Mr. D. E. Carpenter, Attorney,

Greeley, Colorado.

Dear Sir:

We have been informed indirectly that the Greeley Hydro-Electric Co. have on their tunnel on Larimer River some second hand stave pipe for sale. We are in the market for something of this kind, and are writing to know if you have charge of this property, and if you do not have perhaps you could tell us who we should correspond with.

Thanking you for any information along this line that you can give, we remain,

Very respectfully yours,

THE ESTES PARK TRANSPORTATION COMPANY.

[Signature]

CBH/FEK

Estes Park Transportation Co.,
Estes Park, Colorado.

Gentlemen:

In reply to yours of the 2nd inst.
in re the stave pipe at the Laramie-Poudre
Tunnel of The Greeley-Poudre Irrigation District,
will state that this stave pipe belongs to
Mr. L. L. Stimson, Greeley, and not to the
District.

Very truly yours,

DEO: C
Greeley, Jan. 6, 1919

Geo S. Eastlack,
Nunn, Colo.

My Dear Mr. Eastlack:

I sudden change in the program has taken all my attention since I saw you and I have to take train for Washington tommorrow evening. Hence I have not written you about the details of your qualifications as District director. I would suggest to have Sanders fix the matter up for you. He has all the forms and everything necessary and I am sure will attend to it all O. K.

I am leaving now for Denver on next train, hence this short note.

Very truly yours.
Honorable Mr. Carpenter,

We had a ditch meeting at Plummer yesterday and a committe volunteered to canvas our district to see how many would take stock in putting the ditch to the reservoir also to see how many would take water at a rental of $13.25. In right said right to cover 80 acres, don't think it will amount to much but cannot tell. My J. H. Watrel was up and gave talk. Now I don't know whether it is just the thing to do, rather think not. I suggested your plan of forming an association, but didn't mention your many. Most of the committee cannot use water and I don't like others killing in if you have something more to suggest please let me know.

Yours Respectfully

J. E. McIlhiney

2/25/19

Nunn Bros.
April 26, 1918.

Mr. A.A. Edwards,
Fort Collins, Colo.

My Dear Mr. Edwards:-

I have advised the Board of my suggestion concerning your employment to supervise the running of the District water from the tunnel and the Mitchell Lakes group of reservoirs through the Poudre Valley canal and the Greeley-Poudre canal to the head of Pierce lateral during the summer of 1918, at a compensation of $50.00 per month for May to September inclusive, and they are agreeable to the arrangement.

I have not as yet taken the matter up with The Poudre Canyon Irrigation Company, but will do so at my earliest favorable opportunity, but I am writing you this letter in order that you may be advised of the District’s disposition and to kindly hold yourself in readiness to undertake the duties incident to the employment, as I am sure there will be no objection raised by The Poudre Canyon people.

Very truly yours,

Attorney for The Greeley-Poudre Irr. Dist.

DEC:BB
August 11, 1919.

Walter H. Eckert,
Attorney at Law,
People’s Life Ins. Bldg.,
Chicago, Ill.

My Dear Eckert:—

I herewith enclose stock certificates as follows:

No. 129 Cripple Creek Mining Co. 250 shares, issued to James J O’Toole and endorsed to U.G. Mosier;

No. 297 General Electric Railway Co., 5 shares, issued to James J. O’Toole and endorsed to U.G. Mosier;

I am sending you these certificates with request that kindly see to the transfer thereof upon the books of the companies, at the instance of Mr. R.A. Mosier, one of the directors of The Greeley-Poudre Irrigation District. I also enclose Mr. Mosier’s letter to me and would suggest that you reply directly to Mr. Mosier at Ault, sending him the bill for your charges, transfer fees etc.

Very truly yours,

DEC:BB
Mr. Delph E. Carpenter,
Greeley, Colorado.

Dear Mr. Carpenter:

You don't know how sorry I was to have been out of town when you were here and to have missed seeing you and I know that Mrs. Eckert will be very sorry not to have seen you on this trip.

I note your kind invitation and kind invitation of Dr. Agan and I am sorry that I cannot go with you. It certainly would be a wonderful trip and if there was any chance, I would certainly be the one to accept. I am today writing Dr. Agan a little note telling him how I regret my inability to go with him and also expressing to him my sorrow in not having had the privilege of seeing him.

I note what you say about Mr. Iliff and I do hope Mr. Iliff will not go into this matter unprepared. You know that thing worries me because I am afraid the whole crowd have it in their mind to crawl out from under and let Iliff hold the bag.

With kindest regards, I am

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

WHE/N
Sept. 27, 1919.

Walter H. Eckert,
Chicago, Ill.

My Dear Eckert:

Yours of the 18th inst. received. We missed you while in Chicago, but hope that you are having a good time in Texas. I believe this was the first time I was ever in Chicago when we did not have the privilege of meeting. Really I was rather lonesome, we will make up for lost time the next time we meet. Dr. and I did not go to the "bucket of blood" for lunch, as we needed your protection.

I have several times urged upon Iliff the necessity of being on his guard. I conferred with Mr. Darrow along similar lines, advising him that there would be no quarter or aid from us with the other defendants in the event Iliff's case did not receive the very best attention and support. By the way, Iliff still thinks the world of you and he is a pretty good individual to have on one's list of friends.

Here's hoping I see you at some not distant date. I too have had to forego the deer hunt and the boys are going without me, but it is all a part of life and why worry.

Very truly yours,
Greeley, Nov. 22, 1919.


My Dear Mr. Eaton,

I herewith enclose report of Eng. John E. Field on Wooster Res. and Sand Creek Ditch made for The Greeley-Poudre Irr. Dist. As I have previously advised you, this report is handed you upon condition that the same or excerpts therefrom are to be used only in your negotiations with the Sugar Co. which institution is regarded as friendly to the district.

Kildyne return report as soon as convenient.

Very truly yours,
Dear Sir:

I was advised that you were one of the attorneys in the United States Supreme Court in the case involving the validity of certain Greeley Poudre Irrigation bonds. Could you advise me as to what became of this litigation, and also what is the present status of these bonds.

Thanking you in advance, I am,

Very truly yours,

Evan G. Evans

Attorney D. E. Carpenter,
Greeley, Colorado.
June 21, 1920.

A.A. Edwards, Mgr.,
The Great Northern W. S. Co.,
Fort Collins, Colo.

My Dear Mr. Edwards:

As you have doubtless been advised, the Greeley-Poudre canal partially broke some ten days ago in the double fill south of the North-Poudre No. 2 Reservoir and west of Wellington. The north bank of the double fill at that point slipped, wholly as a result of crowding too much water into the Greeley-Poudre canal and, while the break has been repaired by the Greeley-Poudre Irrigation District, the bank will remain in a weakened condition the rest of the season.

Under these circumstances Mr. Ball tells me that it is not safe to discharge water into the Greeley-Poudre canal at Rocky Ridge in excess of a gauge height of three feet on the weir as at present constructed at that point. You will remember that the weir was narrowed from 24 feet to 17 feet and 8 inches during May of this year and it is three feet in depth on this narrow weir that marks the safety limit on the canal.

The court order under which your company has been allowed to run some little water to Cobb Lake specifies that your company shall repair all breakage caused by carrying the excess water through the Greeley-Poudre canal. This matter will be taken up in due course between the interested parties.

On the 18th inst I wrote The North-Poudre Irrigation Company advising them, pursuant to phone conversation with Mr. Vanderwork, that we would not carry any Mountain Supply or other water through the Greeley-Poudre canal for the North Poudre Company, but that it would be agreeable with us if Mr. Ball cared to arrange with them to exchange tunnel water for filling Hinkley for Mountain Supply water at a later date. This letter was written pursuant to phone conversation between yourself and myself a day or two previous at which time, you will recall, I told you that we would not carry any Mountain Supply or other water for the North-Poudre people. You later stated to me in a subsequent conversation that nothing but tunnel water was going into the Greeley-Poudre canal and I am advised by Mr. Ball that he has been letting the North-Poudre Company have a part of this tunnel water for filling
Hinkley on an exchange agreement with the Superintendent providing for their repayment out of Mountain Supply water at a later date.

Owing to the unfair advantage which interested parties are wont to take of any temporary accommodation the District has extended them for temporary carriage of water through its canal as a matter of immediate accommodation, the District is forced to take the position that it positively refuses to carry any water for anybody without sufficient authority upon written contract properly entered into before any such water is run and you are advised that the District not only refuses to run any water but directs that no water for other parties shall be discharged in the Greeley-Poudre canal, except of course for the Northern Company under the present temporary restraining order. This order of course applies only to Cache la Poudre water being run to Cobb Lake Reservoir and not for any other purpose.

Very truly yours,

Attorney for The Greeley-Poudre Irr.Dist.

DEC:BB
January
24th
1921.

My Dear Judge Evans:—

Your letter of June 5, 1920, became misplaced and just came to my attention today. I ask your pardon for my apparent neglect.

Case of Wyoming vs. Colorado was finally argued and fully submitted in December, 1916. Order for re-argument was reentered in 1917 and complied with by elaborate briefs and oral arguments in January 1918. Since that time we have had no decision or intimation of the reason for delay. Doubtless the Court feels that the decision in that case will be of such importance that more than ordinary thought and mature deliberation should be given before arriving at a final conclusion.

Out of the $5,100,000 district bonds about $2,800,000 were sold and are now outstanding. The work of construction was about 54% completed when the Wyoming suit was filed, thereby destroying further sale of bonds and rendering the outstanding bonds of little value by reason of the fact that the system was not sufficiently complete to furnish water to any of the lands. Until the Wyoming case is decided no general plan of completion can be arrived at. In the meantime the lands have depreciated down to a nominal value and the bonds now have a market value of between 20% to 25% of their face value, all interest coupons thrown in. At present there is a movement on foot for the purchase of the outstanding District bonds by the landowners, at the prices above mentioned, for the purpose of protecting their land against levies which have been made for the payment of Series 1 and 2 of the bonds, plus accrued interest, and which is so large that no landowner can pay it in cash. Negotiations are at present pending between the trustees of the bondholders pool, Chicago Title and Trust Company depository, for the purchase of the bonds in their hands by a number of the landowners. The outcome of these negotiations is problematical, although I believe they will be concluded at least to such a degree as to permit of the purchase of most of the bonds in the pool by the landowners. The district still functions and is preserving the partly completed property in so far as possible.

Very truly yours,

To Judge Evan A. Evans,
Federal Building,
Chicago, Illinois.