literature.

To summarize our procedures and results, we prepared silicon (001) and (111) surfaces which were deliberately contaminated with carbon by outgassing of carbonaceous species from a substrate holder. Epitaxial $\beta$-SiC particles were formed on these surfaces by heating the substrates to 800 °C under modestly high vacuum, resulting in RHEED patterns which were typically composed of primary silicon streaks plus diffuse spots not attributable to silicon. Higher temperature anneals (900 or 1000 °C) produced relatively sharp transmission RHEED patterns, which were presumably due to an enhanced crystallinity and/or size of the epitaxial $\beta$-SiC particles.

These sharp spot patterns are not typical of $\beta$-SiC contamination often observed with RHEED by thin film growers. Our composite experimental RHEED pattern of Fig. 1 (containing silicon primary streaks plus only two fuzzy $\beta$-SiC spots) is more representative of typical surface preparation failures resulting in carbide contamination. Nevertheless, investigators may utilize the RHEED analysis presented in this paper to positively identify RHEED features which owe their origin to epitaxial $\beta$-SiC particles, the most common form of carbon contamination of silicon substrates in MBE growth.
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