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#### Abstract

TRANSCRIPTOME AND SECRETOME OF TWO PYTHIUM SPECIES DURING INFECTION AND SAPROPHYTIC GROWTH


In the first part of this dissertation, I describe how we obtained and analyzed the full complement of transcripts -the transcriptome- and the set of secreted proteins -the secretomeof Pythium irregulare and Pythium iwayamai isolates when they were infecting plant hosts and when they were growing saprophytically. Additionally, these two treatments were performed at two different temperatures ( $4^{\circ}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The assembled transcriptomes were annotated, and a closer analysis of the expression profiles of transcripts coding for pathogenicity-related proteins is shown. Secreted proteins were semi-quantified and their likely functions were determined based on the annotation of the corresponding transcripts.

In the second part of this document, I include four appendices. Each one is about a different project that I contributed to during my stay at the Department of Bioagricultural Sciences and Pest Management. An article has been publish for each project.

For the study included in appendix 1, I used basic plant pathology and microbiology techniques to fulfill Koch's postulates for a disease affecting Turkish filbert trees. I also used some molecular techniques to aid in the identification of the isolated bacteria.

The work presented in appendix 2 was a follow-up of the previous one. We sequenced the genome of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. Corylina isolated from Turkish filbert leaves. Then, we
assembled a draft genome of the bacterium. The assembled genome was annotated and the robustness of the assembly was verified.

Appendix 3 includes the report about the genome assemblies of 3 different isolates of Lonsdalea quercina subsp. quercina. As in the previous work, the genomes were annotated and the robustness of the assemblies was verified.

In appendix 4, the report of a study on the population structure of Geosmithia morbida is presented. This fungus and the walnut twig beetle Pityophthorus juglandis are associated with the Thousand Cankers disease of walnut and wingnut trees. There is big concern because the disease has moved from the western United States to the native range of a highly susceptible walnut species in the eastern USA. I contributed to this research in the analysis of the data, the statistical analyses and the writing of the paper.
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# TRANSCRIPTOME AND SECRETOME OF TWO PYTHIUM SPECIES DURING INFECTION AND SAPROPHYTIC GROWTH 

## SUMMARY

We obtained and analysed the transcriptome and the secretome of Pythium irregulare and Pythium iwayamai isolates when they were infecting creeping bentgrass and when they were growing saprophytically in liquid medium. These two treatments were performed at two different temperatures ( $4^{\circ}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The assembled transcriptomes were annotated, and a closer analysis of the expression profiles of transcripts coding for pathogenicity-related proteins is shown. Secreted proteins were semi-quantified and their likely functions were determined based on the annotation of the corresponding transcripts.

Our results confirm but also expand previous work made on the subject using bioinformatic predictive tools. RxLR/Q effectors and cutinases were absent, and a low complement of pectinases was observed. Proteases, glycosidases, and ABC transporters showed the largest numbers of differentially expressed pathogenicity-related protein transcripts comparing the infection and saprophytic treatments. We found numerous metalloproteases that were not described previously in Pythium species. Significant differences on protease transcript expression were also observed comparing the two different temperatures in P. iwayamai.

Proteases, glycosidases and elicitins were the three main classes of proteins secreted by both Pythium species. Proteases appear to be the main class of enzymes that are used to break through the plant cell walls. Among glycosidases, only a single representative of family 7, with cellulose degrading activity, was found secreted by Pythium irregulare isolates when infecting plants; Pythium iwayamai isolates secreted family 7 and family 3, with cellulose degrading
activity also. Elicitins were secreted in much larger abundance in the saprophytic state, but their function is still unclear.

We did not find a correlation between transcript level or differential expression and protein secretion. We also find a very low correlation between the presence of signal peptide sequences for secretion in the transcripts and the actual secreted proteins. Those that had the signal could be secreted at a different time, but many without the signal were secreted, confirming other reports. These results point to the usefulness of the proteomics analysis to study the direct interaction of plant pathogens with their hosts.

## INTRODUCTION

The oomycetes are a group of organisms belonging to the phylum Heterokonta or Stramenopila (Lévesque 2011, Schroeder et al 2013). They include very important plant pathogens including the genera Phytophthora, Pythium, Plasmopara and Peronospora (Kamoun 2003). It has been suggested that Fungi- and oomycete-caused diseases are the biggest threat to global food production (Bebber and Gurr 2015, Fisher et al 2012).

Although not as devastating as their two very famous relatives: Phytophthora infestans and Plasmopara viticola, Pythium species are present in almost every soil constantly producing root and foliar diseases (Kamoun 2003, Bala et al 2010). Currently, more than 140 species are included in the genus Pythium (Martin 2000, Robideau et al 2011). Some Pythium species are able to grow and infect plants at temperatures just above freezing and have been found even in Antarctica surviving the freezing conditions in the form of resistant structures called oospores (Tojo and Newsham 2012; Bridge, Newsham and Denton 2008). Among these low temperature Pythium species is $P$. iwayamai, which causes a snow mold disease of several grass species
(Matsumoto 2009, Lipps and Bruehl 1978; Hoshino, Xiao and Tkachenko 2009). Another Pythium species, P. irregulare (probably a complex of species or a single variable species (Spies et al 2011)) is not normally considered a snow mold pathogen, but it has been shown being able to grow and produce disease at cold temperatures and often produces more disease at lower temperatures than other Pythium species (Hendrix and Campbell 1973, Cantrell and Dowler 1971, Stovold 1974).

Pythium species are necrotrophs and they promptly kill cells of their plant hosts after entering their roots or leaves. They penetrate host tissues mainly through stomata and wounds, as they are not able to produce enough pressure to break through intact epidermal cells (McDonald et al 2002). Once inside, they need to overcome plant cell defenses and breach cell walls to reach the cytoplasm. They can do that by deploying the effector molecules directed against the plant defenses. Effectors, broadly defined, include enzymes that can degrade plant cell wall constituents, as well as other molecules that disable or reduce plant defense responses (Kamoun 2006). A number of studies have examined oomycete effectors, primarily Phytophthora effectors, (Kamoun 2006, Judelson 2012, Ellis et al 2009, Haas et al 2009, Jiang and Tyler 2012) and these results have sometimes been extrapolated to infer effectors for oomycetes in general. But genomic and some transcriptomic studies have shown that some wellcharacterized Pythophthora effectors are absent or under-represented in Pythium (Lévesque et al 2010, Adhikari et al 2013). Phytophthora, a hemi-biotroph, first establishes a close interaction with plants cells and does not kill them until late during the disease cycle. In contrast, Pythium is a necrotroph that kills host cells at the onset of infection. Thus, one might expect a different effector repertory.

During the current genomics era, many studies on oomycetes gene repertories have been done using gene prediction softwares (Zerillo et al 2013, Wawra et al 2012, Adhikari et al 2013). Expression support has been obtained for some Pythium species but it is limited for genes expressed during the infection process (Adhikari et al 2013). Gene products that are secreted by oomycetes have also been predicted, mainly through the use of "secretion signal domains" finding softwares (Kamoun 2006, Raffaele et al 2010, Bendtsen 2004), but it has been shown in some cases that the correlation is low between the predicted and the actual proteins that are secreted (Soanes, Richards and Talbot 2007, Antelmann et al 2001, Meinken and Min 2012). The objective of our study was to examine and compare the transcriptome of $P$. iwayamai and $P$. irregulare isolates during saprophytic growth in a liquid medium and during an early pathogenic phase when these oomycetes were infecting creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera L.). Specifically, we were interested in expression of pathogenicity-related proteins during the infection process. We broadened the transcriptome analysis by comparing the transcript expression of pathogenicity-related proteins at two different temperatures $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ and $\left.19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Additionally, we made proteomic profiles of the proteins secreted by these isolates during saprophytic growth and early infection and compared them and to the corresponding transcriptomes. Our findings, and their correspondence with the predicted expressed and secreted proteins, are discussed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two isolates each of Pythium iwayamai S.Ito (P174a or CBS 132417, and P191 or CBS 132418) and Pythium irregulare Buisman (P174b or CBS 139189 and P176 or CBS 250.28), were used in this study. Isolates P174a and b were collected from annual bluegrass (Pоа аппиа L.) on a golf
course fairway exhibiting snow mold symptoms near Aspen, CO in 2009. P191 was collected from an annual bluegrass golf fairway near Steamboat Springs, CO in 2011. Isolate P176 was collected from a diseased bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L) in The Netherlands in 1928 (Van Der Plaats-Niterink 1981). Isolates were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in sterile water. To prepare inoculum, isolates were first grown for one week at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{P} 174 \mathrm{~b}$ and P 176$)$ or $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{P} 174 \mathrm{a}$ and P 191$)$ in potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Difco, Maryland, CN 213400) and then stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two weeks. For each isolate, two, 1-cm-diameter, agar plugs containing Pythium mycelium were put in flasks filled with 100 ml of potato dextrose broth (PDB) (Difco CN 254920) and incubated at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with agitation ( 80 rpm ) for at least 4 days. Mycelium from three flasks was removed from the broth and placed in a Petri dish filled with sterile, distilled water. The agar plug was carefully removed using a sterile scalpel and the mycelium was divided into approximately equal volumes (Fig.1.1a) that was later added to each of the treatment vials.

The Pythium mycelium was exposed to four treatments. To observe potential protein expression differences during the saprophytic and pathogenic phases of the oomycete's growth, mycelium was placed in vials containing just a growth medium ("in medium" or MED) or in vials containing the medium and creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera L cv. 'Penncross') seedlings (designated 'with plants' or WP). Then, to observe the effects of temperature on transcript expression, the four Pythium isolates growing in MED and WP were exposed to two different temperatures $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ and $\left.19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for a total of 4 treatment combinations.

In the WP treatment, the annual bluegrass seed was disinfested in a $0.5 \%$ sodium hypochlorite solution with constant agitation for 10 minutes and rinsed with sterile distilled water. Seed was placed on a sterile Whatman \#2 filter paper. Excess water was removed by vacuum filtering and the seed was then air dried overnight. Seed aliquots $(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$ were placed in polystyrene square

Petri plates (Carolina, North Carolina, CN 741470) containing 6 ml of $15 \%$ water agar (Difco) amended with $0.08 \%$ of Miracle-Gro plant food (Scotts Miracle-Gro, Ohio, CN 1001123), and the following antibiotics per liter: 0.125 g ampicillin (Sigma, Missouri, CN A0166), $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ piramicin solution 2.5\% (MP Biochemicals, California, CN 156254), $500 \mu 1$ rifampicin solution (0.01g rifampicin Sigma, CN R7382-5G dissolved in 1 ml DMSO Sigma, CN D5879) and 1 ml PCNB solution (2g Pentachloronitrobenzene Sigma, CN P3395 dissolved in $400 \mathrm{ml} 95 \%$ ethanol ACROS, Pennsylvania, CN 61509-0020) (Fig.1.1b). Seed was incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 11 hours of light. Seed germination occurred after 2-3 days. After 14 days the temperature was lowered to $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three additional days. For inoculation, plates with growing plants were placed horizontally and 15 ml of a minimal medium containing 3 g NaNO 3 (Fisher, Pennsylvania, S343500), $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}{ }_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ (Fisher P-288), $5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ (Mallinckrodt, Missouri, $6070-3$ ), 0.5 g KCl (Mallinckrodt 6858), $0.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{FeSO} 4 \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (JT Baker, Pennsylvania, 2070-01), and 0.16 g $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (Mallinckrodt 3384) per L (adjusted to pH 6.0 ) was added to the plate. Pythium mycelium was placed close to the tip of the leaves (Fig.1.1c).

For the MED treatments mycelium was placed in Petri dishes in which 15 ml the minimal medium plus 0.5 g asparagine (JT Baker B583-05) and 7 g dextrose (Difco, 0155-17-4) had been added. The addition of the sugar and amino acid was necessary because preliminary experiments indicated the Pythium isolates grew poorly in the minimal medium only. Eight replicate plates for each treatment were prepared. For each isolate, four plates were incubated at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and another set of four plates at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. The WP treatments were checked twice daily for any evidence of mycelium growing on of the leaf surface.


Figure 1.1. Experimental setting. (a) Mycelium grown in PDB were rinsed in water and divided in two similar volumes for each treatment. (b) Creeping bentgrass seeds were sown in square Petri dishes containing agar. (c) The mycelium was placed close to the leaf tips.

A sample was collected from treatment vials at each temperature when hyphae were observed growing from approximately $50 \%$ of the leaves (Fig.1.2a and 1.2b) and when no symptoms (chlorosis or necrosis) were observed in the leaves. For RNA extraction, 0.1 g of leaves+mycelium (WP) or 0.1 g of mycelium alone (MED) were collected, immediately frozen in
liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until processed. For proteomics analysis, 1 ml aliquots of the liquid medium from the same plates were removed and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analyzed.

RNA from two replicates (two different plates) of each treatment combination was extracted using a combination of trizol (Ambion, California, CN 15596026) and filtration columns (as described in http://www.untergasser.com/lab/protocols/rna prep comb trizol_v1_0.htm, with modifications): to each sample, two steel beads ( 2.3 mm diameter, BioSpec, Oklahoma, CN 11079123 ss ) and 1 ml of trizol were added and then shaken two times for 25 sec at $4.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ in a FastPrep FP120 homogenizer (Thermo Savant, California). Samples were centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform (Fisher, CN C606-1) were added to the supernatant. The samples were shaken by hand vigorously and then left to settle for 3 min . The samples were centrifuged again at $12,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the supernatant $(400-450 \mu \mathrm{l})$, $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $96 \%$ ethanol were added, mixed well by inverting several times, and kept at room temperature for 10 min . RNA was then purified using column-tubes and reagents from a "High pure RNA isolation kit" (Roche, Indiana, CN 11828665001) after the lysis step. mRNA-Seq libraries preparation and sequencing were performed at the University of Southern California Epigenome Center (Los Angeles CA). The 75 cycle single-read runs were executed in an Illumina NexSeq 550 system.

Transcriptome analysis, using the two replicates of each treatment combination, was performed using the Tuxedo protocol (Trapnell et al 2010, Trapnell et al 2012, Trapnell et al 2013) with Bowtie2 version 2.2.4, TopHat v. 2.0.13, Cufflinks v. 2.2.1 and CummeRbund v. 2.7.2. Reads were mapped to the corresponding $P$. iwayamai and $P$. irregulare genome contigs (http://pythium.plantbiology.msu.edu) with a minimum intron length setting of 5 bp and a maximum of $5,000 \mathrm{bp}$. For the transcript abundance estimation, the maximum intron length was
also set to $5,000 \mathrm{bp}$; the upper quartile normalization option in Cufflinks was used to "improve robustness of differential expression calls for less abundant genes and transcripts" (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/cufflinks/index.html). In the final transcript abundance comparisons, at the "gene" level, only those transcripts with a fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (fpkm) value larger than 1.0 in at least one of the conditions were considered. Transcripts sequences were obtained from the Cufflinks output using the fastaFromBed utility in BEDtools (Quinlan and Hall 2010). Transcripts sequences were blasted to the NCBI nr database and to the Uniprot TrEMBL database using the NCBI BLAST+ suite v . 2.2.30. Blastx results (top hits only) were imported into Blast2GO v. 2.8 (Götz et al 2008) to obtain Gene Ontology (GO) and Interpro annotation. For the "pathogenicity related" group and for proteins found in the protemics analysis, GO terms without a corresponding Interpro signature were verified using the NCBI Conserved Domain Search tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi).

Protein LC-MS/MS from 3 replicates ( 3 different plates) was performed at the Colorado State University Proteomics and Metabolomics Facility (Fort Collins, CO). Protein samples were insolution trypsin digested and then analyzed using a Thermo Scientific Orbitrap Velos MS coupled with nanoHPLC instrument. Each sample ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was injected twice for the LCMS/MS. Results from the two injections were combined together and considered as a single replicate.

Proteomics analysis, using the three replicates of each treatment combination, was conducted following Vaudel et al tutorial (Vaudel et al 2014). Raw output files from the mass spectrometer were converted to mgf files with MSConverter (Chambers et al 2012). Databases were generated by performing 6-frame translations of the transcriptomes obtained for the corresponding species,
using EMBOSS v. 6.6.0 (Rice, Longden and Bleasby 2000). Spectra were searched against the corresponding database with SearGUI 1.23.3 (Vaudel et al 2011) selecting X!Tandem (Craig and Beavis 2004), MS-GF+ (Kim and Pevzner 2014) and OMSSA (Gerr et al 2004) as searching engines. Identified peptides and proteins were obtained using PeptideShaker v. 0.37.3 (Vaudel et al 2015). Only "validated" proteins and only those proteins present in at least two out of the three replicates were considered as present in the corresponding samples. Replicates were normalized by Total Spectral Count Normalization (Gokce et al 2011), and then corrected for dilution of the original samples. Equality of variances was tested using Levene's test, and T-test were made comparing WP and MED treatments. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons by Benjamini \& Hochberg method.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Infection process and sampling

Mycelium of both P. iwayamai isolates was observed on approximately $50 \%$ of the creeping bentgrass leaf blades at 6 and 10 days after inoculation at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (Fig. 1.2a and b) and samples for RNA sequencing and proteonomics analysis were collected at this time. No leaf necrosis was apparent. Both isolates continued to colonize and form oospores in the plant tissue (Figs. 1.2c and d) resulting in extensive chlorosis and necrosis and by approximately 21 days at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 35 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, the plants were dead (Figs. 1.3a and b). Similarly, mycelium of $P$. irregulare isolates was observed on approximately $50 \%$ of leaf blades five and 13 days after inoculation at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, and samples were collected at this time. Plants were killed after approximately 20 days at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by both isolates and 35 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by
isolate 174 b (Figs. 1.3a and b). P. irregulare isolate 176, originally isolated from bean roots, did not cause chlorosis or necrosis to the bentgrass at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, even after 7 weeks incubation.


Figure 1.2. Sampling for transcriptome and secretome analysis occurred when approximately $50 \%$ of the asymptomatic leaves exhibited hyphal growth (a and b). Later, abundant hyphae colonized the leaves causing chlorosis and necrosis (c), and oospores eventually formed inside the leaf cells (d).


Figure 1.3. Disease severity in creeping bentgrass following inoculation with P. iwayamai isolates 174 a and 191 and $P$. irregulare isolates 174 b and 176 at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bottom) and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (top). All isolates except $P$. irregulare 176 at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ eventually killed the grass. Non-inoculated plants (middle containers) remained asymptomatic.

## Transcriptome analysis overview

More than 20 million reads, with an average read length of 74 bp , were obtained for each Pythium isolate and treatment combination (Table S1). This represented a total of 13,216 transcripts corresponding to 11,767 different genes (1,449 transcripts were isoforms) in $P$. irregulare and 17,219 transcripts corresponding to 15,873 genes (with 1,346 isoforms) in $P$. iwayamai based on Cufflinks transcriptome assembly (Supplemental files 1 and 2). Transcripts were matched to both the NCBI nr and the Uniprot TrEMBL databases by BLASTx, and while the resulting number of hits and distribution of the top hits were similar for the two Pythium spp., they did vary between databases (Fig. S1a-d). Most of the hits in both databases were to related oomycete (Phytophthora, Pythium, Albugo, and Hyaloperonsopora) genes. The number of hits for $P$. iwayamai and $P$. irregulare were highest to Phytophthora spp. in the NCBI nr database, but to the more closely related $P$. ultimum in the UNIPROT TrEMBL database, probably reflecting the greater number of $P$. ultimum transcripts annotated in the latter database.

Along with statistical data and BlastX information, Gene Ontology and InterproScan terms were also obtained (Tables S2-17), but GO terms were actually associated to the Blastx hits and not to the sequences per se. Even with low e-values, the percent similarity for most of the transcripts to the top hit was $<95 \%$ (Tables S2-17). Therefore, for a better assignment of a possible function of the transcript-coded or detected proteins, we relied on results of InterproScan sequence searches that depended on the actual sequence of the transcript or protein. When needed to confirm or assign a function, results obtained using the NCBI Conserved Domains Search Tool were also added to the annotation of selected transcripts (transcripts coding for pathogenicity-related proteins, differentially expressed transcripts and transcripts coding for detected secreted proteins).

The consistency of transcript outputs among treatments was assessed by looking at the distribution of fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (fpkm). The fpkm values for each treatment combination were similar in density plots (Figs. S2a and b) and boxplot graphs (Figs. S2c and d), indicating that transcript outputs behaved similarly. Scatterplots of all transcript fpkm values indicated there was less dispersion between the two isolates of the same species when grown in the WP relative to the MED treatments at both temperatures (Fig. S3). Furthermore, volcano plots indicated there were more differentially expressed genes between isolates of the same species in MED compared to WP treatments at both temperatures (Fig. S4). Thus, the presence of the living host tended to reduce differences in transcript expression levels between the two species isolates.

## Expression of pathogenicity-related protein transcripts

We focused our analysis on those transcripts that coded for putative pathogenicity-related proteins as defined by Adhikari et al (2013), as well as metallopeptidases, other peptidases, and peroxidases that might be involved in the infection process (Table 1.1).

Proteases were the most represented pathogenicity-related protein transcripts, followed by ABC transporters and glycoside hydrolases. The numbers of different transcripts in both species for aspartic proteases, pectate lyases, lipases, phospholipases, peptidase inhibitors, cytochrome P450, necrosis inducing proteins, elicitins, and crinkler proteins, were similar to or slightly smaller than the number of predicted genes reported by Adhikari et al (2013). A larger number of cysteine peptidases and lectin-like transcripts were expressed in both Pythium species whereas serine peptidases, glycoside hydrolases and ABC transporters were expressed in similar or smaller numbers in $P$. irregulare but in larger numbers in $P$. iwayamai compared to their predicted genes (Adhikari et al 2013). The larger number of transcribed vs. predicted genes could have resulted from a single gene producing multiple transcripts or because of the difficulty in predicting genes with many or short exons, long introns, or unorthodox starting or splicing sites (Minoche et al 2015).

We checked whether transcripts for each pathogenicity-related protein category were differentially expressed ( $\mathrm{FDR} \leq 0.01$ ) during plant infection $(\mathrm{WP})$ compared to saprophytic growth (MED) at both $4^{\circ}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1.2). Most of the putative pathogenicity-related protein transcripts did not show differential expression, suggesting that they are transcribed constitutively, and at similar levels, regardless of the presence of plants. Therefore, many of the corresponding proteins may not be directly involved in pathogenesis but instead act as housekeeping enzymes or degrading proteins present in the surrounding medium.

In general, when differential expression was observed, there were more pathogenicity-related protein transcripts differentially expressed when isolates were infecting plants relative to saprophytic growth (WP>MED, Table 1.2). It would be expected that the proteins coded by these transcripts were involved in the interaction of Pythium mycelium with the host. Nevertheless some pathogenicity-related transcripts in certain protein categories were differentially expressed when mycelium was growing saprophytically (MED>WP), sometimes more than when infecting plants. This phenomenon also was observed for a number of transcripts that were not considered pathogenicity-related (Tables S6-9 and S14-17). Therefore, there appear to be two different sets of transcripts coding for different proteins with the same biochemical function (for example, protein cleavage) but possibly with different biological function; one set being differentially expressed during the infection process and the other during saprophytic growth.

The lack of cutinase candidate transcripts in both species is in agreement with their absence in the Pythium genome as reported by Adhikari et al (2013). These results support the hypothesis of Zerillo et al (2013) and others (Lévesque et al 2010) that globose sporangial Pythium species, including $P$. irregulare and $P$. iwayamai, are less effective at degrading suberized roots, leaves and stems than other plant pathogenic oomycetes that produce cutinases; nevertheless, they can penetrate leaves possibly through stomata.

Pectate lyase/pectin esterases (PL/PE) are involved plant cell wall and middle lamella maceration and are important during plant infection by certain oomycetes (Marín-Rodríguez, Ochard and Seymour 2002, Jia et al 2009). However, Zerillo et al (2013) found that $P$. iwayamai and $P$. irregulare had fewer annotated PL/PE genes than other Pythium and Phytophthora species, and concluded that these species likely did not completely saccharify this complex sugar. We also observed only a small number of PL/PE transcripts, with only three at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and one at $19^{\circ}$ in
isolate 174 b and one in isolate 176 at both temperatures, that were differentially expressed in $P$. irregulare in the presence of plants and just one in P. iwayamai, in the saprophytic treatment (Table 1.2). Furthermore, no PL/PE proteins were detected among secreted proteins (Tables S18S21). This is in agreement with our observation that there was not extensive leaf maceration caused by these two species in our experiments (Fig.1.3).

We did not find RxLR/Q effector transcripts in $P$. irregulare or $P$. iwayamai. A large number of probable RxLR/Q effector genes are present in Phytophthora, but not Pythium genomes (Adhikari et al 2013). Some RxLR proteins have been associated with suppression of plant defense responses by biotrophic or hemi-biotrophic oomycetes (Kamoun 2006, Win et al 2012) and their absence in the two Pythium species studied here is consistent with their necrotrophic life style. As necrotrophs, they do not establish a complex relationship with the host as do biotrophs but rather kill host cells to gain access to their nutrient content.

Table 1.1. Number of transcripts coding for pathogenicity-related proteins. For some categories, isoforms were detected and the total numbers including them are shown in parenthesis. The identification of Crinkler-coding transcripts was based only on BlastX results because no characteristic domain or other signature for them was found in the Interpro nor Conserved Domains databases.

| PythiumNumber of transcripts <br> Protein |  | $22(23)^{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pythium iwayamai |  |  |
| Aspartic peptidase | $64(78)$ | $29(30)$ |
| Cysteine peptidase | $103(111)$ | $77(97)$ |
| Serine peptidase | $70(86)$ | $142(153)$ |
| Metallo peptidase | $15(16)$ | $92(106)$ |
| Other peptidases | $274(314)$ | $353(16)$ |
| Total proteases | $89(103)$ | $114(130)$ |
| Glycoside hydrolase | 11 | $9(12)^{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| Peptate lyase /pectin esterase | $22(25)$ | $24(28)$ |
| Lipase | $26(28)$ | $27(28)$ |
| Phospholipase | 13 | 15 |
| Peptidase inhibitor | 38 | $52(55)$ |
| Cytochrome P450 | $118(124)$ | $160(164)$ |
| ABC transporter | 1 | 3 |
| Necrosis inducing protein | 37 | 29 |
| Elicitin-like protein | $48(56)$ | $54(61)$ |
| Lectin-like protein | 3 | 7 |
| Crinkler | $24(25)$ | $22(25)$ |
| Peroxidase/Catalase/Super oxide <br> dismutase | 0 | 0 |
| RXLR/Q effector candidate | 0 | 0 |
| Cutinase |  |  |

${ }^{x}$ Numbers in parentheses indicate the total number of transcripts including isoforms.
${ }^{y}$ Includes the polysaccharide lyase rhamnogalacturonase.

Table 1.2. Number of differentially expressed (FDR $\leq 0.01$ ) transcripts in the different categories of pathogenicity related proteins, for Pythium irregulare isolates a) 174 b and 176 , and $P$. iwayamai isolates b) 174 a and 191 , at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| a | Number of differentially expressed transcripts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pythium irregulare 174b |  |  |  | Pythium irregulare 176 |  |  |  |
|  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Protein | WP>MED ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | MED>WP | WP>MED | MED>WP | WP>MED | MED>WP | WP>MED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MED }>\mathrm{W} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ |
| Protease | 21 | 2 | 30 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 16 | 3 |
| Glycoside hydrolase | 11 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Pectate lyase / pectin esterase | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lipase | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phospholipase | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peptidase inhibitor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Cytochrome P450 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ABC transporter | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 6 |
| Necrosis inducing protein | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elicitin-like protein | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Lectin-like protein | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Crinkler | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peroxidase / Catalase / <br> Super oxide dismutase | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |


| b | Number of differentially expressed transcripts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pythium iwayamai 174a |  |  |  | Pythium iwayamai 191 |  |  |  |
|  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Protein | WP> ${ }^{\text {MED }}{ }^{\text {x }}$ | MED>WP | WP>MED | MED>WP | WP>MED | MED>WP | WP>MED | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{M} E D>\mathrm{W} \\ \mathrm{P} \end{array}$ |
| Protease | 8 | 22 | 39 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 33 | 11 |
| Glycoside hydrolase | 9 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 2 |
| Pectate lyase / pectin esterase | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lipase | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phospholipase | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peptidase inhibitor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cytochrome P450 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| ABC transporter | 3 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| Necrosis inducing protein | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elicitin-like protein | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Lectin-like protein | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Crinkler | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peroxidase / Catalase / Super oxide dismutase | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

${ }^{x}$ WP $>$ MED represents overexpression of transcripts when mycelium was grown with plants relative to saprophytic growth in medium only; MED $>$ WP represents the opposite overexpression of transcripts.

The largest numbers of differentially expressed pathogenicity-related transcripts were found in proteases, glycoside hydrolases and ABC transporters, possibly reflecting the fact that those were the most represented categories. Proteases and glycosidases along with elicitins were the most abundant of secreted proteins as well (Fig.1.16, Tables S18-S21). These pathogenicity-related proteins are discussed in more detail below.

## PROTEASES

Certain proteases may be involved in the degradation of plant cell wall proteins (Cassab 1998, Li et al 2010) and facilitate infection by Pythium. These and other degraded proteins can also be used for nutrition (Davis et al 2005). A total of 314 and 404 protease transcripts (including isoforms) were found in P. irregulare and P. iwayamai, respectively (Table 1.1). The overall expression profiles for these proteases were generally similar between isolates and species (Figs. 1.4a-h), with most transcripts having a low fpkm value. However, there were differences in expression levels of several transcripts at the two temperatures tested. Three transcripts in $P$. irregulare isolate 174 b had a higher expression (FDR $>0.01$, data not shown) at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereas 9 transcripts were higher at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four transcripts in $P$. irregulare isolate 176 , were higher at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and only one at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In contrast, numerous transcripts in $P$. iwayamai had different (FDR $>0.01$ ) levels of expression. There were 48 transcripts in isolate 174 a with higher expression at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and six at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similarly, there were 33 transcripts in isolate 191 with higher expression levels at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ versus seven at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (data not shown). A considerable number of differentially expressed transcripts was also observed in $P$. iwayamai isolates at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ compared to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 1.5). A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that $P$. iwayamai is a psychrophilic pathogen that goes dormant as temperatures increase (Matsumoto 2009). We observed that our P. iwayamai isolates stopped growing and lost viability above $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (data not shown). Thus, these isolates
likely were under stress at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ even though they were still capable of causing disease. It is possible that $P$. iwayamai proteases that are functional at a low temperature lose activity as temperatures increase because of thermal denaturation (Hoshino, Xiao and Tkachenko 2009; Feller 2013) while another set of proteases become overexpressed (because we did not gather secretome data for $P$. iwayamai at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, we don't know whether these proteases were actually secreted). P. irregulare, on the other hand, grows and infects plants over a wide temperature range (Cantrell and Dowler 1971) and the protease expression profiles of our isolates appeared not to be as affected by temperature.


Figure 1.4. Expression level (fpkm) of all protease transcripts in $P$. irregulare (a-d) and $P$. iwayamai (e-h). Note the change in scale at the top of the graphs. Numbers on the x -axis in graphs of the same species correspond to the same transcript.

Of the protease transcripts that were differentially expressed (FDR $\leq 0.01$ ), most were in the WP compared to the MED treatment at the two temperatures tested (Table 1.2a-d, Figs. 1.5a-h), except in $P$. iwayamai 174 a at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ where 8 and 22 proteases were differentially expressed in the

WP and MED treatments, respectively. Proteases coded by some of these transcripts, particularly those differentially expressed in the presence of plants, may be involved in the infection process.


Figure 1.5. Differentially expressed transcripts ( $\mathrm{FDR} \leq 0.01$ ) coding for proteases in $P$. irregulare (a-d) and P. iwayamai (e-h), at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bars were labeled according to the protease they coded for: ser(ine), metal(lo), cys(tiene) or asp(artic). Bars were labeled with a "P" on top when the corresponding protein was detected in the proteomics analysis (performed at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. irregulare and at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. iwayamai). In these same graphs, an " s " was added when the corresponding transcript contained a signal peptide sequence and "st" when they contained a
signal peptide and transmembrane domain sequence. The same numbers on the x -axis represent the same transcripts for isolates of the same species.

The majority of differentially expressed protease transcripts in both Pythium species were for serine peptidases (Fig. 1.5). These differentially expressed serine peptidase transcripts were present in a higher proportion compared to the total number of proteases expressed (Table 1.1). This is similar to what has been observed in other filamentous fungi (Olivieri et al 2002, Davis et al 2005, Nair and Geethu 2015, Muszewska et al 2011). Serine proteases are considered important virulence factors in Fungi and oomycetes (Olivieri et al 2002, Davis et al 2005, Nair and Geethu 2015). Additionally, the two $P$. iwayamai but not $P$. irregulare isolates also secreted a number of metallopeptidases (Fig. 1.7c and d). Metalloproteases have been shown to play a role in the virulence of several plant pathogens (Dow, Davies and Daniels, 1998; Davis et al 2005; Meijer et al 2014; Jashni et al 2015), and they could have a similar role in P. iwayamai pathogenicity.

Approximately $45 \%$ of the differentially expressed protease transcripts in $P$. irregulare isolates included a signal peptide sequence and for 10 of them there were corresponding secreted proteins (Figs. 1.5b and d). In contrast, fewer differentially expressed protease transcripts included a signal peptide sequence in $P$. iwayamai isolates, and none of the corresponding proteases were secreted (Figs. 1.5e and g).

The relative abundance of all transcripts that coded for secreted proteases, regardless of their statistical significance, were compared (Fig. 1.6). Transcript expression levels within each $P$. irregulare isolate were similar with the exception of high levels of expression in transcripts 62 and 87 in isolate 174 b (Figs. 1.6a and b). Overall, transcript expression was lower in $P$. iwayamai, but relative expression levels between the two $P$. iwayamai isolates were also similar with the exception of transcript 206 (Figs. 1.6c and d). Approximately half of the transcripts in $P$.
irregulare had a signal peptide sequence including one (149) with a transmembrane region sequence (Fig. 1.6b). Few $P$. iwayamai transcripts included a signal peptide sequence, and in disagreement with what is expected for transcripts of secreted proteins (Meiken and Min 2012, Raffaele et al 2010).


Figure 1.6. Transcripts coding for secreted proteases in $P$. irregulare $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and $P$. iwayamai $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$. Note the change in scale at the top of isolate 174 b graph (a). Bars included a diagonal pattern when the corresponding protein was detected only in one isolate of the same species. An "s" was added above bars when the corresponding transcript contained a signal peptide sequence and "st" when they also contained a signal peptide and transmembrane domain sequence. Those bars labeled with a * had significantly different fpkm number (FDR $\leq 0.01$ ). The same numbers on the x -axis represent the same transcripts for isolates of the same species.

A proteomics analysis was performed for each isolate of $P$. irregulare $\left(19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ only $)$ and $P$. iwayamai ( $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only), respectively. The proteases exhibited the largest diversity of secreted proteins in all the pathogenicity-related protein categories (Fig.1.16, Tables S18-21). However, many of the protease transcripts identified in the transcriptomes (Fig. 1.4, Table 1.1) were not secreted. Furthermore, those that were secreted often had large variations in their spectral count values among the three replicate samples, making treatment comparisons difficult. Nevertheless,
the overall pattern of spectral counts was similar in both species, despite the fact that the transcripts levels were higher in $P$. irregulare (Fig. 1.6).

Some transcripts that were differentially expressed during the pathogenic phase (WP>MED) also had a corresponding protein secreted (labeled with a 'w' in Fig. 1.7). However, there was no correlation between transcript differential expression in WP and secretion of the coded protein. For example, while protease transcripts 47, 48, 188 and 220 in $P$. irregulare were differentially expressed in the WP treatment at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ surprisingly they were secreted in higher numbers while growing saprophytically (MED) (Figs. 1.7a and b); it appears that these transcribed protease transcripts are only translated or the corresponding proteins only secreted when no living host cells are present. Only one secreted protein was coded by a differentially expressed transcript in the two $P$. iwayami isolates (Figs. 1.7c and d).

Many of the secreted proteases were present in both isolates of the same species; however a few were unique. For example, more different types of proteases were secreted in $P$. irregulare isolate 174 b compared to 176 , and more of these proteases were secreted in the saprophytic (MED) growth phase. The numbers of secreted proteins were similar in both isolates of $P$. iwayamai with higher proportions secreted in the pathogenic phase (WP). Some of the proteases included a signal peptide, with only one having a signal peptide+transmembrane region (labeled 's' and 'st' respectively in Fig. 1.7), in their corresponding transcripts, but many of them did not.


Figure 1.7. Proteases detected in the extracellular medium when $P$. irregulare (a-b) or $P$. iwayamai (c-d) were grown saprophytically (MED) or in the presence of plants (WP). Numbers on the $x$-axis represent the same protein in graphs for isolates of the same species and correspond to numbers in the transcriptome graphs. Those numbers with an additional number after an underscore correspond to different reading frames of the same transcript. Bars were labeled with a " $w$ " if the corresponding transcripts were significantly more abundant in WP compared to MED. They were also labeled with a " $s$ " or with "st" if a signal peptide or a signal peptide+transmembrane region were coded in the corresponding transcripts. Those * labeled bars had significantly different spectral count values ( BH adjusted p -value $\leq 0.05$ ).

Secreted proteases have been described for many plant pathogens, including bacteria (Dow, Davies and Daniels, 1998), fungi (Di Pietro, González Roncero and Ruiz Roldán, 2009), and oomycetes (Weiland 2004, Davis et al 2005, Meijer et al 2014). Davis et al (2005) found that three Pythium species grew in a protein-rich medium but not in one containing only polysaccharides purified from plant cell walls, indicating these oomycetes were capable of degrading and utilizing proteins but not complex carbohydrates as a food source. We found that proteases were the major class of secreted proteins in both Pythium species and in both growing conditions (WP and MED). These proteases appear to be important by helping Pythium at least partially degrade cell walls during the infection process (Cassab 1998, Li et al 2010). Their
expression in both the WP and MED also suggests they play a role in degrading extracellular proteins for nutrition.

## GLYCOSIDASES

Glycoside hydrolases are important in degradation of plant cell walls (Walton 1994; Kubicek, Starr and Glass 2014). Pythium species, including the two studied here, contain a limited set of these enzymes that facilitate hyphal penetration, but they do not have an extensive arsenal of glycosidases as do other oomycetes (e.g. Phytophthora spp.) (Zerillo et al 2013) that allows for complete disintegration of the plant cell wall.

The total number of glycoside hydrolase transcripts was similar in $P$. irregulare and $P$. iwayamai (103 vs. 132 respectively, including isoforms) and to the gene candidates for each species (134 and 124 respectively) (Table 1.1) as described by Zerillo et al (2013). These hydrolases were further segregated into corresponding CAZy (GH) families (Lombard et al 2013) to obtain information of their probable enzymatic function (Table 1.3).

Table 1.3. Number of transcripts coding for glycoside hydrolases and their assigment to CAZy families.

|  | Number of transcripts |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CAZy family | P. irregulare | P. iwayamai |
| GH1 | 8 | 15 |
| GH2 | 8 | 11 |
| GH3 | 9 | 8 |
| GH5 | 13 | 13 |
| GH6 | 4 | 4 |
| GH7 | 2 | 4 |
| GH 9 \&16 | 7 | 10 |
| GH13 | 1 | 2 |
| GH15 | 2 | 1 |
| GH17 | 10 | 12 |
| GH18 | 2 | 1 |
| GH28 | 0 | 1 |
| GH30 | 6 | 7 |
| GH31 | 5 | 6 |
| GH32 | 1 | 1 |
| GH35 | 1 | 1 |
| GH37 | 0 | 1 |
| GH38 | 1 | 0 |
| GH47 | 2 | 4 |
| GH63 | 0 | 2 |
| GH72 | 5 | 4 |
| GH81 | 1 | 1 |
| GH98 | 0 | 1 |
| Not assigned | 1 | 5 |
| Total | 89 | 114 |

The number of transcripts for each GH family was again similar to the number of candidate genes reported by Zerillo et al (2013). The GH families 1, 3, 5, with high numbers of transcripts (Table 1.3), could be involved in plant cell wall cellulose degradation whereas hydrolases in GH families 2 and $9 \& 16$, also present in high numbers, can also act on other plant cell wall polysaccharides. The GH families 6 and 7, with smaller numbers of transcripts, are possibly directed to plant cell wall cellulose degradation (Zerillo et al 2013). As stated above, a number of transcripts corresponded to CAZy families 9 and 16. They had the Interpro domain IPR005200
described as "a family of beta-1,3(4)-glucanases" assigned, incorrectly we believe, to family 8 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/IPR005200). Members of that family only show endo- $\beta-1,3-$ glucanase activity, while members of both families 9 and 16 show $\beta-1,3(4)$-glucanase activity. One of the substrates for enzymes with that "dual" activity are cereal D-glucans. The high transcript numbers in GH17 likely are associated with $\beta-1,3$ and $\beta-1,6$ glucan metabolism in the Pythium cell wall (Zerillo et al 2013).

A few annotated genes found by Zerillo et al (2013) were absent in the transcriptomes. The transcripts of one predicted gene each in families GH78, 85, 89 and 123 in both Pythium species and four annotated genes each in $P$. irregulare and two and three genes respectively in $P$. iwayamai for families GH61 and 109 were absent. We also did not find transcripts for predicted genes in families GH28, 37, (two genes each) and 63 (one gene) in $P$. irregulare or for GH38 (two genes) in P. iwayamai. Some of these underrepresented glycosidase families in the Pythium genomes are involved in pectin degradation (GH28 and 78) or possibly in chitin degradation (GH85) (Zerillo et al 2013). The GH61 enzymes are monooxygenases now clasiffied as AA9 (http://www.cazypedia.org/index.php/Auxiliary_Activity_Family_9) with cellulose as their main substrate. One possible explanation for the absence of transcripts is that these families of genes may not have been expressed under the conditions and at the time when our samples were taken. A unique transcript in family GH98 was not annotated by Zerillo et al (2013) but was found in P.iwayamai. This enzyme acts on arabinogalactans frequently found attached to proteins. The other notable difference was the presence of 8 and 11 different transcripts coding for GH 2 enzymes, with $\beta$-mannosidase activity, in $P$. irregulare and $P$. iwayamai respectively, whereas only two genes were annotated in their genomes. Polysaccharides containing mannose are found in seeds and in primary and secondary plant cell walls (Melton et al 2009).

As was the case with proteases, the overall expression of glycosidase transcripts was similar between isolates and species (Fig. 1.8). Most of the transcripts had a low fpkm value, with three (12, 88 and 115 ) showing a relatively high number primarily in $P$. iwayamai at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ WP. Two transcripts (12 and 88) were differentially expressed WP in isolate 174a, but only one (88) in isolate 191. They both coded for a GH family 1 , and the corresponding protein was not secreted (Fig. 1.9e and g). They were probably involved in the maintenance/growth of the Pythium cell wall.


Fig. 1.8. Expression level (fpkm) of all glycosidase transcripts in $P$. irregulare (a-d) and $P$. iwayamai (e-h). Note the change in scale at the top of some the graphs. Numbers on the x -axis between isolates of the same species represent the same transcript.

A smaller subset of glycoside hydrolase transcripts exhibited differential expression between the infectious and saprophytic growth phases (Table 1.2, Figs. 1.9a-h), particularly in P. irregulare isolate 176. Overall, more differentially expressed transcripts occurred in the WP treatment in the two Pythium species and at both temperatures. Four GH 1 transcripts in P. irregulare and 18
in $P$. iwayamai were differentially expressed in the WP but not in the MED treatment. The same was observed for a smaller number of GH 9\&16 transcripts (one in $P$. irregulare and 3 in $P$. iwayamai) and for one GH18 transcript (chitinase activity) in P. iwayamai. This suggests that these transcripts are involved in the response of Pythium to the presence of host plants. However, in contrast to the protease transcripts, only a few of the differentially expressed glycosidase transcripts in $P$. irregulare coded for secreted proteins and these did not include a signal peptide sequence (Figs. 1.9b and d). None of the secreted glycosidases were coded by differentially expressed transcripts in P. iwayami isolates (Figs. 1.9e and g). This suggests that these glycosidases could be secreted at a different time, or they could remain inside the Pythium hyphae.


Figure 1.9. Differentially expressed transcripts ( $\mathrm{FDR} \leq 0.01$ ) coding for glycosidases in $P$. irregulare ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ) and $P$. iwayamai (e-h), at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bars were labeled with a " P " on top when the corresponding protein was detected in the proteomics analysis, performed at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. irregulare and at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. iwayamai. An " s " was added when the correponding transcript contained a signal peptide sequence and "st" when they contained signal peptide and transmembrane domain sequences. Numbers on the x -axis represent the same transcripts for isolates of the same species.

Among all the transcripts that coded for secreted glycosidases, only a few of them included a signal peptide sequence (Fig. 1.10).


Figure 1.10. Transcripts coding for secreted glycosidases in P. irregulare ( $a, b$ ) and P. iwayamai ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). Note the change in scale at the top of the graphs. Bars included a diagonal pattern when the corresponding protein was detected only in one isolate of the same species. An "s" was added when the corresponding transcript contained a signal peptide sequence. Those bars labeled with a * had significantly different fpkm value (FDR $\leq 0.01$ ). Numbers on the x -axis represent the same transcripts for isolates of the same species.

Most of the secreted glycosidase proteins in $P$. irregulare were only found in the MED treatment
(Figs. 1.11a and b). These proteins corresponded to GH 17 (four), 30 (two), and 1, 2, 5, 15 ( $\alpha$ amylase), and 9\&16 (one each). Only one P. irregulare glycosidase was secreted in the WP treatment (number 83 in Figs. 1.11a-b) and it corresponded to GH 7 (directed against cellulose). The two P. iwayamai isolates exhibited a glycosidase protein secretion pattern that was distinct from P. irregulare (Figs. 1.11c and d) where a more diverse group of secreted glycosidases were observed in the WP compared to the MED treatment. Glycosidases 90 and 112 in WP belonged to GH 7. The other glycosidases in WP belonged in GH 3, 5 and 15. The two different glycosidases in MED belonged to GH 17.


Figure 1.11. Glycosidase proteins detected in the extracellular medium when $P$. irregulare (a-b) or P. iwayamai (c-d) were grown saprophytically (MED) or in the presence of plants (WP). Numbers on the x -axis represent the same protein between isolates of the same species and correspond to numbers in the transcriptome graphs. Bars were labeled with a "w" if the corresponding transcripts were significantly more abundant in WP compared to MED. They were also labeled with an " $s$ " if a signal peptide was coded in the corresponding transcripts. Those * labeled bars had significantly different spectral count values (BH adjusted p-value $\leq$ $0.05)$.

Our results support many of the conclusions of Zerillo et al (2013) concerning the role of glycosidases in plant infection by Pythium species. They annotated certain genes coding for GH families (GH 1, 3, 5, 6 and 7) that could be secreted and suggested that they could act on extracellular (i.e. plant) cellulose. We observed in both $P$. irregulare and $P$. iwayamai that glycosidases belonging to GH 7 were secreted, and likely helping to degrade plant cell walls, only when they were in the presence of plants. Similarly, P. iwayamai glycosidases in GH 3 were secreted only in the presence of plants. The role of glycosidases in GH 5 in plant cellulose degradation is not as clear because they were secreted in the WP treatment in P. iwayamai, but only in the MED treatments in $P$. irregulare (Fig. 1.11). Curiously glycosidases belonging to GH 6 were not detected among secreted proteins in either species, and those belonging to GH 1 were
found only in $P$. irregulare isolate 176, in MED. The only glycosidases consistently found in MED treatments in both species belonged to GH 17 (Fig. 1.11), and were probably associated with maintenance of the Pythium cell wall (Zerillo et al 2013).

## ELICITINS

Elicitins and elicitin-like proteins were first described in Phytophthora and later in Pythium species (Ponchet et al 1999). They function as lipid carriers, mainly for sterols (Blein et al 2002), and can cause a hypersensitive response and necrosis in a small group of plants that have the appropriate receptors to detect them (Vlesshouwer et al 2006). It is not clear if they have another role in the infection process in other plant species (Blein et al 2002). Recently, elicitins have been used to try to increase the resistance of potato to the late blight disease (Du et al 2015). In our studies, both $P$. irregulare and $P$. iwayamai exhibited very high elicitin transcript expression levels in both WP and MED treatments (Fig. 1.12, Tables S2-17). Their overall expression profiles were similar within each species, with some transcripts having a small fpkm value while others having a very high number, especially in $P$. iwayamai (Fig. 1.12).


Figure. 1.12. Expression level (fpkm) of all elicitin transcripts in $P$. irregulare (a-d) and $P$. iwayamai (e-h). Numbers in the x -axis in graphs of the same species represent the same transcript.

Expression levels for three elicitin transcripts in both species were high ( $>1,000 \mathrm{fpkm}$ ), with one higher than 10,000 fpkm in $P$. iwayamai. Twelve transcripts were differentially expressed in $P$. irregulare isolate 174b, five in isolate 176, and only three in $P$. iwayamai (Fig. 1.13). There was
no clear trend in transcript expression; some were overexpressed in MED whereas others were differentially expressed in WP. As with protease and glycosidase transcripts, transcripts associated with secreted elicitins often did not contain a signal peptide and one included a transmembrane region sequence in $P$. irregulare (Fig. 1.14)


Figure 1.13. Differentially expressed transcripts (FDR $\leq 0.01$ ) coding for elicitins in $P$. irregulare (a-d) and P. iwayamai (e-h), at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bars were labeled with a " P " on top when the
corresponding protein was detected in the proteomics analysis, performed at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. irregulare and at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. iwayamai. An " s " was placed above bars when the corresponding transcript contained a signal peptide sequence and "st" when they contained signal peptide and transmembrane domain sequences. Numbers in the $x$-axis in graphs of the same species represent the same transcript.


Figure 1.14. Transcripts coding for secreted elicitins in $P$. irregulare $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and $P$. iwayamai $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$. Note the change in scale at the top of the graphs. Bars included a diagonal pattern when the corresponding protein was detected only in one isolate of the same species. An " $s$ " was added when the corresponding transcript contained a signal peptide sequence and " st " when they contained signal peptide and transmembrane domain sequences. Those bars labeled with a * had significantly different fpkm number ( $\mathrm{FDR} \leq 0.01$ ). In graphs of the same species numbers in the x -axis were assigned to the same transcripts.

Elicitins were also the most abundant of secreted proteins in the four Pythium isolates (Fig. 1.14, Tables S18-21). Secretion profiles between isolates of the same species, but not between species, were similar. In $P$. irregulare a more diverse set of elicitins, and with higher spectral counts, was detected in the MED compared to WP treatments (Figs. 1.15a and b, Tables S18 and S19). Some of the proteins corresponded with differentially expressed transcripts (Nos. 1, 21, 34) but not
those with the highest spectral counts (Nos. 15, 18, 19). In P. iwayamai only one elicitin (No. 29) was detected in MED but it had a very high spectral count (Figs. 1.15c and d). This secreted elicitin also corresponded to a differentially expressed transcript in the MED treatment. Similarly, one secreted elicitin (18), but with a much lower spectral count, exhibited a differentially expressed transcript in the WP treatment.


Figure 1.15. Elicitin proteins detected in the extracellular medium when $P$. irregulare (a-b) or $P$. iwayamai (c-d) were grown in medium only (MED) or in the medium with plants (WP).
Numbers on the $x$-axis represent the same protein in graphs of isolates of the same species. Bars were labeled with a " $w$ " or a " $m$ " if the corresponding transcripts were significantly overexpressed with-plants or in-medium respectively. They were also labeled with a "s" or with " st " if a signal peptide or a signal peptide+transmembrane region were coded in the corresponding transcripts. * labeled bars have significantly different spectral count values (BH adjusted $p$-value $\leq 0.05$ ).

In our study, both elicitins with the higher spectral counts in P. irregulare (No. 18, Table S7 and S9) and P. iwayamai (No. 29, Table S14 and S16) were similar to sylvaticin, an elicitin with unknown function produced by P. sylvaticum (Lascombe et al 2007). As previously stated, the role of elicitins in the infection process by oomycetes is not clear (Akino, Takemoto and Hosaka 2014). They have been shown to be important for infection of woody plants by some

Phytophthora species (Oßwald et al 2014). However, they have also been detected in high abundance in liquid cultures of Phytophthora in the absence of plants (Ponchet et al 1999, Meijer et al 2014) and recently in the predicted secretome of the saprophytic oomycete Thraustotheca clavata (Misner et al 2014). This suggests a more saprophytic-directed function as lipid carriers (Blein et al 2002). They may also act as pathogen-associated molecular patterns that elicit a hypersensitive response and diminish the pathogen virulence, but only in those plants that are able to detect them (Colas et al 2001).

## OTHER SECRETED PROTEINS

We found evidence (presence in at least two out of three replicates) of 112 and 77 different proteins secreted by $P$. irregulare and by $P$. iwayamai isolates, respectively. As previously discussed the most abundant secreted proteins were proteases, followed by glycoside hydrolases and elicitins (Fig. 1.16, Tables S18-S21).


Fig. 1.16. Pie chart of proteins categories detected among secreted proteins in P. irregulare (a) and $P$. iwayamai (b). The "Other" category comprises proteins with a variety of functions not considered pathogenicity-related.

Other pathogenicity-related proteins observed in the transcriptome were not secreted or were found just in one out of three replicates. But other proteins, not considered pathogenicity-related, were consistently secreted in one or both species (Fig.1.16, Tables S18-23). Ubiquitin (both species) and thaumatin-like proteins (only in $P$. irregulare) were primarily secreted in the MED treatments. Ubiquitin was reported to be secreted by Phytophthora infestans growing in a liquid
medium (Huet, Sallé-Tourne and Pernollet 1994), but its role outside the cell is unknown. Thaumatin-like proteins have long been considered plant pathogenesis-related proteins (Vigers et al 1992) but they also have numerous other functions in a number of organisms (Liu, Sturrock and Ekramoddoullah 2010) including antifreeze activity in winter rye (Hon et al 1995). However, it is unlikely that they were associated with antifreeze activity in our experiments because they were secreted by $P$. irregulare at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but not by $P$. iwayamai at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Endo- $\beta-1,3-$ glucanase activity also has been reported for thaumatin-like proteins (Grenier, Potvin and Asselin 2000) and they could be acting on modification of the $P$. irregulare cell wall. Proteins that contain the domain PHA03307, described in a herpes virus transcriptional regulator ICP4, were also found in both Pythium species; primarily in the MED treatment in P. irregulare but mostly in the WP treatment in P. iwayamai (Tables S18-S21). The function of these proteins is unknown; interestingly, this group of protein induces ubiquitin genes in virus-infected cells (Latchman, Estridge and Kemp, 1987).

A number of other non pathogenicity-related proteins were secreted (Tables S22 and S23). Transaldolase type 1, part of the pentose phosphate pathway, was secreted only in MED in both Pythium species; it is probably acting on glucose, but we have no explanation why it occurred outside the Pythium cells. Some secreted proteins with an EGF-like, cystine-rich domain, have been reported in the Phytophthora infestans secretome, and possibly could be novel effectors (Raffaele et al 2010). These proteins were also secreted in our Pythium isolates, but primarily in the MED treatment and thus not supporting the idea they acting as effectors. Several other proteins including adenosine deaminase, glutatione-S-transferase were secreted in at least some of the isolates.

There were a number of 'unknown' proteins, some of which were secreted in all isolates, which we were unable to assign a clear function; (Tables S22 and S23). In P. irregulare they were mostly found in the MED treatments, whereas in $P$. iwayamai some very similar proteins as well as other different types of proteins were secreted mainly in the WP treatments. A protein of unknown function (DUF3984 family) was found in P. irregulare in the MED treatment, but two proteins of the same family and another from a different one (DUF1645) were found mainly with-plants in P. iwayamai. Some of the proteins found only or mostly in the WP treatments may be good candidates to be studied as possible novel Pythium effectors.

We did not observe differential transcript expression $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ versus $\left.19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ that could be coding for antifreeze proteins in P. iwayamai (data not shown), and there was also no evidence of secreted antifreeze proteins (Tables S20 and S21). We did not grow P. iwayamai at the lower temperature $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ that is normally associated with disease development on grasses under snow cover (Blunt, Koski and Tisserat 2013), and it is possible that these proteins were not expressed in our low temperature $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ treatments. Nevertheless, our results corroborate those of Hoshino, Xiao and Tkachenko (2009) who found no antifreeze protein activity in $P$. iwayamai. Recently $P$. iwayamai and P. paddicum, another snow mold oomycete, were shown to survive exposure to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when they were inside, but not outside, plant tissue (Murakami et al 2015) suggesting that they use plant compounds as cryoprotectants.

## GENERAL COMMENTS ABOUT SECRETED PROTEINS

An unexpected result was the overall greater diversity of secreted proteins in the same class (i.e. different proteases, glycosidases, etc.) and/or with a higher spectral count when isolates were grown in the MED versus the WP treatment (Tables S18-S21, Figs. 1.7, 1.11, 1.15). As was the case with transcript expression, it appeared that a different set of proteins within each protein
class (same biochemical function) were secreted in each of the two treatments; one set of proteins was more abundant when Pythium was growing in the presence of plants whereas the other set was more abundant in their absence (MED) regardless of temperature. This could have resulted in lower than expected secretion levels for specific proteins under certain conditions. Severino et al (2014) observed that a number of proteins including NPL-effectors, Avr1b_1, glycoside hydrolases and pectate lyases, were secreted in lower amounts when Phytophthora plurivola mycelium was exposed to root exudates of a highly susceptible host compared to mycelium grown in the absence of root exudates (Severino et al 2014).

What is the function of all those proteins that are released to the media by these Pythium species in the absence of a host? It is likely that the MED treatment, containing available carbon and nitrogen sources, mimics dying plant cells leaking nutrients and scavenger proteins are secreted by Pythium mycelium to take advantage of those nutrient sources. For example, specific proteases (Fig. 1.7), glycosidases (Fig.1.11) and elicitins (Fig. 1.15) in the MED treatment might be associated with utilization of plant cytoplasm-released proteins, glycosides and lipids as a food source. In contrast secreted enzymes in the WP treatment during the initial phase of plant infection and colonization (as was sampled in these experiments) could be directed more towards plant cell wall and membrane degradation. This hypothesis is in part supported by the almost exclusive presence of enzymes belonging to the GH 7 family of glycosidases, and that only function as cellulases (Zerillo et al 2013), in the WP treatment. If it is true that MED treatment resembles late stages of the Pythium infection process when nutrients are being released by dying cells, the same proteins should also be secreted in advance stages of disease in the WP treatments. However, we did not collect samples at these dates to confirm this hypothesis.

## PLANT PROTEINS

It was not our objective to study the transcripts and secreted proteins associated with the diseased creeping bentgrass. But it is worth mentioning that only one secreted plant protein was consistently detected during infection by both Pythium species, with an Interpro domain IPR000877 corresponding to a family of serine protease inhibitors similar to that found in barley (Stevens et al 1996). Serine proteases were the main class of proteases secreted by both Pythium species and it is likely that secretion of a serine protease inhibitor was a plant defense response. Nevertheless, a number of different serine proteases as well as some cysteine proteases and metalloproteases were also secreted by $P$. irregulare and $P$. iwayamai (Fig. 1.7) making a defense based solely on a single serine protease inhibitor ineffective.

A few ubiquitin-like proteins and those with unknown function were detected in some treatments (results not shown), but their overall numbers were low. It is likely that plant cells were still intact during the initial infection period that we sampled, and that a large number of plant proteins had not yet begun to leak from the diseased tissue. It is also possible that most secreted plant proteins, with the exception of the one protease inhibitor, were rapidly degraded by Pythium proteases. Alternatively, some plant proteins may have been secreted below the level of detection by the MS system that we used.

## CONCLUSIONS

Pythium irregulare and P. iwayamai, in agreement with their necrotrophic life style, did not express effectors (i.e. RXLR/Q effectors) that have been described in more complex hemibiotroph Phytophthora (Adhikari et al 2013). Instead, they primarily expressed and secreted a repertoire of proteases and glycosidases that partially degraded plant cell walls. They did not
express, or at least secrete, cutinases, pectin lyases and other enzymes necessary to completely macerate plant cell walls. Many proteins with similar biochemical function were secreted in the absence of plants, indicating that not all "pathogenicity-related proteins" were actually involved in pathogenicity. This is especially true for elicitins that were transcribed and secreted in great abundance when growing saprophytically.

Pythium irregulare and Pythium iwayamai belong to two distinct but closely related phylogenetic clades that are characterized by having globose sporangia (Lévesque and De Cock 2004). Overall, their transcriptomes and secretomes were similar during saprophytic and parasitic growth as well as growth at the two temperatures tested. This suggests that these species primarily rely on a core set of enzymes that are expressed regardless of growing conditions, and that other Pythium species with globase sporangia may behave similarly.

We did not find any correlation between the presence of a signal peptide and the absence of transmembrane region in transcripts to the expected presence of secreted proteins (Raffaele et al 2010, Meiken and Min 2012). Also, there was no correlation between transcript levels and the corresponding levels of secreted proteins. There are limitations in the computer-based prediction of secreted proteins, including those without a signal peptide or those with heterogeneity in the signal peptide sequence when present (Severino et al 2014, Meijer et al 2014). Another intrinsic limitation of the prediction approach is the difficulty to determine, once a set of proteins with signal peptide has been identified, which ones will be secreted, or at what stage of the infection process they will be secreted. Our results seem to confirm that, and point to the usefulness of the proteomics analysis to confirm secretion of candidate proteins based on predictive softwares.
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Fig.S1


Figure S1. Blastx top hit species distribution of $P$. irregulare ( a and b ) and $P$. iwayamai ( c and d) transcripts. Phytophthora parasitica predominates when the search was done against the NCBI nr database; Pythium ultimum had the biggest number of hits when using the Uniprot TrEMBL database.

Fig.S2


Figure S2. Density and boxplots of all transcripts fpkm.

Fig.S3


Figure S3. Scatter plots of all transcripts fpkm of the two isolates of each species, when they were with-plants (wp) or in-medium (med) at the two different temperatures tested ( $4^{\circ}$ and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Plots (a) to (d) correspond to $P$. irregulare isolates; (e) to (h) correspond to $P$. iwayamai isolates. Less dispersion is observed when comparing both isolates with-plants.

Fig.S4


Figure S4. Volcano plots showing the amount of transcripts with significantly different level of expression (red dots, $\mathrm{FDR} \leq 0.01$ ). A horizontal line was drawn at the $-\log _{10}$ ( p value) corresponding to a FRD of 0.05 . The actual number of red dots is shown at the right. (a)-(d): $P$. irregulare isolates; (e)-(h): P. iwayamai isolates.

ST1_RNASeq
Supplemental Table 1.Number of total reads por each individual sample, average of two replicates (rep) for each condition and temperature, and coverage. "WP": with-plants; "MED": in-medium.

| Pythium sp | Isolate | Treatment | Total reads |  | Average reads | Transcriptome coverage* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rep 1 | Rep 2 |  |  |
| P. irregulare | 174b | WP $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29606357 | 30453051 | 30029704 | 76.6X |
| P. irregulare | 176 | WP $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 35805864 | 28579935 | 32192900 | 82.2X |
| P. irregulare | 174b | MED $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 32722424 | 27615439 | 30168932 | 77.0X |
| P. irregulare | 176 | MED $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 44358488 | 20095394 | 32226941 | 82.3X |
| P. irregulare | 174b | WP $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30660819 | 28202042 | 29431431 | 75.1X |
| P. irregulare | 176 | WP $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 31772625 | 30972209 | 31372417 | 80.1X |
| P. irregulare | 174b | MED $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30290218 | 28199778 | 29244998 | 74.6X |
| P. irregulare | 176 | MED $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28663759 | 38975106 | 33819433 | 86.3X |
| P. iwayamai | 174a | WP $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29328809 | 28999708 | 29164259 | 74.4X |
| P. iwayamai | 191 | WP $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 36907063 | 31127387 | 34017225 | 86.8X |
| P. iwayamai | 174a | MED $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29694431 | 36395101 | 33044766 | 81.2X |
| P. iwayamai | 191 | MED $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 37868663 | 38203148 | 38035906 | 93.5X |
| P. iwayamai | 174a | WP $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29831062 | 32311053 | 31071058 | 79.3X |
| P. iwayamai | 191 | WP $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 35266362 | 29769426 | 32517894 | 83.0X |
| P. iwayamai | 174a | MED $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28758325 | 29555202 | 29156764 | 71.7X |
| P. iwayamai | 191 | MED $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23323160 | 26867592 | 25095376 | 61.7X |

*Total transcriptome size were for P.iwayamai 30,105,478 bp and 28,993,015 bp for P.irregulare. Average read lenght was 74 bp .

The content of the next files is too big to be included here. They are also included in the submission of this Dissertation to ProQuest/UMI

SFile1_Pir_transcripts_seqs.fasta

Sfile2_Pir_transcripts_seqs.fasta


ST21_P191_proteins_table.csv

ST22_Pir_other_proteins.csv

ST23_Piw_other_proteins.csv
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Turkish filbert (Corylus colurna L.) is a medium-sized tree native to southwest Asia and southeast Europe. It is well adapted to urban settings, although its use as an ornamental in the United States has been limited (1). In 2010 and 2011, Turkish filberts at a site in Boulder, CO, USA, exhibited leaf spotting, partial defoliation, and shoot dieback (Fig. A1.1). Small, black angular leaf spots formed along leaf margins and veins resulting in leaf crinkling. Tan to brown lesions with a translucent sheen dotted the bark on twigs whereas shepherd's crooks formed on blighted succulent shoots. Bacterial streaming was consistently observed in necrotic tissue.

Approximately 5 to $10 \%$ of the canopy in each tree was affected. Trees were well maintained and did not appear to be suffering from drought or other stresses that may have contributed to the disease. However, spring weather in both years was cooler and wetter than normal.


Fig. A1.1. Symptoms caused by Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina in Turkish filbert include: (A) crown thinning resulting from defoliation and shoot blighting; (B) small, black angular spots concentrated on the leaf veins and margins; (C) tan twig lesions; and (D) shepherd's crook formation in blighted shoots. Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae was also isolated from leaf
spots.

Two different bacteria were cultured on nutrient agar from leaf spots; the first isolate had smooth, yellow colonies whereas the second was translucent and exhibited irregular colony margins. Only bacteria with yellow colonies were isolated from blighted shoots. The yellow bacterium had sequence similarities of $99 \%$ to the 16 S rDNA and gyrB (partial sequence only) respectively, of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The translucent bacterium had sequence similarities of $99 \%$ and $98 \%$ to the 16 S rDNA and to hrpL , respectively, of Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae. Furthermore, a 752-bp fragment was amplified using primers specific to the syringomycin gene, syrB (Fig. A1.2). This distinguished our isolate from Pseudomonas syringae pv. coryli, which lacks syr B and is associated with leaf spotting and blighting of European filbert (C. avellana) in Italy (4).


Fig. A1.2. Amplification of the syringomycin gene, syrB, from a Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae isolate collected from Turkish filbert in Colorado (lane 2) and an isolate from bean (lane 3). Pseudomonas syringae pv. coryli lacks syrB and has only been found in European filbert in Italy.

The upper and lower leaf surfaces of four, one-year-old Turkish filbert trees were brushed to runoff with bacterial suspensions ( $107 \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of $X$. arboricola pv . corylina, $P$. syringae pv .
syringae, or a mixture ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) of both species. Leaves of an additional four trees were brushed with distilled water. Trees were placed in a mist chamber for three days and then transferred to a greenhouse. After five days, a few angular, necrotic spots developed in leaves inoculated with one or both bacteria, but not in leaves treated with water (Fig. A1.3). No additional spotting was observed for the next month, but by seven weeks post-inoculation the number of leaf spots had increased to approximately the same severity in trees inoculated both with $X$. arboricola pv. corylina and with the mixture of $X$. arboricola pv . corylina and $P$. syringae pv. syringae, but not in those inoculated with P. syringae pv. syringae alone (Fig. A1.3). The two bacteria consistently were isolated from lesions. In the case of the mixed inoculation, both $X$. arboricola pv. corylina and $P$. syringae pv. syringae were recovered from the same lesions.

Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina is an important pathogen of European filbert in nut production areas $(2,3)$. Turkish filbert has been listed as a host of $X$. arboricola pv . corylina in Europe (3), and Miller et al. (2) successfully reproduced symptoms in this species following artificial inoculations in Oregon in 1949. However, we were unable to find any published reports of natural infection of Turkish filbert in the United States. Thus, North American arborists should be aware of potential damage caused by this bacterium to this host. We did not reproduce shoot blight by artificial inoculation, but the dieback we observed in Turkish filbert is consistent with symptoms caused by $X$. arboricola pv. corylina in European filbert. We also documented that $P$. syringae pv. syringae can contribute to leaf spotting in Turkish filbert.


Fig.A1.3. Leaf spot symptoms in Turkish filbert: five days post inoculation with (A) a mixture of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina and Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae; and seven weeks post inoculation with (B) P. syringae pv. syringae, (C) X. arboricola pv. corylina, and (D) a mixture of the two bacteria.
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Previously, we reported the isolation of a bacterium producing leaf spots in Turkish filbert. Here, we present the draft genome assembly of the bacterium identified as Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina. To our knowledge, this is the first published ge- nome of this pathovar of X. arboricola.
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Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina is a pathogen of Corylus avellana L. and it also infects
other species (1). In a previous report (2), we identified $X$. arboricola pv. corylina from leaf spots of Corylus colurna L. Here, we sequenced the genome of $X$. arboricola pv. corylina isolate NCCB100457 with 100 cycles of paired- end reads using an Illumina HiSeq sequencer at the USC Epi- genomic Center. More than 13.3 million 100-base-long reads were produced for each end. We performed two genome assem- blies, one using the A5 pipeline (3) and another using SPAdes v.2.3 (4). The A5 assembly has a total length of 5,227,695 bp consisting of 48 scaffolds ( 281 contigs), 43 of which were $>500 \mathrm{bp}$, with the longest being $840,880 \mathrm{bp}$; the N 50 is 263,170 bp and the $\mathrm{G} \square \mathrm{C}$ content is $65.46 \%$. The SPAdes assembly has a total length of 5,398,516 bp placed in 810 nodes, 182 of which were $>500 \mathrm{bp}$, with the longest being $263,848 \mathrm{bp}$; the N 50 is $77,809 \mathrm{bp}$ and the $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$ content is $65.35 \%$. We annotated the assembled genomes using the RAST server (5) and detected 4,452 and 4,500 coding sequences representing 445 and 449 "subsystems" for the A5 and SPAdes assemblies, respectively. Those numbers are similar to those in Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri (5,274,174 bp and 4,489 coding sequences represented in 461 subsystems), which is the most closely related organism present in the RAST database, based on nucleotide similarity. To further check the robustness of the NCCB100457 genome assemblies, we performed a BLASTn (6) search against 12 genes of $X$. arboricola pv. corylina that are commonly used as markers and that are present in the GenBank database. The genes acnB, dnaK, fstZ, fyuA, gapA, qumA, rpoB, and rpoD were detected and have $100 \%$ identity with other isolates from the same pathovar, while $f s t X$, groEL, and 16S rRNA genes have $99 \%$ identity and $g y r B$ has $98 \%$ identity.

We confirmed the presence of all 11 hrp 2 type 3 secretion system (T3SS) genes that are ubiquitous to all pathovars of $X$. arboricola; we also detected 20 out of 21 corresponding effector protein (T3E) genes that are present in all other $X$. arboricola pv . corylina isolates, including

ATCC 19313, collected from Corylus maxima in the United States (7); the only exception is $a v r B s 3$, which is absent in NCCB100457. A PCR with $a v r B s 3$-specific primers (7) using the genomic DNA of NCCB100457 did not result in amplification, whereas amplicons were detected in the positive controls Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae PXO99A and $X$. oryzae pv. oryzi- cola BLS256. The gene $x o p H$, which is present in most $X$. arbori- cola pv . corylina isolates but not in ATCC 19313, is also absent in our isolate. We did not detect 31 T3E genes in the NCCB100457 genome that are also absent in other $X$. arboricola pv. corylina genomes (7). However, we detected a putative avr similar to hpoG1 from Xanthomonas campestris pv. vasculorum (NCBI accession no. ZP_06487712.1) that was not reported before in $X$. arboricola pv. corylina. Differences in the arsenal of secretion systems and effectors can account for the pathogenicity and host specificity in pathogenic bacteria (8), including in isolate NCCB100457.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/ GenBank under the accession no. APMC00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, accession no. APMC01000000.
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Two bacteria identified as Lonsdalea quercina subsp. quercina were isolated from oak trees showing symptoms of drippy blight. Here, we present their draft genome assemblies, as well as that of the type strain of this species. To our knowledge, these are the first published genome sequences of this subspecies of Lonsdalea quercina.
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Lonsdalea quercina (Hildebrand and Schroth 1967) Brady et al. 2012 (1), first described as Erwinia quercina (2) and later as Brenneria quercina (3), is associated with bacterial gummosis and twig necrosis of several oak (Quercus) species (drippy blight disease) (2, 4). We recovered two isolates, NCCB100489 and NCCB100490, in Colorado from a symptomatic pin oak (Quercus palustris Muench) and a northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), respectively, which exhibited small cankers and gummosis at the Kermes scale (Allokermes galliformis Riley) feeding sites (5). We sequenced the genomes of these isolates and the genome of the L. quercina subsp. quercina type strain, ATCC 29281, which was originally collected from a diseased California live oak (Quercus agrifolia Nee) in California (2). Sequencing with 100 cycles of paired-end reads using the Illumina HiSeq sequencer at the University of Southern California (USC) Epigenome Center yielded more than 22.7 (NCCB100489), 23.8 (NCCB100490), and 32.9 (ATCC 29281) million 100-base-long reads for each paired end of each one of the three isolates. The assemblies were performed using Mira 4 Orc4 (6).

We obtained total assembly lengths of $3,848,371 \mathrm{bp}, 3,847,844 \mathrm{bp}$, and $3,850,073 \mathrm{bp}$, contig numbers (and largest contig sizes in base pairs) of $35(707,372), 35(512,358)$, and $37(557,855)$, $N 50$ sizes of $334,484 \mathrm{bp}, 279,081 \mathrm{bp}$, and $282,816 \mathrm{bp}$, and $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$ contents of $55.6,55.6$, and $55.1 \%$ for strains NCCB100489, NCCB100490, and ATCC 29281, respectively. We annotated the assembled genomes using the RAST server (7) and detected 3,398 (NCCB100489), 3,400 (NCCB100490), and 3,460 (ATCC 29281) coding sequences representing 475, 475, and 472 subsystems, respectively. To further check the robustness of the assemblies, we performed a BLASTn (8) search for genes from L. quercina isolates that had previously been deposited in the GenBank database. In the ATCC 29281 genome assembly, the 16S rRNA gene was found to be 99\% similar to the same gene reported in L. quercina subsp. quercina strain LMG 2725, while
the $\operatorname{atp} D, \operatorname{gap} A, \operatorname{gap} D H, \inf B, \operatorname{rec} A$, and $r p o B$ genes were $100 \%$ identical and $d n a J, \operatorname{gyr} B$, and ompA were $99 \%, 99 \%$, and $97 \%$ similar, respectively, to the reported sequences in ATCC 29281 deposited into the GenBank by other groups. All these mentioned genes were identical to each other in the NCCB100489 and NCCB100490 genome assemblies, but these isolates had lower similarities to the corresponding sequences of 16S rRNA (99\%), atpD (95\%), gapA (97\%), gapDH (98\%), infB (96\%), recA (97\%), rpoB (98\%), dnaJ (95\%), gyrB (98\%), and ompA (93\%) in ATCC 29281. Nevertheless, a multilocus (16S, $g y r B$, $a t p D$, and $i n f B$ ) phylogenetic tree based on the Bayesian inference (9) grouped NCCB100489, NCCB100490, and ATCC 29281 in an $L$. quercina subsp. quercina cluster, distinct from L. quercina subsp. iberica and L. quercina subsp. britannica isolates (data not shown). Biological testing is needed to explore the pathogenicity and host range differences of these and other L. quercina strains.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. These whole genome shotgun projects have been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/ GenBank under accession no. JIBO00000000, JIBP00000000, and JIBQ00000000. The versions described in this paper are the first versions, JIBO01000000, JIBP01000000, and JIBQ01000000.
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#### Abstract

The ascomycete Geosmithia morbida and the walnut twig beetle Pityophthorus juglandis are associated with thousand cankers disease of Juglans (walnut) and Pterocarya (wingnut). The disease was first reported in the western United States (USA) on several Juglans species, but has been found more recently in the eastern USA in the native range of the highly susceptible


Juglans nigra. We performed a comprehensive population genetic study of 209 G. morbida isolates collected from Juglans and Pterocarya from 17 geographic regions distributed across 12 U.S. states. The study was based on sequence typing of 27 single nucleotide polymorphisms from three genomic regions and genotyping with ten microsatellite primer pairs. Using multilocus sequence-typing data, 197 G. morbida isolates were placed into one of 57 haplotypes. In some instances, multiple haplotypes were recovered from isolates collected on the same tree. Twenty-four of the haplotypes (42\%) were recovered from more than one isolate; the two most frequently occurring haplotypes ( H 02 and H 03 ) represented $36 \%$ of all isolates. These two haplotypes were abundant in California, but were not recovered from Arizona or New Mexico. G. morbida population structure was best explained by four genetically distinct groups that clustered into three geographic regions. Most of the haplotypes isolated from the native range of J. major (Arizona and New Mexico) were found in those states only or present in distinct genetic clusters. There was no evidence of sexual reproduction or genetic recombination in any population. The scattered distribution of the genetic clusters indicated that G. morbida was likely disseminated to different regions at several times and from several sources. The large number of haplotypes observed and the genetic complexity of G. morbida indicate that it evolved in association with at least one Juglans spp. and the walnut twig beetle long before the first reports of the disease.
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## Introduction

Juglans nigra L. (Juglandaceae), commonly referred to as black walnut or eastern black walnut, is a native tree species of eastern North America (Figure A4.1A). Its wood is highly prized for use in cabinetry, gunstocks, veneer, and other finished wood products, and the nuts are an important nutritional source for wildlife [1-3]. This species was widely planted in the western United States (USA) as an ornamental and nut-bearing tree [1,2] during European colonization
and the subsequent development of rural and urban landscapes. However, black walnut does not constitute a major proportion of trees in the modern urban landscape in this region.

In the early 1990 s , widespread decline and death of J. nigra from an undetermined cause was observed in Oregon (OR), USA [4,5]. Similar mortality in Utah (UT) in the late 1990 s [4] and in New Mexico (NM) in 2001 [6] was attributed to drought and attack by the walnut twig beetle (WTB), Pityophthorus juglandis Blackman (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) [7,8]. Beginning in 2001, decline and mortality of trees was also noted in several cities in Colorado (CO) [9]. Symptoms included yellowing of foliage and thinning of the upper crown, followed by twig and branch dieback and eventually tree death [9]. In 2008 an undescribed species of the ascomycete Geosmithia associated with the WTB was shown to cause bark cankers around WTB galleries in dying J. nigra in CO [9], and the fungus was subsequently described as Geosmithia morbida M. Kolarík, E. Freeland, C. Utley, \& N.Tisserat sp.nov. (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) [10]. Many other Geosmithia species are symbionts of bark beetles, but G. morbida was the first phytopathogenic species reported in this genus [10]. The disease in J. nigra was given the common name thousand cankers disease (TCD) because of the enormous number of coalescing cankers that are formed around WTB entrance holes and galleries when the beetle aggregates in the inner bark of severely affected trees [9]. The WTB and TCD have now been documented as causing J. nigra mortality throughout much of the western USA and more recently, in many locations


Figure A4.1. Distribution of some native species of Juglans in the United States (A) and sampling regions (17) of native and adventive Juglans for Geosmithia morbida (B). Regions/counties were color-coded according to the tree species with green $=J$. major; red $=J$. californica; yellow $=$ J. regia; blue $=J$. hindsii; black $=J$. nigra; pink $=J$. cinerea; light gray $=$ unidentified Juglans spp. or hybrids. (Figure adapted from U.S. Census Bureau and U.S.
Geological Survey at www.census.gov/). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.g001
within the native range of this species [4,11-18]. In 2013, TCD was also confirmed in J. nigra planted in northeastern Italy [19].

The source of the current TCD epidemic remains unclear. There were no recorded collections of the WTB or G. morbida from J. nigra in the western USA prior to 1959 in southern California (CA); none prior to 1996 in UT [20]; and none in the native range of J. nigra until 2010 [11,12]. WTB was first collected in 1896 from J. major (Torr.) A. Heller (Arizona walnut) in NM [20,21], and it has since been collected widely throughout the northern native range of this species in Arizona (AZ), NM, and once in Chihuahua in Mexico (Figure A4.1A) [20,22]. Geosmithia morbida has also been isolated consistently from small, superficial cankers surrounding WTB galleries in native stands of J. major in AZ and NM, but the fungus does not cause extensive branch dieback or mortality in this species [20,23]. This has led to speculation that the origin of the WTB and G. morbida is from J. major, and that there was a host shift in the recent past by the beetle and its fungal symbiont to the more susceptible J. nigra. Another possible source of the WTB and G. morbida in the current epidemic are populations from Los Angeles County where WTB was collected in 1959 from J. nigra and from J. californica S. Watson (southern California black walnut) [7], the latter is a native tree in this region [24]. Geosmithia morbida has been recovered subsequently from declining and also relatively healthy appearing $J$. californica throughout its range in southern CA (Figure A4.1A) [25] and from many other species of Juglans and the closely related Pterocarya growing in arboreta and germplasm collections in CA (SJS, unpublished data).

The population structure of G. morbida in the USA has been partially characterized. Previous studies have indicated that the fungus is genetically complex [10,26]. Freeland and collaborators [26] identified 12 haplotypes from 145 G. morbida isolates collected in the western USA based
on rDNA ITS sequences, and 10 haplotypes from 56 isolates based on partial b-tubulin (BT) sequence comparisons. There were no correlations among haplotypes and the hosts or geographic regions from which the isolates were collected [26]. Representative ITS haplotypes recovered from different geographic regions and hosts were all pathogenic, and although there were slight differences in aggressiveness among isolates, canker sizes were not significantly different [26]. Freeland and collaborators [26] also showed that of four G. morbida isolates recovered from different cankers on the same tree, all had different di-locus haplotypes based on rDNA ITS and BT sequences. Hadziabdic and collaborators [27] used 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci to reveal high haploid genetic diversity in G. morbida isolates collected from the eastern USA and OR. They identified two genetic clusters that corresponded to isolates collected in: 1) OR and North Carolina (NC), and 2) Pennsylvania (PA) and Tennessee (TN). A sexual state for G. morbida has not been detected that could account for the observed genetic variability, but clonal organisms may have limited recombination events that can change population structure [28,29].

The main objective of our study was to determine the diversity and spatial pattern of G. morbida haplotypes collected widely and intensively from both native and introduced Juglans and Pterocarya hosts in various locations in the USA. Pterocarya, a non-native member of the Juglandaceae in the USA, was included because trees in this genus were recently reported with TCD symptoms [11]. We used multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and a microsatellite (or SSR, simple sequence repeats) analysis comprised of three genomic regions and ten markers, respectively, to observe the population structure of 209 G. morbida isolates collected from 17 different geographic regions (Figure A4.1B). Specifically we were interested in: i) comparing the population structure of G. morbida from disjunct geographic locations and ii) deducing the
potential source of the TCD outbreak and whether multiple introductions of the pathogen have occurred.

## Materials and Methods

Fungal collection and isolation

Isolates of G. morbida were collected from 54 counties in 12 states in the USA (Table A4.1). Samples were collected, transported, and shipped under the terms of USDA-APHIS permit P526P-11-03416. No specific permissions were required for collection of isolates from any of the locations and the field studies did not involve endangered or protected species. It is important to clarify that 'population'" in the manuscript means a group of geographically co-located isolates. Because G. morbida is primarily asexual, possibly these isolates do not represent genetically interacting entities. For the purpose of analyses, isolates were grouped into one of 17 geographic regions separated by relatively large distances: (1) NM_AZ (New Mexico and Arizona), (2) central AZ, (3) southwestern CA (California), (4) central CA, (5) northern CA, (6) NV (Nevada), (7) southwestern OR (Oregon), (8) central OR, (9) OR_WA (northern OR and southern Washington), (10) ID (Idaho), (11) UT (Utah), (12) northern CO (Colorado), (13) western CO, (14) southern CO, (15) TN (Tennessee), (16) VA (Virginia), and (17) PA (Pennsylvania) (Figure A4.1B and Table A4.1). Isolates were cultured from the margins of stem or branch cankers surrounding $P$. juglandis galleries located in the phloem or bark of symptomatic J. californica, J. cinerea L. [30], J. hindsii (Jeps.) Jeps. ex R.E. Sm., J. major, J. nigra, and J. regia L., from hybrids of these species, or from undetermined species of Juglans, and from Pterocarya stenoptera C. DC. (Chinese wingnut) and $P$. fraxinifolia (Lam.) Spach (Caucasian wingnut) (Figure A4.1B and Table A4.1). Isolates from J. californica, J. hindsii, J.
nigra, and J. regia were collected in areas where TCD epidemics were in progress and where infected trees were readily apparent. Many J. nigra were large trees located in urban areas and often on private property, so sampling was restricted to trees with cankered branches that were easily accessible. Branch samples from J. californica and J. hindsii were collected from trees located in their native ranges (parks, National Forest lands, roadside riparian areas), or from germplasm collections. Samples from J. hindsii were also collected from roadside plantings in the Central Valley (CA) or in OR and WA. Samples from J. regia were collected primarily in Central Valley walnut orchards, with a few exceptions, Cache County, UT and Jefferson County, CO (Table A4.1). Samples from J. major were collected from trees located in their native range (Figure A4.1A), which were widely scattered, sparse and did not exhibit severe symptoms of TCD. Thus, for J. major, samples were collected arbitrarily from declining or asymptomatic trees that were identified during road surveys. Isolation from cankers was performed as described previously [9].

Table A4.1. Locations, hosts, haplotypes and genetic clusters based on the four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model, for Geosmithia morbida isolates.

| Cluster | Haplotype | Isolate | GeoReg* | Year | State | County | Latitude | Longitude | Collector | Host |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H01 | $1582^{\text {J }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2011 | CA | Merced | $37^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 55.3^{\prime \prime}$ | - $120^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 38.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/PLD | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | $1217^{* *}$ н | 12_NoCO | 2007 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 53 \prime \prime$ | -105 $16^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H03 | 1249 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121* $47^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 1 | H03 | 1250 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 53 \prime \prime$ | -105 $16^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H03 | 1261 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | San Joaquin | $37^{\circ} 51 \times 05.7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 59.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | 1262 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | 34²8'26.6" | -118* $45^{\prime} 39.4 \prime \prime$ | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 1 | H03 | $1308^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | 39 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 1 | H03 | 1387 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Solano | $38^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 54.4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 20.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | 1441 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Clackamas | $45^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 24.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime}$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 1 | H03 | $1484{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | $1489{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | $1490{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | $1491{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | $1507{ }^{\text {E }}$ | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $36^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 45.82 \prime \prime$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 5{ }^{\prime} 28.61^{\prime \prime}$ | SS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H03 | 1515 | 4_CeCA | 2010 | CA | San Benito | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | - $120^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. regia |
| 1 | H03 | $1520{ }^{\text {G }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2010 | CA | Fresno | $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 03.1$ " | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 53.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF | J. regia |
| 1 | H03 | $1521{ }^{\text {G }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2010 | CA | Fresno | $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 03.1$ " | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 53.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF | J. regia |
| 1 | H03 | 1524 | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $35^{\circ} 57 \prime 38.30^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 14.66^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |


| 1 | H03 | $1583{ }^{\text {J }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2011 | CA | Merced | $37^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 55.3^{\prime \prime}$ | -12031'38.2'' | SJS/PLD | J. hindsii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H03 | 1659 | 17_PA | 1011P | PA | Bucks | $39^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 08.41^{\prime \prime}$ | -75 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 49.64^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H03 | 1699 | 4_CeCA | 2011 | CA | Tulare | $36^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 03.12$ ' | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 01.31^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H03 | 1822 | 5_NoCA | 2012 | CA | Solano | $38^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 02.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121*58'42.2', | SJS/PLD/SMH | P. stenoptera |
| 1 | H05 | 1236** | 9_ORWA | 2007 | WA | Benton | $46^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 24.48^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 08.12^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |
| 1 | H08 | 1505 | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $35^{\circ} 57 \prime 38.30^{\prime \prime}$ | $-83^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 14.66^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H09 | 1274 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 57.95$ ' | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 37.94$ ' | CU | J. nigra |
| 1 | H09 | 1459 | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Contra Costa | $37^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 00.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -121*58'31.8' | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H09 | $1599{ }^{\text {K }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H09 | $1662^{\circ}$ | 16_VA | 2011 V | VA | Dinwiddie | $37^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -77 $24^{\prime} 43.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H09 | $1667^{\circ}$ | 16_VA | 2011 | VA | Chesterfield | $37^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -77 ${ }^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 43.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H11 | 1233 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 52$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H11 | 1272 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 26.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -11845'39.4' | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 1 | H11 | 1503 | none | 2010 | NM | Bernalillo | $35^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 38.53 \prime \prime$ | -106 $36^{\prime} 35.97^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H16 | 1239** | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 11.95^{\prime \prime}$ | - $105^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 13.94{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. regia |
| 1 | H16 | 1271 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 19.95^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 15.96^{\prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H17 | 1573 | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | NM | Catron | $33^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 39.1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 1 | H18 | $1311{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 1 | H19 | 1547 | 12_NoCO | 2010 | CO | Denver | $39^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ | -104*59'32'" | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H19 | 1273 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 13$ '15.95' | CU | J. nigra |
| 1 | H19 | $1312{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |


| 1 | H19 | $1313{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | 3945'30.42'" | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H19 | $1596{ }^{\text {K }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H19 | $1597{ }^{\text {K }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96^{\prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H21 | $1598{ }^{\text {K }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96^{\prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H21 | 1453 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 18.7^{\prime \prime}$ | $-108^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 25.2^{\prime \prime}$ | DL | J. major |
| 1 | H21 | 1540 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Lincoln | $33^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 24.0^{\prime \prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 1 | H21 | 1558 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 1 | H21 | 1559 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 1 | H21 | 1670 | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | NM | Lincoln | $33^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 22.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 17.8^{\prime \prime}$ | ADG | J. major |
| 1 | H22 | $1478{ }^{\text {C }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2010 | CA | Santa Barbara | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | - $120^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 1 | H23 | 1309 | 11_UT | 2009 | UT | Cache | 41*55'41.91" | -111*48'29.33'' | NT | J. nigra |
| 1 | H25 | 1462 | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Contra Costa | $37^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 00.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 31.8^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H25 | $1476{ }^{\text {C }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2010 | CA | Santa Barbara | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -120 $21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 1 | H25 | $1518^{\text {F }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2010 | CA | Fresno | $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 03.1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 53.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF | J. regia |
| 1 | H26 | 1407 | 4_CeCA | 2009 | CA | Tulare | 36 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 08.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 32.6^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG/EF | J. regia |
| 1 | H26 | 1461 | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Contra Costa | $37^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 00.6^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 31.8^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H26 | $1486{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 1 | H27 | 1440 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Clackamas | $45^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 24.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime}$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 1 | H27 | $1509^{\mathrm{E}}$ | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $36^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 45.82^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 28.61^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H29 | $1506{ }^{\text {E }}$ | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $36^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 45.82$ ' | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 28.61^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 1 | H35 | 1545 | 12_NoCO | 2010 | CO | Denver | $39^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ | -104*59'32' | NT | J. nigra |


| 1 | H43 | $1572{ }^{\text {H }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 N | NM | Hidalgo | 31²6'25.6" | -10858'45.9'' | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H44 | $1314{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 1 | H56 | $1821{ }^{\text {P }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2012 | CA | Solano | $38^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/PLD/SMH | P.stenoptera |
| 2 | H02 | 1224 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1225 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1227 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121* ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1245 | 10_ID | 2008 ID | ID | Ada | $43^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 56.76^{\prime \prime}$ | -116 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 52.58^{\prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1252 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | S Luis Obispo | $35^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 08.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 $54^{\prime} 33.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/DLW | J. hindsii X J major |
| 2 | H02 | 1260 | 9_ORWA | 2008 | OR | Hood River | 4542'24.1' | -121*31'18.1' | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H02 | 1263 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 28^{\prime 26.6}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -118* ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} 39.4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1264 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 25.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -118* ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 39.4 \prime \prime$ | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1267 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | San Joaquin | $37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 05.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 59.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1268 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 02.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -11854’07.5'' | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1270 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | San Joaquin | $37^{\circ} 51 \times 05.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 59.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1275 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 02.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 07.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1279 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | 39 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1289 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -121* ${ }^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | $1315^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1324 | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1334 | 14_SoCO | 2009 | CO | Otero | $38^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ | -103 $43^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1335 | 14_SoCO | 2009 | CO | Crowley | $38^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ | -103 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |


| 2 | H02 | 1345 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Hood River | $45^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 24.1$ " | -121³1'18.1' | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H02 | 1352 | 3_SwCA | 2009 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 02.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -117 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime 2} 29.7^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1355 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1365 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | - $121^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1381 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Clackamas | $45^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 24.0^{\prime \prime}$ | - $122^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime}$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H02 | 1385 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Sutter | $39^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 40.9^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 49.1$ | SJS | J. nigra X J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1392 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 05.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -121* $48^{\prime} 12.1^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1403 | 4_CeCA | 2009 | CA | Kings | $36^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 41.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 $32 \times 37.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1408 | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1427 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Sutter | $39^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 40.9^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 $36^{\prime} 49.1^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra X J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1452 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 14.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | $1481{ }^{\text {C }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2010 | CA | Santa Barbara | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | - $120^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | $1482^{\text {C }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2010 | CA | Santa Barbara | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | - $120^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | $1485{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1493 | 3_SwCA | 2010 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1514 | 4_CeCA | 2009 | CA | Tulare | $36^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 08.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 32.6^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG/EF | J. regia |
| 2 | H02 | 1523 | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $35^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 30.05^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 19.85^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1525 | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | $35^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 30.05^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 19.85^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1530 | 9_ORWA | 2010 | WA | Klickitat | $45^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 49.9^{\prime \prime}$ | -120 $26^{\prime} 16.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H02 | 1535 | 14_SoCO | 2010 | CO | Otero | $38^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ | -103* $43^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |


| 2 | H02 | 1581 | 4_CeCA | 2011 | CA | Merced | $37^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 55.3^{\prime \prime}$ | -120³1’38.2' | SJS/PLD | J. hindsii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H02 | 1590 | 9_ORWA | 2011 | WA | Walla Walla | 46 ${ }^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | JM | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | $1600{ }^{\text {K }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96$ ' | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | $1602^{\text {K }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96^{\prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | $1631{ }^{\text {M }}$ | 6_NV | 2011 | NV | Carson City | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.55^{\prime \prime}$ | -119**6'7.92" | ADG/TWC | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H02 | $1633{ }^{\text {M }}$ | 6_NV | 2011 | NV | Carson City | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.55^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 7.92^{\prime \prime}$ | ADG/TWC | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H02 | $1636{ }^{\text {N }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2011 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ | -103 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J.californica |
| 2 | H02 | 1660 | 16_VA | 2011 | VA | Dinwiddie | $37^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -77² $24^{\prime} 43.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | $1665{ }^{\circ}$ | 16_VA | 2011 | VA | Chesterfield | $37^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -77 $24^{\prime} 43.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | 1704 | 6_NV | 2011 | NV | Washoe | 39 $31{ }^{\prime} 13.73{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -11948'27.9'' | SJS/PLD | J. nigra |
| 2 | H02 | $1830{ }^{\text {P }}$ | 35_NoCA | 2012 | CA | Solano | $38^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/PLD/ | P. fraxinifolia |
| 2 | H02 | 2032 | 22_SwCA | 2014 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 8.452^{\prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 3.444^{\prime}$ | KJG/JEH/SMH/ | P. fraxinifolia |
| 2 | H04 | 1223 | 11_UT | 2008 | UT | Cache | 41 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 1.74{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -111 ${ }^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 48.8^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H04 | 1266 | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 25.548^{\prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 05.354^{\prime}$ | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| 2 | H04 | 1338 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Marion | $4^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 22.69^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 51 \times 32.1 \prime \prime$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H04 | 1368 | 13_WeCO | 2009 | CO | Mesa | $39^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 49.93^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 $33^{\prime} 2.3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | BH | J. nigra |
| 2 | H04 | 1380 | 5_NoCA | 2009 | CA | Sacramento | 38 ${ }^{\circ} 39.6624{ }^{\prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 28.723$ ' | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H04 | 1432 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime}$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H04 | 1439 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Marion | $45^{\circ} 8^{\prime} 32.17^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 51 \times 32.1 \prime$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H04 | 1534 | 7_SwOR | 2010 | OR | Jackson | 42 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 37.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 20.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H06 | 1366 | 1_NMAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | 3458'25.1' | -112 $39^{\prime} 52.4 \prime \prime$ | WC | J. major |


| 2 | H07 | 1428 | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Alameda | 370 $40 \times 29.3$ " | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 53 \prime 8.9^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/PLD | J. hindsii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H10 | 1258 | 9_ORWA | 2008 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 04.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 58.1^{\prime \prime}$ | MP | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H10 | 1276 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Larimer | $40^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ | -105**'44.9" | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H10 | 1346 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 1.14^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 51.9^{\prime \prime}$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H10 | $1601^{\mathrm{K}}$ | 12_NoCO | 2011 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 28.95^{\prime \prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 16.96^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H10 | 1672 | 15_TN | 2011 | TN | Knox | $35^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 30.05^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 19.85^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. nigra |
| 2 | H12 | 1533 | 7_SwOR | 2010 | OR | Jackson | 42 $25^{\prime} 37.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 20.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H12 | $1584{ }^{\text {J }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2010 | CA | Merced | $37^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 55.3^{\prime \prime}$ | -120 $31 \times 38 .{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/PLD | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H13 | 1393 | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Weld | $40^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 9^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H14 | 1256** | 9_ORWA | 2008 | OR | Multnomah | $45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42.48^{\prime \prime}$ | -12240'32.2'' | MP | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H14 | $1634{ }^{\text {N }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2011 | CA | Los Angeles | 34* $3^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ | $-103^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J.californica |
| 2 | H15 | 1610 | 5_NoCA | 2011 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 42.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. major X J. hindsii |
| 2 | H20 | 1285 | 13_WeCO | 2008 | CO | Delta | 38* $44^{\prime} 41.316^{\prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} 15.0^{\prime \prime}$ | BH | J. nigra |
| 2 | H20 | $1305^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | 3945'30.42'' | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 2 | H24 | 1218** | 12_NoCO | 2007 | CO | Boulder | $40^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ | $-105^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H24 | 1259 | 9_ORWA | 2008 | OR | Marion | $45^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 49.9{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 51{ }^{\prime} 29.9 \prime \prime$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H24 | 1318 | 14_SoCO | 2009 | CO | Otero | $38^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 11.56{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -103 $42 \times 52.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |
| 2 | H24 | 1404 | 4_CeCA | 2009 | CA | Tulare | $36^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 08.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 32.6^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG/EF | J. regia |
| 2 | H24 | 1494 | 3_SwCA | 2011 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ | -103 $56^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J.californica |
| 2 | H24 | 1532 | 9_ORWA | 2010 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 29.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 40.3^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/CL | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H28 | 1409 | 4_CeCA | 2009 | CA | Tulare | $36^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 08.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 32.6^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG/EF | J. regia |


| 2 | H30 | 1269** | 3_SwCA | 2008 | CA | Ventura | $34^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 02.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 07.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/TWC | J.californica |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H30 | 1350 | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 12.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 40.0^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 2 | H30 | 1430 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Marion | $45^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 32.14{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 51 \times 31.8^{\prime \prime}$ | JP/CU | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H30 | $1519^{\text {G }}$ | 4_CeCA | 2010 | CA | Fresno | $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 03.1$ " | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 53.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF | J. regia |
| 2 | H30 | 1522 | 15_TN | 2010 | TN | Knox | 35 ${ }^{\circ} 57 \prime 37.76^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 15.2^{\prime \prime}$ | WC/NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H30 | 1726 | 8_CeOR | 2011 | OR | Lane | $43^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 00.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | MS | J. cinerea |
| 2 | H30 | 1727 | 8_CeOR | 2011 | OR | Lane | $43^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 00.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | MS | J. cinerea |
| 2 | H31 | $1483{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Lake | $39^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -122 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 32.2^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J. hindsii |
| 2 | H34 | 1247 | 14_SoCO | 2008 | CO | El Paso | $38^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 0.35^{\prime \prime}$ | -104 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 18.5^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 2 | H42 | $1623{ }^{\text {L }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | AZ | Cochise | $31^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 32.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -109 $30{ }^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$ | TWC | J. major |
| 2 | H42 | $1624^{\text {L }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | AZ | Cochise | $31^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 32.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -109 $30{ }^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$ | TWC | J. major |
| 2 | H42 | $1627^{\text {L }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | AZ | Cochise | $31^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 32.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -109 $30^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$ | TWC | J. major |
| 2 | H45 | $1626^{\text {L }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | AZ | Cochise | $31^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 32.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -109 $30^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$ | TWC | J. major |
| 2 | H46 | $1628^{\text {L }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | AZ | Cochise | $31^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 32.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -109 $30{ }^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$ | TWC | J. major |
| 2 | H47 | 1402 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 1.14^{\prime \prime}$ | - $121^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 51.9^{\prime \prime}$ | JP | Juglans sp. |
| 2 | H47 | 1585 | 4_CeCA | 2011 | CA | Tulare | $36^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 51.1^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 $08^{\prime} 16.9^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF/PLD | J. regia |
| 2 | H47 | 1586 | 4_CeCA | 2011 | CA | Tulare | $36^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 51.1^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 $08^{\prime} 16.9^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/EF/PLD | J. regia |
| 2 | H48 | $1306{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | 39 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 2 | H49 | 1496 | 14_SoCO | 2010 | CO | Fremont | 38²6'26.34'' | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 17.3^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. nigra |
| 2 | H51 | 1708 | 6_NV | 2011 N | NV | Douglas | 39 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} 15.10^{\prime \prime}$ | -119 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 42.0^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/PD/GD | J. nigra |
| 2 | H52 | $1625^{\text {L }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | AZ | Cochise | $31^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 32.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -109 $30^{\prime} 23.9^{\prime \prime}$ | TWC | J. major |


| 2 | H55 | 1513 | 5_NoCA | 2010 | CA | Solano | $38^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 12.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -121 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 24.0^{\prime \prime}$ | RMB | J. regia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H57 | 1246 | 14_SoCO | 2008 | CO | El Paso | $38^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 0.35^{\prime \prime}$ | -104 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 18.5^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 3 | H36 | $1569{ }^{\text {H }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | NM | Hidalgo | $31^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 25.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 45.9^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 3 | H37 | $1576{ }^{1}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2011 | NM | Catron | $33^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 39.1$ " | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | $1301{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | 39 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 3 | H38 | $1302{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1 "$ | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | $1321{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2009 | CO | Jefferson | 3945'30.42'' | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | CU | J. nigra |
| 3 | H38 | 1340 | 1_NMAZ | 2009 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 48.0^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | 1388 | 1_NMAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | 1401 | 1_NMAZ | 2009 | NM | Catron | $33^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 53 \prime 39.1^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | 1554 | 2_CeAZ | 2010 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 42.62^{\prime \prime}$ | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 44.4^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | 1556 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 17.9^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 18.4^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H38 | $1574{ }^{1}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Catron | $33^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 39.1$ ' | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 3 | H39 | 1373 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 21.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -107 $43^{\prime} 45.5^{\prime \prime}$ | DL | J. major |
| 3 | H39 | 1421 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 21.7^{\prime \prime}$ | -107* 43 '45.5" | DL | J. major |
| 3 | H39 | 1422 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $-107^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 35.1^{\prime \prime}$ | DL | J. major |
| 3 | H39 | 1557 | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Grant | $32^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 44.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -10752'04.5'" | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H40 | 1248 | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | $39^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 15.95^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 3 | H40 | 1322 | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 05.32^{\prime \prime}$ | $-112^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 09.39^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 3 | H40 | $1323{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 12_NoCO | 2008 | CO | Jefferson | 39 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 30.42^{\prime \prime}$ | -105 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 49.8^{\prime \prime}$ | NT | J. nigra |
| 3 | H40 | 1358 | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1 \prime$ | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |


| 3 | H40 | 1420 | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1 \times$ | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50 \times 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | H41 | $1571{ }^{\text {H }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Hidalgo | $31^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 25.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 45.9^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 3 | H50 | 1644 | 15_TN | 2011 | TN | Knox | $35^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 22.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -83 $59{ }^{\prime} 31.4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | SF | J. nigra |
| 3 | H53 | $1479{ }^{\text {C }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2010 | CA | Santa Barbara | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | - $120^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| 3 | H54 | $1570^{\text {H }}$ | 1_NMAZ | 2010 | NM | Hidalgo | $31^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 25.6^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 45.9^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. major |
| 4 | H32 | 1299**A | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | 34 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1 "$ | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 4 | H33 | 1234** | 2_CeAZ | 2008 | AZ | Yavapai | $34^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1$ " | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50 \times 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 4 | H33 | $1303{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | 34*55'25.1" | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 4 | H33 | $1307{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 2_CeAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | 34*55'25.1" | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 4 | H33 | 1412 | 1_NMAZ | 2009 | AZ | Yavapai | 34 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1$ " | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50 \times 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 4 | H33 | 1550 | 2_CeAZ | 2010 | AZ | Yavapai | 34 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.1$ " | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| 4 | H33 | 1675 | 2_CeAZ | 2010 | AZ | Yavapai | 34*55'25.1" | -112 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 34.7^{\prime \prime}$ | WC | J. major |
| - | - | 1222 | 11_UT | 2007 | UT | Cache | $41^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 1.74{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -111 $48^{\prime} 48.81 \times$ | NT | J. regia |
| - |  | 1228 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121* ${ }^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| - | - | 1229 | 5_NoCA | 2008 | CA | Yolo | $38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime}$ | -121* $47^{\prime} 46.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| - |  | 1347 | OR_WA | 2009 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 0.43^{\prime \prime}$ | $-121^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 50.26^{\prime \prime}$ | JP | Juglans sp. |
| - | - | 1348 | 3_SwCA | 2009 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 48.2^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 04.6^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| - | - | 1349 | 9_ORWA | 2009 | OR | Wasco | $45^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 0.43^{\prime \prime}$ | - $121^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 50.26^{\prime \prime}$ | JP | Juglans sp. |
| - | - | 1359 | 13_WeCO | 2009 | CO | Mesa | 39 ${ }^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 49.93{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | -108 $33^{\prime} 2.33^{\prime \prime}$ | BH | J. nigra |
| - | - | 1383 | 3_SwCA | 2009 | CA | Los Angeles | $34^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 48.2^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 04.6^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| - | - | 1405 | 4_CeCA | 2009 | CA | Kings | $36^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 41.0^{\prime \prime}$ | $-119^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 37.4^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS/ADG | J. hindsii |


| - | - | $1473{ }^{\text {C }}$ | 3_SwCA | 2010 |  | Santa Barbara | $34^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 28.5^{\prime \prime}$ | -120 $21^{\prime} 08.5^{\prime \prime}$ | SJS | J.californica |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 1528 | 9_ORWA | 2010 | WA | Walla Walla | $46^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 50.8^{\prime \prime}$ | -118 ${ }^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 40.7 \prime \prime$ | SJS/CL | J. nigra |
|  | - | 1529 | 9_ORWA | 2010 | WA | Walla Walla | 46u03'50.8" | 2118u18'40.7'" | SJS/CL | J. nigra |

* Geographical regions are depicted in Fig. A4.1B. ** Isolates selected in the first trial of MLST analysis.
$\hbar$ Isolates deposited at Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures as CBS 124663 (1217) and CBS 124664 (1218). Isolates underlined were subjected to SSR analysis. ${ }^{\text {A-P }}$ Common letters indicate isolates from different cankers of the same tree. Collectors are as follows: R. M. Bostock (RMB), T. W. Coleman (TWC), W. Cranshaw (WC), P.L. Dallara (PLD), G. Durham (GD), E. Fichtner (EF), S. Fraedrich (SF), A. D. Graves (ADG), K.J. Greby (KJG), B. Hammon (BH), J.E. Henrich (JEH), S.M. Hishinuma (SMH), D. Leatherman (DL), C. Leslie (CL), A. Liu (AL), J. McKenna (JM), L.M. Ohara (LMO), J. Pscheidt (JP), M. Putnam (MP), S. Schlarbaum (SS), S. J. Seybold (SJS), N. Tisserat (NT), C. Utley (CU), D.L. Wood (DLW). All isolations were made in the laboratory of NT with the exception of isolate 1513, which was made in the laboratory of RMB. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.t001

In order to obtain a haploid individual of G. morbida, all isolates were either single spored by serial dilution plating of conidia or by inducing the yeast phase by rapid shaking ( 250 rpm ) of liquid culture, followed by serial dilution plating. A total of 209 haploid isolates were maintained on one-half strength potato dextrose agar (1/2 PDA, Difco Corp., Sparks, MD, USA) [10]. Of these isolates, 197 or 107 were assessed by MLST or SSR analysis, respectively, whereas 95 were tested by both methods (Table A4.1). In some cases, multiple G. morbida isolates were collected from different cankers on the same tree, or from more than one Juglans species from the same location (Table A4.1) to ascertain whether individual trees or adjacent trees contained more than one G. morbida haplotype.

## DNA extraction

Single-spore isolates were grown on half-strength potato dextrose broth (Difco) for 3days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking ( 120 rpm ). Mycelium was collected and lyophilized and DNA extraction was performed by using the Easy DNA Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or DNeasy Blood \& Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer's recommendations. DNA concentrations and purities were estimated with a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 1000, Loughborough, UK).

Isolation and identification of SSR sequences

DNA was extracted from two single-spore-derived cultures of isolates 1217 (CBS 124663) and 1218 (CBS 124664) (Table A4.1), known a priori to be characterized by different ITS sequences. DNA from both isolates was pooled and sent to the Cornell University Genomics Facility; enzymatically digested with HincII; ligated; and enriched for microsatellites by hybridization to probes containing random repeats. Enriched fragments were processed into a mate-pair library
and sequenced with 454 GS FLX (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) sequencing technology. Sequences were assembled by using SeqMan Pro (Lasergene version 8.1.1; DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA) yielding a total of 13,392 contigs and 21,737 singlets that were screened for the presence of microsatellite repeats by using EagleView software [31]. Primers were designed from a subset of selected contigs based on: presence of microsatellite repeats; putative presence of polymorphism within a contig; location of repeats within the sequence; and read quality. The software Primer 3 [32] was used to identify primer pairs from contigs. Primer pairs that produced a strong and consistent signal and produced polymorphic amplicons were selected and used to characterize DNA from designated isolates (Table A4.1, underlined isolates). Sequence data from loci used in this study were deposited in GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ genbank/) (Table A4.S1). For all loci, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocol was 4 min denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 25 cycles of 45 sec at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{sec}$ at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 45 sec at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 8 cycles of 10 sec at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{sec}$ at $51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 45 sec at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the end of the amplification, the samples were held at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 min and then at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until they could be removed and stored at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for dilution and mixing with ROX standards. Reactions included dNTP ( 0.3 mM each ), bovine serum albumin ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), in a final volume of 15 ml and all other reagents as described previously [33]. Microsatellite haplotypes were produced by using the three primer method [33], and alleles were binned by using Gene Mapper software (Applied Biosystems, South San Francisco, CA, USA). Quality control of microsatellite markers was guaranteed by genotyping the loci of all samples at least twice and independently. All genotyping plates contained DNA from three isolates (1217, 1218, and 1234), which were used as control (allelic standards).

Table A4.2. Primers tested on MLST analysis of Geosmithia morbida isolates.

| Gene/region | Sequence (5' $\rightarrow$ 3') | Genome | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ITS | 1F: CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA 4: <br> TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC | Fungi | single band and SNPs |
| BT | 1: AACATGCGTGAGATTGTAAGT Geo*: <br> TCTCGACAAAACGTACCTCGT | Fusarium | single band and SNPs |
| FsACC | F: CTCGTGAGATCATGATCCAGT R: <br> GTTGATAACAGCGGAGAGCT | Fusarium | multiple unspecific <br> band |
| FsGPD | F: CATGTACGTCGTCGGTGTCA R: <br> CGCTTACTTGGAGGCATCG | Fusarium | multiple unspecific <br> band |
| FsHMG | F: GGCAAGATTCCTGGTTACGC R: <br> TTCATACCCATAGCGTCACC | Fusarium | did not amplify |
| FsICL | F: GGAGGTTGAGGCTGTCAAG R: <br> GCTTGGTGAGCTTCATGACA | Fusarium | did not amplify |
| FsMPD | F: CGTCGAGAACACCATCACAAA R: <br> ATGGGGGTTGCCAATTCGCT | Fusarium | did not amplify |
| FsSOD | F: TGGGACATCACCGGTAACGA R: <br> CAGTCTTGAGAGACTCCTCG | Fusarium | did not amplify |
| FsTOP1 | F: AGGAGCACATGACGACCAAG R: <br> GATCCTGATCAGCCATGATC | Fusarium | multiple unspecific <br> band |
| FsUGP1 | F: CAGATGCGAAATGCTCTGAC R: <br> AGGATATCGACGTTGTGGC | Fusarium | single band |
| Methionine aminopeptidase (MAP) | F: GCGAATAACGCTGCAATTCT R: <br> AACCCGGAGTGACAACTGAC | G. morbida | single band and SNPs |


| Ribosomal L18ae protein family | F: CTTGGTGTTCTGCTTGGTGA R: <br> ACCCCGAGAAGGTCAAGAAC | G. morbida | single band |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dolichyl-phosphate-mannose-protein <br> mannosyltransferase | F: TCTTCTGGCTGTTCATGACG R: <br> CGAGGACACGGAAAATGAAG | G. morbida | single band |
| Amino acid permease | F: TATCAGCGCTTGCAAATACG R: <br> GCAATCATGGAAATGTGTCG | G. morbida | single band |
| 40S ribosomal protein S2 | F: GCCCATCAAGGAGTACCAGA R: <br> GACGTGTAGGCGTCTTCGAT | G. morbida | single band |
| Kinesin | F: GCTTCGCTACAGGTGAGTCC R: <br> AGACTCCAGCGGTTGTCCTG | G. morbida | single band |

* BT Geo was designed based on G. morbida genome, inwardly oriented after amplification by using BT22 [36]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.t002

Locus/isolate combinations that produced inconsistent allele sizes or were null were considered as missing data. The ten amplicon sizes (corresponding to each SSR) of each isolate were entered into an Excel spreadsheet and compared visually (manually). Isolates sharing the same amplicon sizes were considered to be clonal.

Isolation and identification of MLST sequences

We attempted to amplify DNA from 16 genomic regions by using the following primer pairs: for housekeeping genes identified in the G. morbida genome; for those developed for Fusarium solani MLST analysis [34]; for the rRNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region [35]; and for the b-tubulin (BT) gene [36], to obtain a MLST-based analysis of G. morbida (Table A4.2). Details on primer design for MLST sequences based on the G. morbida genome are provided in File S1.

Amplification of these 16 regions by PCR consisted of 10X Standard Taq Reaction Buffer (New England Biolabs), 0.2 U of Taq DNA Polymerase, $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ of each dNTP, 0.25 mM of each primer, 20 ng of DNA, and sterile deionized water added for a final volume of 20 ml . Annealing temperatures were initially set $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the lowest Tm of the primer pairs. Because some of the primers were not based on the G. morbida genome, we also performed gradient PCR with annealing temperatures $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of those set initially. Parameters were: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min ; followed by 35 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec , varying annealing temperatures based on the primer pair for $45 \mathrm{sec}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 min ; and completed with $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The presence of amplicons was verified by using a Sub-Cell GT Cell (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA)
electrophoresis system. Amplified sequences that exhibited a single well-defined band were purified by using PureLink PCR Purification Kit (Invitrogen). Ten nanograms of DNA per 100
base pairs (bp) were combined with 10 pmol of primer and sequenced with the BigDye version 3.1 ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI 3730 automated sequencer at the Proteomics and Metabolomics Facility at Colorado State University. Chromatograms were visualized by using Sequence Scanner Software 1.0 from Applied Biosystems (http://www. appliedbiosystems.com/) and bases were only accepted if the Phred quality score was equal to or greater than 20 [37]. Low quality reads and those containing overlapping sequences were either re-sequenced or sequenced with the primer flanking the opposite side. Sequences were edited and aligned by using BioEdit [38]. Only three of the 16 primer sets amplified genomic regions with SNPs. These resulted in 7, 13, and 7 polymorphisms in the ITS, BT, and methionine aminopeptidase (MAP) sequences (Figure A4.S1) of 197 G. morbida isolates, respectively (Table A4.2). PCR amplicons of the ITS, BT, and MAP region/genes were 565, 438, and 468 bp in length and the sequences were trimmed to 516,363 , and 365 bp , respectively, for MLST analysis. Sequences were deposited in GenBank with the following accession numbers: ITS, KJ148225 to KJ148419; BT, KJ148030 to KJ148224; and MAP, KJ148420 to KJ148614.

## Data Analyses

Genetic structure and host specificity. Haplotypes (isolates in the case of SSR data) were placed into genetic clusters based on the posterior probability of their allele frequencies by using STRUCTURE software [39] and based on multivariate methods by using Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) [40] in the Adegenet software package in R [41]. Haplotypes were assigned to the clusters based on independent analyses of the SSR and MLST data. SSR inputs for the analysis were the amplicon sizes of the ten microsatellite markers (Table A4.S1) from each isolate, whereas MLST inputs were each one of the polymorphic sites (SNPs and insertions/deletions) of the three genomic regions (Figure A4.S1). Even though some
polymorphic sites were consecutive and likely linked, each one of the 27 (as shown in results) was treated as a single locus (Figure A4.S1). The three MLST genomic regions were aligned by using BioEdit 7.2.4 [38]; concatenated (total length of $1,244 \mathrm{bp}$ ), and then combined into unique haplotypes (Table A4.S1A). Isolates containing identical haplotypes were considered as one, i.e., the dataset was clone- corrected.

A provisional genetic cluster assignment independent of geographical location was determined by using the Bayesian clustering algorithm implemented in the software STRUCTURE [39]. We used the admixture, correlated frequency model, and tested K (number of genetic clusters) $=1$ to 10. Parameters were estimated under the null hypothesis of panmixia where loci are at HardyWeinberg ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{W}$ ) equilibrium. Twenty independent runs were performed with a burn-in period and run length of 50,000 and 500,000 iterations, respectively. The optimal number of populations (K) was estimated by using Structure Harvester [42] according to the ad hoc statistic $\Delta \mathrm{K}$, which is based on the rate of change in the log probability of the data between succeeding K values [43]. The assignment of each isolate/haplotype to a cluster was based on the quality threshold of q , which denotes the admixture proportion for each individual belonging to a cluster. In a situation where cluster x had the highest q -value for a determined individual, this individual was assigned to that cluster only if cluster $x$ had a $q$-value of $\geq 0.75$, or if the $q$-value was $\geq 0.5$ and twice the q-value of another cluster. Clones whose $q$-values did not fit these parameters were not assigned to any specific cluster.

Violations of the assumptions in STRUCTURE (panmixia, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{W}$ equilibrium, linkage equilibrium in clonal subgroups) can produce incorrect assignments; therefore, the results from STRUCTURE were compared to a second genetic cluster assignment by using DAPC. DAPC optimizes variation among clusters to the detriment of variation within clusters and, contrary to
the results from STRUCTURE, is neutral to any a priori genetic hypothesis. The differentiation of individuals within and among populations was calculated by using Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) [44], which is based on a fixation index ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ ), using the Arlequin software package [45]. The resulting $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values were entered into a table and used to determine the most reliable genetic cluster model assignment for G. morbida.

Host specificity was also analyzed independently with both SSR and MLST data by using AMOVA. The analysis was only conducted for host species from which more than five $G$. morbida-isolates had been collected. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }} \mathrm{P}$-values $(\alpha=0.05)$ were used to determine host specificity.

## Phylogenetic analyses, sexual reproduction/ recombination, neutrality, and linkage

disequilibrium tests. Due to the higher robustness of genetic clustering with the MLST data (see Results) and because more isolates were genotyped by using this approach, we performed most of the population genetic analyses with a focus on the MLST data.

Phylogenetic analysis showing the relatedness of G. morbida haplotypes and other Geosmithia species was conducted by using two concatenated genomic regions (ITS/BT). MAP was not included because of the absence of sequence data for other species in public databases. Fifty-five sequences were compared, six of which corresponded to other species of Geosmithia downloaded from GenBank (accessions are shown in Figure A4.2); the others corresponded to G. morbida haplotypes. Because MAP was not considered, some of the haplotypes sharing the same ITS and BT sequences were combined in a single leaf (e.g., H32 and H33, Figure A4.2). Sequences were aligned by using ClustalW [46] and trimmed in MEGA 5.05 [47], with lengths of 520 bp and 406 bp for ITS and BT, respectively. We performed two analyses: a Bayesian
inference of phylogeny with a variant of Markov chain Monte Carlo [48] in MrBayes v3.1.2 [49] by using a general time- reversible model with inverse-gamma rates of evolution for $1,500,000$ generations and a burn-in of 0.25; and a maximum- likelihood analysis in PhyML 3.0 [50] (http://www.atgc- montpellier.fr/phyml/) with default values except for bootstrap of 1,000 replicates. The unrooted phylogenetic tree obtained in MrBayes was formatted in MEGA5 [47] and branches with bootstrap values equal or greater than $50 \%$ were shown. Bootstrap values greater than 500 obtained in maximum-likelihood analysis were also indicated in that tree.

The program GenClone 2.0 [51] was used to calculate $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{gen}}$, i.e., the likelihood that MLST haplotypes resulted from sexual reproduction. Neutrality of the polymorphic loci was tested based on coalescent simulations with the program DnaSP 5.10 [52]; 1,000 replicates were used to test Tajima's D; Fu and Li's D, D*, F and F*; and Fu's F neutrality statistics. MultiLocus 1.2 [53] was used to test linkage disequilibrium of alleles at different loci, and to test the null hypothesis of recombination. Indexes of association $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and r-bar d were obtained with their corresponding probabilities by using 10,000 randomizations.

Private alleles. We used the most robust cluster assignment (four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model, as explained under 'Genetic Structure'') to investigate private alleles in SSR analysis, i.e., alleles found in only one cluster or one group of interest. This analysis was performed manually.

Geographical structure. Genotype (or haplotype) diversity of each geographic region was also calculated by using DnaSP 5.10 [52], measuring the genetic variance of haplotypes located in the same region. Significance of the proposed geographic structures was subsequently tested with Hudson's Permtest, which computes the mean number of pairwise differences within ( $\mathrm{K} *_{\mathrm{ii}}$ or $\mathrm{K}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ) and between groups $\left(\mathrm{K}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ along with the probability that $\mathrm{K}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}}$ exceeds $\mathrm{K}{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}$ by chance [54]
(http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/ mpweb/). Robustness of geographic clusters was calculated by using AMOVA in the Arlequin software package [44,45].


Figure A4.2. Unrooted phylogenetic tree of Geosmithia species based on ITS/BT sequences. A Bayesian analysis was performed for $1,500,000$ generations by using a GTR-gamma distributed model of evolution (invariant sites). Bayesian percentages ( $\geq 50 \%$ ) are depicted above each branch, and maximum likelihood bootstrap values ( $\geq 500$ ) obtained by using PhyML (default parameters) are shown below most branches. Geosmithia morbida haplotypes are color coded according to their genetic cluster assignment (four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model, as in Figure A4.3) and haplotypes sharing the same ITS and BT sequences are co-located. Leaves pertaining
to the same branch were arranged together according to their cluster assignment. GenBank accession numbers of other Geosmithia spp. are identified within parenthesis.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.g002

## Results

Isolation and identification of SSR sequences

Ten microsatellite (SSR) loci revealed a total of 59 alleles in G. morbida (Table A4.S1).
Amplicon sizes were compared, and two isolates (1348 and 1352) were identified as clonal because all but one amplicon from these isolates had the same size. Loci 2514 and 3416 failed to amplify in an unusually high percentage of samples ( $75 \%$ and $63 \%$, respectively), from which other loci amplified consistently, which may indicate the presence of null alleles at those loci (Table A4.S2).

Isolation and identification of MLST sequences

From the set of 16 pairs of primers tested with G. morbida DNA as template (Table A4.2) all eight based on the Fusarium solani genome [34] failed to amplify a single well-defined band. The six pairs of primers designed based on the G. morbida genome produced a single amplicon (Table A4.2), and one pair corresponded to a polymorphic region (MAP) when the sequences of eight experimental isolates were compared (Table A4.1, isolates with two asterisks). ITS and BT produced polymorphic sequences [55], as reported in other species of Geosmithia [56], and were therefore used in this population genetic study. BT was originally amplified by using a pair of primers based on Fusarium spp. genome [36], but after sequencing the corresponding fragment from G. morbida one primer was refined accordingly (Table A4.2). We did not detect sequences
with consistent noise or base call overlap, which would be an indication of different variants (alleles) of multi-copy genes (or genomic regions) within the same individual.

## Haplotype assignment

Using MLST data, 197 G. morbida isolates were placed into one of 57 haplotypes based on 11, 16, and 4 variants of ITS, BT, and MAP, respectively (Table A4.S2 and Table A4.1), according to the combination of 7, 13, and 7 polymorphic loci, respectively (Figure A4.S1). Multiple haplotypes were identified from isolates collected from different cankers on the same tree in cases from AZ, CA, CO, NM, TN, and VA (Table A4.1). Twenty-four of the haplotypes (42\%) were represented by more than one isolate (Table A4.1). Overall, haplotypes H 02 and H 03 occurred the most frequently in the survey, representing $36 \%$ of all G. morbida isolates examined (Figure A4.3). They were detected in all geographic regions where more than 4 isolates were collected, except neither haplotype occurred in the native range of J. major (central AZ and NM_AZ), and haplotype H03 did not occur in southern CO (Figure A4.3). Haplotype H02 was especially abundant in the three geographic regions in CA (southwestern, central, and northern). Haplotype H 03 was also abundant in northern CA and central CA but less so in the native range of $J$. californica in southwestern CA. Most of the geographic regions shared haplotypes with other regions, with the exception of central AZ and NM_AZ. In fact, most of the haplotypes present in those two regions were either exclusive or only shared between them, and all isolates from those locations were collected from J. major (Figure A4.3). The exceptions were haplotypes H38 and H40, which were also collected from a single county (Jefferson County) in northern CO, but from J. nigra. Isolate 1503 (Table A4.1) was not grouped with the other NM_AZ isolates because it was collected from J. nigra in an urban planting in Albuquerque (NM) and carried a haplotype that was not found in the native range of J. major.

The SSR data was not organized into haplotypes because no repeated haplotypes were identified when the allele sizes of the ten loci were analyzed, with the exception of isolates 1348 and 1352, as described above.

Data analyses

Genetic structure. According to analysis with STRUCTURE, 107 G. morbida isolates were best organized into two clusters based on SSR allele frequency with a smaller $\Delta \mathrm{K}$-peak at 4 (Figure A4.S2). Similarly, the clone-corrected MLST data from 57 haplotypes resulted in a $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ peak at 4 following analysis with STRUCTURE (Figure A4.S2).

Assignment of the SSR data to genetic clusters by using DAPC resulted in an optimal theoretical $\mathrm{K}=6$ (Figure A4.4A). One cluster containing only three isolates, 1234, 1303, and 1307 (in green in Figure A4.4A) segregated from a group of five clusters. When the three distant isolates were removed and data re-analyzed, the remaining isolates were re-organized into three clusters (Figure A4.4B). Therefore, in further SSR-DAPC analysis we considered a $\mathrm{K}=4$, comprising the one cluster containing the three distant isolates (in green in Figure A4.4A) as well as the three additional clusters in Figure A4.4B. The fixation index of $\mathrm{K}=4$ was similar to the six-clusters-SSR-DAPC model obtained originally (Table A4.3).

The MLST data analyzed with DAPC was first assigned to two clusters containing 55 and 2 haplotypes each (Figure A4.5A). When the distant cluster containing haplotypes H32 and H33 was excluded (in green in Figure A4.5A), the remaining 55 haplotypes segregated into three distinct clusters (Figure A4.5B). Fixation indices were similar for both two and four MLST-DAPC-clusters (Table A4.3). When the cluster assignment of the haplotypes on the four-cluster-MLST-STRUCTURE model was compared to the four-cluster-MLST-DAPC, they were mostly
correlated, despite some discrepancies (Figure A4.5A, green cluster; and Figure A4.5B, all clusters). The four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model had a high ( $>0.25$ ) fixation index and it was the most informative when compared to other models of genetic and geographic (as described below) clustering of G. morbida isolates. Therefore, the four- cluster-MLST-DAPC model was used to assign haplotypes to clusters in further analyses (Table A4.1 and Figure A4.3).


Figure A4.3. Distribution of 57 MLST-based Geosmithia morbida haplotypes in the United States. The size of wedges in each pie chart is proportional to the number of isolates. Haplotype colors relate to genetic clusters identified in the four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model where cluster 1 $=$ shades of blue, cluster $2=$ shades of red/brown, cluster $3=$ shades of yellow, and cluster $4=$ shades of green. Callouts are color-coded according to the three-region geographic-Hudson's Permtest model, where: 1) blue $=$ NM_AZ, central CA, northern CA, northern CO, and TN; 2) green $=$ central AZ; and 3) red $=$ southwestern CA, OR_WA, and southern CO. Callouts in white indicate regions not assessed by using Hudson's Permtest. Counties are color-coded as in Figure A4.1B. (U.S. map adapted from US Census Bureau at https://www.census.gov/).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.g003

Examining the haplotype assignment, based on the four-cluster- MLST-DAPC model, cluster 1
(blue color in pie charts of Figure A4.3) contained 21 haplotypes (63 isolates) including the
abundant and widely distributed haplotype H03 (Table A4.1 and Figure A4.3). Cluster 1 was especially abundant in northern CO, northern CA, central CA and TN. Cluster 2 (red in pie charts, Figure A4.3) contained 25 haplotypes (103 isolates), including the abundant and widely distributed haplotype H 02 . Cluster 2 was especially abundant in the three regions in CA , OR_WA, and in southern CO, where it accounted for more than half of the individuals (Table A4.1 and Figure A4.3). Cluster 3 (yellow/amber in pie charts, Figure A4.3) was represented by 9 haplotypes ( 24 isolates) and was abundant in central AZ and NM_AZ, but not present in most of the other regions, except for two haplotypes in northern CO and one in southwestern CA and TN (Table A4.1 and Figure A4.3). Cluster 4 (green in pies charts, Figure A4.3) contained 2 haplotypes (7 isolates) that were only detected from J. major in central AZ (Table A4.1 and Figure A4.3).

We found correspondence in cluster assignment between MLST and SSR data by using DAPC (Figure A4.4A, A4.4B, A4.5A, and A4.5B). The outliers (isolates) 1234, 1303, and 1307 in SSR analysis (Figure A4.4A) corresponded to H33 (Figure A4.5A), which is also one of the outliers in MLST analysis. The single isolate (1299) pertaining to H32 (Figure A4.5A) was not assessed by the SSR method. Most of the isolates ( $75 \%$ ) in SSR-cluster 1 corresponded to cluster 2 in MLST analysis, and most of the isolates (47\%) in SSR-cluster 3 corresponded to cluster 3 in MLST analysis. The SSR-cluster 2 was comprised of $67 \%$ of MLST-cluster 2 and $33 \%$ of MLST-cluster 1 isolates.
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Figure A4.4. Coordinates of the SSR profile of 112 isolates of G. morbida based on DAPC analysis: of a theoretical $\mathrm{K}=6(\mathrm{~A})$; and the same, but excluding the distant isolates in green, which resulted in $\mathrm{K}=3$ (B). doi:10.1371/journal.pone. $0112847 . \mathrm{g} 004$

Host specificity. Based on pairwise FST analysis, the SSR and MLST data indicated that $J$. major isolates were different from J. californica, J. hindsii, J. nigra and J. regia isolates collectively, whereas $J$. nigra isolates were different from $J$. californica isolates (Table A4.S3).

Phylogenetic analyses. In a phylogenetic tree based on Bayesian inference with ITS and BT sequences (Figure A4.2), all 57 haplotypes of G. morbida (Table A4.S2) grouped in the same clade with strong bootstrap support, apart from several other Geosmithia species. Within the $G$. morbida clade, isolates in the haplotypes H 32 and H 33 formed their own sub-clade with strong bootstrap support and the remaining haplotypes clustered together into a second sub-clade.

## Sexual reproduction/recombination, neutrality, and linkage disequilibrium tests.

Independent analyses of both the whole set of 57 haplotypes and haplotypes assigned to MLSTDAPC clusters showed that the likelihood of the observed haplotypes arising from sexual reproduction was extremely low ( $\mathrm{p}_{\text {gen }}<0.05$ ). In both the complete dataset and clone-corrected data, the $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{d}}$ test rejected the null hypothesis of recombination in MLST loci $(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$ and we obtained similar results by using SSR data ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). The polymorphic MLST-loci were all selectively neutral, whereas polymorphisms in SSR loci were presumed neutral as they were extra-genic. Overall, the three genomic regions used in the MLST analysis were in linkage disequilibrium (index of association $=0.8005, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and pairwise tests indicated the same (index of association $=0.8005, \mathrm{P}=0.029$ ).

Private alleles. Private alleles may indicate restrictions in dispersal or evolutionary isolation. The four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model was used to investigate private alleles in SSR analysis (Table A4.S2). In this regard, isolates in cluster 4, showed a high number of private alleles and included private alleles at seven of ten loci examined. Moreover, the most common allele at
locus 194 (270 bp), locus 2849 ( 310 bp and 316), and locus 4045 (184 bp) were completely absent from isolates of cluster 4 , reinforcing their genetic distinctiveness. Isolates belonging to cluster 3 showed private alleles at SSR loci 223, 194, 1851, and 6823; cluster 2 had private alleles at 2849, 3416 and 7713, and cluster 1 at 4045, 6823 and 7713 (Table A4.S2).

Geographical structure. Geosmithia morbida isolates were placed initially into one of 17 geographic regions based on the proximity of the counties where the isolates were collected. These regions, while arbitrarily determined, were for the most part spatially isolated from one another (Figure A4.1B). Even though the fixation index $\left(F_{S T}=0.167\right)($ Table A4.3) was not low when the 17 regions were tested, the population structure in some regions was not different from others according to Hudson's Permtest [54]. Because of that, a series of Hudson's test-based pairwise comparisons of 8 and then 6 regions (regions that we compared are described in Table A4.3) that included more than four isolates indicated that the populations could be combined into three different $(P, 0.001)$ macro regions encompassing: 1) NM_AZ, central CA, northern CA, northern CO, and TN; 2) central AZ and 3) southwestern CA, OR_WA, and southern CO (depicted in callouts in Figure A4.3). Therefore the three-region geographic model was the most robust when compared to other geographic models. This conclusion is based on fixation indices $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}\right)$ that identified the lowest within and highest among population variation (Table A4.3), and all pairwise $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values were significant (Table A4.4). The haplotype diversity was high across all three macro regions [0.70 ( $\mathrm{SD} \pm 0.02$ )]. The values obtained for the three regions individually were $0.67(\mathrm{SD} \pm 0.03), 0.77(\mathrm{SD} \pm 0.08)$, and $0.54(\mathrm{SD} \pm 0.08)$ in: 1$) \mathrm{NM} \_\mathrm{AZ} / \mathrm{Ce} \mathrm{CA} / \mathrm{No} \mathrm{CA/No}$ $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{TN}$; 2) Ce AZ ; and 3) Sw CA/OR_WA/So CO, respectively.

Table A4.3. Geosmithia morbida molecular variance determined by AMOVA of Bayesian (Structure), DAPC, and Hudson's Permtest analyses.

| Number of clusters | Fixation indices (FsT) | Variation within/among |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Genetic clusters (data/test) |  |  |
| 4 (MLST/Bayesian) | 0.515 | $48.51 / 51.49$ |
| 2 (MLST/Bayesian) | 0.253 | $74.73 / 25.27$ |
| 6 (SSR/DAPC) | 0.425 | $57.50 / 42.50$ |
| 4 (SSR/DAPC) | 0.461 | $46.08 / 53.92$ |
| 2 (MLST/DAPC) | 0.739 | $26.13 / 73.87$ |
| 4 (MLST/DAPC) | 0.612 | $38.81 / 61.19$ |
| Geographic clusters |  |  |
| 17 regions | 0.167 | $83.31 / 16.69$ |
| 8 regions* | 0.198 | $80.16 / 19.84$ |
| 6 regions** | 0.212 | $78.78 / 21.22$ |
| 3 regions*** | 0.248 | $75.23 / 24.77$ |

* 1) central AZ; 2) NM_AZ; 3) northern and central CA; 4) southwestern CA; 5) OR_WA; 6) northern CO ; 7) southern CO ; and 8) TN . central AZ; 2) NM_AZ; 3) northern and central CA and northern CO; 4) southwestern CA; 5) OR_WA and southern CO; and 6) TN. $\quad$.** The three "macro"'
regions were: 1) NM_AZ, central CA, northern CA, northern CO, and TN; 2) central AZ and 3) southwestern CA, OR_WA, and southern CO. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.t003


## Discussion

Isolates and genetic diversity

Geosmithia morbida was isolated consistently from cankers surrounding WTB galleries from all Juglans and Pterocarya showing TCD symptoms and in all regions that we surveyed (Fig. A4.1A), with the exception of $J$. major in Texas where the WTB was not detected [23].

Considering the substrate specificity of bark beetles and Geosmithia associates to their respective plant hosts [56], and the highly diverse and complex genetic structure in G. morbida that we and others $[10,26,27]$ have observed, it is unlikely that the current TCD epidemic was a result of a
new association between the WTB and G. morbida.

The number of polymorphic MLST loci described here and elsewhere [10] was not low, as we had first expected. However, it is unlikely that haplotypes are admixed (sexual recombinants) because no teleomorph has been observed and according to tests for sexual reproduction, neutrality, and disequilibrium, sexual recombination in G. morbida is nonexistent or infrequent. Considerable intra-species variation of the ITS rDNA region has been reported in other Geosmithia species [10,56], and this may be a common characteristic of this genus. Thus, this variability does ot necessarily support the hypothesis that G. morbida is a species complex.

All isolates were identified initially as G. morbida based on the similarity of their morphological characteristics and their growth patterns and color in culture. However, the placement of haplotypes H32 and H33 in a group distinct from the other G. morbida haplotypes according to the ITS/BT-based phylogenetic tree and based on analyses of genetic and geographic cluster assignments could be viewed as evidence for a hypothetical cryptic species (Figure A4.2, 4A, and 5A). All isolates characterized by H 32 and H 33 were derived from J. major, whereas the other haplotypes were collected from many Juglans and Pterocarya species. However, in previous work, our team has found that isolate 1234 (characterized by H33 and first documented from J. major in Kolař̌k et al. 2011 [11]) is indistinguishable from other G. morbida isolates in terms of its morphology and pathogenicity. A more thorough multi-gene phylogenetic analysis in the future including other species of Geosmithia and more isolates of G. morbida from J. major in the southwestern USA and Mexico might be necessary to investigate the potential for cryptic species related to G. morbida.


Figure A4.5. Coordinates of 57 (A) and 55 (B) haplotypes of Geosmithia morbida from the MLST-DAPC model. The most distant cluster (cluster 4 in green) comprised of haplotypes H32 and H33 is identified (A), as well as the coordinates of all remaining haplotypes when H32 and H33 were excluded (B). A comparison between the assignments of the MLST-DAPC and MLST-STRUCTURE models are shown in detail. Pie charts give the probability of assignment
of haplotypes to the four genetic clusters obtained in the four-clusters-MLST-STRUCTURE model. They are represented by colors, cluster $1=$ blue, cluster $2=$ red, cluster $3=$ yellow and cluster $4=$ green. Haplotypes in the box (in B) were amplified for better resolution. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.g005

Genetic, geographic, and host groupings

Our results indicated that the G. morbida genetic structure in the USA was best explained by four distinct genetic groups. Cluster assignment correspondence between MLST and SSR by using the DAPC method was moderate, probably due to some missing data in SSR (Table A4.S2). The most robust analysis was achieved by DAPC analysis with MLST data (Figure A4.5A, B and Table A4.3), with support of STRUCTURE. The four-cluster- MLST-DAPC model differed from the two clusters identified by Hadziabdic and collaborators [27], probably because we sampled more extensively and in areas not sampled in their study.

Haplotypes in genetic clusters 1 and 2 (blue and red) dominated in locations outside of AZ and NM (i.e., outside the native range of $J$. major); vice versa for clusters 3 and 4 (yellow and green)
(Figure A4.3). The high proportion of widely-dispersed, closely- related G. morbida haplotypes supports the hypothesis of a recent invasion by at least three genetic groups into regions other than central AZ and NM_AZ (Figure A4.3).

The haplotypes were further grouped into three diverse geographic groups (callouts in Figure A4.3), possibly indicating that regions within the same group exchanged or had a common source of haplotypes. A comparable genetic and geographic structure was observed for Ophiognomonia clavigignenti-juglandacearum, an exotic canker pathogen of J. cinerea in North America [57], which consisted of three genetic clusters of haplotypes that were geographically disjunct. In the past, many epidemics caused by invasive plants, pathogens, or insects were
assumed to be initiated by a single introduction event followed by a radiation from the introduction point. However, several recent studies have found that this is likely not the case and that, in most invasion events, multiple introductions have occurred followed by migration and admixture of populations [58-61]. This may be particularly true for forest pathogens as damage caused by these organisms may go unnoticed and undetected for decades in more remote areas. This allows greater migration and genetic drift to occur between and among populations. Based on the amount of genetic diversity observed in the ITS, BT, and MAP regions and the fact that G. morbida is present but does not cause mortality in some Juglans species, it is likely that this fungus was present in at least one location in North America for decades, being moved virtually unnoticed amongst Juglans populations by the WTB. However, once mortality on J. nigra was observed out of its natural range and the fungus was detected and described for the first time, the full extent of the distribution of G. morbida was finally realized [4,9,11].

Although we found some evidence of host specialization, these data were strongly influenced by the uneven geographic distribution of the Juglans species, i.e., host and region were highly confounded. Geosmithia morbida isolates from J. californica and J. major were derived almost exclusively from trees in or near their native ranges, whereas isolates from other hosts were collected in multiple regions and from planted trees. Isolates from the Eurasian native, J. regia, were derived primarily from adventive orchards in CA and two outlying samples from CO and UT. Moreover, the number of isolates collected from the hosts was extremely unbalanced. Further studies that extend the work of Utley et al. [62] by evaluating virulence of selected isolates on various hosts are needed to confirm any evidence of host specialization.

Table A4.4. Pairwise $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ values calculated for the three-population geographical model observed with Hudson's Permtest.

| Geographic cluster | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | $0.423^{*}$ | $0.109^{*}$ |
| 2 | $0.423^{*}$ | - | $0.491^{*}$ |

Significant $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$ values are denoted by $\left(^{*}\right)$.
Geographical
regions: 1) NM_AZ/Ce CA/No CA/No CO/TN; 2) Ce AZ; and 3) Sw CA/OR_WA/So CO. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112847.t004

Introduction of multiple G. morbida haplotypes to geographically isolated areas

We recovered multiple G. morbida haplotypes representing different genetic clusters from different cankers on the same tree; their introduction could have occurred in different events or all at once from a single WTB-infested log. Even small infested logs harbor large numbers of beetles [63]. The WTB is not considered a strong flier [25] and even though G. morbida produces dry conidia, they are unlikely to be dispersed by the wind because the spores are only formed in beetle galleries and feeding sites [62]. Therefore, the most probable means of $G$. morbida introduction into geographically isolated areas is by importation of contaminated logs or wood products (with bark attached) [25]. The anthropogenic movement of wood containing many haplotypes would explain the complex mixture of G. morbida haplotypes observed at most locations.

The high proportions of haplotypes H 02 and H 03 in most of the regions may represent their relative abundance in the original or secondary invasive population; the frequency of haplotype reintroduction during subsequent dispersal events; or it could indicate some type of competitive advantage [26,55].

Possible origin of G. morbida isolates in the TCD epidemic: J. major native range as primary
source

We hypothesized that G. morbida was dispersed from the native range of J. major, where the WTB vector was first collected [7,21]. There is circumstantial evidence of an isolated introduction of G. morbida (H38 and H 40 ) from central AZ into northern CO (yellow in pie charts in Figure A4.3), but these haplotypes represent a small fraction of the total number. Thus, the two haplotypes were probably part of an independent and secondary introduction of $G$. morbida into northern CO.

In general, we did not detect haplotypes collected from J. major in AZ and NM in other areas in the USA. Although we did not find shared haplotypes, the Hudson's Permtest [54] analysis indicated that the genetic makeup of haplotypes from NM_AZ was not significantly different from those found in central CA, northern CA, northern CO, and TN (blue callouts in Figure A4.3). The high number of exclusive G. morbida haplotypes collected from J. major in central AZ and NM_AZ (Figure A4.3) and the large number of private alleles, combined with the absence in this region of the two most frequently occurring haplotypes ( H 02 and H 03 ), make it unlikely that these two regions are the direct geographic sources of G. morbida isolates associated with TCD outbreaks in the majority of locations. Juglans major has an extensive but non-contiguous range that extends south into Mexico [64], and our sampling was restricted to the extreme northern portion of the full range (Figure A4.1A) of this species. If G. morbida is present throughout the range of $J$. major, its population structure may be variable because of genetic isolation. Even within central AZ and NM_AZ, we noted what appeared to be geographically isolated genetic clusters of G. morbida (Table A4.1). A more intensive survey in unsampled regions of $J$. major is warranted.

Possible origin of G. morbida isolates in the TCD epidemic: J. californica native range as primary source

Another possible source of G. morbida haplotypes causing the TCD epidemic could be southwestern CA. All G. morbida isolates from this region were collected from J. californica, a species that may be a native host of the WTB and its symbiont G. morbida [7]. Moreover, a very high proportion ( $89 \%$ ) of the haplotypes identified in southwestern CA, in particular haplotypes H 02 and H03, was also found in at least one other region (Figure A4.3). Furthermore, the result of the geographic structure analysis indicated that southwestern CA was similar to OR_WA and southern CO (Figure A4.3).

Geographic structure analysis also indicated genetic similarities among G. morbida haplotypes in northern CO, TN, central CA and northern CA (Figure A4.3), with the latter region representing the natural range of $J$. hindsii (Figure A4.1A). Central CA and northern CA shared $88 \%$ and $83 \%$ of their haplotypes with other regions (Figure A4.3). It is possible that the TCD epidemic was triggered when infested raw walnut wood products were moved from northern CA to northern CO, or vice versa.

## Geosmithia morbida dispersal

Regardless of its origin, TCD was first noted after its appearance on the highly susceptible $J$. nigra $[4,10]$, probably as a consequence of transportation of infested logs that allowed movement of WTB and G. morbida into regions that they would not have reached naturally. Introduction to new sites could also have been facilitated by tourists/campers carrying even small amounts of wood. For example, there were more than 13 million visitors to Arizona's State and National Parks from 2001-2012 (www.azot.gov). Visitor attendance during this period to California's

State Parks alone was even higher, ranging from 85.5 million (2001-2002) to 67.9 million (2011-2012) (http://www.parks.ca. gov/?page_id=23308). The genetic makeup of the $G$. morbida populations in regions where J. nigra has been affected suggests that the outbreaks in most of the USA are related to those in CA and from a fungus population that was already established in at least one species of Juglans.

## Conclusion

This study helped to explain the genetic structure of G. morbida and its multi-factorial and disjunct distribution in the USA. Even though the observation of TCD in Juglans and Pterocarya species has only been recent, the observed haplotype diversity and the genetic complexity of $G$. morbida indicate it has been in association with at least one Juglans spp. and the WTB for a long period of time. Some haplotypes and genetic clusters were found in specific regions and in association only with certain Juglans species. The scattered geographic distribution of genetic clusters indicates that G. morbida was disseminated several times and from several sources and most likely by transportation in beetle-infested wood.

Group09
Group0 6
Group01 Group07 Group 04 Group10 Group08 Group 02 Group03 Group05 Group11 Loci

Group09
Group0 6
Group01
Group07
Group0 4 Group10
Group08
Group02
Group03
Group 05
Group11
Loci
Group09
Group0 6 Group01 Group0 7 Group 04 Group10 Group08 Group02 Group03
Group 05 Group11

Group09
Group0 6
Group01
Group 07
Group0 4
Group10
Group08
Group02
Group 03
Group0 5
Group11

CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGACCCCCCCCC-TAGGGGG-CCCCG 58 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCCCCCCCCCTAGGGGG-CCCCG 59 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCCCCCCCC-TAGGGGG-CCCCG 58 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCCCCCCCCTTAGGGGG-CCCCG 59 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATC--CCCCC-TAGGGGG-CCCCG 56 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCCCCCCCC-TAGGGGGGCCCCG 59 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCTCCCCCC-TAGGGGGGCCCCG 59 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCTCCCCCC-TAGGGGG-CCCCG 58 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATC--CCCCC-TAGGGGG-CCCCG 56 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATC-CCCCCC-TAGGGGGGCCCCG 58 CAGTTGCTTCGGCGGCCCCGCCCCGGGCGCCTCGGGATCCCCCCCC-TAGGGGG-CCCCG 58 *************************************1*23*****4*****************)

CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 117 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 118 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 117 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 118 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 115 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 118 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 118 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 117 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTTTGTCTTTTAGTGTATT 116 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 117 CGGGCGACCCGGACCCAGGCGACCGCCGGGGGACCCAAACTCTT-GTCTTTTAGTGTATT 117 ********************************************6********************)

ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 177 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 178 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 177 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 178 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 175 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 178 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 178 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 177 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 176 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 177 ATCTGAGTGGCATAAGCAAATAAATTAAAACTTTCAGCAACGGATCTCTTGGTTCTGGCA 177

TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 237 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 238 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 237 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 238 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 235 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 238 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 238 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 237 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 236 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 237 TCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAAATGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCAGAATTCAGTGAATCAT 237


## Group09

Group0 6
Group01
Group07
Group0 4
Group10
Group08
Group02
Group03
Group05
Group11
CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 297 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 298 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 297 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 298 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 295 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 298 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 298 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 297 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 296 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 297 CGAATCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCGCCAGTACTCTGGCGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGT 297
$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *)$

Group09
Group0 6
Group01
Group07
Group 04
Group10
Group08
Group02
Group03
Group0 5
Group11

Group0 9
Group0 6
Group01
Group07
Group 04
Group10
Group08
Group02
Group03
Group 05
Group11

Group09
Group 06
Group01
Group 07
Group0 4
Group10
Group08
Group 02
Group03
Group 05
Group11
Loci
Group09
Group 06
Group01
Group07
Group0 4
Group10
Group0 8
Group 02
Group 03
Group0 5
Group11

CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 357 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 358 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 357 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 358 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 355 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 358 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 358 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 357 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 356 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 357 CATTTCAACCCTCAGCACCCCCGTTCGCGGCGGGAGCTGGCGTTGGGGATCGGCCGTCCT 357


CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 417 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 418 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 417 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 418 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 415 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 418 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 418 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 417 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 416 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 417 CTGCGGCGGCCGGCCCCGAAACGAAGTGGCGGTCACGTCGCGACCTCCTCTGCGTAGTAG 417

CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 477 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 478 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 477 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 478 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 475 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 478 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 478 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 477 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 476 CAATATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 477 CAGTATCTCGCAGCCTGGATAGCGGCGCGGCCACGCCGTAAAACCCCAACTATACCAAGG 477


TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 515 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 516 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 515 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 516 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 513 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 516 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 516 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 515 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 514 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 515 TTGACCTCGGATCAGGTAGGAATACCCGCTGAACTTAA 515
$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
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CCAGAAGGCAGCACCAATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCAATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCAATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCAATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCAATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60 CCAGAAGGCAGCACCGATCTGGTTACCCTGTTGGATTGAAAAGACGAGAGTCAGTGTTCT 60


GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGAAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGGGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGAAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 GACCGCACGAAAATAGAGCGCGTAATAGGCTGGACAGGAAGAGGTAGAGAGGGGAGGCAA 120 ********************************************9**1*****************)
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AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTGTTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTGTTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTGTTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGACGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180 AGAGATGCCATCTCCCTCACCTTCCTGCCGTCCTATTCTTCTTCCAGGGGTGGTATCAAC 180


TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGAGGGGACAAACACGTCGTTAGCT 240 TTACGCACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGTGAACCTATTTGAGGGGGGAAAAACACGTCGTTAGCA 240 *************************************1*****1******************)
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AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT--CCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT---CC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT-CCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTTCCCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT-CCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT-CCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTTT-CCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT--CCC 299 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT-CCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT--CCC 299 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT---CC 298 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT--CCC 299 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT--CCC 299 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT-CCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGTT-CCCC 300 AAAGATTCGACTGACGCAAAGCTGCGAGACCCTTTCTCGTTTCTCCTCGCCTGT--CCCC 300
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CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 361 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 360 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 363 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTAGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTAGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 361 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 361 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 360 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 361 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 361 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 362 CCCCCTTTTTGGGTTGGAATGTTGACTTGGGTGCACGTTGGCCGGCGGGGTAATAGAAAAGAG 361


0

| MAP |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | GGGACTGCTATCAATTTGCTGATTGGATATATACCAGGCCTACCCTTCTCCTCTCAACTA 60 |
| $\delta$ | GGGACTACTATCAATTTGCTGATTGGATATATACCAGGCCTACCCTTCTCCTCTCAACTA 60 |
| $\beta$ | GGGACTGCTATCAATTTGCTGATTGGATATATACCAGGCCTACCCTTCTCCTCTCAACTA 60 |
| $\gamma$ | GGGGCTGCTATCAATTTGCTGATTGGATATATACCAGGCCTACCCTTCTCCTCTCAACTA 60 |
| Loci | $\begin{aligned} & * * * 2 * * 2 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\alpha$ | CAACAATTTCCCCAAGTCTTGTTGTACATCGATAAACGAAGTCATTTGCCACGGCATTCC 120 |
| $\delta$ | CAACAATTTCCCCAAGTCTTGTTGTACATCGATAAACGAAGTCATTTGCCACGGCATTCC 120 |
| $\beta$ | CAACAATTTCCCCAAGTCTTGTTGTACATCGATAAACGAAGTCATTTGCCATGGCATTCC 120 |
| $\gamma$ | CAACAATTTCCCCAAGTCTTGTTGTACATCGATAAACGAAGTCATTTGCCACGGCATTCC 120 |
| Loci |  |
| $\alpha$ | CGACCAGCGAGTCCTCCTCGACGGAGACATCCTCAATATCGACGTTTCCCTCTACCACGA 180 |
| $\delta$ | CGACCAGCGAGTCCTCCTCGACGGAGACATCCTCAATATCGACGTTTCCCTCTACCATGA 180 |
| $\beta$ | CGACCAGCGAGTCCTCCTCGACGGAGACATCCTCAATATCGACGTTTCCCTCTACCACGA 180 |
| $\gamma$ | CGACCAGCGAGTCCTCCTCGACGGAGACATTCTCAATATCGACATTTCCCTCTACCACGA 180 |
| Loci | $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 2 * * * * * * * * * * * * 2 * * * * * * * * * * * * * 2 * * ~$ |
| $\alpha$ | AGGCTACCATGCCGATTTGAATGAGACATACTACATTGGCGACAAGGCCAAAGCAGATCC 240 |
| $\delta$ | AGGCTACCATGCCGATTTGAATGAGACATACTACATTGGCGACAAGGCCAAAGCAGATCC 240 |
| $\beta$ | AGGCTACCATGCCGATTTGAATGAGACATACTACATTGGCGACAAGGCCAAAGCAGATCC 240 |
| $\gamma$ | AGGCTACCACGCCGATTTGAATGAGACATACTACATTGGCGACAAGGCCAAAGCAGATCC 240 |
| Loci | $\begin{gathered} * * * * * * * * * 2 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\alpha$ | CGATAGTGTGCGCGTCGTCGAGGCGGCCCGTGAGTGCCTGGAAGAATCCATCAAGGCCGT 300 |
| $\delta$ | CGATAGTGTGCGCGTCGTCGAGGCGGCCCGTGAGTGCCTGGAAGAATCCATCAAGGCCGT 300 |
| $\beta$ | CGATAGTGTGCGCGTCGTCGAGGCGGCCCGTGAGTGCCTGGAAGAATCCATCAAGGCCGT 300 |
| $\gamma$ | CGATAGTGTGCGCGTCGTCGAGGCGGCCCGTGAGTGCCTGGAAGAATCCATCAAGGCCGT 300 <br>  |
| $\alpha$ | CAAGCCGGGCACCTTGATCCGTGAATTCGGAAACATCATTGAGAAGCATGCCAAGGCAAA 360 |
| $\delta$ | CAAGCCGGGCACCTTGATCCGTGAATTCGGAAACATCATTGAGAAGCATGCCAAGGCAAA 360 |
| $\beta$ | CAAGCCGGGCACCTTGATCCGTGAATTCGGAAACATCATTGAGAAGCATGCCAAGGCAAA 360 |
| $\gamma$ | CAAGCCGGGCACCTTGATCCGTGAATTCGGAAACATCATTGAGAAGCATGCCAAGGCAAA 360 ******************************************************************* |
| $\alpha$ | GAATT 365 |
| $\delta$ | GAATT 365 |
| $\beta$ | GAATT 365 |
| $\gamma$ | GAATT 365 |

Figure A4.S1 Twenty-seven nucleotide polymorphic loci detected in three genomic regions in $G$. morbida. Sequencing ITS, BT and MAP of G. morbida isolates resulted in 7, 13 and 7 polymorphisms, respectively. Those were combined in 57 haplotypes (Table A4.S2). Polymorphisms are depicted in colors and loci are identified.


Figure A4.S2 Results of $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ computation for Geosmithia morbida isolates. Scenario where $\mathrm{K}=1-$ 10 (20 iterations) by using STRUCTURE [39]. SSR data supports clusters of 2 and 4, whereas MLST data supports a cluster of 4 .

Table A4.S1 Microsatellite (SSR) loci used to characterize Geosmithia morbida isolates.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSR } \\ & \text { name } \end{aligned}$ | GenBank identification | Motif(s) | Number of <br> Alleles | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Size } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Primers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo404 } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | KF574259 | CT | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 182- \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ | TCGGTGAATTGATCGGTGTA CTCCCAGCCGTACTAACGAC |
| Geo223 | KF574250 | CT | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 175- \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | ACGTCACAGGAGGGAGAGAA CGTGGTGGTTCTCGTAACAT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo389 } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | KF574256 | CT | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200- \\ & 226 \end{aligned}$ | CGGGTAGGGTGACCACGA GTGGTCGAGGACAACAAGGT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo341 } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | KF574255 | GT | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 214- \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | AGTTCGCGAAAGAGCACAAT GTTCACACAGAAGGTTGTTAG TATGG |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo771 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | KF574258 | CA | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 293- \\ & 411 \end{aligned}$ | CGTACGATGGTATTTATCTCA TTCA <br> TTACACGTAGGATGGCATGG |
| Geo194 | KF574251 | GT/AG | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 253- \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | CCCACGTCAAGTCTGTCA TCCTCCTCAGTCGCATACTCT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo284 } \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | KF574254 | GA/AAT | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 310- \\ & 341 \end{aligned}$ | CGCCTACGGAATACCTACC AGGACGAAACATCACCGAGT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo251 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | KF574253 | AC/TA | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 259- \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | TTAACATGGCCAACACAACC TCA TTGA TGTCGGCAAAGAC |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo185 } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | KF574252 | AGC | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 202- \\ & 228 \end{aligned}$ | GGGCAATATAACGGTTT CCTCGTAGGCGTAAGTCTGG |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geo682 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | KF574257 | TTG/GA | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 303- \\ & 331 \end{aligned}$ | TTCAGCATCATTACTTGTTGG TCGTCGTCGTTGCTGTAGTC |

Table A4.S2 Collection Information of 209 Geosmithia morbida-isolates and their MLST and SSR profile.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 | Clacka | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | R | mas | A | sp. | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C |  | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | A | Lake | OCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | 185 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 200 | 183 | 311 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C |  | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | A | Lake | OCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 204 | 184 | 311 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C |  | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | A | Lake | oCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C |  | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | A | Lake | oCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | 185 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 208 | -9 | -9 | -9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | C | San | 04_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | A | Benito | eCA | J. regia | , | H03 | 1 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | C |  | 04_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | A | Fresno | eCA | J. regia | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | 183 | 270 | 205 | 266 | 310 | -9 | 200 | 184 | 308 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | C |  | 04_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | A | Fresno | eCA | J. regia | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | -9 | 270 | 205 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 200 | 184 | -9 | -9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | 1 | H03 | 1 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | C |  | 04_C |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | A | Merced | eCA | J. hindsii | ster | H03 | up0 | B | a | 185 | 270 | 202 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 313 | 348 |




| 15 |  |  | 12_N |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clu } \\ & \text { ster } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gro } \\ & \text { up0 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | 0 | Boulder | oCO | J. nigra | 1 | H19 | 4 | C | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | C |  | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98 | 0 | Boulder | OCO | J. nigra | 1 | H19 | 4 | C | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | M | Grant |  | J. major | 1 | H21 | 4 | E | a | 183 | 270 | 208 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 200 | 184 | 320 | 296 |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | M | Lincoln | Z | J. major | 1 | H21 | 4 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | M | Grant | Z | J. major | 1 | H21 | 4 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | M | Grant |  | J. major | 1 | H21 | 4 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | M | Lincoln | Z | J. major | 1 | H21 | 4 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  | Santa |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C | Barbar | 03_S | J. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | A | a | wCA | californica | 1 | H22 | 4 | H | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | U |  | 11_U |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09 | T | Cache | T | J. nigra | 1 | H23 | 4 | K | a | 185 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 200 | 183 | 313 | 296 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C | Contra | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | A | Costa | OCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H25 | 5 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 14 | C | Santa | 03_S | J. | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | A | Barbar | wCA | californica | ster | H25 | up0 | B | a | 185 | -9 | 214 | -9 | 316 | 229 | -9 | 184 | 311 | 369 |


| a |  |  |  |  |  | H25 | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 04_C |  | J. regia | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Clu} \\ & \text { ster } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | A | Fresno | eCA |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 14 | C |  | 04_C |  |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | A | Tulare | eCA | J. regia | 1 | H26 | 5 | C | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 313 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C | Contra | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | A | Costa | OCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H26 | 5 | C | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C |  | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | A | Lake | oCA | J. hindsii | 1 | H26 | 5 | C | a | 183 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 316 | 229 | 208 | 184 | -9 | 369 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 | Clacka | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | R | mas | A | sp. | 1 | H27 | 5 | B | $\beta$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | 1 | H27 | 5 | B | $\beta$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | 1 | H29 | 5 | C | $\beta$ | 185 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 316 | 229 | 204 | 184 | 313 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | C |  | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 0 | Denver | oCO | J. nigra | 1 | H35 | 8 | C | a | 183 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 325 | 351 |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | M | Hidalgo | Z | J. major | 1 | H43 | 0 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  | ster |  | up1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 | on | oCO | J. nigra | 1 | H44 | 0 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 18 | C |  | 05_N | P. | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | A | Solano | oCA | stenopter | ster | H56 | up0 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |


|  |  |  |  | a | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 0 | Boulder | OCO | J. nigra | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 183 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 208 | 183 | 311 | 369 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0 | Boulder | oCO | J. nigra | 2 | H02 |  | A | a | 183 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 316 | -9 | -9 | 184 | 323 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 05_N | $J$. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | A | Yolo | 0CA | californica | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 183 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 316 | 369 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 10_I |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | ID | Boise | D | J. nigra | $?$ | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 205 | 266 | 316 | 229 | 217 | 184 | 311 | 348 |
|  |  | San |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C | Luis | 03_S | $J$. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | A | Obispo | wCA | californica | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 316 | 358 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0 | Hood | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | R | River | A | sp. | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | 229 | 200 | 183 | -9 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 03_S | J. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | A | Ventura | wCA | californica | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 316 | -9 | -9 | 184 | 323 | 362 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 03_S | $J$. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | A | Ventura | wCA | californica | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | -9 | -9 | 184 | 323 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C | San | 05_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | A | Joaquin | oCA | J. hindsii | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 205 | 266 | 310 | 229 | 200 | 183 | 323 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 03_S | $J$. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | A | Ventura | wCA | californica | 2 | H02 | 1 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 316 | 214 | 200 | 184 | 325 | 358 |
| 12 | C | San | 05_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | A | Joaquin | oCA | J. hindsii | ster | H02 | up0 | A | a | 185 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 316 | 229 | 200 | 183 | 313 | 340 |


| 12 | C |  | 03_S | $J$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | A | Ventura | wCA | californica |
| 12 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  |
| 79 | 0 | on | OCO | J. nigra |
| 12 | C |  | 05_N |  |
| 89 | A | Yolo | OCA | J. hindsii |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  |
| 15 | 0 | on | OCO | J. nigra |
| 13 | C |  | 12_N |  |
| 24 | 0 | Boulder | OCO | J. nigra |
| 13 | C |  | 14_S |  |
| 34 | 0 | Otero | OCO | J. nigra |
| 13 | C | Crowle | 14_S |  |
| 35 | 0 | y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oCO } \\ & 09 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | J. nigra |
| 13 | 0 | Hood | R_W | Juglans |
| 45 | R | River Los | A | sp . |
| 13 | C | Angele | 03_S | J. |
| 52 | A | S | wCA | californica |
| 13 | C |  | 05_N |  |
| 55 | A | Yolo | oCA | J. hindsii |
| 13 | C |  | 05_N |  |
| 65 | A | Yolo | 0CA | J. hindsii |






|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | R | Wasco |  | sp. | 2 | H04 | 1 | D | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 316 | 229 | 208 | 184 | 320 | 340 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | R | Marion | A | sp. | 2 | H04 | 1 | D | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0 | Jackso | 07_S |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | R | n | wOR | J. hindsii | - | H04 | 1 | D | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | 2 | H06 | 1 | $J$ | $\delta$ | 183 | 270 | 202 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 208 | 183 | 325 | 369 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C | Alamed | 05_N | californica | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | A | a | oCA | X hindsii | 2 | H07 | 1 | M | a | 183 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 316 | 229 | 208 | 184 | 313 | 340 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | R | Wasco | A | sp. | 2 | H10 | 2 | A | a | 183 | 270 | 212 | 270 | 341 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 323 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 0 | Larimer | oCO | J. nigra | ? | H10 | 2 | A | a | -9 | -9 | 205 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 226 | 184 | 311 | 340 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | R | Wasco | A | sp. | 2 | H10 | 2 | A | a | 183 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 200 | 184 | 313 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | C |  | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | 0 | Boulder | OCO | J. nigra | 2 | H10 | 2 | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | 2 | H10 | 2 | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | 0 | Jackso | 07_S |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | R | n | wOR | J. hindsii | ster | H12 | up0 | D | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |


| 15 | C |  | 04_C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | A | Merced | eCA | J. hindsii |
| 13 | C |  | 12_N |  |
| 93 | 0 | Weld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCO } \\ & 09 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | J. nigra |
| 12 | 0 | Multno | R_W | Juglans |
| 56 | R | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mah } \\ & \text { Los } \end{aligned}$ | A | sp. |
| 16 | C | Angele | 03_S | $J$. |
| 34 | A | s | wCA | californica |
| 16 | C |  | 05_N | J. hindsii |
| 10 | A | Yolo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oCA } \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $X$ major |
| 12 | C |  | WeC |  |
| 85 | 0 | Delta | 0 | J. nigra |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  |
| 05 | 0 | on | OCO | J. nigra |
| 12 | C |  | 12_N |  |
| 18 | 0 | Boulder | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCO } \\ & 090 \end{aligned}$ | J. nigra |
| 12 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans |
| 59 | R | Marion | A | sp. |
| 13 | C |  | 14_S |  |
| 18 | 0 | Otero | OCO | J. nigra |
| 14 | C |  | 04_C |  |
| 04 | A | Tulare | eCA | J. regia |




|  |  | Los |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | C | Angele | 03_S | J. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | A | s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wCA } \\ & 09 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | californica | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H24 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | 0 |  | R_W |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | R | Wasco | A | J. hindsii | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H24 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | 229 | 208 | 183 | 311 | 358 |
| 14 | C |  | 04_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09 | A | Tulare | eCA | J. regia | $2$ | H28 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | D | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 313 | 403 |
| 12 | C |  | 03_S | J. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | A | Ventura | wCA | californica | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H30 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | 183 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 204 | 184 | 311 | 340 |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  | ter |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 0 | on | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oCO } \\ & 09 \_0 \end{aligned}$ | J. nigra | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H30 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | -9 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 310 | 229 | 208 | 183 | 311 | 340 |
| 14 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | R | Marion | A | sp. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H30 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | C |  | 04_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | A | Fresno | eCA | J. regia | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H30 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H30 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 200 | 184 | 323 | 358 |
| 17 | 0 |  | 08_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | R | Lane | eOR | J. cinerea | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H30 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 17 | 0 |  | 08_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | R | Lane | eOR | J. cinerea | 2 | H30 | 6 | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 14 | C |  | 05_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | A | Lake | oCA | J. hindsii | ster | H31 | up0 | A | $\beta$ | 185 | 266 | 214 | 266 | 316 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 320 | 340 |


| 12 |  |  | 14_S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 0 | El Paso | OCO | J. nigra |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  |
| 16 | A | Cochis | M_A |  |
| 23 | Z | e |  | J. major |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  |
| 16 | A | Cochis | M_A |  |
| 24 | Z | e | Z | J. major |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  |
| 16 | A | Cochis | M_A |  |
| 27 | Z | e | Z | J. major |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  |
| 16 | A | Cochis | M_A |  |
| 26 | Z | e |  | J. major |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  |
| 16 | A | Cochis | M_A |  |
| 28 | Z | e | Z | J. major |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  |
| 14 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans |
| 02 | R | Wasco | A | sp. |
| 1585 | C |  | 04_C |  |
|  | A | Tulare | eCA | J. regia |
| 1586 | C |  | 04_C |  |
|  | A | Tulare | eCA | J. regia |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  |
| 06 | 0 | on | OCO | J. nigra |
| 14 | C | Fremon | 14_S |  |
| 96 | 0 | t | oCO | J. nigra |



| 17 | N | Dougla | 06_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08 | V | s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V } \\ & 01 \_N \end{aligned}$ | J. nigra | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H51 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | D | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 16 | A | Cochis | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Z | e | Z | J. major | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H52 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | $J$ | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | C |  | 05_N |  | ter |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | A | Solano | OCA | J. regia | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H55 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | H | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 12 | C |  | 14_S |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 0 | El Paso | oCO | J. nigra | 2 | H57 | 4 | A | a | 183 | 270 | 208 | -9 | 314 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 320 | 296 |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | M | Hidalgo | $\begin{aligned} & Z^{-} \\ & 01 \_N \end{aligned}$ | J. major | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H36 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | M | Catron | Z | J. major | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H37 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | Q | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | 0 | on | oCO | J. nigra | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H38 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | C | a | 183 | 263 | 208 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 316 | 296 |
| 13 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H38 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | C | a | 183 | 270 | 208 | 266 | 316 | -9 | -9 | 183 | 316 | 296 |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0 | on | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCO } \\ & 01 \_N \end{aligned}$ | J. nigra | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{Clu} \end{aligned}$ | H38 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \text { Gro } \end{aligned}$ | C | a | 183 | 253 | 208 | 266 | 316 | -9 | 200 | 183 | 320 | 296 |
| 13 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | M | Grant | Z | J. major | 3 | H38 | 9 | C | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 13 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | ster | H38 | up0 | C | a | 185 | 253 | 208 | -9 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 183 | 320 | 296 |


|  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 01 N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | M | Catron | Z | J. major | 3 | H38 | 9 | C | a | 183 | 266 | 208 | 259 | 316 | -9 | 218 | 183 | 320 | 296 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | 3 | H38 | 9 | C | a | 183 | 269 | 208 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 200 | 183 | 331 | 293 |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | M | Grant | Z | J. major | 3 | H38 | 9 | C | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | M | Catron |  | J. major | 3 | H38 | 9 | C | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | M | Grant | Z | J. major | 3 | H39 | 9 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | M | Grant |  | J. major | 3 | H39 | 9 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | M | Grant | Z | J. major | 3 | H39 | 9 | E | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | M | Grant | Z | J. major | 3 | H39 | 9 | E | a | 175 | 261 | 208 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 200 | 183 | 320 | 296 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 0 | on | oCO | J. nigra | 3 | H4O | 9 | $J$ | a | 183 | 253 | -9 | 259 | -9 | 222 | 200 | 184 | 320 | 296 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | 3 | H40 | 9 | J | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 13 | C | Jeffers | 12_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0 | on | oCO | J. nigra | ster | H40 | up0 | $J$ | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |


|  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | 3 | H40 | 9 | $J$ | a | 183 | 270 | 208 | -9 | 316 | -9 | 200 | 184 | 325 | 293 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | 3 | H4O | 9 | $J$ | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 | M | Hidalgo | Z | J. major | 3 | H41 | 9 | H | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | T |  | 15_T |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | N | Knox | N | J. nigra | 3 | H50 | 6 | B | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  | Santa |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C | Barbar | 03_S | $J$. | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | A | a | wCA | californica | 3 | H53 | 9 | A | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  | 01_N |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | N |  | M_A |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | M | Hidalgo | Z | J. major | 3 | H54 | 9 | B | a | 183 | 269 | 228 | -9 | 313 | -9 | 200 | 184 | 323 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | 4 | H32 | 7 | F | a | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | 4 | H33 | 7 | F | Y | 183 | 266 | 214 | 280 | 313 | 244 | 200 | 195 | 303 | 319 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | 4 | H33 | 7 | F | Y | 182 | 266 | 208 | -9 | 314 | 229 | 200 | 193 | 303 | 319 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | 4 | H33 | 7 | F | Y | 181 | 285 | 295 | -9 | 314 | -9 | 200 | 193 | 303 | 319 |
| 14 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | ster | H33 | up0 | F | V | -9 | 266 | -9 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 200 | 193 | -9 | 319 |


|  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Z | - | eAZ | J. major | 4 | H33 | 7 | F | Y | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clu |  | Gro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | A | Yavapa | 02_C |  | ster |  | up0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | Z | i | eAZ | J. major | 4 | H33 | 7 | F | Y | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | no |  | Gro | no | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | U |  | 11_U |  | info | no | up0 | inf | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | T | Cache | T | J. regia | . | info. | 4 | 0. | 0. | 185 | 280 | 214 | 259 | 341 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 311 | 362 |
|  |  |  |  |  | no |  | Gro |  | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 05_N | $J$. | info | no | up0 |  | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | A | Yolo | OCA | californica |  | info. | 2 | A | 0. | -9 | -9 | 205 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 208 | 184 | 320 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | no |  | Gro |  | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | C |  | 05_N | $J$. | info | no | up0 |  | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | A | Yolo | oCA | californica |  | info. | 5 | D | 0. | -9 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 316 | -9 | -9 | 184 | 311 | 358 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | no |  | Gro | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | info | no | up0 | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | R | Wasco | A | sp . |  | info. | 1 | 0. | a | 185 | 270 | 214 | -9 | 310 | 229 | 217 | 184 | 313 | 358 |
|  |  | Los |  |  | no |  | Gro |  | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | C | Angele | 03_S | $J$. | info | no | up0 |  | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | A | s | wCA | californica |  | info. | 1 | A | 0. | 185 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 310 | 214 | 208 | 183 | 325 | 340 |
|  |  |  | 09_0 |  | no |  | Gro | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0 |  | R_W | Juglans | info | no | up0 | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | R | Wasco | A | sp . |  | info. | 1 | 0. | a | 183 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 310 | 229 | 208 | 184 | 316 | 358 |
|  |  |  | 13- |  | no |  | Gro | no | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | C |  | WeC |  | info | no | up0 | inf | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 0 | Mesa | 0 | J. nigra | . | info. | 1 | 0. | 0. | 183 | 270 | 205 | -9 | 316 | 229 | 200 | 184 | 323 | 293 |
|  |  | Los |  |  | no |  | Gro | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | C | Angele | 03_S |  | info | no | up0 | inf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | A | s | wCA | J. hindsii | . | info. | 1 | 0. | a | 185 | 270 | 212 | -9 | 310 | -9 | 204 | 184 | 313 | 340 |
| 14 | C |  | 04_C |  | no | no | Gro |  | no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05 | A | Kings | eCA | J. hindsii | info | info. | up0 | R | inf | 185 | 270 | 212 | -9 | -9 | 229 | 226 | 183 | -9 | -9 |


\# haplotypes are based on the combination of 11 variants of ITS (represented by numbers), 16 variants of BT (represented by letters) and 4 of MAP (represented by Greek letters).
H BT variants " L " and " N " are not listed, because they corresponded to sequence errors.

* alleles in columns K-T highlighted in blue, red, yellow and green, correspond to private alleles (total of 24) of clusters 1 ( 3 private alleles), 2 ( 7 private alleles), 3 ( 5 private alleles) and 4 ( 9 private alleles), respectively, according to the four-cluster-MLST-DAPC model (column F).
云 "-9" indicates either null alleles or failed reactions, whereas "NA" indicates isolates not analyzed by SSR approach.

Table A4.S3 Host specificity analysis. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ values calculated using both MLST (above diagonal) and SSR (below diagonal) data, grouping isolates by host. Significant $(\mathrm{P}<0.05) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ values are denoted by $\left({ }^{*}\right)$.

Host name

| ------------- | J. californica | J. hindsii | J. major | J. nigra | J. regia |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J. californica | - | 0.02876 | $0.15919^{*}$ | $0.03525^{*}$ | 0.05465 |
| J. hindsii | -0.00012 | - | $0.13289^{*}$ | 0.00158 | -0.02118 |
| J. major | $0.26018^{*}$ | $0.2149^{*}$ | - | $0.12837^{*}$ | $0.08492^{*}$ |
| J. nigra | $0.03888^{*}$ | 0.04309 | $0.11609^{*}$ | - | -0.0105 |
| J. regia | 0.01 | 0.02239 | $0.15748^{*}$ | -0.01454 | - |

File A4.S1 Development of MLST-primers based on partial sequencing of the Geosmithia morbida (CBS 124663) genome.

High-quality total DNA of G. morbida isolate 1217 (CBS 124663) was extracted as described in "DNA extraction" (Materials and Methods) and skim-sequenced at the Purdue University Genomics Core Facility. A half-plate run on a 454 FLX standard pyrosequencing [1] of a 3 kb long paired end library generated 779,553 reads representing 116,376,601 bp. Sequences were assembled in Newbler 2.3 (454 Life Sciences Corp, CT, USA) by using default settings. This resulted in the assembly of 628,023 reads $(80.56 \%$ of the total), which were organized into 27,933 contigs, representing $15,924,440 \mathrm{bp}$ of the $G$. morbida genome. A total 24,982 sequences (contigs and singletons) larger than 200 bp were automatically annotated with the Blast2GO similarity tool [2], and $92 \%$ were homologous to predicted proteins deposited in the NR database (BlastX, e-value cut-off $=10^{-5}$ ); $81 \%$ had a GO term [3] associated with their hit, and $61 \%$ were homologous to a predicted protein with known function. Six contigs containing the partial sequences of predicted housekeeping genes (Table A4.2) were selected and primers flanking these sequences were designed. The sequences were: methionine aminopeptidase (KF947520); ribosomal L18ae protein family (KF947521); dolichyl-phosphate-mannose-protein mannosyltransferase (KF947522); amino acid permease (KF947523); 40S ribosomal protein S2 (KF947524); and kinesin (KF947525). Primers were used for the establishment of a MLST scheme for $G$. morbida.
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