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New Realities, New Opportunities

“This year's Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum will explore what untapped resources exist in Colorado that can bring a renewed vitality to rural communities. The forum will examine these resources and provide information about how to take advantage of them, whether it's generating alternative energy sources or providing unique tourism opportunities for wildlife viewing. I applaud the sponsors of this Forum for bringing fresh ideas forward to create a stronger rural economy.”

- Bill Owens, Governor

“This year's Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum will provide you with helpful information about emerging issues and new trends in agriculture. We'll look at how Congress is approaching the 2007 Farm Bill debate and learn about new developments in renewable fuels and what they mean to Colorado's agricultural industry. Thanks for joining us, and I'm sure you'll find the Forum informative and useful.”

- Don Ament, Commissioner, Colorado Department of Agriculture

“This is an exciting time to explore new opportunities in Colorado agriculture. The realities we confront today include global competition, the opening of new and relatively untapped markets, a challenging regulatory climate, constricted funding, unprecedented demands on our land and water resources, and challenges related to diseases, pests and security. Our success in how we address this new environment may determine the future viability of Colorado agriculture. Colorado State University is proud to join the industry and state leaders in leading this critical discussion.”

- Larry Edward Penley, President, Colorado State University
  Chancellor, Colorado State University System

Mission of the Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum

To contribute to a healthy and viable agricultural industry in Colorado, this annual event shall seek to:

1. Facilitate a spirit of community to enhance Colorado agriculture's competitiveness.
2. Encourage positive awareness of Colorado agriculture.
3. Encourage interaction among commodity and other industry segments.
4. Present future-oriented, cutting edge topics that promote communication and understanding across the entire industry while considering the uniqueness among industry segments.
5. Relate and connect a global outlook to state and local agricultural production, business, and policy issues.
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State of the Colorado Economy and Agricultural Overview

by Jim Rubingh, director, markets division, Colorado Department of Agriculture

The agriculture section of this report was prepared by a committee of agricultural specialists, chaired by Jim Rubingh, markets division director, Colorado Department of Agriculture. A copy of the report is reprinted with permission from the Business Research Division.

Strong livestock sales have continued to drive a robust agricultural economy in Colorado. Record livestock 2005 prices put net income in Colorado at over 1 billion dollars for the second straight year. Cattle prices will decline slightly during 2006 but net farm income will again be near the 1 billion dollar mark. Rising fuel and fertilizer costs, however, remain a growing concern and are having a strong negative impact on many grain farmers in Colorado.

Livestock remains Colorado's largest agricultural sector representing some 73 percent of all farm gate sales. Cash receipts from cattle will exceed 3 billion dollars for the third consecutive year in 2006. Although Colorado fed cattle marketings at 2 million head will be at their lowest number in decades, the average steer and heifer price of $109/hundredweight (cwt.) will be the second highest price recorded. The declining number of cattle marketed last year and in 2006 shows that the cattle cycle has turned as ranchers are retaining more heifers in order to rebuild their herds. This will result in greater beef cow numbers in 2007 and through the remainder of this decade. This current cattle cycle has been very beneficial to our cow-calf operators and again in 2006 calf prices should be strong, averaging between $1.05 and $1.16/lb. Packers and feeders will continue to struggle in the first half of 2006 as it will be difficult for them to find enough cattle to efficiently run their operations.

Dairy remains a very important part of Colorado's agricultural economy. Dairy cattle numbers continue to grow in Colorado by about 5 percent annually. In particular, we are experiencing a significant increase in organic dairy production, which helps drive organic hay and grain production. Dairy prices in 2006 will remain fairly flat, averaging about $12.50/cwt. and putting statewide production at some $325 million dollars.

Lamb prices in 2005 were very strong and will drive production in 2006 up another 2 percent in Colorado. Prices will drop back about 6.5 percent next year but still should keep lamb production profitable. Total sales of wool and lamb will be around $125 million. In 2006, hog prices will average about 6 percent below this year while production increases 1 percent to 2 percent. Overall pork exports will likely decrease as international markets for beef begin to open. Total Colorado hog sales will be off about 5 percent at $190 million. Egg production and prices will remain fairly steady and will account for about $110 million in sales.

Total livestock sales will be down from the past two years, coming in at about $3.8 billion. This is due primarily to lower cattle numbers. Most of the livestock industry, however, should experience another profitable year in 2006.
Total livestock sales will be down from the past two years, coming in at about $3.8 billion. This is due primarily to lower cattle numbers. Most of the livestock industry, however, should experience another profitable year in 2006.

The U.S. corn crop is forecast at 10.9 billion bushels. Although this is 8 percent below 2004, it is on track to be the second largest crop on record. Our crop in Colorado is expected to be down about 14 percent in 2005 and likely to with rising fuel and fertilizer costs the crop will further decline in 2006. With a large crop carryover and anticipated prices below $2.00 expect the state’s corn crop to return $210 million in 2006, some $34 million less than the previous year. How the opening of the new ethanol facility in Sterling may impact our corn industry is still unknown.

Wheat harvests in Colorado have been disappointing in four of the last five years. Drought or abnormally hot weather have driven yields far below expected norms. Good fall precipitation means that the 2006 crop appears to have excellent potential. Assuming normal yields and wheat returning about $3.20/bu. expect a crop of about 80 million bushels and sales of $210 million.

Hay remains our largest crop in terms of value ($375 million) but due to on farm use, actual sales are about one-half of that value. The 2006 the price for hay will likely average about $90/ton and total cash receipts will come in at around $190 million. Potato prices have rebounded this past year at least in part due to significantly reduced acreage in Colorado and in other major potato producing regions of the country. A new marketing coop has formed with chapters in most potato producing states, including Colorado. This group has significantly impacted planting decisions. Expect potato receipts in Colorado next year to come in at about $150 million. Sunflowers had strong prices in 2005 and along with other oil crops prices should remain steady in 2006. Cash receipts of about $38 million are expected in 2006, which will result in the most valuable sunflower crop recorded to date.

Greenhouse/nursery sales continue to grow and now exceed the sales from traditional crops such as corn and wheat. Sales in 2006 are again expected to increase by over 5 percent and should reach $300 million for the first time. This is an increase of some two hundred percent in the past 13 years. Other crops, which have done well in recent years, include specialty vegetables. With the onset of additional farmers markets and direct marketing we are likely to see an increase in vegetable production along the Front Range. Expect dry beans, onions, sugar beets, and fruit receipts to remain fairly steady in 2006. Overall crop receipts will be up about $58 million for a total of $1.445 billion.

While other farm income is expected to remain steady at $600 million a slight decline in government payments to about $270 million is expected. This will result in total cash receipts of $5.65 billion and gross farm income of $6.25 billion, about $100 million below last year. Increased fuel and fertilizer costs are likely to impact many farmers planting decisions next year resulting in more wheat being planted and less corn. The influence of low corn prices and a decline in the number of cattle being fed and marketed will combine with crop planting changes to result in a small decrease in total production expenses. Overall net farm income is expected to decline.
This article was condensed from "Bio-farming in Colorado: A Guide to Issues for Making Informed Choices," October 2004. For the complete paper and references, see: http://www.cipp.colostate.edu/publications.html

Agriculture in Colorado is very diverse and can be fickle. As always, how we end up will depend on rain, snowfall, and the timing of markets opening or closing around the world. It seems that every year brings its own unique set of challenges and opportunities.

Bio-pharming in Colorado

by the Colorado Institute of Public Policy, Colorado State University

Agriculture is entering a new era — an era when genetically engineered crops might be successfully grown not only for human and livestock food, but also to produce medicine and industrial chemicals. Raising crops for plant-made pharmaceuticals and industrial compounds, which scientists have envisioned for some 20 years, often is called "molecular farming" or "bio-pharming."

Bio-pharming uses crops such as corn, soybeans, rice and tobacco to produce specialized proteins for pharmaceuticals. Production of these proteins is possible because bio-pharm crops are engineered to contain genes from mammals, microorganisms or other plants, resulting in modifications that do not naturally occur in plants. These modifications could present potential risks because these crops are not intended to replicate themselves in farm fields or to mingle in the natural environment; they are not intended as food for humans, livestock or wildlife. For these reasons, the cultivation of bio-pharm crops has sparked controversy and presents regulatory agencies and others with the challenge of ensuring that novel genes and plant material are controlled and do not present unacceptable risk to people, animals, the environment and existing markets for other crops ("Drugs in crops," 2004; Flinn and Zavon, 2004).

During four focus groups held by the Colorado Institute of Public Policy in May 2004, safety was the top issue identified. These bio-pharming discussions, in an agricultural community in each quadrant of the state, involved 56 stakeholders interested in and potentially affected by bio-pharming. Many participants identified economic development as bio-pharming's chief potential benefit for Colorado and agreed the state would need to minimize potential risks for human health, the environment and existing crop markets for the technology to move ahead.

Why Colorado?

Bio-pharming emerged in Colorado in spring 2003, when the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) granted a permit to Meristem Therapeutics of Clermont-Ferrand, France, to grow 30 acres...
of bio-pharm corn on the state's northeastern plains. The Colorado Department of Agriculture concurred with the USDA's decision that would have produced a therapeutic protein, an enzyme called lipase, to treat digestive problems in patients with cystic fibrosis (Mison, 2004). In spite of the approval, the permit was granted too late for the 2003 growing season and the plan did not move ahead (Auge, 2003a, 2003b).

In spring 2004, Colorado's first bio-pharm crop — comprising about 2,000 genetically engineered corn plants — was sown on a 90-foot-by-35-foot plot in Logan County, also on the northeastern plains. An Iowa State University researcher received a federal permit to grow the bio-pharm crop as part of research to develop a corn-based edible vaccine system for livestock. The Colorado Department of Agriculture (2004) again concurred.

These are two examples of how bio-pharming might be conducted in Colorado, and other proposals could be in the near future as bio-pharming expands. Colorado has several conditions that make it attractive for bio-pharming.

- The state presents relative ease in assuring isolation for open-air bio-pharm crops, such as corn. That is significant as regulators, growers and biotech companies seek to prevent pollen and other plant materials from mingling with wild and cultivated plant species.
- The state presents potentially favorable growing conditions for bio-pharming, which include the possibility of high-crop yields from irrigated fields; comparatively few problems with insects and disease; and the sunny days and moderate temperatures important for crop production.
- Colorado has 261 greenhouse farms with 19.90 million square feet of capacity, some of which might be used for bio-pharm crops suited to enclosed environments.
- Colorado's agricultural heritage presents a tradition of farming know-how and success, which places agriculture among the top industries in the state.
- Colorado has a thriving scientific community, an infrastructure of training and research facilities, and a vibrant biotech business community.

Why bio-pharming?

Many human ailments can be traced to the body's failure to make a specific protein or to make it appropriately. Solving the problem is difficult: Most protein-based drugs cannot be synthesized and must come from a living source. Their manufacture typically occurs in sterile fermentation facilities, where genetically engineered microorganisms or mammalian cells are cultured to produce medicinal proteins in stainless-steel tanks, called bioreactors (Felsot, 2002).
Another method for obtaining biopharmaceuticals is to extract them from animal and human tissues. But these are high-cost procedures that carry the risk of transmitting infectious diseases to human recipients. Additionally, current methods for mass production of medicinal proteins are not sufficient to meet all potential needs (Huang, 2000; Walsh, 2000).

Studies show that genetically engineered plants can produce medicinal proteins about 80 percent cheaper than fermentation systems and could reduce the costs of goods as much as 50 percent (Mison and Curling, 2000; Biotechnology Industry Organization, 2002; Crosby, 2003). The biotech industry believes it could quickly and effectively respond to rising demand for treatments by planting more bio-pharm acreage (Pew Initiative on Food and Biotechnology, 2002).

The market potential of bio-pharming and the state’s first two bio-pharming proposals suggest that Colorado is at a crossroads: It may accept a passive role in bio-pharming, evaluating proposals on a piecemeal basis, or it may take a proactive role with the technology, developing policies to responsibly and profitably adopt bio-pharming in a manner consistent with the values and standards of state residents (European Commission, 2002).

Benefits of Bio-pharming

Economic development is the main benefit of bio-pharming in Colorado and the state will likely achieve the greatest economic benefits if it also attracts research and development activity and processing facilities. Clustered and integrated operations involve more people and higher-paying jobs than cultivation alone, yielding economic resonance in the state (National Governors Association, 2003).

During Colorado Institute of Public Policy focus groups in spring 2004, conventional farmers expressed hope that bio-pharming could be a springboard to better economic health for individual growers and their communities. Focus group participants in all quadrants of the state agreed that attracting bio-pharm processing and related activities to rural Colorado would be the best way to achieve widespread economic gains from the technology, but cautioned that bio-pharm cultivation alone has limited economic benefit.

The Biotechnology Industry Organization, whose membership includes companies developing bio-pharm products, estimates that few farmers will be involved in bio-pharming because smaller acreages are needed to produce large quantities of medicinal proteins and crops will be grown under stringent regulatory conditions. Economic analyses suggest that drug companies and consumers will gain most from plant-made pharmaceuticals (Duffy 2001; Kostandini, Mills and Norton, 2004). This is why some bio-pharming proponents want Colorado communities to get involved in processing: it could be a route for the state to participate in the bio-pharming production chain and potentially to realize more economic benefit. Economic benefits also could accrue if partnerships develop between pharmaceutical companies and Colorado research facilities. Likewise, less expensive...
prescription drugs could produce economic benefits for the state and its residents.

Understanding the potential for economic development from bio-pharming involves case-by-case analysis of required investments and potential community returns. A proposed bio-pharming project might be of interest to a community if overall benefits meet economic-development goals and outweigh costs incurred to fulfill a company's infrastructure needs.

**Conclusion**

Colorado is at a policy crossroads with bio-pharming. To maximize its benefits and minimize its risks, Colorado decision makers should consider both scientifically derived data and community values when forming policies about the technology and its application in the state.

---

**The Climate of Colorado**

*by Nolan Doesken and Roger Pielke, Sr., Colorado Climate Center, Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University*

The recently ended 2005 water year, October 1, 2004 to September 30, 2005, helped continue gradual drought recovery for much of Colorado. Drought conditions were at their worst during late summer of 2002 but have improved. The year of 2003 was not much better, but one incredible snowstorm in March 2003, over north central Colorado, single-handedly dropped the equivalent of several months of average precipitation. This one storm relieved drought stress over the Front Range and parts of Northeastern Colorado. In 2004, there was average precipitation and snow pack, but a cool summer reduced water demand and extended water supplies and soil moisture.

For 2005, precipitation totals were near the long-term (1971-2000) averages over most of the eastern three-quarters of the state, while Western Colorado was much wetter than average. A few locations exceeded their average precipitation for the year by 30 percent or more, including areas from Grand Junction to near Glenwood Springs, a few locations near Durango, and parts of Moffat and Rio Blanco counties in Northwest Colorado.

Another wet area was Huerfano County (Walsenburg) and parts of surrounding areas in south central Colorado. Southwest Colorado got off to an extremely wet start with precipitation totals nearly double the average by mid-January, but the last half of the year was dry so these areas ended up only slightly above average for the year.

Drier than average conditions were fairly common east of the mountains where a dry May, July and September made it tough on those farmers who rely on summer rains to help their crops. Water year precipitation ended up between 70 percent and 85 percent of average from parts of Weld and Morgan counties south through Limon and Hugo to the Arkansas River. Some of these areas continued to
suffer long-term impacts from drought, but were greatly aided by the soaking rains that followed in October 2005. As much as 4 inches of much-needed rain fell over several counties of northeastern Colorado in October.

**Upcoming Water Year**

As we move through the winter of 2005-2006, dry conditions are emerging once again over southern Colorado and parts of the Front Range and eastern plains. While arctic cold in early December 2005 brought back memories of old-fashioned cold winters, a return to unseasonably mild temperatures in late December and early January 2006 has again brought nervous feelings of merging drought.

As we look back on our climate conditions, it is important to realize that Colorado is a dry state. Average precipitation for the state as a whole is only about 17 inches and is much lower when heavy mountain precipitation is not included. In nearly nine out of every 10 years, drought conditions are present somewhere in Colorado. The year 2002 was unusual in that the entire state faced severe drought conditions at the same time, but even in good years, some parts of Colorado are normally suffering from inadequate moisture. Although 2003 to 2005 have not been exceptionally wet, we are fortunate to have recovered as quickly as we did from the extremes of drought, but we remain very vulnerable.

The tendency towards experiencing temperatures above the 1971 to 2000 average values can exacerbate drought vulnerability and reduce soil moisture since higher temperatures usually mean higher water usage by agricultural crops, urban landscapes, rangeland and forests. Regardless of where we stand today, all we need is a hot and dry spring and Colorado can lapse again into the painful grip of drought. We are happy that early winter of the 2006 water year has delivered heavy snows to the northern and central mountains of Colorado. We will need that moisture for sure. Meanwhile, watch the skies as we move towards the 2006 growing season and be ready.

---

**Highlights for the Colorado Water Year 2005**

- Late November: cold and snow
- Dec: dry and mild for most of the state
- Dec.-Jan. 11: Blitz of mountain snow (and low-elevation rain) over the southwest with over 10' to 18' of new snow in some areas.
- Jan.: Unusually warm statewide, especially in Western Colorado
- Feb.: dry and warm east of the mountains
- March: Some heavy precipitation in southern part of state.
- April: Generous moisture, a blizzard over parts of the plains on the 10th.
- May: dry and warm, water from mountain snowmelt in many rivers and streams
- June: beneficial rains over the northwest and the northeastern plains.
- July: dry with extreme heat
- August: Spotty rain, but locally very heavy. A real soaker in Denver in early August greatly reduced urban water demands and several good rains watered dryland crops in many parts of eastern Colorado.
- Late Sept.: heavy rains over the west part of the state. Record high streamflows on the Colorado River for this time of year.
- Dec. 2004-Feb. 2005: Temperatures were above average, making it the 12th consecutive warmer-than-average winter (Dec.-2004 to Feb. 2005) for the state.
- Based on reservoir levels, continued improvement in surface water supply was noted during 2005 in Colorado. After reaching extreme low-levels in late 2002, reservoir levels have slowly returned to near normal in many basins.
Climate Resources

The Colorado Climate Center maintains climatological data for weather stations all across Colorado. Weather data go back into the 1800s for some locations. Running totals of precipitation are provided for each year for many weather stations across the state in comparison with long-term averages. For more information, contact the Colorado Climate Center at Colorado State University at (970) 491-8545 or visit http://ccc.atmos.colostate.edu

Rain, hail and snow data are also available for hundreds of locations in Colorado every day. http://www.cocorahs.org

We continue to seek more citizen volunteers to help monitor rain, hail and snow patterns. If you are interested, go to http://www.cocorahs.org and click on “Join CoCoRaHS - the Community Collaborative Rain, Hail and Snow network”.
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Fruit Industry Outlook

by Harold J. Larsen, Ph.D.,
Cooperative Extension fruit
disease specialist, Western
Colorado Research Center at
Orchard Mesa, Colorado State
University

For the complete article: see
www.coloradoagoutlook.org/

Colorado’s wine grape industry rebounded from a slight dip in 2004 with a banner year in 2005 providing good prices and an increase in bearing acreage. New records were achieved for production and crop valuation of wine grapes: production was about 1,800 tons (over the previous record of 1,500 tons) and crop valuation about $2.2 million (over the previous record of $1.8 million). Value of the vintage is likely to be around $22 million to $24 million using a conservative 10x multiplier.

Peach production was reduced slightly (only about 85 percent of a full crop harvested) because of higher incidence of split pits, split fruit, bird feeding damage, and some hail damage in higher elevation locations. Value of the peach crop for 2005 is estimated to be about $10 million.

Pears also had some hail damage and production was estimated at around 2,200 tons; prices were good, however, and provided an estimated valuation of $1.3 million. Sweet cherry production and prices was good, near or above average for both. Apricots had a reasonably good crop, estimated to be about 90 percent of optimum with good prices. Only apples were down significantly, at an estimated 35 percent to 40 percent of average due to substantial hail in late summer/early fall. Prices for the portion of the crop harvested held well, however, and a crop valuation of $2 million is expected.

Challenges for 2006 include fruit quality and size, bird damage, and matching production of wine grapes with winery capacity as a new record for wine grape production is expected as bearing acreage of wine grapes continues to expand.
Feed Grain Outlook

by Rod Sharp, Cooperative Extension western regional agriculture and business management specialist, Colorado State University; James G. Robb, director, Livestock Marketing Information Center, Lakewood.

For the complete article, see: www.coloradoagoutlook.org/

Colorado feed grain complex and other feed grain prices tend to follow corn market developments. Feed grain and livestock producers will again keep a close watch on corn and other feed grain prices this year. The national cash corn price received by farmers for the 2005-06 crop-marketing year is estimated at $1.80 per bushel. This is 13 percent lower than a year ago and $.52 lower than the 2000-2004 five-year average. Looking ahead to calendar year 2006, the dominant factors in the feed grain markets will be U.S. and world corn supplies, and ethanol production.

Corn Supply

Projections in late 2005 put total U.S. corn supply at 13.2 billion bushels, a record high. Beginning stocks were much higher this year at 2.1 billion bushels. Total 2005 U.S. Corn production is estimated at 11.0 billion bushels compared to 11.8 billion bushels in 2004. Imports are estimated at 10 million bushels for 2005. This is about the same as a year ago and 4 million bushels less than the previous two years.

Corn Usage

Corn usage is expected to increase slightly in the 2005-2006 crop-marketing year supported by record large industrial usage (2.98 billion bushels). Total usage is expected to be 10.8 billion bushels, an increase of 127 million bushels from 2004-05.

Feed Grain Price Outlook

In the short term (January through March 2005), the major factors that influence feed grain prices will be U.S. export levels and South American feed grain developments. Come spring, prospects for the new U.S. corn crop will have increasing influence on prices. Preliminary projections put U.S. corn plantings in 2006 at about 2 to 3 million acres below 2005's. Most of the uncertainty for 2006 is related to planting intentions as farmers face higher fuel and fertilizer costs. With normal crop growing conditions, U.S. corn production could again be larger than usage.

Overall, even if usage remains strong, as expected, the 2005-2006 average corn price is expected to be about $1.95 per bushel. For the 2006-2007 crop-marketing year, corn prices may not be able to increase much. Major increases in corn and feed grain prices may require decreased production from weather problems (domestic or foreign). A short crop somewhere would likely result in higher corn prices very quickly. Watch crop plantings and growing conditions closely.

Record high prices driven by reduced supplies were the story for 2005. We thought prices would be high though we didn't foresee that prices would be as high as they were, but we'll take it. What does the market have in store for 2006 and 2007? We think largely more of the same.
Livestock Outlook

by Stephen R. Koontz, Ph.D., Cooperative Extension economist/marketing and associate professor, Colorado State University; James G. Robb, director; Erica L. Rosa, agricultural economist, Livestock Marketing Information Center, Lakewood, CO

For the complete article, see: www.coloradoagoutlook.org/

Cattle

Christmas came all year for the cattle industry. Feeder cattle and calf prices were at record highs for most of the year. Cow-calf producers were and are making record per animal profits, and the low prices for fed cattle were at levels typical for high prices of previous years - in the low $80s. The most significant news that came in December of 2005 was the reopening of the Japanese market to U.S. beef. Allowing trade is not the same as actual trade occurring but this will be the present the industry opens all next year.

It became clear in 2005 that the cattle cycle turned from herd liquidation to expansion in 2004. Because of the herd rebuilding, the dominant factor in the cattle outlook will be tight cattle numbers. Exactly how strong cattle prices will be as a result of this will depend on cow-calf producers.

The upside potential of prices in cattle markets appears to us to be only potentially limited by large slaughter cattle weights. We will see more of these heavy cattle weights in the next couple of years, but how big is up to cattle feeders. However, it is unlikely that weights will increase enough to offset the reduced numbers.

Feed grain prices will present some risk to calf and yearling prices in 2006 and 2007. There were concerns of drought in the Corn Belt during the late spring and summer of 2005. But as the late summer turned into fall, it was clear the U.S. corn crop was in excellent shape and feed grain supplies were ample.

The other side of the price coin is demand. Beef producers have enjoyed eight years of improving beef demand. We are not sure why demand is increasing, but we think strong demand will continue in 2006.

We forecasted the reopening of the border with Canada to movement of live cattle - fed and feeder - as happening last year and we are encouraged with the reopening of the border with Japan. Prospects for beef exports must improve. However, the magnitude will depend on Japanese consumers. Trade tends to grow slowing and stop quickly.

The USDA is forecasting a 4.8 percent year-to-year increase in U.S. beef production for 2006 and we think the increase will be more modest and continuing improvement in demand, beef and cattle prices will remain strong in 2006 compared to 2005.

As a result, look for cash fed cattle prices in 2006 to average in the high $80s per cwt. Average fed cattle prices could be in the $90s in first quarter. Prices may erode as the second quarter progresses with summer quarter still averaging over $80 per cwt. Average fed cattle prices could be back in the high $80s during the fourth quarter of 2006.

Feeder cattle and calf prices will stay strong during 2006 and calf prices are again expected to set seasonal high prices prior to summer grass. Calf prices should remain well over $100 per cwt throughout the year. Prices should average over $120 in the first and second quarter and could decrease some in the third quarter. In the fourth quarter, as usual, calf prices will be the lowest of the year.
500 to 600 pound calves in the fall of 2006 will average over $100 per cwt.

Hogs

The 2005 hog market dodged a bullet – in our minds – but will be tested again next year. The industry last faced large numbers and low prices during 2002 and saw good prices and profits for 2003 through 2005. We predicted modest cyclical expansion, lower prices in 2005, and the cyclical low in 2006 or 2007. In fact, the futures market agreed with us during the summer months.

The December USDA Hogs and Pigs reports indicated modest herd expansion would continue in 2006. Herd size will likely increase and the cyclical expansion could peak in 2006. This would be consistent with a normal cycle.

Forecasts indicate that U.S. hog slaughter will be 2 percent above that of a year earlier in the first quarter of 2006. Hog slaughter may post larger year-to-year increases for the balance of the year with 2006 being 1.1 percent above 2005. Market hog carcasses are generally 0.5 percent larger than the previous year. However, the decreases in corn price may result in heavier weights. All in all, the market will see pork production increased 1 percent to 2 percent for the year and some year-to-year weakening in hog prices – most of that in the first and fourth quarters. Still, most hog operations will be profitable at least throughout the first three quarters of 2006.

Cyclically, barrow and gilt prices are anticipated to remain strong in 2006. Market hog prices are forecasted to be in the mid-$570's per cwt in the first quarter of 2005. Market hog prices are forecasted to be in the mid-to-high $60's during the second and third quarters. Slaughter hog prices may finish the year in the high $50's, on a carcass basis, and should average in the $64 to $69 range for the year.

Lamb

Quarterly slaughter lamb prices in 2005 were 5 percent to 12 percent better than those in 2004. Better lamb prices are due to a 3.8 percent decrease in U.S. lamb production across 2005. U.S. lamb imports were slightly below a year ago in 2005. Production for 2006 and 2007 will likely increase so some price decreases are inevitable. Imports are also expected to increase slightly in 2006 and 2007 reflecting flock growth in Australia and New Zealand. Imports increased an average of 7.5 percent per year for the last three years and will likely continue that trend. If both of these scenarios occur then on a carcass basis slaughter lamb prices could average $189 to $198 per cwt in 2006 and $179 to $187 per cwt in 2007.
Sunflower and Oilseed Outlook

by Dennis A. Kaan, northern region agriculture and business management specialist, Colorado State University

For the complete article, see: www.coloradoagoutlook.org

Sunflower production in the U.S. and Colorado increased in 2005 due to two key factors, favorable price contracts and favorable growing conditions. In the U.S., acreage was up 151 percent to 2,581,000 acres in 2005 while yield was up 125 percent to 1,500 pounds per acre raising total production to 3.87 billion pounds. In Colorado, acreage was up 161 percent to 198,000 acres in 2005 while yield was up 117 percent to 1,400 pounds per acre creating a 189 percent increase in production to 277 million pounds.

The challenge now for the sunflower industry is to keep the momentum going. The excellent experience in 2005 will certainly help many growers look closer at sunflowers compared to soybeans, but price will be a key factor. Contract prices will need to be attractive again in 2006 to compete with soybeans for acreage in the major sunflower and soybean producing regions.

Dry Bean Outlook

Dry bean production in the U.S. and Colorado followed the same trend as sunflowers for the same key factors, favorable price contracts and favorable growing conditions. Carryover stocks had declined over the past several years to the point of exhausting supplies for some classes of beans. Growing conditions favored growers in 2005 once again and U.S. production registered a 53 percent increase above the short 2004 crop year. The larger crop this year reflects double-digit increases in most dry bean-producing states, with the greatest improvement from a year earlier in Colorado (up 84 percent), Texas (up 83 percent), and Minnesota (up 81 percent).

Now that the 2005 crop is a bit larger than earlier estimates, U.S. dry bean supplies are expected to be more than adequate to satisfy average domestic and international demand this season. Despite this, with low beginning stocks, available supply across all bean classes is still estimated to be the second lowest in the past 16 years. For 2005/06, the national season average grower price is projected to range from $18 to $20 per cwt.

Vegetable Crop Production Outlook

by Michael Bartolo, Ph.D., Cooperative Extension vegetable crop specialist and research scientist, Arkansas Valley Research Center, Colorado State University

For the complete article, see: www.coloradoagoutlook.org

In 2005, vegetable growers faced challenges related to high fuel prices and labor costs. Despite these issues and the closure a vegetable processing facility in the state, growers realized a relatively good market year. The health of the state's vegetable industry has been boosted by the popularity of farmers' markets and the commitment of large retail outlets and restaurants to feature Colorado-grown produce. Overall, onions continue to be the most widely grown vegetable followed by sweet corn, carrots, and cabbage.
Prospects were excellent for the 2005-2006 Colorado wheat crop, but the late spring of 2005 saw hot weather and dry winds in northeast Colorado so wheat yields were hurt substantially. The 2000’s have brought turmoil to Colorado wheat producers and world wheat markets. Prospects for 2006-2007 have begun the same as last crop year. Let’s hope the yields hold up to potential and that the turmoil ends.

World stocks of all wheat have been declining since the 1999-2000 crop year but grew sharply in 2004-2005. The December 9, 2005 USDA World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates (WASDE) reported ending stocks increased 13.5 percent two years ago. Higher ending stocks were due to increased production in major wheat growing and export countries. World stocks declined 4.5 percent in 2005-2006, which is the reason wheat prices have exhibited some volatility over the past year. It is likely this price behavior will continue and there will be short quick run-ups in price and short quick declines in price, unless world production is exceptionally large next year as it was in 1998.

U.S. production was slightly less than domestic consumption and exports so that ending stocks tightened slightly in the 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 crop years. The WASDE reported 540 million bushels of ending stock for 2004-2005 and 530 million bushels 2005-2006. The changes in supply and demand conditions in the U.S. have not exactly paralleled changes in the world supply and demand conditions. The U.S. also continues to plant fewer and fewer acres to wheat. The 1997-1998 crop year saw over 70 million acres planted. The 2006 crop year will see less than 60 million acres planted. Meanwhile, production and consumption grows worldwide. Trends in the U.S. supply and demand conditions do not match trends in world supply and demand.

Similarly, Colorado has not followed what has happened to the rest of U.S producers. Colorado wheat production was down 40 percent in 2004 from 2003 and was up 15 percent in 2005 from 2004 to 54 million bushels. Average yields were 35.1 bushels per acre in 2003, 27.4 in 2004, and 24.4 in 2005. This last year saw the smallest yield since the drought of 2002. Colorado production was up in 2005 because of 11 percent increased planted acres and 29 percent increased harvested acres over the previous year. These changes well offset the decline in yield per acre.

The price outlook for wheat in 2006 largely depends on production in the United States and world this next year. Reasonable wheat prices and adequate moisture was present in many winter-wheat producing states when planting decisions were made. Thus, acres planted to wheat are expected to increase for the 2006-2007 crop year. Unofficial estimates of the number of acres of wheat planted in the U.S. in 2006-2007 is approximately 58.5 million acres, an increase of 1 percent over 2005-2006. Wheat plantings were down 1.5 percent in 2005, with Colorado wheat producers increasing their planted acres by 11 percent to 2.6 million acres. This increase in planted acres breaks the trends of declining plantings throughout the 1990’s and early
The USDA Winter Wheat Seedings Report, released in January 2006, provides official estimates of planted acres that will allow more accurate production and price forecasting.

Colorado has appeared to stabilize at approximately 2.5 million acres.

The winter wheat crop in Colorado and most of the U.S. was planted on schedule. As of November 28, 2005, USDA Crop Progress reported 100 percent of Colorado's winter wheat crop had emerged and the crop appears to be in stellar condition. At the end of November, 31 percent was in excellent condition, 51 percent was good, 16 percent was fair, 2 percent was poor, and none was in very poor condition. This compares to the conditions of the 18 largest wheat producing states, of which 8 percent of the winter wheat is in excellent condition, 44 percent is in good condition, 33 percent is fair, 8 percent is poor, and 6 percent is in very poor condition. The condition of Colorado's crop was better than any of the other 18 major producing states. The winter wheat crop in Texas and South Dakota are in the poorest shape. Oklahoma is also in reasonably bad shape, while Kansas largely mirrors the 18-state figures.

The USDA Winter Wheat Seedings Report, released in January 2006, provides official estimates of planted acres that will allow more accurate production and price forecasting. USDA Crop Progress reports will not be issued until April 3, 2006. Wheat crop conditions for the 18 states will be known then and updated weekly and we will see how wheat fared over the winter. Accurate forecasts of harvested acres and yields will be difficult until the winter and spring weather is observed and reports are continued. Until then, current forecasts indicate a slight increase in harvested acres and slight decrease in yields with U.S. production holding steady. Forecasts also suggest slight increases in domestic food and feed use and slight decreases in exports. All of this translates into little change in ending stocks to slight increases for the 2006-2007 crop year.

Reasonable production and use forecasts suggest 2006-2007 ending stocks between 150 million bushels and 575 million bushels. With the lower of the two stocks numbers, a $3.75 Colorado wheat price is expected and with the higher ending stock number, $2.75 is expected. With ending stocks in the middle of this range then a $3.25 Colorado wheat price for the 2006-2007 crop year is likely.

The factors to watch over the winter and spring include the weather and its impact on production and then exports. The U.S. dollar strengthened late in 2005 but is following a substantial weakening of the previous year and a half. If world production is down and the world economy stays strong, then U.S. wheat exports could improve. U.S. wheat prices largely depend on the world wheat export market. The U.S. competes in the world export market along with the big four exporters: Argentina, Australia, Canada and the European Union. For 2005-2006, world wheat trade is forecasted at 110 million metric tons, up 0.5 percent from 2004-2005. Global consumption of wheat was at 622 MMT, up 13 MMT from 609 MMT in 2004-2005, and up 33 MMT from 589 MMT in 2003/04. Global
production was 615 MMT, down 8 MMT from 627 MMT in 2004/05, and up 50 MMT from 555 MMT is 2003-2004. World ending stocks are expected to be steady as are prices, but Coloradans will take steady prices if the crop condition stays as good as it was in 2005.

The green industry continues to be the fastest growing segment of agriculture in Colorado. The green industry has averaged 10 percent growth per year since 1993 for a total of $1.731 billion in 2002.

In Colorado, the green industry provides almost 35,000 jobs, an increase of 12,000 jobs since 1994 (6 percent growth per year) with $825 million in payroll (up from $450 million from 1994 or 18 percent annual growth).

"An Easy Being Green" campaign was initiated in 2003 and continued in 2005 to highlight the industries sound water and best management practices across Colorado and to ensure landscapes remain an essential foundation of Colorado's quality of life, economic health and public image. The Governor of Colorado proclaimed December 12-16, 2005 Best Management Practices (BMP) Awareness Week.
Colorado's Agricultural Export Trends

by Timothy J. Larsen, senior international marketing specialist, Colorado Department of Agriculture

Colorado's agricultural exports dropped in 2004 due to the closed markets in Asia for Colorado and U.S. beef. The loss of the largest export market (Japan) for U.S. beef has reduced Colorado's total exports.

Colorado's top agricultural export product category continues to be beef and meat products, despite the loss of key export markets in 2004. These markets remained closed in 2005 and will continue to reduce Colorado's exports. Fortunately, Mexico opened to selected U.S. beef products in the spring of 2004 to provide a strong market for Colorado beef. In the fall of 2005, some Asian markets opened and the Japanese market opened in December. With the opening of the Japanese market, we anticipate the remaining closed markets in Asia will also open. Unfortunately, with the market closed for two years, the market will require several years to regain the past sales level.

Colorado's other export sectors have held their global market, with wheat growing due to increased world prices and global demands.

The closed markets for Colorado beef have had a significant impact on our top export markets for agricultural products. Mexico continues as the strongest market, assisted by the continued imports of beef as well as all other import categories. Japan, Korea and Taiwan have not imported U.S. beef since 2003 and a drop in exports to each of these markets is attributed to the lost beef markets.

Mexico continues to grow as Colorado's most important agricultural export market. Since the effective date of the NAFTA Accord, Mexican agricultural exports have demonstrated strong growth in key Colorado sectors. U.S. Beef has experienced a growth in exports to Mexico of over 590 percent since 1995, while vegetable exports have grown 380 percent and wheat exports have grown 270 percent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005*</th>
<th>2006**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef and meat products</td>
<td>317.5</td>
<td>295.9</td>
<td>210.1</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>217.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides and furs</td>
<td>148.2</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td>156.5</td>
<td>150.9</td>
<td>150.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course grains/feed/fodder</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>164.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat, flour &amp; products</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>200.3</td>
<td>161.3</td>
<td>157.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit, vegetables-fresh/proc.</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Processed foods &amp; ag prod.</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal fats/oils</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>965.8</td>
<td>956.4</td>
<td>895.4</td>
<td>851.7</td>
<td>855.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*USDA Projection; **USDA Forecast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colorado's Top Agricultural Export Markets Value in US Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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COLORADO AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATIONS

Alpaca Breeders of the Rockies
7705 East County Road 34
Bennett, CO 80102-8201
(303) 644-4110; fax: (303) 646-2654
www.alpacabreeders.com

Associated Landscape Contractors of Colorado
5290 E. Yale Circle, Suite 100
Denver, CO 80222
(303) 757-5611 / (800) 339-2441
fax: (303) 757-5636
www.alcc.com

Associated Society of Landscape Architects-Colorado Chapter
6456 S. Niagara Court
Centennial, CO 80111
(303) 830-6616; fax: (303) 220-5833
www.ccasla.org

Colorado Agricultural Aviation Association
11166 Huron Street, Suite 27
Denver, CO 80234
(303) 433-4446; fax: (303) 458-0002

Colorado Apple Administrative Committee
215 Silver St.
Delta, CO 81416
(970) 240-8373; fax: (970) 240-8426

Colorado Aquaculture Association
PO. Box 1992
Estes Park, CO 80517
(970) 586-9519; fax: (970) 586-6695
www.colaqua.org

Colorado Association of Conservation Districts
743 Horizon Court, Suite 322
Grand Junction, CO 81506
(970) 248-0070; fax: (970) 248-9229
www.cacd.us

Colorado Association of Lawn Care Professionals
6156 S. Niagara Court
Centennial, CO 80111
(303) 850-7587; fax: (303) 220-5835
www.lawncapecolado.org

Colorado Beef Council
789 Sherman Street, Suite 105
Denver, CO 80203-3530
(303) 830-7892; fax: (303) 830-7896
www.cobeef.com

Colorado Beekeepers Association
5915 Road 346
Silt, CO 81652
(970) 876-5489; fax: (970) 876-5676

Colorado Cattlemen's Association
8835 Ralston Road
Arvada, CO 80002
(303) 431-6422; fax: (303) 431-6446
http://cca.beef.org

Colorado CattleWomen, Inc.
PO. Box 68
Matheson, CO 80830
(719) 541-2763; fax: (719) 843-7758
www.cca.beef.org/pages/CCW

Colorado Cooperative Council
PO. Box 506
Eaton, CO 80615
(970) 255-1450; fax: (970) 255-4082

Colorado Corn Administrative Committee-Colorado Corn Growers Association
127 22nd Street
 Greeley, CO 80631
(970) 351-8821; fax: (970) 351-8203
www.coloradocorn.com

Colorado Corn Administrative Committee- Colorado Cattlemen's Association
PO. Box 506
Eaton, CO 80615
(970) 255-1450; fax: (970) 255-4082

Colorado Dry Bean Administrative Committee
31221 Northwoods Circle
Buena Vista, CO 81211
(800) 318-8049 / (888) 841-1245

COLORADO EGG PRODUCERS

Colorado Egg Producers Association
6001 County Road 68C
Red Feather Lake, CO 80545
(970) 881-2902; (970) 881-2587
www.wapiti.net

Colorado Elk Breeders Association
2055 Highway 50
Penrose, CO 81240
(719) 238-0104; fax: (719) 372-0418
www.wapiti.net

Colorado Farm Bureau
9177 East Mineral Circle
Centennial, CO 80112
(303) 749-7500; fax: (303) 749-7703
www.coloradofb.com

Colorado Future Farmers of America (FFA) Association
9101 E Lowry Boulevard
Denver, CO 80230
(303) 595-1562; fax: (720) 858-3130

Colorado Future Farmers of America (FFA) Foundation
62768 N. Star Drive
Montrose, CO 81401
(970) 249-1465

Colorado Hay and Forage Association
PO. Box 416
Mead, CO 80542
(970) 638-4535

Colorado Hereford Association
5755 County Road 63
Keensburg, CO 80643
(303) 732-0423; fax: (303) 732-0856
Garden Centers of Colorado
6456 S Niagara Court
Centennial, CO 80111
(303) 850-7589; fax: (303) 220-5833
www.gardencentersofcolorado.org

Green Industries of Colorado
9567 W Vandeventor Drive
Littleton CO 80128
(303) 973-4026; fax: (303) 973-2263
www.greenco.org

International Society of Arboriculture - Rocky Mtn Chapter
9137 E. Mineral Avenue, Ste 306
Centennial, CO 80112
(303) 756-1815; fax: (303) 798-1315
www.isarmc.org

Rocky Mountain Agri-Business Association
11166 Huron Street, Suite 27
Denver, CO 80234
(303) 433-4466 / (800) 243-1233; fax: (303) 458-0002
www.rmagbiz.org

Rocky Mountain Bean Dealers Association
P.O. Box 1285
Elizabeth, CO 80107
(303) 646-8883; fax: (303) 646-8914

Rocky Mountain Farmers Union
5655 S. Yosemite Street, Ste 400
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
(303) 752-5800; fax: (303) 752-5810
www.rmfu.org

Rocky Mountain Food Industry Association
1370 Pennsylvania Street, Ste 320
Denver, CO 80203
(303) 830-7001; fax: (303) 830-7040

Rocky Mountain Sod Growers Association
PO Box 442
Mead, CO 80542-0442
(303) 690-4400; fax: (303) 690-6759
www.rockymountainsodgrowers.com

Society for Range Management
10030 W. 27th Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80215-6601
(303) 986-3309; fax: (303) 986-3892
www.rangelands.org

Western Colorado Horticulture Society, PO Box 1221
Palisade, CO 81526-1221
(970) 241-6407

Western Dairy Farmers' Promotion Association
12000 N Washington St, Ste 200
Thornton, CO 80241-1926
(303) 451-7711; fax: (303) 451-0411
www.wdairycouncil.com

Wholesale Florists of Colorado
20 West Dry Creek Circle, Ste 110
Littleton, CO 80120
(303) 798-0601; 800-274-6455
fax: (303) 798-1315
www.flowersincolorado.com

American Gelbvieh Association
10900 Dover Street
Westminster, CO 80021
(303) 465-2333; fax: (303) 465-2339
www.gelbvieh.com

American National Cattlewomen, Inc.
P.O. Box 3881
Englewood, CO 80155
(303) 694-0313; fax: (303) 694-2390
www.ancw.org

American National Cattlemen's Beef Assn
9110 E Nichols Avenue, Ste 300
Centennial, CO 80112
(303) 694-0305; fax: (303) 694-2851
www.beef.org

National Farmers Union
5619 DTC Parkway, Suite 300
Greenwood Village, CO 80111-3136
(303) 337-5500; fax: (303) 771-1770
www.nfu.org

National Honey Board
390 Lashley St.
Longmont, CO 80501-6045
(303) 776-2337; (800) 553-7162
fax: (303) 776-1177
www.nhb.org

National Livestock Producers Assn
660 Southpointe Court, Suite 314
Colorado Springs, CO 80906
(719) 538-8843; (800) 237-7193
fax: (719) 538-8847
www.nlpa.org

National Onion Association
822 7th Street, Suite 510
Greeley, CO 80631
(970) 353-5895; fax: (970) 353-5897
www.onions-usa.org
Packers and Stockers
Administration
8950 Lewiston, Suite 200
Aurora, CO 80011-1550
(303) 375-4210

Rural Development
(720) 544-2905
www.rurdev.usda.gov/co

U.S. Forest Service/Regional Office
710 Simms Street, Golden, CO 80401
(303) 275-5350, fax: (303) 275-5327

U.S. Department of Commerce
U.S. Export Assistance Center
1625 Broadway, Suite 680
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 844-6622, ext. 18

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management – State Office
2850 Youngfield Street
Lakewood, CO 80215
(303) 239-3600; fax: (303) 239-3933
www.co.blm.gov

National Park Service
12795 W Alameda Parkway
Lakewood, CO 80228
(303) 969-2000

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
134 Union Boulevard, Suite 670
Lakewood, CO 80228
(303) 236-4773
www.mountain-prairie.fws.gov/co

U.S. Geological Survey
1315 Sherman Street, Room 715
Denver Federal Center
Lakewood, CO 80203
(303) 866-2611

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) - Region 8 Office
999 18th Street, Suite 200
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 312-6312; (800) 227-8917
www.epa.gov/region8

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500
Comments: (202) 456-1111
Switchboard: (202) 456-1414
www.whitehouse.gov

COLORADO CONGRESSIONAL OFFICES
Congressman Bob Beauprez
504 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
(202) 225-2045; fax: (202) 225-5278
www.house.gov/beauprez
Aurora (303) 369-4727
Wheatridge (303) 940-5821

Congresswoman Diana DeGette
1527 Longworth House Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-4151; fax: (202) 225-5657
www.house.gov/degette
Denver (303) 844-1998

Congressman Joel Hefley
3372 Rayburn House Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-1422; fax: (202) 225-1942
www.house.gov/hefley
Colorado Springs (719) 520-0055

Congresswoman Marilyn Murgraves
1507 Longworth House Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-4670; fax: (202) 225-5870
www.house.gov/musgrave
Greeley (970) 352-4037
Las Vegas (702) 456-0925
Longmont (720) 494-4336
Loveland (970) 663-3556
Sterling (970) 522-1788

Congressman Tom Tancredo
1130 Longworth House Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-7882; fax: (202) 226-4263
www.house.gov/tancredo
Castle Rock (303) 688-3130
Centennial (720) 283-9772
Littleton (720) 283-7575

Congressman John T. Salazar
1531 Longworth House Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-7411; fax: (202) 226-9699
www.house.gov/salazar
Alamosa (719) 824-1105
Durango (970) 245-9170
Laramie (307) 632-9170
Laramie (307) 955-9170
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COLORADO CONGRESSIONAL ASSISTANCE
Congressman Mark Udall
240 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-2161, fax: (202) 226-7810
www.house.gov/udall
Minturn (970) 827-1154
Westminster (303) 650-7820

Senator Wayne Allard
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(202) 224-5941, fax: (202) 224-6417
www.allard.senate.gov
Colorado Springs (719) 634-6071
Durango (970) 375-6311
Englewood (303) 220-7411
Grand Junction (970) 245-9553
Loveland (970) 461-3530
Pueblo (719) 545-9751

Senator Ken Salazar
702 Hart Senate Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20510
(202) 224-5852, fax: (202) 228-5036
www.salazar.senate.gov
Alamosa (719) 824-1105
Durango (970) 224-8580
Grand Junction (970) 224-8580
Pueblo (719) 545-9751

FARM/RANCH MANAGEMENT ASSISTANCE
Aims Community College
Greeley, CO 80701
(970) 330-8008 ext. 6208
(800) 301-5388

Colorado Comm. College System
9101 East Lowry Blvd.
Denver, CO 80230, (303) 620-1000

Colorado Northwestern Community College
Rangely, CO 81646
(970) 675-5209
(800) 562-1105

Craig (970) 642-1105
Colo. State University Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics
(970) 491-6955

Delta-Montrose Area Vocational Technical Center
Delta (970) 874-671

Lamar Community College
(719) 356-2248

Mesa College
Grand Junction
(970) 248-1020 / (800) 982-6372

Montrose Center
(970) 249-7009

Morgan Community College
Fort Morgan
(970) 542-3100 / (800) 622-0216

Northeastern Jr. College
Sterling
(970) 521-6600 / (800) 626-4637

Otero Jr. College
La Junta
(719) 384-6831

Pueblo Community College
(719) 549-3200

San Juan Basin Technical School and Pueblo Community College
Cortez (970) 565-8457
Durango (970) 385-1267

Trinidad State Jr. College
(719) 846-5011 / (800) 621-8752
Valley Campus
(719) 589-7000 / (800) 411-8382

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
Fort Collins, CO 80523
Information: (970) 491-1101
www.colostate.edu

Agricultural Experiment Station and Research Centers
(970) 491-5371

Research Centers:
ARDEC (Agricultural Research, Development and Education

Center), Fort Collins
(970) 491-2105

Arkansas Valley, Rocky Ford
(719) 254-6312

Eastern Colorado, Akron
(970) 345-6302

Plainsman, Walsh (970) 324-5643

San Juan Basin, Hesperus
(970) 485-4574

San Luis Valley, Center
(970) 754-5594

Southwestern Colorado
Yellow Jacket (970) 562-1255

Western Colorado (3 locations):
Fruita (970) 858-3629

Orchard Mesa (970) 434-3264

Rogers Mesa (970) 872-3387

College of Agricultural Sciences
(970) 491-6271

Colorado Agricultural & Rural Leadership Program
(970) 491-2246

Colorado State Forester
(970) 491-6303

Colorado Water Resources Research Institute
(970) 491-6308

Cooperative Extension
(970) 491-6281
(County Offices listed opposite page)

Food Safety Specialist
(970) 491-7331
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams County</td>
<td>Brighton</td>
<td>(303) 637-8100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamosa County</td>
<td>Montezuma (719) 853-7381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archuleta County</td>
<td>Pagosa Springs (970) 264-5931</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baca County</td>
<td>Springfield (719) 523-6971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent County</td>
<td>Las Animas (719) 456-0764</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder County</td>
<td>Longmont (303) 678-6238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broomfield County</td>
<td>Broomfield (720) 887-2286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaffee County</td>
<td>Salida (719) 539-6417</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheyenne County</td>
<td>Cheyenne Wells (719) 767-5716</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conejos County</td>
<td>San Luis Valley Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costilla County</td>
<td>San Luis Valley Area Office (719) 853-7381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowley County</td>
<td>Ordway (719) 267-4741 ext. 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custer County</td>
<td>Westcliffe (719) 783-2514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta County</td>
<td>Delta (970) 874-2195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver County</td>
<td>Denver (720) 913-5270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolores County</td>
<td>Dove Creek (970) 677-2283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas County</td>
<td>Castle Rock (720) 733-6930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle County</td>
<td>Eagle (970) 328-8630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbert County</td>
<td>Simla (719) 541-2361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso County</td>
<td>Colorado Springs (719) 636-8920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont County</td>
<td>Canon City (719) 276-7390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield County</td>
<td>Rifle (970) 625-3969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilpin County</td>
<td>Golden (303) 582-9106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand County</td>
<td>Kremmling (970) 724-4436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunnison County</td>
<td>Gunnison (970) 641-1260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huerfano County</td>
<td>Walsenburg (719) 738-2170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>Walden (970) 723-4298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County</td>
<td>Golden (303) 271-6620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiowa County</td>
<td>Kiowa (719) 438-5321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kit Carson County</td>
<td>Burlington (719) 346-5571</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Plata County</td>
<td>Durango (970) 247-4355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larimer County</td>
<td>Fort Collins (970) 498-6000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Animas County</td>
<td>Trinidad (719) 846-6881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln County</td>
<td>Hugo (719) 743-2542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan County</td>
<td>Sterling (970) 522-3200 ext. 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa County</td>
<td>Grand Junction (970) 244-1834</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moffat County</td>
<td>Craig (970) 824-9180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montezuma County</td>
<td>Cortez (970) 565-3123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montrose &amp; Ouray Counties</td>
<td>Montrose (970) 249-3935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan County</td>
<td>Fort Morgan (970) 542-3540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otero County</td>
<td>Rocky Ford (719) 254-7608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park County</td>
<td>Fairplay (719) 836-1289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips County</td>
<td>Holyoke (970) 854-3616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prowers County</td>
<td>Lamar (719) 336-7734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo County</td>
<td>Pueblo (719) 583-6566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Blanco County</td>
<td>Mecker (970) 878-4093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande County</td>
<td>San Luis Valley Area Office (719) 657-0213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande County</td>
<td>Steamboat Springs (970) 879-0825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis County</td>
<td>Monte Vista (719) 852-7381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Miguel County</td>
<td>San Luis Valley Research Center Center (719) 754-3494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick County</td>
<td>Norwood (970) 327-4533</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward County</td>
<td>Wray (970) 332-4151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit County</td>
<td>Frisco (970) 668-3595</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teller County</td>
<td>Cripple Creek (719) 689-2552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County</td>
<td>Akron (970) 345-2287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld County</td>
<td>Greeley (970) 304-6555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma County</td>
<td>Pueblo (719) 583-6566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Colorado Agricultural and Rural Leadership (CARL) Program

Agriculture must develop leaders capable of communicating the issues of agriculture to the greater population and at the same time, be insightful and forward thinking leaders within their own industries. The Colorado Agricultural and Rural Leadership program is a two year, interactive travel/study program dedicated to producing graduates with the vision and commitment to lead change and ensure the sustainability of Colorado’s agricultural economies and rural communities. CARL program graduates hold a growing number of positions of major responsibility at local, state and national levels.

Assistance and encouragement to new participants will be provided by alumni of former participants of the CARL program, the Colorado Department of Agriculture and several farm, ranch, and agricultural organizations and agencies. For more information on the program, contact Dr. Jim Heird, CARL director and associate dean of the College of Agricultural Sciences at (970) 491-6274, or see: http://www.agsci.colostate.edu/carl/

Colorado Agricultural Leadership Associates

Colorado Agricultural Leadership Associates is a private, non-profit organization formed to promote unity and cooperation among the Colorado Agricultural Leadership program graduates, Colorado Agricultural and Rural Leadership Program graduates, and other agricultural leadership programs. The purpose of the organization is to:

- Promote and initiate continuing education programs for members on issues of importance to Colorado’s agricultural industry;
- Work on programs that enhance the general public’s understanding of Colorado’s agricultural industry;
- Promote and encourage members on a nonpartisan, non-discriminatory basis to attain leadership positions of importance to Colorado agriculture and rural communities.

Associate members have been instrumental in establishing the Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum and Colorado-Russia Agricultural Group, and conducting community outreach meetings throughout Colorado. Contact Nelson Martin, 6532 WCR 50 Johnstown, CO 80534, 800-333-7929, or nmartin@ranch-way.com, for more information.

2006 Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum Organization

The Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum (CAOF) reorganized after the 2004 Forum, and is now working to establish Colorado Non-Profit organization with 501(c)(3) status. The operation of the CAOF is directed by a nine-member board of trustees with three members each representing the Colorado Department of Agriculture, Colorado State University Cooperative Extension, and the Colorado Agricultural Leadership Associates - the three organizations behind the concept of the CAOF. The board of trustees solicits and receives important advice and recommendations about the focus, content and speakers for each forum from a steering committee of representatives of the primary state agricultural organizations and commodity groups. The steering committee also provides invaluable assistance in the actual presentation of the forum each year.

Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum Board of Trustees

Nelson Martin, president
Don Ament
Marc Johnson

Pete Eggleston, vice president
Rebecca Cooper
Bill Orendorff

Angela Cue, secretary/treasurer
Tony Frank
Scott Stump
Colorado State University Cooperative Extension

Congress authorized Cooperative Extension in each state in 1914 and since then, Cooperative Extension has been in the education and information business. Cooperative Extension provides the link between the university and the citizens of each state. Cooperative Extension makes the research, information and expertise, provided by our country’s land-grant universities, available to the people and helps them use this information to solve problems and improve quality of life.

Agriculture and natural resources, consumer and family education, and 4-H youth-development faculty in our counties are all part of Cooperative Extension’s efforts to bring the latest information to the people of Colorado.

Colorado State University Cooperative Extension makes the entire state our campus and its residents our students. Our system of county offices puts Cooperative Extension resources within easy reach of Colorado’s 64 counties.

In an age of information, rapidly changing technology and access to information, Cooperative Extension offers a rare commodity: information you can trust. If Coloradans are looking for straightforward, research-based, usable information on agriculture and natural resources; 4-H youth development; consumer and family issues; or community development, then their first stop should be the Cooperative Extension office in their county.

Colorado State University Cooperative Extension helps Colorado improve the quality of life for its families and communities.

Administration (970) 491-6281; www.ext.colostate.edu
For Extension publications call: The Cooperative Extension Resource Center (970) 491-6198

Check out Answerlink!
Cooperative Extension’s question-and-answer forum
www.answerlink.info

Colorado Department of Agriculture

With 30,000 farms and ranches across the state, Colorado agriculture helps feed the nation and the world. It provides wildlife habitat, protects the environment and fuels the state economy. Our agricultural industry— including inputs, production, processing, and marketing—generates more than 105,000 jobs, which is 4.4 percent of the state’s total, and contributes nearly $16 billion to Colorado’s economy each year. With our largest markets in Mexico, Japan, Canada and Korea, Colorado farmers and ranchers exported Colorado food products valued at $955 million in 2002. This figure is expected to exceed $1 billion in 2004.

The mission of the Colorado Department of Agriculture is to strengthen agriculture’s future by providing consumer protection, promoting environmental quality and animal health, and ensuring equity and integrity in business and government. The Department of Agriculture was created as an agency of state government in 1949, although its historical roots date back to the turn of the century. More than 260 employees perform a wide array of services for consumers, farmers and ranchers throughout the state. Under the direction of Commissioner Don Ament, the Colorado Department of Agriculture is proud to serve Colorado citizens through our divisions: Animal Industry, Livestock Inspection, Inspection and Consumer Services, Markets, Plant Industry, Conservation Services, and State Fair.

Colorado Department of Agriculture. (303) 239-1100
Public Information: (303) 239-1190
E-mail: comments@ag.state.co.us; www.ag.state.co.us
Thank you!

The Colorado Department of Agriculture, Colorado State University Cooperative Extension and Colorado Agricultural Leadership Associates, sponsors of the 2006 Colorado Agricultural Outlook Forum, gratefully acknowledge the support of the following firms, associations and agencies that help make this event possible.
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